



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, April 18, 2024

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2023-197](#)

**** E002/C-23-424**

Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Formal Complaint and Request for Relief by the Minnesota Solar Advocates.

Should the Commission grant Minnesota Solar Advocates' (MSA) petition for reconsideration of the February 27, 2024 Order? (PUC: **Duran**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration or rehearing **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration or rehearing can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments:

[Briefing Papers](#)

2. [Details 2024-066](#)

PULLED

**** ~~E002,015,017/CI-
23-375~~**

**~~Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy;
Minnesota Power;
Otter Tail Power Co.~~**

In the Matter of the Commission Inquiry into the Ratemaking Treatment for Early Retiring Generating Facilities Owned by Regulated Electric Utilities.

1. Should the Commission establish a standard ratemaking treatment for all early-retiring electric facilities?
2. If approved, when will changes to the ratemaking treatment for early-retiring electric facilities be implemented?
3. If approved, will any changes to the ratemaking treatment for early-retiring electric facilities require changes to future integrated resources plans or any other dockets? (PUC: **Worlobah**)

3. [Details 2024-069](#)**** E002/CN-24-68****Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for a Certificate of Need for Additional Dry Cask Storage at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation.

1. Does the certificate of need application contain the information required under Minnesota Rules 7855.0230 to 7855.0280 and 7855.0600 to 7855.0670?
2. Are there any contested issues of fact with respect to the representations made in the application?
3. Should the application be evaluated using the Commission's informal process or referred to the Office of Administrative Hearings for contested case proceedings?
4. What are the implications, if any, on the timing and procedures to be used in processing this application in relation to Xcel Energy's pending 2024-2040 Upper Midwest Integrated Resource Plan in docket E002/RP-24-67? (PUC: **Janezich**)

Attachments:[Briefing Papers](#)

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

***** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.