

Direct Testimony and Schedules  
Brian E. Kage

Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission  
State of Minnesota

In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Authority to  
Increase Rates for Natural Gas Service in Minnesota

Docket No. G011/GR-13-617

Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_

**Test Year Revenue Requirements**

September 30, 2013

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction and Qualifications	1
II.	ICE 2016	3
III.	Conclusion	9

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS**

2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

3 A. My name is Brian E. Kage. My business address is Integrys Business Support LLC  
4 (“IBS”), 700 North Adams Street, P.O. Box 19001, Green Bay, WI 54307-9001.  
5

6 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?

7 A. I am the General Manager of Strategy and Business Performance of Integrys Energy  
8 Group, Inc. (“Integrys”). Both IBS and Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation  
9 (“MERC”) are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Integrys.  
10

11 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.

12 A. I graduated from Texas Christian University with a Bachelor of Business Administration  
13 in Finance. I began my career with Integrys in January 2007 as Value Manager in the  
14 Corporate Development area. In April 2008, I assumed my current position as General  
15 Manager of Strategy and Business Performance in the Customer Relations department.  
16 Prior to working for Integrys, I worked for Accenture and Black & Veatch where I  
17 provided services for North American and International utilities in the areas of Customer  
18 Operations & Application Strategy, Merger & Acquisitions Value Capture, and CIS  
19 implementations.  
20

21 Q. FOR WHOM ARE YOU PROVIDING TESTIMONY?

22 A. I am providing testimony on behalf of MERC.  
23

1 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

2 A. The purpose of my pre-filed direct testimony is to describe the Integrys Customer  
3 Experience ICE 2016 (“ICE 2016”) project, as well as the Intangible Benefits of the ICE  
4 2016 project to MERC and the other five Integrys regulated utilities.

5

6 Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR  
7 TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

8 A. Yes, I am. I am sponsoring Exhibit\_\_\_\_\_(BEK-1), consisting of 3 pages.

9

10 Q. WAS THIS EXHIBIT PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR DIRECTION AND  
11 SUPERVISION?

12 A. Yes, it was.

13

14 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A HIGH LEVEL EXPLANATION OF YOUR EXHIBIT.

15 A. Yes. Exhibit\_\_\_\_ (BEK-1) summarizes the various cost and savings inputs to the  
16 economic analysis used to evaluate the various options considered for the ICE 2016  
17 project. These values were used in the economic analysis described in the pre-filed direct  
18 testimony of Mr. Michael E. Gerth.

19

20

1 **II. ICE 2016**

2  
3 Q. WHAT IS THE ICE 2016 PROJECT?

4 A. The ICE 2016 Project intends to unify the various billing systems currently in use across  
5 the Integrys platform. The Integrys family of six regulated utilities currently operate with  
6 three distinct billing systems:

7 1. The “Open-C” system for Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (“WPSC”)  
8 and Upper Peninsula Power Company (“UPPCO”),

9  
10 2. The “Vertex” system for MERC and Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation  
11 (“MGUC”) , and

12  
13 3. The “C-First” system for The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company (“PGL”)  
14 and North Shore Gas Company (“NSG”).

15  
16 The ICE 2016 Project will result in a single billing system for all six Integrys regulated  
17 utilities.

18  
19 Q. OTHER THAN PROVIDING A SINGLE BILLING SYSTEM FOR ALL SIX  
20 INTEGGRYS REGULATED UTILITIES, WHAT OTHER FEATURES AND BENEFITS  
21 RESULT FROM THE ICE 2016 PROJECT?

22 A. The ICE 2016 Project will provide significant tangible and intangible benefits to MERC  
23 and the other Integrys regulated utilities. Intangible benefits include improved efficiency

1 and productivity as a result of converting from the current MERC Customer Information  
2 System (“CIS”) technology platform (Vertex) onto the Open-C technology platform.

3  
4 One of the most important benefits of the ICE 2016 Project is that it will provide overall  
5 standardization of internal delivery processes and system technology platforms which  
6 will improve customer satisfaction, increase productivity, and increase efficiency by  
7 lowering overall operating costs.

8  
9 Next, the ICE 2016 Project will improve and enhance the features of our Billing,  
10 Collections, Call Center, and Self-Service related offerings by ensuring that these  
11 functions are staffed appropriately to continue to leverage the opportunities of a large  
12 corporation, while maintaining the high level of service of a local utility.

13  
14 Further, the ICE 2016 Project will provide a standardized process architecture and  
15 technology platform that will enable the Integrys regulated utilities to achieve and sustain  
16 first quartile performance in cost management (cost per customer), customer satisfaction,  
17 and service quality for the Billing, Collections, Call Center, and Self Service functions.

18 Specifically, the benefits of this project include improved customer experience through  
19 implementation of several improvements to our Interactive Voice Response (“IVR”) and  
20 web self-service channels that will increase our customer’s use of these channels, and  
21 reduce the number of inbound calls to our call centers. These improvements include:

- 22 • The automation of customer turn-offs,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

- The ability to schedule service appointments,
- Improved use of bill analyzer tools,
- Providing customers with web access to their bill image,
- Several usability type improvements, and
- Consolidating all utilities onto a single web, telephone and IVR platform.

Several improvements that will increase our first call resolution and customer satisfaction include:

- An improved call center agent on-line encyclopedia,
- Deployment of a First Call Resolution analytical tool,
- Improved call center Q&A and agent monitoring, and
- An improved complaint identification and resolution process.

Other functions that ICE 2016 will provide include:

- Deployment of a Credit Model which improves collections performance through implementation of a customer behavioral/risk score that will help to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of our collection actions,
- Improved collection schedules that will work in conjunction with the customer behavioral/risk score to further ensure increased effectiveness of our collection actions,

1 • Improved enrollment processes for new customers that will secure  
2 deposits for high risk customers, and implement additional steps to  
3 verify customer identity, thereby reducing the number of fraudulent  
4 applications,  
5

6 • The reporting of customer payment behavior, both positive and  
7 negative, to the Credit Bureaus, and  
8

9 • Improved processes for locating and contacting customers who have  
10 finalized their account.  
11

12 Finally, ICE 2016 will provide improved Billing and Payment related performance by  
13 continuing to implement our strategy for:

14 • Increased e-Bill adoption,  
15

16 • Making improvements in the Bill Estimation routine,  
17

18 • Improving our bill printing, document imaging, and document storage  
19 capabilities,  
20

21 • Providing real-time electronic payment information to our Call Center  
22 and Self Service channels to improve the customer reconnection for  
23 nonpayment process, and  
24

25 • Automating the Non-Sufficient Funds check process with our banks.  
26

27 Q. WHAT OPTIONS WERE CONSIDERED FOR THE ICE 2016 PROJECT?

28 A. Option 1 assumed Integrys would consolidate from the current three CIS platforms and  
29 associated business operating models to one enhanced Open-C platform that will support  
30 standardized business processes for all six regulated utilities by 2016. Open-C is the CIS

1 currently used by Integrys affiliates WPS Corp and UPPCO. This is known as the “3 to 1  
2 Option.”

3  
4 Option 2 assumed Integrys would consolidate from three to two CIS platforms: Open-C  
5 for all Integrys utilities except PGL and NSG, which would remain on their currently  
6 existing CIS known as C-First. Option 2 was assumed to be completed by 2015. This is  
7 known as the “3 to 2 Option.”

8  
9 Option 3 assumed Integrys would first consolidate from three to two CIS platforms (same  
10 as Option 2) by 2015, and then move to one CIS platform (Open-C) by 2018. This is  
11 known as the “3 to 2 to 1 Option.”

12  
13 Q. HOW WERE THE VARIOUS COSTS USED IN THE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  
14 DERIVED?

15 A. For the 3 to 1 Option, the various costs were developed during a Business Requirements  
16 Design phase which designed all Customer Operations related processes and the  
17 requirements necessary to implement those processes. Those requirements were then  
18 analyzed to determine the technology changes necessary to implement those processes  
19 across all six utilities. In addition, the necessary change management impacts were  
20 analyzed and estimated.

21  
22 For the 3 to 2 Option, the various costs were developed by limiting the scope to  
23 converting MERC and MGUC to the same platform as WPS Corp and UPPCO (i.e.,

1 Open-C), while PGL and NSG would remain on their existing platform (i.e., C-First).

2 Limited changes to the processes in Open-C would be made to accommodate MERC and  
3 MGUC.

4  
5 For the 3 to 2 to 1 Option, the costs for the 3 to 1 option were analyzed to determine the  
6 impact of an elongated schedule and two distinct implementations.

7  
8 Q. HOW WERE THE COST SAVINGS FROM THE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS  
9 DERIVED?

10 A. The technology and operational costs for our current state customer operations were  
11 modeled over a 15 year period from 2012-2026. For each of the three different options  
12 analyzed, the reductions in O&M and Capital expenditures was determined and applied  
13 in the appropriate year. For on-going savings, they were inflated by 2.7% from the year  
14 identified to 2026.

15  
16 The various costs and savings for each option are summarized on Exhibit\_\_\_\_(BEK-1).

17  
18 MERC's O&M costs associated with the 2014 projected test year are included in  
19 Exhibit\_\_\_\_(SSD-2), which are sponsored by Mr. Seth DeMerritt.

**IX. CONCLUSION**

1

2 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY ON THE ICE 2016  
3 PROJECT?

4 A. Yes, it does.



**Integrus Energy Group, Inc.****ICE 2016 Project****Inputs Into Summary of Calculations of Net Present Value of Revenue Requirement ("NPVRR")*****Option 1- Conversion from 3 Customer Information Systems to 1 by 2016*****Cost To Achieve - Capital**

Hardware	\$	3,201,000
Software		5,285,000
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		5,208,000
Internal Labor		16,405,000
External Labor		34,237,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>64,336,000</b>

**Cost To Achieve - O&M**

Hardware	\$	-
Software		883,000
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		870,000
Internal Labor		4,255,000
External Labor		6,392,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>12,400,000</b>

**Undiscounted Estimated Savings - Capital**

Hardware	\$	(16,709,000)
Software		(255,000)
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		(227,000)
Internal Labor		(3,064,000)
External Labor		(4,595,000)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>(24,850,000)</b>

**Undiscounted Estimated Savings - O&M**

Hardware	\$	-
Software		(9,459,000)
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		(124,045,000)
Internal Labor		(60,238,000)
External Labor		(1,149,000)
Cost of Capital Reduction		(5,675,000)
Reduction in Bad Debt Expense		(3,784,000)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>(204,350,000)</b>

**Integrus Energy Group, Inc.**

**ICE 2016 Project**

**Inputs Into Summary of Calculations of Net Present Value of Revenue Requirement ("NPVRR")**

***Option 2- Conversion from 3 Customer Information Systems to 2 by 2015***

**Cost To Achieve - Capital**

Hardware	\$	841,000
Software		1,388,000
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		1,368,000
Internal Labor		4,246,000
External Labor		8,964,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>16,807,000</u></b>

**Cost To Achieve - O&M**

Hardware	\$	-
Software		232,000
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		229,000
Internal Labor		1,072,000
External Labor		1,660,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>3,193,000</u></b>

**Undiscounted Estimated Savings - Capital**

Hardware	\$	-
Software		-
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		-
Internal Labor		-
External Labor		-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>-</u></b>

**Undiscounted Estimated Savings - O&M**

Hardware	\$	-
Software		-
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		(36,309,000)
Internal Labor		-
External Labor		-
Cost of Capital Reduction		-
Reduction in Bad Debt Expense		-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>(36,309,000)</u></b>

**Integrus Energy Group, Inc.**  
**ICE 2016 Project**  
**Inputs Into Summary of Calculations of Net Present Value of Revenue Requirement ("NPVRR")**

***Option 3- Conversion from 3 Customer Information Systems to 2 by 2015 and to 1 by 2018***

**Cost To Achieve - Capital**

Hardware	\$	3,613,000
Software		5,966,000
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		5,880,000
Internal Labor		18,465,000
External Labor		38,625,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>72,549,000</u></b>

**Cost To Achieve - O&M**

Hardware	\$	-
Software		997,000
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		983,000
Internal Labor		4,769,000
External Labor		7,202,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>13,951,000</u></b>

**Undiscounted Estimated Savings - Capital**

Hardware	\$	(16,709,000)
Software		(255,000)
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		(227,000)
Internal Labor		(3,064,000)
External Labor		(4,595,000)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>(24,850,000)</u></b>

**Undiscounted Estimated Savings - O&M**

Hardware	\$	-
Software		(7,527,000)
Miscellaneous Inv. & Exp		(108,899,000)
Internal Labor		(48,090,000)
External Labor		(1,149,000)
Cost of Capital Reduction		(4,516,000)
Reduction in Bad Debt Expense		(3,011,000)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b><u>(173,192,000)</u></b>