

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

Suite 200 1995 Rahncliff Court Eagan, MN 55122

www.minnesotaenergyresources.com

May 1, 2017

Mr. Daniel P. Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Re: In the Matter of the Petition Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC) for Approval of the 2016 Conservation Improvement Program Tracker Account, Demand-Side Management Financial Incentive, and Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment Factor Docket No. G011/M-17-

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Enclosed please find the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation ("MERC") for Approval of its 2016 Conservation Improvement Program ("CIP") Tracker Accounts, Demand-Side Management ("DSM") Financial Incentive, and Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment ("CCRA").

The Minnesota Public Utilities Commission's ("Commission") October 28, 2014, Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order in Docket No. G011/GR-13-617 at Order Point 13 also required that MERC include, in future CIP tracker-account filings, annual compliance filings documenting that its CIP-exempt customers have been properly identified and are being properly billed. MERC has included an update regarding CIP billing compliance in the attached report.

Copies of this filing have been served on the Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources and the Office of the Attorney General – Residential Utilities and Antitrust Division. A summary of this filing has been served on all parties on the attached service list.

Please contact me at (651) 322-8965 if you have any questions.

Sincerely yours,

Amber S. Lee

Regulatory and Legislative Affairs Manager Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

cc: Service List Enclosure

STATE OF MINNESOTA BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Nancy Lange Chair
Dan Lipschultz Commissioner
Matt Schuerger Commissioner
Katie Sieben Commissioner
John Tuma Commissioner

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of the 2016 Conservation Improvement Program Tracker Account, Demand-Side Management Financial Incentive, and Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment Factor Docket No. G011/M-17-___

PETITION

INTRODUCTION

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation ("MERC or the "Company") submits this Petition pursuant to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission's ("Commission") ORDER ESTABLISHING UTILITY PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES FOR ENERGY CONSERVATION in Docket No. E,G999/CI-08-133. In this filing, MERC seeks approval of its Conservation Improvement Program ("CIP") tracker account balance and a Demand-Side Management ("DSM") financial incentive for the period January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. MERC is also seeking Commission approval of a proposed modified Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment ("CCRA"). MERC filed is CIP Status Report covering the same period in Docket No. G011/CIP-12-548.

I. <u>Summary of Filing</u>

A one-paragraph summary of the filing accompanies this Petition pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 1.

II. Service on Other Parties

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 2, MERC has served a copy of this petition on the Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources and the Office of the Attorney General – Residential Utilities and Antitrust Division. A summary of this filing has been served on all parties on the attached service list.

III. General Filing Information

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7825.3200, 7825.3500, and 7829.1300, MERC provides the following information:

A. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of Filing Party

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation 1995 Rahncliff Court, Suite 200 Eagan, MN 55122 (651) 322-8901

B. Name, Address, Electronic Address, and Telephone Number of Attorney for the Filing Party

Kristin M. Stastny Briggs and Morgan, P.A. 2200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 kstastny@briggs.com (612) 977-8656

C. Date of Filing and Proposed Effective Date

MERC is submitting this filing on May 1, 2017. MERC proposes that the new CCRC factor be effective January 1, 2018.

D. Statute Controlling Schedule for Processing the Filing

Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 1 allows a utility to place a rate change into effect upon 60-days' notice to the Commission, unless the Commission otherwise orders.

Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 6b-6c further allows public utilities to file rate schedules

providing for annual recovery of actual conservation costs and approved incentives.

Under Minn. R. 7829.0100, subp. 11, this Petition constitutes a miscellaneous filing because no determination of the Company's general revenue requirement is necessary.

Minn. R. 7829.1400, subp. 1, permits initial comments on miscellaneous filings to be made within 30 days of filing with reply comments 10 days thereafter.

E. Signature, Electronic Address, and Title of Utility Employee Responsible for the Filing

Amber S. Lee

Regulatory and Legislative Affairs Manager ASLee@minnesotaenergyresources.com 1995 Rahncliff Court, Suite 200 Eagan, MN 55122 (651) 322-8965

IV. <u>Description and Purpose of Filing</u>

A. Background

In this Petition, MERC seeks the Commission's approval of its CIP tracker account balances as of December 31, 2016. Additionally MERC seeks Commission approval of a DSM financial incentive for 2016 in the amount of \$0.01024. MERC also seeks Commission approval of a CCRA of \$0.01024 per therm, with a proposed effective date of January 1, 2018.

B. 2016 CIP Tracker Account

On May 2, 2016, MERC submitted a petition for approval of its 2015 CIP tracker account activity, DSM financial incentive, and revised CCRA in Docket No. G011/M-16-385. Specifically, MERC requested that the Commission approve the Company's 2015 DSM financial incentive of \$3,392,001; approve MERC's 2015 CIP tracker activity; and

approve a revised CCRA of \$0.00750 per therm to be effective January 1, 2017. The Commission approved MERC's 2015 CIP Tracker activity and DSM incentive by Order dated August 30, 2016.

The table below provides a summary of activities in the MERC CIP tracker account in 2016.

MERC-CIP Tracker 2016 Activity

Ending Balance – December 31, 2016	\$ (158,237.55)
Change in CCRA Rate	\$ 87,518.07
Adjustments	
CIP Recoveries – January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016	\$ (14,059,910.48)
DSM Financial Incentive	\$ 3,392,001.00
Carrying Charges – January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016	\$ (45,725.51)
CIP Expenses – January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016	\$ 9,198,728.06
Beginning Balance – January 1, 2016	\$ 1,269,151.31

The adjustment of \$87,518.07 relates to the difference between what was manually calculated using the approved CCRA rate for January 2016 and what was actually billed to customers. Attachment A includes MERC's 2016 CIP tracker account activity.

C. Proposed DSM Financial Incentive

1. Calculation of DSM Financial Incentive

MERC seeks Commission approval of a DSM financial incentive of \$3,245,000 for 2016 based on energy savings of 472,000 dekatherms (Dth). Supporting documentation is provided in Attachment B.

MERC has excluded NGEA assessments in the amount of \$185,133 from the calculation of net benefits as provided by the Commission's January 27, 2010, ORDER

ESTABLISHING UTILITY PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES FOR ENERGY CONSERVATION in Docket No. E,G999/CI-08-133.

2. Statutory Criteria

In Docket No. E,G999/CI-08-133, the Commission adopted a new Shared Savings Model to be used to calculate utility financial incentives for energy conservation starting with the calendar year 2010. On December 20, 2012, the Commission issued an Order Adopting Modifications to Shared Savings Demand Side Management Financial Incentive in Docket No. E,G999/CI-08-133, whereby the Commission adopted modifications to the shared savings incentive model. Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 6c(b) sets forth four statutory criteria with respect to approval by the Commission of utility financial incentive plans for energy conservation improvements. MERC's requested DSM financial incentive is consistent with the statutory criteria outlined below.

Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 6c(b) states that in approving incentive plans, the Commission shall consider:

- (1) whether the plan is likely to increase utility investment in costeffective energy conservation;
- (2) whether the plan is compatible with the interest of utility ratepayers and other interested parties;
- (3) whether the plan links the incentive to the utility's performance in achieving cost-effective conservation; and
- (4) whether the plan is in conflict with other provisions of Chapter 216B.

The four criteria are discussed below.

(1) Whether the plan is likely to increase utility investment in cost-effective energy conservation.

The Shared Savings Model emphasizes the 1.5 percent energy savings goal and ties the incentives earned by the Company to that goal. Under the model, the Company's incentive is calibrated so that when MERC achieves energy savings equal to 1.5 percent of retail sales, the Company will earn an incentive equal to \$6.875 the Mcf saved. Additionally, the closer the energy savings are to reaching the 1.5 percent energy savings goal, the greater the incremental incentive.

MERC's incentive is designed to increase the Company's investment in costeffective energy conservation and consequently results in increased energy and demand savings. The increasing incentives under the plan encourage MERC to seek energy savings, through completed customer conservation measures, at and beyond the 1.5 percent energy savings goal.

(2) Whether the plan is compatible with the interest of utility ratepayers and other interested parties.

MERC's plan is compatible with the interest of utility ratepayers and other interested parties. The incentive is designed to tie the financial incentive to the utility's progress towards meeting the 1.5 percent energy savings goal. Additionally, the incentive will not exceed the net benefits created through the savings, and therefore ratepayers receive the majority of the benefits achieved under the Company's CIP program. Specifically, the Company's incentive plan caps the incentive awarded at 20 percent of net benefits. Further, the plan caps the incentive awarded per unit of energy saved at 125 percent of MERC's 1.0 percent target calibration (\$6.875) per Mcf.

(3) Whether the plan links the incentive to the utility's performance in achieving costeffective conservation. MERC's incentive plan links the incentive to the Company's progress toward the 1.5 percent energy savings goal, but the incentive awarded will not exceed the net benefits created through savings. The incentive therefore encourages the utility to achieve cost-effective conservation.

(4) Whether the plan is in conflict with other provisions of Chapter 216B.

MERC's incentive plan does not conflict with other provisions of Chapter 216B, as the Commission concluded in its January 27, 2010, ORDER ESTABLISHING UTILITY PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES FOR ENERGY CONSERVATION and December 20, 2012, ORDER ADOPTING MODIFICATIONS TO SHARED SAVINGS DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT FINANCIAL INCENTIVE in Docket No. E,G999/CI-08-133.

D. Proposed CCRA

In the Company's 2008 rate case proceeding, the Commission approved a CCRA for the Company with an initial rate of \$0.0000 per therm and required the Company to file adjustment reports by May 1 of each calendar year. The current CCRA factor of \$0.00750 was approved by the Commission by Order dated August 30, 2016, in Docket No. G011/M-16-385 and was effective January 1, 2017.

MERC's calculation of its new proposed CCRA is based on a January 1, 2018, effective date. The MERC tracker balance as of January 1, 2017, is \$(158,237.55). The estimated MERC CIP tracker balance as of January 1, 2018, is \$(3,461,377.07). Calculation of the proposed consolidated CCRA factor of \$0.01024 per therm is shown in Attachment C.

Included as Attachment D are proposed redline changes to MERC's Tariff Sheet No. 7.02a, incorporating the proposed modified CCRA rate. The Company proposes to

implement the bill message below, effective the first month the new CCRA factor takes effect, notifying customers of the change in their monthly bills:

Effective January 1, 2018, a CCRA (conservation cost recovery adjustment) of \$0.01024 per therm has been included on your bill. The CCRA is an annual adjustment to true-up under-recovery or over-recovery of CIP (conservation improvement program) expenses.

E. Effect of Change on MERC Revenue

This Petition has no effect on MERC revenue. The CCRA is forecasted to recover the difference between the CIP expenses actually recovered through the CCRC and the CIP tracker account balance as of January 2017 over a one-year period.

F. CIP-Exempt Customer Billing Review

In its October 28, 2014, Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order in Docket No. G011/GR-13-617, the Commission ordered that MERC make annual compliance filings with future CIP tracker filings documenting that its CIP-exempt customers have been properly identified and are being properly billed.

Since the imposition of this requirement, MERC has continued to conduct monthly reviews of a sample of customer bills, across all bill classes, to ensure proper billing of CIP charges. MERC has also committed to review all CIP-exempt rate codes on a quarterly basis to ensure customers who are treated as CIP-exempt have received an exemption. Based on MERC's continued review, all customers on CIP-exempt rate codes have a valid exemption on file and no additional billing issues have been identified.

CONCLUSION

MERC respectfully requests that the Commission approve its CIP tracker account balances for 2016 with an ending balance of \$(158,237.55). Additionally,

MERC requests that the Commission approve a consolidated 2016 DSM financial incentive of \$3,245,000 Finally, MERC requests approval of a revised CCRA factor of \$0.01024 per therm effective January 1, 2018.

DATED: May 1, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.

By: /s/ Kristin M. Stastny
Kristin M. Stastny
2200 IDS Center
90 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 977-8656
kstastny@briggs.com

Attorney for Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

ATTACHMENT A

Minnesota Energy Resources CIP Tracker Balance Calculation As of 12/31/2016 - FINAL

	DV F di													
	PY Ending Balance	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	CY Total
Beginning Balance 1. (excl. carry cost through July 2015) Acquired IPL tracker balance		1,269,151.31	(541,536.53)	(2,393,993.30)	(3,648,817.58)	(4,272,632.08)	(4,913,990.33)	(4,454,917.30)	(4,570,025.56)	(945,706.06)	(275,622.51)	(460,407.75)	(346,808.46)	1,269,151.31
2. Expenses		380,833.88	545,222.55	726,199.10	710,001.08	444,530.60	1,103,317.34	194,958.12	696,513.66	1,105,221.05	397,556.69	1,111,347.01	1,783,026.98	9,198,728.06
Recoveries One-Time Adjustment*		(2,278,122.09) 87,518.07	(2,393,622.40)	(1,974,840.01)	(1,326,575.08)	(1,077,561.49)	(636,694.90)	(302,321.91)	(462,592.55)	(434,670.42)	(581,561.71)	(997,160.01)	(1,594,187.92)	(14,059,910.48) 87,518.07
4. Incentives									3,392,001.00					3,392,001.00
Subtotal Balance 5. Line 1+2-3+4)		(540,618.83)	(2,389,936.38)	(3,642,634.20)	(4,265,391.57)	(4,905,662.97)	(4,447,367.89)	(4,562,281.09)	(944,103.45)	(275,155.44)	(459,627.53)	(346,220.75)	(157,969.39)	(112,512.04)
Monthly Carry Cost ** 6. (Line 5 x .00169750)		(917.70)	(4,056.92)	(6,183.37)	(7,240.50)	(8,327.36)	(7,549.41)	(7,744.47)	(1,602.62)	(467.08)	(780.22)	(587.71)	(268.15)	(45,725.51) -
Ending Balance ₇ (Line 5+6)	1,269,151.31	(541,536.53)	(2,393,993.30)	(3,648,817.58)	(4,272,632.08)	(4,913,990.33)	(4,454,917.30)	(4,570,025.56)	(945,706.06)	(275,622.51)	(460,407.75)	(346,808.46)	(158,237.55)	(158,237.55)

^{*}Calculation reflects change in CCRA rate; however, actual billings do not. Therefore, calculated amount was adjusted.

2.0370% annual rate 12 months 0.00169750 monthly rate

Effective in August 2015, carrying charges are based on the total net tracker balance inclusive of carrying charges

^{**} Carry Cost charge set at 2.037% based on 2016 Rate Case verbal approval:

Minnesota Energy Resources CCRC Recovery by Class (in therms) As of 12/31/2016 - FINAL

CCRC:	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	YTD
Gas Residential	29,271,452	34,766,458	23,958,200	18,449,572	9,251,336.30	5,570,550.00	2,609,838	2,897,572	3,012,265	4,308,822	9,442,677	20,509,907	164,048,649
Gas Small C&I	1,295,444	1,465,457	1,795,895	59,282	685,970.90	(35,574.00)	647,577	(227,024)	(196,760)	148,873	907,701	449,168	6,996,010
Gas Large C&I	15,476,765	17,793,026	15,068,532	8,115,130	7,879,822.40	4,089,800.70	(898,696)	2,429,786	2,029,882	3,218,706	6,929,270	10,485,658	92,617,681
Gas Large C&I Int.	4,450,033	4,093,323	3,813,441	992,433	5,711,040.70	1,932,967.30	316,599	2,135,747	1,114,328	2,288,684	3,700,407	3,986,974	34,535,977
Transport of Gas	12,143,018	7,886,196	9,777,457	8,829,788	6,084,576.00	5,972,653.40	5,648,500	5,500,548	6,008,083	6,047,077	6,474,791	8,461,135	88,833,822
Total Therms	62,636,711	66,004,460	54,413,524	36,446,205	29,612,746	17,530,397	8,323,818	12,736,629	11,967,798	16,012,162	27,454,846	43,892,842	387,032,139
CCRC rate *	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767	0.02767
CCRC Recovery	\$ 1,733,157.80	\$ 1,826,343.41	\$ 1,505,622.22	\$ 1,008,466.49	\$ 819,384.69	\$ 485,066.10	\$ 230,320.04	\$ 352,422.52	\$ 331,148.97	\$ 443,056.51	\$ 759,675.59	\$ 1,214,514.94	\$ 10,709,179.29

^{*} CCRC Final rate effective Jan 1, 2016

Minnesota Energy Resources CCRA Recovery by Class (in therms) As of 12/31/2016 - FINAL

CCRA:	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	YTD
Gas Residential	29,272,399	34,766,578	23,957,469	18,446,019	9,250,937.10	5,570,370	2,609,936	2,897,362	3,012,265	4,308,822	9,442,677	20,509,895	164,044,730
Gas Small C&I	1,309,406	1,465,457	1,795,895	59,259	685,970.90	(35,574)	647,576	(227,024)	(196,760)	148,873	907,701	449,168	7,009,947
Gas Large C&I	15,586,430	17,793,026	15,068,502	8,115,129	7,879,822.40	4,088,925	(898,696)	2,429,786	2,029,882	3,218,706	6,929,270	10,485,658	92,726,441
Gas Large C&I Int.	4,464,872	4,093,323	3,813,441	992,433	5,711,040.70	1,932,967	316,599	2,135,747	1,114,328	2,288,684	3,700,407	3,986,974	34,550,815
Transport of Gas	12,368,545	7,463,002	9,609,524	9,162,719	6,319,258	5,972,653	5,648,500	5,500,548	6,008,083	6,047,077	6,474,791	8,461,135	89,035,834
Total Therms	63,001,652	65,581,386	54,244,831	36,775,559	29,847,029	17,529,342	8,323,915	12,736,419	11,967,798	16,012,162	27,454,846	43,892,830	387,367,768
CCRA rate *	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865	0.00865
CCRA Recovery	\$ 544,964.29	\$ 567,278.99 \$	469,217.79 \$	318,108.59	\$ 258,176.80	\$ 151,628.80	\$ 72,001.86	\$ 110,170.02	\$ 103,521.45	\$ 138,505.20	\$ 237,484.42	\$ 379,672.98	\$ 3,350,731.19

CCRA = Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment

ATTACHMENT B

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	F
1	3.1	ь	0	D	<u> </u>	' '	O	
2	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)				ST FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis			
3					linnesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006			
4			rgy Resources	3				
5	Project: TO	TAL CIP - 20	016					
7	Innut Data			R		2046 Actual		
8	Input Data			_	-	2016 Actual		
9	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =		\$16.06		16) Utility Project Costs			
10	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$5,387,527		
11					16b) Incentive Costs =	\$3,626,067		
12	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =		\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$9,013,595		
13	Escalation Rate =		2.16%)	,			
14	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =				17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$394		
15					, , ,			
16	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =		\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0		
17	Escalation Rate =		4.28%)	Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
18 19	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =		\$118.53		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0		
20	Escalation Rate =		4.28%	1	Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
21			1.20/0	•		0.0070		
22	5) Peak Reduction Factor =		1.00%)	20) Project Life (Years) =	13.9		
23								
24	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =		\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	17.09		
25	Escalation Rate =		4.28%)	00) A N O F III '' /D I O I	0.00		
26 27	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =		¢ስ በስ		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved = 22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00 0.00		
21 28	Escalation Rate =		\$0.00 2.16%		22a) Avy Additional Ivon-Gas Fuel Utilis/ Fail. Used =	0.00		
29	Localulon Nato -		2.10/0	•	23) Number of Participants =	27,614		
30	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor		0.00%)	-,	2.,0.7		
31	,				24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	472,000		
32	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =		\$0.3500					
33	Escalation Rate =		1.73%)	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$131		
34	40) 11 0 5 15 1 110 5 1		* 0.00					
35	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Facto	r=	\$0.00					
36 37	Escalation Rate =		0.00%)				
38	11) Participant Discount Rate =		2.67%)				
39	,							
40	12) Utility Discount Rate =		7.98%)				
41								
42	13) Societal Discount Rate =		2.67%)				
43								
44	14) General Input Data Year =		2012	2				
45 46	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =		2016					
46 47	15a) Project Analysis Year 1 = 15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =		2016 2014					
48	15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =		2014					
49	,							
50								
51						•		
52	Cost Summary 201	14			Test Results	NPV	B/C	
53	Hilliby Cook now Doubleing	#200.44			Determinant Manager T	/A7E 007 740\	0.00	
54 55	Utility Cost per Participant = Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$326.41			Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$75,087,743)	0.32	
56	Oost per Farticipant per Dtil =	\$42.15			Utility Cost Test	\$25,948,259	3.88	
57	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	6,608,005			Curry Cost 16st	Ψ ∠ ∪, ϋ+ υ, ∠ ∪ Ͽ	5.00	
58		3,000,000			Societal Test	\$34,206,355	3.10	
59	Societal Cost per Dth	\$2.46						
60					Participant Test	\$131,866,494	13.12	

		Single-year Weather-	Savings as percent of
Year	Energy Savings Achieved	Normalized sales	same-year sales
2007	141,655	55,152,126	0.26%
2008	64,517	50,820,785	0.13%
2009	133,570	39,643,778	0.34%
2010	445,836	44,741,987	0.9965%
2011	457,748	45,142,079	1.0140%

3-year Weather-Normalized Sales Average: 1.0% of Sales:

43,175,948 From Table 1, 2015-2016 MERC CIP Extension Correction and Modificiation -- DOC DER Decision

431,759 From Table 1, 2015-2016 MERC CIP Extension Correction and Modificiation

For CIP Budget, Energy Goal, and Estimated Benefits, include only those modifications that were required by Order or which the utility notified the OES that it planned to include in the incentive calculation upon approval. Include a summary of the modifications below.

Approved CIP Budget: Approved CIP Energy Goal:

\$11,280,537 From Table 6, Commissioner's 10/12/15 Decision approving Program Plan Extension 460,537 From Table 6, Commissioner's 10/12/15 Decision approving Program Plan Extension

Estimated Net Benefits at Approved Goal:

\$22,865,068 From Compliance Filing bencost

Inputs:

Average Sales: 43,175,948 1.0% Energy Savings: 431,759 Historic Average Savings: **0.53%** (Average of 3 years of historic with min and max taken out) Earning Threshold: 0.20% plus one unit of energy Earning Threshold in Energy Savings: 86,353 Award zero point: 0.10% Award zero point in Energy Savings: 43,176 Steps from zero point to 1.5% 14 43,176 Size of steps in Energy Savings:

Incentive Calibration:

Average Incentive per unit at 1.5%:	\$9.00	Set by Commission i	n approval of incentive mechanism & calibration
Cap Level:	125%	of Calibration Point	
Incentive Cap:	\$6.875	per MCF	
Energy savings at 1.5%:	647,639		•
Targeted incentive at 1.5%:	\$5,828,753		
Multiplier:	1.29481%	Percent of Net Bene	efits received for every 0.1% of sales above zero point

Estimated Incentive Levels:

					Average
		Percent of Benefits	Estimated Net		Incentive per
Achievement Level (% of sales)	Energy Saved	Awarded	Benefits	Financial Incentive	unit Saved
0.0%	0	0.00000%	\$0	\$0	0.000
0.1%	43,176	0.00000%	\$2,143,630	\$0	0.000
0.2%	86,352	0.00000%	\$4,287,260	\$0	0.000
0.3%	129,528	2.58962%	\$6,430,890	\$166,536	1.286
0.4%	172,704	3.88443%	\$8,574,521	\$333,072	1.929
0.5%	215,880	5.17925%	\$10,718,151	\$555,119	2.571
0.6%	259,056	6.47406%	\$12,861,781	\$832,679	3.214
0.7%	302,232	7.76887%	\$15,005,411	\$1,165,751	3.857
0.8%	345,408	9.06368%	\$17,149,041	\$1,554,334	4.500
0.9%	388,584	10.35849%	\$19,292,671	\$1,998,430	5.143
1.0%	431,759	11.65330%	\$21,436,301	\$2,498,037	5.786
1.1%	474,935	12.94811%	\$23,579,931	\$3,053,156	6.429
1.2%	518,111	14.24293%	\$25,723,562	\$3,562,016	6.875
1.3%	561,287	15.53774%	\$27,867,192	\$3,858,850	6.875
1.4%	604,463	16.83255%	\$30,010,822	\$4,155,685	6.875
1.5%	647,639	18.12736%	\$32,154,452	\$4,452,520	6.875
1.6%	690,815	19.42217%	\$34,298,082	\$4,749,354	6.875
1.7%	733,991	20.00000%	\$36,441,712	\$5,046,189	6.875
1.8%	777,167	20.00000%	\$38,585,342	\$5,343,024	6.875
1.9%	820,343	20.00000%	\$40,728,972	\$5,639,858	6.875
2.0%	863,519	20.00000%	\$42,872,603	\$5,936,693	6.875
2.1%	906,695	20.00000%	\$45,016,233	\$6,233,527	6.875
Energy Savings Achievement	472,000	12.86008%	\$25,948,259	\$3,245,000	6.875

Actual CIP Results

Spending: \$9,198,728 From Table B-2, MERC Status Report
Energy Saved: 472,000 From Table B-3, MERC Status Report
Net Benefits Achieved: \$25,948,259 2016 Bencost Model

Resulting Incentive:	
Steps above Zero Point:	9.93201
Percent of Net Benefits Awarded:	12.86008%
Financial Incentive Award:	\$3,245,000
Incentive per MCF	\$6.8750
Net Benefit after Incentive	\$22,703,259

ATTACHMENT C

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation Docket No. G011/M-17-___ Attachment C

MERC CCRA Calculation To Be Effective January 1, 2018

Forecasted beginning balance (January 1, 2018)	\$ (3,461,377.07)
Proposed Expenditures (January 2018-December 2018)	\$ 12,233,774.00
Forecasted 2016 Incentive (to be approved in 2017)	\$ 3,245,000.00
Forecasted 2017 Incentive (to be approved in 2018)	\$ 3,147,996.00
Less forecasted CCRC recovery (January 2018-December 2018)	\$ (11,015,934.28)
Projected carrying charges for 2018	\$ (71,761.45)
Forecasted December 2018 Balance	\$ 4,077,697.20
Forecasted gas sales (January 2018-December 2018) Therms	398,118,333
CCRA=\$/therm beginning January 1, 2018	\$ 0.01024

ATTACHMENT D

Clean Tariff Sheet

CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY CHARGE AND ADJUSTMENT

All Classes MERC

\$0.01024

5. Exemption: For those customer accounts granted an exemption by the Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Commerce (or successor agency) from Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) costs pursuant to Minnesota Statutes section 216B.241, the CCRC and CCRA shall not apply. Those customer accounts determined by the Commission to qualify as a Large Energy Facility Customers, shall receive a monthly exemption from conservation program charges pursuant to Minn. Stat.§ 216B.16, subd. 6b Energy Conservation Improvement. Upon exemption from conservation program charges, the Large Energy Facility customers can no longer participate in any utility's energy Conservation Improvement Program.

Under Minn. Stat. 216B.241, any customer account determined by the Commission of the Minnesota Department of Commerce to qualify as a large customer facility shall be exempt from CIP investment and expenditure requirements with respect to retail revenues attributable to the large customer facility. Customer accounts granted exemption by a decision of the Commissioner after the beginning of the calendar year shall be credited for any CIP collections billed after January first of the year following the Commissioner's decision. Upon exemption from the conservation program charges, no exempt customer facility may participate in a utility conservation improvement program unless the owner of the facility submits a filing with the Commissioner to withdraw its exemption.

Under Minn. Stat. 216B.241, any customer account that is not a large customer facility and that purchases or acquires natural gas from a public utility having fewer than 600,000 natural gas customers in Minnesota shall, upon a determination by the Commissioner of the Department of Commerce as qualifying for an opt out of the Conservation Improvement Program, be exempt from CIP investment and expenditure requirements with respect to retail revenues attributable to the commercial gas customers. Customer accounts granted exemption by a decision of the Commissioner after the beginning of the calendar year shall be credited for any CIP collections billed after January first of the year following the Commissioner's decision. Upon exemption from conservation program charges, the customers can no longer participate in any utility's energy Conservation Improvement Program unless the customer submits a filing with the Commissioner to withdraw its exemption.

6. Accounting Requirements: The Company is required to record all costs associated with the conservation program in a CIP Tracker Account. All revenues recovered through the CCRA are booked to the Tracker as an offset to expenses.

Issued By: Theodore Eidukas

Vice President-Regulatory Affairs

Submittal Date: May 1, 2017

*Effective with bills issued on and after this date.

Redline Tariff Sheet

CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY CHARGE AND ADJUSTMENT

All Classes MERC

\$0.010240750*

*Approved effective January 1, 2017

5. Exemption: For those customer accounts granted an exemption by the Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Commerce (or successor agency) from Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) costs pursuant to Minnesota Statutes section 216B.241, the CCRC and CCRA shall not apply. Those customer accounts determined by the Commission to qualify as a Large Energy Facility Customers, shall receive a monthly exemption from conservation program charges pursuant to Minn. Stat.§ 216B.16, subd. 6b Energy Conservation Improvement. Upon exemption from conservation program charges, the Large Energy Facility customers can no longer participate in any utility's energy Conservation Improvement Program.

Under Minn. Stat. 216B.241, any customer account determined by the Commission of the Minnesota Department of Commerce to qualify as a large customer facility shall be exempt from CIP investment and expenditure requirements with respect to retail revenues attributable to the large customer facility. Customer accounts granted exemption by a decision of the Commissioner after the beginning of the calendar year shall be credited for any CIP collections billed after January first of the year following the Commissioner's decision. Upon exemption from the conservation program charges, no exempt customer facility may participate in a utility conservation improvement program unless the owner of the facility submits a filing with the Commissioner to withdraw its exemption.

Under Minn. Stat. 216B.241, any customer account that is not a large customer facility and that purchases or acquires natural gas from a public utility having fewer than 600,000 natural gas customers in Minnesota shall, upon a determination by the Commissioner of the Department of Commerce as qualifying for an opt out of the Conservation Improvement Program, be exempt from CIP investment and expenditure requirements with respect to retail revenues attributable to the commercial gas customers. Customer accounts granted exemption by a decision of the Commissioner after the beginning of the calendar year shall be credited for any CIP collections billed after January first of the year following the Commissioner's decision. Upon exemption from conservation program charges, the customers can no longer participate in any utility's energy Conservation Improvement Program unless the customer submits a filing with the Commissioner to withdraw its exemption.

6. Accounting Requirements: The Company is required to record all costs associated with the conservation program in a CIP Tracker Account. All revenues recovered through the CCRA are booked to the Tracker as an offset to expenses.

Issued By: Theodore Eidukas

Vice President-Regulatory Affairs

Submittal Date: May 1, 2017 March 10, 2017
*Effective with bills issued on and after this date.

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of the 2016 Conservation Improvement Program Tracker Account, Demand-Side Management Financial Incentive, and Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment Factor Docket No. G011/M-17-____

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kristin M. Stastny, hereby certify that on the 1st of May, 2017, on behalf of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation, I electronically filed a true and correct copy of the enclosed compliance filing on www.edockets.state.mn.us. Said documents were also served via U.S. mail and electronic service as designated on the attached service list.

Dated this 1st of May, 2017.

/s/ Kristin M. Stastny
Kristin M. Stastny

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Ahern	ahern.michael@dorsey.co m	Dorsey & Whitney, LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 1500 Minneapolis, MN 554021498	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Seth	DeMerritt	ssdemerritt@integrysgroup.com	MERC (Holding)	700 North Adams P.O. Box 19001 Green Bay, WI 543079001	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
lan	Dobson	Residential.Utilities@ag.sta te.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Daryll	Fuentes	dfuentes@usg.com	USG Corporation	550 W Adams St Chicago, IL 60661	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Amber	Lee	ASLee@minnesotaenergyr esources.com	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation	2665 145th St W Rosemount, MN 55068	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinson.com	Stinson,Leonard, Street LLP	150 S 5th St Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Colleen	Sipiorski	ctsipiorski@integrysgroup.c om	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation	700 North Adams Street Green Bay, WI 54307	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Kristin	Stastny	kstastny@briggs.com	Briggs and Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation_General Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Tom	Balster	tombalster@alliantenergy.c om	Interstate Power & Light Company	PO Box 351 200 1st St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Lisa	Beckner	lbeckner@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
William	Black	bblack@mmua.org	MMUA	Suite 400 3025 Harbor Lane No Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service tth	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	200 S 6th St Ste 4000 Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Charlie	Buck	charlie.buck@oracle.com	Oracle	760 Market St FL 4 San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.	12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha, NE 68103-2047	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Gary	Connett	gconnett@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Blvd N Maple Grove, MN 553694718	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jill	Curran	jcurran@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Waste Wise	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Leigh	Currie	lcurrie@mncenter.org	Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E. Exchange St., Suite 206 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Jeffrey A.	Daugherty	jeffrey.daugherty@centerp ointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	800 LaSalle Ave Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
lan	Dobson	Residential.Utilities@ag.sta te.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Steve	Downer	sdowner@mmua.org	MMUA	3025 Harbor Ln N Ste 400 Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Charles	Drayton	charles.drayton@enbridge.com	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	7701 France Ave S Ste 600 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Jim	Erchul	jerchul@dbnhs.org	Daytons Bluff Neighborhood Housing Sv.	823 E 7th St St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Greg	Ernst	gaernst@q.com	G. A. Ernst & Associates, Inc.	2377 Union Lake Trl Northfield, MN 55057	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Emma	Fazio	emma.fazio@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Melissa S	Feine	melissa.feine@semcac.org	SEMCAC	PO Box 549 204 S Elm St Rushford, MN 55971	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Angela E.	Gordon	angela.e.gordon@Imco.co m	Lockheed Martin	1000 Clark Ave. St. Louis, MO 63102	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Pat	Green	N/A	N Energy Dev	City Hall 401 E 21st St Hibbing, MN 55746	Paper Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Jason	Grenier	jgrenier@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Stephan	Gunn	sgunn@appliedenergygrou p.com	Applied Energy Group	1941 Pike Ln De Pere, WI 54115	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Tony	Hainault	anthony.hainault@co.henn epin.mn.us	Hennepin County DES	701 4th Ave S Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Patty	Hanson	phanson@rpu.org	Rochester Public Utilities	4000 E River Rd NE Rochester, MN 55906	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Norm	Harold	N/A	NKS Consulting	5591 E 180th St Prior Lake, MN 55372	Paper Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Jared	Hendricks	hendricksj@owatonnautiliti es.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	PO Box 800 208 S Walnut Ave Owatonna, MN 55060-2940	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Randy	Hoffman	rhoffman@eastriver.coop	East River Electric Power Coop	121 SE 1st St PO Box 227 Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Karolanne	Hoffman	kmh@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	PO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54602-0817	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Jim	Horan	Jim@MREA.org	Minnesota Rural Electric Association	11640 73rd Ave N Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Anne	Hunt	anne.hunt@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	390 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Boul Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service evard	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Dave	Johnson	dave.johnson@aeoa.org	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	702 3rd Ave S Virginia, MN 55792	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Joel W.	Kanvik	joel.kanvik@enbridge.com	Enbridge Energy LLC	4628 Mike Colalillo Dr Duluth, MN 55807	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Deborah	Knoll	dknoll@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Tina	Koecher	tkoecher@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Kelly	Lady	kellyl@austinutilities.com	Austin Utilities	400 4th St NE Austin, MN 55912	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Erica	Larson	erica.larson@centerpointen ergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Avenue P.O. Box 59038 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55459-0038	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Martin	Lepak	Martin.Lepak@aeoa.org	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity	702 S 3rd Ave Virginia, MN 55792	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Nick	Mark	nick.mark@centerpointener gy.com	CenterPoint Energy	800 LaSalle Ave Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Scot	McClure	scotmcclure@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power And Light Company	4902 N Biltmore Ln PO Box 77007 Madison, WI 537071007	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
John	McWilliams	jmm@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	3200 East Ave SPO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54601-7227	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinson.com	Stinson,Leonard, Street LLP	150 S 5th St Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Gary	Myers	garym@hpuc.com	Hibbing Public Utilities	PO Box 249 Hibbing, MN 55746	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Susan K	Nathan	snathan@appliedenergygro up.com	Applied Energy Group	2215 NE 107th Ter Kansas City, MO 64155-8513	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantene rgy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Matt	Okeefe	Matt.okeefe@oracle.com	Oracle	760 Market St FL 4 San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Audrey	Partridge	audrey.peer@centerpointe nergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Lisa	Pickard	lpickard@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power Cooperative	1822 Mill Rd PO Box 13200 Grand Forks, ND 582083200	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Bill	Poppert	info@technologycos.com	Technology North	2433 Highwood Ave St. Paul, MN 55119	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Dave	Reinke	dreinke@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024-9583	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Christopher	Schoenherr	cp.schoenherr@smmpa.or g	SMMPA	500 First Ave SW Rochester, MN 55902-3303	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Cindy	Schweitzer Rott	cindy.schweitzer@clearesu lt.com	CLEAResult's	S12637A Merrilee Rd. Spring Green, WI 53588	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Anna	Sherman	anna.sherman@centerpoin tenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall PO Box 59038 Minneapolis, MN 55459	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Anna	Sommer	anna@sommerenergy.com	Sommer Energy LLC	PO Box 766 Grand Canyon, AZ 86023	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Richard	Szydlowski	rszydlowski@mncee.org	Center for Energy & Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401-1459	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Steve	Tomac	stomac@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 E Interstate Ave Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Sharon N.	Walsh	swalsh@shakopeeutilities.c om	Shakopee Public Utilties	255 Sarazin St Shakopee, MN 55379	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Robyn	Woeste	robynwoeste@alliantenerg y.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 First St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 52401	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)
BENCOST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Global Inputs

Minnesota Energy Resources

Input Data	Es	calation Rate
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$16.06 Residential \$15.82 Commercial	4.28%
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) = Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	\$0.00	2.16%
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.34	4.28%
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	\$118.53	4.28%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.03	4.28%
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00	2.16%
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	0.00%	
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.3500	1.73%
10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.00	0.00%
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.67% Residential7.98% Commercial	
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.98%	
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.67%	
14) General Input Data Year =	2012	
15) Project Analysis Year =	2016	

	A	В	С	D	T E	F	G	Н
1	3.	1				,	<u> </u>	
	vement Program (CI	IP)			ST FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis			
3 4	Company	: Minnesota En	ergy Resources		Minnesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006			
5		t: TOTAL CIP - 2						
6 7 Input Data				R		2014 Astrol		
7 Input Data 8				=		2016 Actual		
9 1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth	=		\$16.06		16) Utility Project Costs			
10 Escalation Rate			4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$5,387,527		
11	".D /A/E		40.00		16b) Incentive Costs =	\$3,626,067		
12 2) Non-Gas Fuel Re 13 Escalation Rate =	ail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	=	\$0.00 2.16%		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$9,013,595		
	s (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc	c) =	2.1070		17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$394		
15		•						
3) Commodity CostEscalation Rate :	5/Dtn) =		\$4.34 4.28%		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) = Escalation Rate =	\$0 0.00%		
18			4.2070		Escalation Nate -	0.0076		
19 4) Demand Cost (\$/			\$118.53		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0		
20 Escalation Rate =			4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
22 5) Peak Reduction F	actor =		1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	13.9		
23								
24 6) Variable O&M (\$/ 25 Escalation Rate :	,		\$0.03 4.28%		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	17.09		
26 ESCAIALION Rale			4.2070		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00		
27 7) Non-Gas Fuel Co	t (\$/Fuel Unit) =		\$0.00		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00		
28 Escalation Rate = 29			2.16%		22) Number of Participants –	27.414		
30 8) Non-Gas Fuel Lo	s Factor		0.00%		23) Number of Participants =	27,614		
31					24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	472,000		
32 9) Gas Environment			\$0.3500		2E) Incontino/Darticipant	6101		
33 Escalation Rate =			1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$131		
35 10) Non Gas Fuel E	vironmental Damage	Factor =	\$0.00					
36 Escalation Rate =			0.00%					
37 38 11) Participant Disco	unt Rate =		2.67%					
39								
40 12) Utility Discount I	ate =		7.98%					
41 42 13) Societal Discour	Rate =		2.67%					
43			2.0770					
44 14) General Input Da	ta Year =		2012					
45 46 15) Project Analysis	Vear 1 –		2016					
47 15a) Project Analysis			2010					
48 15c) Project Analysi			2015					
49 50								
51								
52 Cost Summary		2014			Test Results	NPV	B/C	
5354 Utility Cost per Parti	ipant =	\$326.41			Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$75,087,743)	0.32	
55 Cost per Participant		\$42.15			. , .	(410,001,173)		
56	II (DII)	,			Utility Cost Test	\$25,948,259	3.88	
57 Lifetime Energy Rec 58	iction (Dth)	6,608,005			Societal Test	\$34,206,355	3.10	
59 Societal Cost per Dt		\$2.46			Journal 163t	φ 34, Ζ00,303	3.10	
60					Participant Test	\$131,866,494	13.12	

	АВ	С	D	E	F	G
1	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)		BENEEIT COS	T FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis		
3	oonservation improvement Frogram (OF)			innesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006		
4		a Energy Resources				
5	Project: TOTAL L		R			
	Input Data		K		2016 Actual	
8						
	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$16.06		16) Utility Project Costs	¢1 110 220	
10 11	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs = 16b) Incentive Costs =	\$1,119,228 \$0	
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$ 1,119,228	
13	Escalation Rate =	2.16%				
14	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =			17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$0	
15 16	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0	
17	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
18	(A) D	\$440.FC		10) Destinant New Forces, C. 1. (A. 14/D. 1)	40	
19 20	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$118.53 4.28%		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) = Escalation Rate =	\$0 0.00%	
21						
	5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	11.9	
23 24	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	18.72	
25	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		_ ,, g. 2 um an outou	10.72	
26	7) Non Con Final Cont (#/F. 111 1)	40.00		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00	
27 28	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.00 2.16%		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00	
29		2.1070		23) Number of Participants =	448	
	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	0.00%		2A) Tatal Americal Diff. Council	0.000	
31	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.3500		24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	8,388	
33	Escalation Rate =	1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0	
34	10) 11 0 5 15 1 5 1 5 1					
35 36	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.00 0.00%				
37	Escalation Nate –	0.0076				
	11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.67%				
39	12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.98%				
41	12) Omity Discount Nate =	1.90%				
	13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.67%				
43	14) Congral Input Data Voca	2012				
44	14) General Input Data Year =	2012				
46	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =	2016				
	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =	2014				
48 49	15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =	2015				
50						
51	Cost Summary 2014			Test Results	NPV	B/C
53	COSt Sulfilliary 2014			real realits	NEA	DIC
54	Utility Cost per Participant = \$2,49			Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$2,158,620)	0.20
55 56	Cost per Participant per Dth = \$13	3.44		Utility Cost Test	(\$569,254)	0.49
57	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth) 100	,650		Ounty Cost 165t	(\$307,234)	0.47
58	33 , , ,			Societal Test	(\$361,775)	0.68
	Societal Cost per Dth \$1	1.12		Doubleinant Teet	¢2.00E.240	n/o
60				Participant Test	\$2,085,249	n/a

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)			BENEEIT COS	T FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis			
3	Conservation improvement Program (CIP)				linnesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006			
4		esota Energy Re						
5	Project: TOTA	AL RESIDENTIAL		R				
	Input Data			K		2016 Actual		
8	•			•				
	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =		\$16.06		16) Utility Project Costs	44 004 (05		
10 11	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs = 16b) Incentive Costs =	\$1,934,625 \$2,486,416		
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =		\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$ 4,421,041		
13	Escalation Rate =		2.16%		100) Foldi Olimy Froject Odote	4 1/121/011		
14	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =				17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$442		
15 16	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =		\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0		
17	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
18								
	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	9	\$118.53		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0		
20 21	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
22	5) Peak Reduction Factor =		1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	18.2		
23	4) Variable ORM (\$/Dtb)		¢0.02		21) Avg Dth/Dart Saved	10.12		
25	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =		\$0.03 4.28%		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	10.12		
26					22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00		
	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =		\$0.00		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00		
28 29	Escalation Rate =		2.16%		23) Number of Participants =	20,942		
	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor		0.00%		20) Hambor of Futtopulito –			
31					24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	211,918		
33	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$	\$0.3500 1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$119		
34	Escalation Nate -		1.7370		23) incentiven articipant –	\$117		
	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor :	=	\$0.00					
36 37	Escalation Rate =		0.00%					
	11) Participant Discount Rate =		2.67%					
39								
40 41	12) Utility Discount Rate =		7.98%					
	13) Societal Discount Rate =		2.67%					
43	,							
	14) General Input Data Year =		2012					
45 46	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =		2016					
	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =		2014					
48			2015					
49 50								
51								
52	Cost Summary 2014				Test Results	NPV	B/C	
53 54	Utility Cost per Participant =	\$211.11			Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$41,629,002)	0.32	
55	Cost per l'atticipant =	\$64.49				, ,		
56		1.007.400			Utility Cost Test	\$15,266,830	4.45	
57 58	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth) 4	1,026,438			Societal Test	\$20,758,592	2.86	
	Societal Cost per Dth	\$2.78			oodictal 103t	Ψ ∠ U, I JU, J 7∠	2.00	
60					Participant Test	\$81,520,791	9.82	

	A B	С	D	E	F	G	
1	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)		RENEEIT COS	T FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis			
3	Conservation improvement Program (CIP)			linnesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006			
4	Company: Minnesota	a Energy Resources					
5 6	Project: TOTAL CO	OMMERCIAL / INDU	STRIAL C				
	Input Data		C		2016 Actual		
8			•				
	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$15.82		16) Utility Project Costs	44.440.040		
10 11	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs = 16b) Incentive Costs =	\$1,140,843 \$1,139,652		
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$ 2,280,495		
13	Escalation Rate =	2.16%		,,,	, –,===,		
14	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =			17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$263		
15 16	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0		
17	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
18							
19 20	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$118.53 4.28%		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) = Escalation Rate =	\$0 0.00%		
21	Localdiiiii Raië =	4.28%		Localation rate =	0.00%		
22	5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	10.4		
23	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	40.44		
25	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		21) Avg. Dilirrant. Saveu –	40.44		
26				22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00		
	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00		
28 29	Escalation Rate =	2.16%		23) Number of Participants =	6,224		
	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	0.00%		20) Number of Fundipulies –	0,221		
31				24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	251,695		
33	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.3500 1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$183		
34	Lacalation Nate –	1.7370		23) incentive/r articipant –	\$103		
	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.00					
36 37	Escalation Rate =	0.00%					
	11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.98%					
39							
40 41	12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.98%					
	13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.67%					
43	,						
	14) General Input Data Year =	2012					
45 46	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =	2016					
47	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =	2014					
48	15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =	2015					
49 50							
51							
	Cost Summary 2014			Test Results	NPV	B/C	
53 54	Utility Cost per Participant = \$366	5.40		Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$30,676,553)	0.33	
55	Cost per Participant per Dth = \$15				,		
56	Lifetime Energy Deduction (Dth)	/ AE		Utility Cost Test	\$13,096,103	6.74	
57 58	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth) 2,768,	040		Societal Test	\$17,904,712	7.45	
	Societal Cost per Dth \$	1.00		555554. 1555	ψ17,701,112	7.10	
60				Participant Test	\$43,276,140	27.45	

	A B	С	D	E	F	G
2	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)		BENEFIT COS	ST FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis		
3			Approved by M	linnesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006		
4		a Energy Resources				
5	Project: LIW		R			
	Input Data				2016 Actual	
8	4) D 1 1 D 1 (6) D 1)	41/0/		4(A) 1889 B. 1 4 G. 1		
10	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$16.06 4.28%		16) Utility Project Costs 16a) Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$293,083	
11	Escalation Nate –	4.2070		16b) Incentive Costs =	\$273,063	
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$293,083	
13	Escalation Rate =	2.16%				
14 15	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =			17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$0	
	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0	
17	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
18 19	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	\$118.53		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0	
20	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
21	C) Deals Dadwaline Franks	4.0007		20) Decidat Ha (Vana)	40.0	
22	5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	19.9	
24	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	19.01	
25	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		20) A. N. O. E. III 'I ID. I C. I	0.00	
26 27	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved = 22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00 0.00	
28	Escalation Rate =	2.16%		ZES, g / Identional Front Gas Fact Office Fatt. Oscil -	0.00	
29	0) N	0.053		23) Number of Participants =	109	
30	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	0.00%		24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	2,072	
32	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.3500			2,012	
33	Escalation Rate =	1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0	
35	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.00				
36	Escalation Rate =	0.00%				
37	11) Destining Disease De	0.7707				
38	11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.67%				
	12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.98%				
41	· · · ·	0.4=*:				
42	13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.67%				
	14) General Input Data Year =	2012				
45						
	15) Project Analysis Year 1 = 15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =	2016 2014				
48	15a) Project Analysis Fear 2 = 15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =	2014				
49						
50 51						
51 52	Cost Summary 2014			Test Results	NPV	B/C
53		0.02		Detenous Immed Messure T4	(A/70 100)	0.22
	Utility Cost per Participant = \$2,68 Cost per Participant per Dth = \$14	8.83 1.46		Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$670,128)	0.23
56	cost poi i attoipant poi bui – vii			Utility Cost Test	(\$93,576)	0.68
57	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth) 41	,438		•		
58 59	Societal Cost per Dth \$	7.07		Societal Test	\$38,134	1.13
60	Societai Cost hei Dili	1.01		Participant Test	\$915,990	#DIV/0!

	A B	С	D	E	F	G
2	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)		BENEFIT COS	ST FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis		
3			Approved by N	finesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006		
4 5	Company: <mark>Minneso</mark> Project: 4 U2	ta Energy Resources	;			
6	Project: 402		R			
7	Input Data				2016 Actual	
8	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$16.06		16) Utility Project Costs		
10	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$826,145	
11				16b) Incentive Costs =	\$0	
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$826,145	
13 14	Escalation Rate = Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	2.16%		17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	0.0	
15	Non-Gas Fuel Offits (ie. kwn,Gallons, etc) =			17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$0	
	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0	
17 18	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
19	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	\$118.53		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0	
20	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
21 22	5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	9.3	
23	,					
24 25	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.03 4.28%		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	18.63	
26	Localdiion Naic =	4.28%		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00	
27	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00	
28 29	Escalation Rate =	2.16%		23) Number of Participants =	339	
	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	0.00%		20) Hambor of Farticipants –	337	
31	0) Coo Emiliones and Don	40.0500		24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	6,316	
33	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.3500 1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0	
34				A second and an arrangement	43	
	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.00				
36 37	Escalation Rate =	0.00%				
38	11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.67%				
39 40	12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.98%				
41	12) Sunty Discount Nate –	7.7070				
	13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.67%				
43	14) General Input Data Year =	2012				
45		2012				
	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =	2016				
48	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 = 15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =	2014 2015				
49		2010				
50						
	Cost Summary 2014			Test Results	NPV	B/C
53 54	Utility Cost per Participant = \$2,4	37.01	_	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$1,499,986)	0.19
55		37.01 30.81		ratepayer impact weasure rest	(\$1,477,700)	0.17
56				Utility Cost Test	(\$469,595)	0.43
57 58	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth) 65	3,156		Societal Test	(\$357,840)	0.57
59		13.08				
60				Participant Test	\$1,287,684	#DIV/0!

	АВ	С	D	Е	F	G
1	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)		BENEFIT COS	T FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis		
3			Approved by M	linnesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006		
4	Company: Minnesota En	ergy Resources				
5 6	Project: Res Rebates		R			
	Input Data				2016 Actual	
8	4) D-4-!! D-4- (#/D4L)	¢1/.0/		1/A HARRA Decises Conta		
10	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$16.06 4.28%		16) Utility Project Costs 16a) Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$589,529	
11	Escalation Nate =	4.2070		16b) Incentive Costs =	\$2,003,440	
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$2,592,969	
13		2.16%				
14 15	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =			17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$501	
	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0	
17	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
18 19	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	\$118.53		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0	
20	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
21 22		1.000/		20) Project Life (Veers)	17.5	
23	5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	17.5	
24	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	9.51	
25 26	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		22) Ava Non Cas Fuel Units/Dart Saved	0.00	
	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved = 22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00 0.00	
28	Escalation Rate =	2.16%		· -		
29	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	0.00%		23) Number of Participants =	16,180	
31	U) INUITORS I UCI LUSS I RUIUI	0.00%		24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	153,893	
32	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.3500				
33 34	Escalation Rate =	1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$124	
	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.00				
36	Escalation Rate =	0.00%				
37	11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.67%				
39	11) Fartispart Discount Nate –	2.07/0				
	12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.98%				
41	13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.67%				
43	19) Societal Discount Nate –	2.07/0				
	14) General Input Data Year =	2012				
45 46	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =	2016				
	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =	2016				
48	15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =	2015				
49 50						
51						
	Cost Summary 2014			Test Results	NPV	B/C
53 54	Utility Cost per Participant = \$160.26			Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$28,592,660)	0.32
55	Cost per Participant per Dth = \$69.54				, ,	
56 57	Lifetime Energy Deduction (Dth)			Utility Cost Test	\$11,164,264	5.31
57 58	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth) 2,770,068			Societal Test	\$13,108,800	2.51
59	Societal Cost per Dth \$3.14					
60				Participant Test	\$54,136,022	7.68

	АВ	С	D	E	F	G	
1	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)	- 	BENEFIT COS	T FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis			
3			Approved by M	linnesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006			
4	Company: Minnesota En	ergy Resources					
5 6	Project: Home En Exc		R				
7	Input Data				2016 Actual		
8	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$16.06		16) Utility Project Costs			
10		4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$1,150,059		
11				16b) Incentive Costs =	\$482,976		
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$1,633,035		
13		2.16%		17) Direct Destining to Costs (#/Dest)	\$000		
14 15	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =			17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$980		
16	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0		
17 18	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
19	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	\$118.53		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0		
20	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
21 22	5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	20.0		
23	o) i sak reduction i detoi –	1.0070		20) i roject Liio (Tours) -	20.0		
24	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	48.22		
25 26	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00		
	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00		
28	Escalation Rate =	2.16%		· -			
29 30	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	0.00%		23) Number of Participants =	1,161		
31		0.0070		24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	55,987		
	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.3500		0571 11 10 11 1	***		
33 34	Escalation Rate =	1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$416		
35	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.00					
36	Escalation Rate =	0.00%					
37 38	11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.67%					
39							
	12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.98%					
41 42	13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.67%					
43	·	2.3770					
	14) General Input Data Year =	2012					
45 46	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =	2016					
47	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =	2014					
48	15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =	2015					
49 50							
51					ND.		
52 53	Cost Summary 2014			Test Results	NPV	B/C	
54	Utility Cost per Participant = \$1,406.58			Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$11,821,543)	0.31	
55	Cost per Participant per Dth = \$49.48			Hilliby Cook Took	¢2.7E0.047	2.20	
56 57	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth) 1,119,736			Utility Cost Test	\$3,758,017	3.30	
58	3, , , ,			Societal Test	\$6,662,641	3.91	
	Societal Cost per Dth \$2.04			Double in out Toot	¢24.007.204	22.40	
60				Participant Test	\$24,097,391	22.19	

	A B	С	D	E	F	G	
1	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)		BENEEIT COS	T FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis			
3			Approved by M	innesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006			
4	Company: Minnesota E						
5 6	Project: CI Rebate		С				
	Input Data		0		2016 Actual		
8							
9 10	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$15.82		16) Utility Project Costs	¢001.740		
11	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs = 16b) Incentive Costs =	\$921,743 \$1,075,591		
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$1,997,334		
13		2.16%					
14	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =			17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$1,714		
15 16	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0		
17	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%		
18	4) D	6440.50		10) Dedicional New Forces Co. 1. (A. 1475. 1)	40		
19 20	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$118.53 4.28%		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) = Escalation Rate =	\$0 0.00%		
21		7.2070		Essaidion Nato -	0.0070		
22	5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	10.7		
23	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	271.18		
25	Escalation Rate =	4.28%		2.7. mg. Duri dit. Odrod -	271.10		
26		40		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00		
27 28	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.00 2.16%		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00		
29	Estalation Nate -	2.1070		23) Number of Participants =	872		
30	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	0.00%					
31	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.3500		24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	236,470		
33	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$1,233		
34				•			
	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.00					
36 37	Escalation Rate =	0.00%					
38	11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.98%					
39	13) Utility Discount Data	7.000/					
40	12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.98%					
42	13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.67%					
43							
44 45	14) General Input Data Year =	2012					
	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =	2016					
47	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =	2014					
48 49	15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =	2015					
50							
51					,	DIO.	
52 53	Cost Summary 2014			Test Results	NPV	B/C	
	Utility Cost per Participant = \$2,290.52	<u>)</u>		Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$28,675,702)	0.34	
55					, ,		
56 57	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth) 2,601,169)		Utility Cost Test	\$12,449,126	7.23	
58	Lifetime Lifety Reduction (Dtf) 2,001,109	,		Societal Test	\$17,014,720	8.04	
59	Societal Cost per Dth \$0.93	3					
60				Participant Test	\$40,706,206	28.24	

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G
1	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)			RENEEIT COS	ST FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis		
3	Conservation improvement Program (CIP)				finnesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006		
4	Company: Minn		Resources				
5	Project: Small	II Business		С			
	Input Data			C		2016 Actual	
8				-			
	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =		\$15.82		16) Utility Project Costs	405 / 10	
10 11	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs = 16b) Incentive Costs =	\$85,642 \$8,962	
	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =		\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$94,603	
13	Escalation Rate =		2.16%		100) Folds Gamy Fragoti Goods	ψ7 1/000	
14	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =				17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$132	
15 16	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =		\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0	
17	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
18							
	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =		\$118.53		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0	
20 21	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
22	5) Peak Reduction Factor =		1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	10.4	
23	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =		\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part Saved -	10.21	
25	Escalation Rate =		\$0.03 4.28%		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	10.21	
26					22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00	
	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =		\$0.00		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00	
28 29	Escalation Rate =		2.16%		23) Number of Participants =	317	
	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor		0.00%		29, Tambor of Fattorparito –	517	
31			**		24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	3,235	
33	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =		\$0.3500 1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$28	
34	Escalation Nate –		1.7370		23) meentive/r articipant –	\$20	
35	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor =	=	\$0.00				
36 37	Escalation Rate =		0.00%				
	11) Participant Discount Rate =		7.98%				
39							
40 41	12) Utility Discount Rate =		7.98%				
	13) Societal Discount Rate =		2.67%				
43							
	14) General Input Data Year =		2012				
45 46	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =		2016				
	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =		2010				
48			2015				
49 50							
51							
52	Cost Summary 2014				Test Results	NPV	B/C
53 54	Utility Cost per Participant =	\$298.43			Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$459,613)	0.30
55	Cost per l'articipant =	\$42.16			natopayor impuot mousuro 105t	(Φ107,010)	
56		05 500			Utility Cost Test	\$103,051	2.09
57 58	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	35,589			Societal Test	\$138,406	2.09
	Societal Cost per Dth	\$3.58			JUGICIAI 1631	φ130,400	2.07
60	·				Participant Test	\$529,826	13.68

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G
2	Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)			RENEEIT COS	T FOR GAS CIPS Cost-Effectiveness Analysis		
3	Conservation improvement Frogram (CIF)				innesota Department of Commerce, January 26, 2006		
4	Company: Min						
5	Project: Mul	Itifamily					
7	Input Data			С		2016 Actual	
8	присъци			-		2010 Actual	
9	1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =		\$15.82		16) Utility Project Costs		
10	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		16a) Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$125,532	
11					16b) Incentive Costs =	\$21,199	
_	2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =		\$0.00		16c) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$146,731	
13	Escalation Rate =		2.16%		47) 0' 0 (4/0 1)	#20	
14 15	Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =				17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$20	
	3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =		\$4.34		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0	
17	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		Escalation Rate =	0.00%	
18	4) D		4410.55		40\D !!! IN E	40	
19 20	4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =		\$118.53 4.28%		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) = Escalation Rate =	\$0 0.00%	
21	Localation Rate =		4.20%		LocalatiOII Rate =	0.00%	
	5) Peak Reduction Factor =		1.00%		20) Project Life (Years) =	5.9	
23							
	6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =		\$0.03		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	1.72	
25 26	Escalation Rate =		4.28%		22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.00	
	7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =		\$0.00		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.00	
28	Escalation Rate =		2.16%		, g		
29					23) Number of Participants =	4,978	
	8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor		0.00%		24) Takal Arasual Dila Casasi	0.570	
31 32	9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =		\$0.3500		24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	8,578	
33	Escalation Rate =		1.73%		25) Incentive/Participant =	\$4	
34					,	* ·	
	10) Non Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor	r =	\$0.00				
36	Escalation Rate =		0.00%				
37 38	11) Participant Discount Rate =		7.98%				
39	11) 1 Gracipant Discount Nate -		1.70/0				
	12) Utility Discount Rate =		7.98%				
41							
42	13) Societal Discount Rate =		2.67%				
43 44	14) General Input Data Year =		2012				
44	14) General Input Data Teal =		2012				
46	15) Project Analysis Year 1 =		2016				
47	15a) Project Analysis Year 2 =		2014				
48	15c) Project Analysis Year 3 =		2015				
49 50							
51							
52	Cost Summary 201	14			Test Results	NPV	B/C
53	Litility Cost per Participant	¢20.40			Datanavar Impact Magazura Test	/¢720.107\	0.20
54 55	Utility Cost per Participant = Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$29.48 \$28.78			Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$720,197)	0.30
56	Oost por 1 articipant per Dti1 =	Ψ20.70			Utility Cost Test	\$163,803	2.12
	Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	51,467			g	4.00,000	
58					Societal Test	\$144,927	1.64
	Societal Cost per Dth	\$4.39			D 1.T. 1	*005.045	0.24
60					Participant Test	\$805,045	9.04

		Single-year Weather-	Savings as percent of
Year	Energy Savings Achieved	Normalized sales	same-year sales
2007	141,655	55,152,126	0.26%
2008	64,517	50,820,785	0.13%
2009	133,570	39,643,778	0.34%
2010	445,836	44,741,987	0.9965%
2011	457,748	45,142,079	1.0140%

Attachment B

 $\hbox{3-year Weather-Normalized Sales Average:}\\$

43,175,948 From Table 1, 2015-2016 MERC CIP Extension Correction and Modificiation -- DOC DER Decision

1.0% of Sales:

431,759 From Table 1, 2015-2016 MERC CIP Extension Correction and Modificiation

For CIP Budget, Energy Goal, and Estimated Benefits, include only those modifications that were required by Order or which the utility notified the OES that it planned to include in the incentive calculation upon approval. Include a summary of the modifications below.

Approved CIP Budget: \$11,280,537 From Table 6, Commissioner's 10/12/15 Decision approving Program Plan Extension

Approved CIP Energy Goal: \$11,280,537 From Table 6, Commissioner's 10/12/15 Decision approving Program Plan Extension

Estimated Net Benefits at Approved Goal: \$22,865,068 From Compliance Filing bencost

Inputs:

Average Sales: 43,175,948 1.0% Energy Savings: 431,759 **0.53%** (Average of 3 years of historic with min and max taken out) Historic Average Savings: Earning Threshold: 0.20% plus one unit of energy Earning Threshold in Energy Savings: 86,353 Award zero point: 0.10% Award zero point in Energy Savings: 43,176 Steps from zero point to 1.5% 14 Size of steps in Energy Savings: 43,176

Incentive Calibration:

Average Incentive per unit at 1.5%:	\$9.00	Set by Commission in approval of incentive mechanism & calibration
Cap Level:	125%	of Calibration Point
Incentive Cap:	\$6.875	per MCF
Energy savings at 1.5%:	647,639	
Targeted incentive at 1.5%:	\$5,828,753	
Multiplier:	1.29481%	Percent of Net Benefits received for every 0.1% of sales above zero point

Estimated Incentive Levels:

		Percent of Benefits	Estimated Net		Average Incentive per
Achievement Level (% of sales)	Energy Saved	Awarded	Benefits	Financial Incentive	unit Saved
0.0%	0	0.00000%	\$0	\$0	0.000
0.1%	43,176	0.00000%	\$2,143,630	\$0	0.000
0.2%	86,352	0.00000%	\$4,287,260	\$0	0.000
0.3%	129,528	2.58962%	\$6,430,890	\$166,536	1.286
0.4%	172,704	3.88443%	\$8,574,521	\$333,072	1.929
0.5%	215,880	5.17925%	\$10,718,151	\$555,119	2.571
0.6%	259,056	6.47406%	\$12,861,781	\$832,679	3.214
0.7%	302,232	7.76887%	\$15,005,411	\$1,165,751	3.857
0.8%	345,408	9.06368%	\$17,149,041	\$1,554,334	4.500
0.9%	388,584	10.35849%	\$19,292,671	\$1,998,430	5.143
1.0%	431,759	11.65330%	\$21,436,301	\$2,498,037	5.786
1.1%	474,935	12.94811%	\$23,579,931	\$3,053,156	6.429
1.2%	518,111	14.24293%	\$25,723,562	\$3,562,016	6.875
1.3%	561,287	15.53774%	\$27,867,192	\$3,858,850	6.875
1.4%	604,463	16.83255%	\$30,010,822	\$4,155,685	6.875
1.5%	647,639	18.12736%	\$32,154,452	\$4,452,520	6.875
1.6%	690,815	19.42217%	\$34,298,082	\$4,749,354	6.875
1.7%	733,991	20.00000%	\$36,441,712	\$5,046,189	6.875
1.8%	777,167	20.00000%	\$38,585,342	\$5,343,024	6.875
1.9%	820,343	20.00000%	\$40,728,972	\$5,639,858	6.875
2.0%	863,519	20.00000%	\$42,872,603	\$5,936,693	6.875
2.1%	906,695	20.00000%	\$45,016,233	\$6,233,527	6.875
Energy Savings Achievement	472,000	12.86008%	\$25,948,259	\$3,245,000	6.875

Actual CIP Results

Spending: \$9,198,728 From Table B-2, MERC Status Report Energy Saved: 472,000 From Table B-3, MERC Status Report

Net Benefits Achieved: \$25,948,259 2016 Bencost Model

Resulting Incentive:		
Steps above Zero Point:	9.93201	
Percent of Net Benefits Awarded:	12.86008%	
Financial Incentive Award:	\$3,245,000	
Incentive per MCF	\$6.8750	
Net Benefit after Incentive	\$22,703,259	