

MICHAEL J. AHERN  
(612) 340-2881  
FAX (612) 340-2643  
ahern.michael@dorsey.com

November 2, 2009

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Burl W. Haar  
Executive Secretary  
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission  
121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350  
St. Paul, MN 55101

**PUBLIC DOCUMENT – TRADE SECRET  
DATA HAS BEEN EXCISED**

Re: In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation–PNG  
for Approval of a Change in Demand Entitlement for its Great Lakes Gas  
Transmission System;  
Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_

Dear Dr. Haar:

In accordance with Minnesota Rule 7825.2910, subpart 2, please find the public and nonpublic versions of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation's (MERC) request to change demand entitlement.

Please note that page 15 of the Petition and Attachments 5, 9, and 12 contain financial information with independent economic value that is not generally known to, and not readily ascertainable by, competitors of MERC, who could obtain economic value from its disclosure. MERC maintains this information as secret. Accordingly this data qualifies as trade secret data as defined in Minn. Stat. § 13.37, subd. 1(b), and MERC requests that the data be treated as trade secret information.

In accordance with Minnesota Rule 7825.2910, subpart 3, a Notice of Availability has been sent to all intervenors in the Company's previous two rate cases.

Please feel free to contact me at (612) 340-2881 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Michael J. Ahern

Michael J. Ahern

cc: Service List

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

David C. Boyd	Chair
J. Dennis O'Brien	Commissioner
Thomas Pugh	Commissioner
Phyllis A. Reha	Commissioner
Betsy Wergin	Commissioner

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota )  
Energy Resources Corporation – PNG )  
for Approval of a Change in Demand ) Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Entitlement for its Great Lakes Gas )  
Transmission System )

**FILING UPON CHANGE IN DEMAND**

Pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7825.2910, subpart 2 (Filing Upon Change in Demand), Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation - PNG (MERC or the Company), hereby petitions the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) for approval of changes in demand entitlements for MERC's Minnesota customers served off of the Great Lakes Gas Transmission (GLGT or Great Lakes) system. MERC requests that the Commission approve the requested changes to be recovered in the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) effective on November 1, 2009.

This filing includes the following attachments:

- |                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| <b>Attachment 1:</b> | Notice of Availability.   |
| <b>Attachment 2:</b> | One paragraph summary of the filing in accordance with Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 1. |
| <b>Attachment 3:</b> | Petition for Change in Demand with Attachments.                                     |
| <b>Attachment 4:</b> | Affidavit of Service and Service List.  |

The following information is provided in accordance with Minn. R. 7829.1300:

**1. Summary of Filing**

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 1, a one-paragraph summary of the filing is attached.

**2. Service**

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 2, MERC has served a copy of this filing on the Department of Commerce and the Office of the Attorney General – Residential Utilities Division. The summary of the filing has been served on all parties on the attached service list. Additionally, pursuant to Minn. R. 7825.2910, subp. 3, a Notice of Availability has been sent to all intervenors in the Company’s previous two rate cases.

**3. General Filing Information**

**A. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of the Utility**

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation  
2665 145th Street West  
Box 455  
Rosemount, MN 55068-0455  
(651) 322-8901

**B. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of Attorney for the Utility**

Michael J. Ahern  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
50 S. Sixth Street, Suite 1500  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498  
(612) 340-2881

**C. Date of the Filing and Proposed Effective Date**

Date of filing: November 2, 2009  
Proposed Effective Date: November 1, 2009

**D. Statute Controlling Schedule for Processing the Filing**

Minnesota Statutes and related rules do not provide an explicit time frame for action by the Commission. Under Minn. R. 7829.1400, initial comments are due within 30 days of filing, with reply comments due 10 days thereafter.

**E. Utility Employee Responsible for the Filing**

Gregory J. Walters  
519 First Avenue SW  
P.O. Box 6538  
Rochester, MN 55903-6538  
(507) 529-5100

If additional information is required, please contact Michael J. Ahern at: (612) 340-2881.

DATED: November 2, 2009

Respectfully Submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

By /s/ Michael J. Ahern  
Michael J. Ahern  
Suite 1500, 50 South Sixth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498  
Telephone: (612) 340-2600

Attorney for Minnesota Energy  
Resources Corporation

November 2, 2009

To: Service List

RE: Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation-PNG Petition for Approval of Change in Demand Entitlement

**Notice of Availability**

Please take notice that Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation-PNG has filed a petition with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission for approval of a change in demand entitlement.

To obtain copies, or if you have any questions, please contact:

Gregory J. Walters  
Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation  
519 1st Ave SW  
Rochester, MN 55902  
507-529-5100.

Please note that this filing is also available through the eDockets system maintained by the Minnesota Department of Commerce and the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission. You can access this document by going to eDockets through the websites of the Department of Commerce or the Public Utilities Commission or going to the eDockets homepage at:

<https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/home.jsp>

Once on the eDockets homepage, this document can be accessed through the Search Documents link and by entering the date of the filing.

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

David C. Boyd	Chair
J. Dennis O'Brien	Commissioner
Thomas Pugh	Commissioner
Phyllis A. Reha	Commissioner
Betsy Wergin	Commissioner

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota )  
Energy Resources Corporation – PNG )  
for Approval of a Change in Demand ) Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Entitlement for its Great Lakes Gas )  
Transmission System )

**SUMMARY OF FILING**

Pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7825.2910, subpart 2 (Filing Upon Change in Demand), Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation - PNG (MERC or the Company), hereby petitions the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) for approval of changes in demand entitlements for MERC's Minnesota customers served off of the Great Lakes Gas Transmission (GLGT or Great Lakes) system. MERC requests that the Commission approve the requested changes to be recovered in the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) effective on November 1, 2009.

**PUBLIC DOCUMENT – TRADE SECRET DATA HAS BEEN EXCISED**

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

David C. Boyd	Chair
J. Dennis O'Brien	Commissioner
Thomas Pugh	Commissioner
Phyllis A. Reha	Commissioner
Betsy Wergin	Commissioner

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota	)	
Energy Resources Corporation – PNG	)	
for Approval of a Change in Demand	)	Docket No. _____
Entitlement for its Great Lakes Gas	)	
Transmission System	)	

**PETITION FOR CHANGE IN DEMAND**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7825.2910, subpart 2 (Filing Upon Change in Demand), Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation - PNG (MERC or the Company), a division of Integrys Energy Group, Inc. (TEG), hereby petitions the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) for approval of changes in demand entitlements for MERC's Minnesota customers served off of the Great Lakes Gas Transmission (GLGT or Great Lakes) system. MERC requests that the Commission approve the requested changes to be recovered in the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) effective on November 1, 2009.

II. DISCUSSION

A. MERC's PNG-GLGT Design Day Requirements

MERC's 2009-2010 PNG-GLGT design day requirements increased 503 Mcf (or approximately 4.88 percent) from 10,299 Mcf to 10,802 Mcf.

**Table 1: MERC's Proposed Reserve Margins  
For the 2009-2010 Heating Season  
GLGT PNG**

	Reserve Margin 2009-2010 Heating Season	Reserve Margin 2008-2009 Heating Season	Change
GLGT-PNG	6.46%	1.95%	4.51%

As shown in Table 1 and Attachment 3, MERC's proposed system wide reserve margin for PNG-GLGT for the 2009-2010 heating season is positive.

For the Demand Entitlement filing effective November 1, 2009, the total Design Day requirement for Great Lakes Gas Transmission (GLGT), is 10,802 Dth as calculated in Attachment 1, Page 2 and Attachment 3.

For the Demand Entitlement filing effective November 1, 2009, the total Design Day capacity on GLGT, is 11,500 Dth as calculated in Attachment 3.

The difference between the total Design Day requirement and total Design Day capacity results in a 6.46% positive reserve margin.

B. Forecast Methodology for MERC Demand Entitlement Nov. 1, 2009

**Peakday**

**Purpose**

Gather data and perform analysis used in the "Petition for Change in Demand" for Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation – PNG and Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation



– NMU for “Approval of a Change in Demand Entitlement” to be sent to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, otherwise known as the “MERC Demand Entitlement Filings”.

### **Background**

MERC is composed of two service areas:

1. PNG - Peoples Natural Gas (company – approximately 170,000 customers)
2. NMU - Northern Minn Utility (company – approximately 40,000 customers)

Which are served by four pipelines:

3. VGT - Viking Gas Transmission system (serves both PNG and NMU)
4. NNG- Northern Natural Gas pipeline (serves both PNG and NMU)
5. GLGT - Great Lakes Gas Transmission pipeline (serves both PNG and NMU)
6. Centra - Centra pipeline (serves NMU)

Four Petitions for Change in Demand are filed (one for each of PGAC):

- A. PNG customers served off of VGT = PNG – VGT
- B. PNG customers served off of GLGT = PNG - GLGT
- C. PNG customers served off of NNG = PNG - NNG
- D. All NMU customers - served off NNG, GLGT, VGT & Centra = NMU

Weather data is obtained from six weather stations:

1. International Falls
2. Bemidji
3. Cloquet
4. Fargo
5. Minneapolis
6. Rochester

For analytical purposes, data is subdivided, analyzed and regressed by the following eight demand areas:

	<b>Demand Area (Service Area / Pipeline)</b>	<b>PGAC</b>	<b>Weather Station(s)</b>
1	NMU-Centra	NMU	International Falls
2	NMU-GLGT *	NMU	Bemidji & Cloquet
3	NMU-NNG	NMU	Cloquet
4	NMU-VGT *	NMU	Fargo
5	NMU-GLGT&VGT*	NMU	Bemidji
6	PNG-GLGT	PNG-GLGT	Bemidji
7	PNG-NNG	PNG-NNG	Minneapolis, Rochester & Cloquet
8	PNG-VGT	PNG-VGT	Fargo

\* Thief River Falls is included only in NMU-GLGT&VGT

## **Analytical Approach**

### **Summary**

1. Obtain daily weather data for each weather station as shown in Attachment 13
2. Obtain daily total throughput volumes by pipeline
3. Perform total throughput peak day regressions
4. Subtract interruptible, transport, and joint interruptible expected peak day load volumes based on monthly billing data
5. Add back Daily Firm Capacity (DFC) customer selections
6. Apply sales forecast growth rates

### **Detail**

The Peak Day Forecasting Team (the Team) followed a data-driven approach for the MERC Peak Day Forecast. Since the forecast is for a peak day, the best daily data available is required to provide the best estimate. Theoretically, the peak day regression should be performed using daily net firm load by service area, pipeline, and weather station. A review of the data available indicated that the two best daily data sources are the daily weather data by

weather station and the daily throughput data by Town Border Station (TBS) and pipeline meter. (Some pipeline meters are dedicated to a TBS, and some are dedicated to individual customers.)

Most of the interruptible, transportation, and joint interruptible data available is from monthly billing record excerpts provided by ADS/Vertex, an external vendor that has been providing billing services to MERC-PNG and MERC-NMU.

The Team followed an approach generally consistent with the one used last year that would:

- Make the best use of the best available data; and
- Isolate the effects the monthly billing cycle data has on the Peak Day forecast so that the new process can be easily updated as better data is available.
- Provide a basis for future risk adjustment to the forecast.

The Peak Day Process consisted of:

- I. Data Preparation
- II. Regression Generation of Net Daily Metered Volumes
- III. Volume Risk Adjustments
- IV. Adjusting the Regression Results to a Firm peak day estimate

I. The **Data Preparation** Steps consisted of:

- Identify the coldest Adjusted Heating Degree Day (AHDD65) in the last 20 years for each weather station.
- Determine the most recent three years of December through February daily total metered throughput for the eight demand areas by weather station.
- Subtract the daily pipeline meter readings for all non-firm customers with daily pipeline meter readings available for all three December through February years from the total

throughput for each demand area and weather station. Use the resulting net daily metered volumes for regressions. Examples of non-firm customer meter readings subtracted from the demand area total daily throughputs are paper mills, direct-connects, taconites, and off-system end users. (see “Adjusting the Regression Results to a Firm Peak Day Estimate” below)

- Determine how to map the monthly billing data to the eight demand areas.

Each daily weather station data file was searched to find the coldest Adjusted Heating Degree Day (AHDD) in the last 20 years. This 1-in-20 approach is consistent with prior years. The results are provided in the following table:

<u>Station</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Avg. Temp</u>	<u>Avg. Wind</u>	<u>HDD</u>	<u>AHDD</u>
Bemidji	2/1/1996	-34	8	99	107
Cloquet	2/2/1996	-31	7	96	103
Fargo	1/18/1996	-16	34	81	109
International Falls	2/2/1996	-34	8	99	107
Minneapolis	2/2/1996	-25	8	90	97
Rochester	2/2/1996	-27	10	92	101

The daily throughput data was provided by pipeline and meter, with each meter on each pipeline mapped to one of the weather stations shown in the above chart. Each meter was also designated as either PNG or NMU. As noted above, some of the meters represented a TBS. Some meters were dedicated to a customer who is not a firm service customer of either PNG or NMU. For example, certain transportation, interruptible, direct-connect, and taconite customers have their own meter, but are not counted as firm service customers.

In a more nearly ideal world, the Team would have also had daily telemetered data from each interruptible, transportation, and joint interruptible customer mapped to

each of the eight demand areas and related weather stations. This was the case for a handful of paper mills, direct-connects, taconites, and off-system end users. The rest of the interruptible, transportation, and joint interruptible data was available based on monthly billing cycle data that introduces billing lag, meter read lag (not all meters were read every month resulted in billing cycle estimates and reversals), and other potential errors into their volumes.

Similar to the process used the prior year, the team generated regressions of the daily throughput data available less the known daily meter readings for non-firm customers and adjusted those regressions for the estimated peak day impact of the other non-firm customers who do not have daily readings. This approach was used because it introduced much less error into the data and regressions than trying to guess how to allocate monthly billing cycle data to daily when the load factors and relative temperature sensitivity of the non-daily-metered customers was not known. Using only the daily metered data for the regressions makes the best use of the best data available and provides insights into the total daily metered load that could be active on a peak day even if supply access at the non-firm pipeline meters were shut off.

II. The **Regression Generation of Net Daily Metered Volumes** consisted of:

- For each of the eight Demand Areas (Service Area / Pipeline):
  1. Gather the net daily metered volumes and weather station data including AHDD65<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Temperature and weather data was obtained from Weather Bank/DTN via TherMaxx then converted to HDD65 and AHDD65 in an Excel spreadsheet by MERC – Gas Supply. Temperature and wind data is 24-hour average based on 9am to 9am gas day.

2. If more than one weather station is represented in a given demand area, weight each weather station's AHDD65 by the total December through February metered volumes attributable to that weather station
3. Add indicator variables for day-type and month. Day-type variables are used to isolate load that changes by day of the week, such as commercial or industrial customers who may change their consumption on weekends when they run fewer shifts. Month indicator variables are used to isolate load that changes based on winter month, such as businesses that are open extra hours in December and resume normal operating hours in January.
4. Perform ordinary least squares linear regressions for the 3-year time frame using the AHDD65 weather variable and the significant indicator variables.
5. Summarize the Baseload and Use/AHDD65 from each regression.
6. Calculate a point estimate from each regression based on the baseload value plus the Use/AHDD65 coefficient times the coldest AHDD65 in 20 years (volume weighted if using more than one weather station in a single Demand Area).

### **III. Volume Risk Adjustments**

For the 2010 forecast, volume risk adjustments were incorporated into the forecast to provide a confidence level that the daily metered load under design conditions would not exceed the daily metered regression estimate. An appropriate volume risk adjustment was determined for each regression group by multiplying the standard error of each regression analysis (sigma) by a factor needed to attain a desired confidence level. The desired confidence level chosen was 97.5%.

**IV. Adjusting the Regression Results to a Firm Peak Day Estimate** consisted of:

**A. Subtract interruptible, transport, and joint interruptible expected peak day load volumes based on monthly billing data**

In order to determine firm peak day load, volumes contained in the daily pipeline meter readings for interruptible, joint interruptible and transportation customers needed to be isolated and removed. While it would have been ideal to have daily billing data for all customers, most of the interruptible, transportation, and joint interruptible data was, in most cases, only available from monthly billing records<sup>2</sup>. An unfortunate, but unavoidable consequence was that this data was based on monthly billing cycles that introduce billing lag, meter read lag (not all meters were read every month resulted in billing cycle estimates and reversals), and other potential errors into their volumes.

A database of volumes billed for all customers the prior winter was obtained. The database contained detail by customer class<sup>3</sup>, calendar month, (service) area, city, location, zip code and responsibility center. The billing database was provided by ADS/Vertex, an outside firm that has been providing billing services to MERC. Sales and Revenue Forecasting had previously adjusted the billing data to properly fit the appropriate calendar month of consumption by apportioning billed volumes, i.e. for a bill covering February 15 to March 15, volumes were split evenly between February and March.

Volumes for the interruptible, transportation and joint interruptible customer classes (INTER, TRANS and JINTER classes) needed to be mapped to the appropriate regression demand area, and were then summed. This billing data included consumption that was billed, but not included

---

<sup>2</sup> Individual daily volumes were available for a handful of paper mills, direct-connects, taconites, and off-system end users.

<sup>3</sup> Transportation, Interruptible, Joint Interruptible, Residential, Large Commercial & Industrial and Small Commercial & Industrial.

in the daily metered volumes for several large specific customers (paper mills, direct-connects, taconites, and off-system end users), and therefore needed to be removed from the gross interruptible, transportation and joint interruptible totals. Such customers were identified, mapped to the demand areas, summed and subtracted from the interruptible, transportation and joint interruptible customer classes totals. The following peak demand estimation method based on the highest monthly total from the prior winter was then used to calculate the amount to subtract from the results of the data regressions for each demand area:

*The MERC-PNG and MERC-NMU tariff General Rules, Regulations, Terms, and Conditions Section 1.N “Maximum Daily Quantity (MDQ)” on Original Sheet No. 8.04:*

*N. Maximum Daily Quantity (MDQ):*

*The amount calculated by dividing the volumes consumed by a particular customer during the highest historical peak month of usage for that customer by twenty (20).*

*Company will estimate a peak month for new customers. A Maximum Daily Quantity may also be established through direct measurement or other means (i.e. estimating the peak day requirements after installation of new processing equipment or more energy efficient heating systems) if approved by [the] Company.*

**B. Add back Daily Firm Capacity (DFC) customer selections**

While interruptible, joint interruptible and transportation customer volumes were removed (as described above), in order to determine firm peak day load, daily firm capacity selections needed to be added back. The Sales and Revenue Forecasting department provided historical monthly DFC data for the “joint interruptible” customers from January 2008 through March 2009 that showed the volume that each customer has selected to receive as firm service



from MERC each month. Based on the direction from MERC Gas Supply, the Small Volume Joint Firm/Interruptible customers who were relying on MERC to provide peak day firm supply were identified and their daily firm capacity volumes were summed by month for each demand area. The total volumes for January 2009 were then added back to the adjusted regression results.

### **C. Apply Sales Forecast Growth Rates**

The throughput volumes used in the data regressions were from December 2006 through February 2009 and needed to be adjusted to properly forecast 2010. The sales forecast “MERC Fcst 200904”, as approved by the Gas Planning Committee, was used to determine a growth rate for each demand area. Because the Peak Day Forecast is based on firm load, General Service volumes (GS - residential, commercial and industrial firm) were used as a proxy to calculate growth rates. These growth rates were then applied to the adjusted regression results.

### **Demand Area / (Service Area / Pipeline) Regression Notes**

#### **A. Interruptible, Transportation and Joint Interruptible**

##### NMU-GLGT

Paper Mills = Ainsworth and Blandon in Bemidji, and Sappi and USG in Cloquet

##### NMU-VGT

Note: Lamb Weston (RDO) was included in the regression analysis, and therefore, not removed with the interruptible and transportation volumes.

##### PNG-NNG

Taconites / Direct Connects =

- CCI EMPIRE IND DEL PT 2 TILDEN

- CCI NORTSHORE
- EVELETH TACONITE
- HIBBING TACONITE CO.
- U.S. STEEL
- NATIONAL STEEL PELLET
- COTTAGE GROVE TBS LS POWER
- INLAND STEEL
- HANNA MINING

PNG-NNG

OSEU (EndUsers) =

- CORRECTIONAL CTR
- GRAND CASINO HINCKLEY (no longer being served gas behind a  
MERC TBS as of December 2008)
- KEMPS LLC
- KERRY BIO-SCIENCE
- LAKESIDE
- LAND OF LAKES
- PRO-CORN
- SWIFT

**B. Daily Firm Capacity**

PNG-VGT

CUSTOMER NAME	FIRM CAPACITY
DETROIT LAKES MIDDLE SCHOOL	4
ROSSMAN SCHOOL	.3
BEST WESTERN	32
TOTAL	36

PNG-GLGT

•

CUSTOMER NAME	FIRM CAPACITY
AMERIPRIDE/WPS SERVICES INC	25
ELDERCARE	6.1
NORTHLAND APTS	10.2
NW TECH COLLEGE – BEMIDJI	111
BEM ISD #31-JW SMITH ELEM	41
BEM ISD #31-CENTRAL ELEM	25
TOTAL	218.3

## **Daily Design Day Estimate to Actual Comparison**

In the 2007 demand entitlement dockets, MERC agreed to include a daily estimate utilizing the design day model which is calculated in Attachment 10. The daily estimate is compared to actual consumption. The actual volumes is total through-put which includes interruptible and transportation volumes that are located behind MERC citygates. This does not include any transportation volumes that are directly connected with NNG pipeline. The Design Day model only calculates firm volumes. MERC does not forecast on a daily/monthly basis utilizing the Design Day model. The Design Day model is utilized to calculate the theoretical peak day. The calculated base load natural gas usage at zero heating degree days is 697 Dth which includes interruptible and transportation volumes. Since daily volume consumption is not available for all interruptible and transportation customers, MERC is not able to determine an exact number to deduct from the 697 Dth to determine the firm base load natural gas consumption at zero (0) HDD.

### **Average Customer Counts**

In the 2007 demand entitlement dockets, MERC agreed to include average customer counts which is provided in Attachment 11.

#### **C. MERC's Specific GLGT Proposed Demand-Related Changes**

There are two types of demand entitlement changes. The first type is design day deliverability, which, in this case, there is an increase of 1,000 Dth of firm transportation capacity actually available to MERC's PNG-GLGT customers during winter peak periods. The second type does not affect design day deliverability levels, but alters the capacity portfolio and the PGA costs recovered from customers.

**PUBLIC DOCUMENT – TRADE SECRET DATA HAS BEEN EXCISED**

1. Design Day Deliverability Changes

As shown in PNG-GLGT Attachment 6 , MERC PNG-GLGT proposes an increase in design day deliverability for the upcoming heating season.

2. Other Demand Entitlement Changes

As shown in the Attachment 6, MERC PNG-GLGT proposes no changes in capacity in other pipeline entitlements that are not included in peak day deliverability. This agreement was terminated.

D. Financial Option Units and Premiums

- i. MERC entered into New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) financial Call Options for the upcoming 2009/2010 winter (November through March). Please see Attachment 5.
- ii. Total premium cost to enter into the financial Call Options on behalf of MERC's firm customers amounted to \$122,664 for the 2009/2010 winter. Please see Attachment 5.
- iii. MERC entered into [**TRADE SECRET DATA BEGINS**  
**TRADE SECRET DATA ENDS**] Total premium per contract is approximately [**TRADE SECRET DATA BEGINS** **TRADE SECRET DATA ENDS**] Please see Attachment 5.
- iv. Please see Attachment 5 for the various contract dates.
- v. Please see Attachment 5 for the various contract prices.

vi. MERC believes a diversified portfolio approach towards hedging is in the best interest of MERC's firm customers. MERC implemented a 40% fixed price (storage and physical fixed price purchases), 30% financial call options and 30% market based prices, assuming normal weather. A dollar-cost-averaging approach is utilized in purchasing the hedging portfolio. Although this hedging strategy will most likely not provide the lowest priced supply, it does meet MERC's stated objectives of providing reliable and reasonably priced natural gas and mitigates natural gas price volatility. Please see Attachment 9, Page 1 of 2.

E. Gas Supply.

The PNG-GLGT 2009-2010 Winter Portfolio Plan - Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for GLGT gas supply purchases for the Hedging Plan is in Attachment 9, page 2. This Attachment includes the projected sales number by month for the November 2009 through March 2010 period as well as the planned physical fixed price, financial call options and storage and/or exchange volumes by month.

F. Price Volatility

MERC hedging strategy as described in section 2.(D).(vi.) provides the opportunity to ensure MERC customers are seventy percent (70%) hedged assuming normal winter volumes. The 70% hedged is accomplished by 40% of normal winter volumes hedged by a fixed price, which is comprised of storage and physical fixed price purchases. MERC is projecting the weighted average cost of gas (WACOG) for physical

fixed price purchases of natural gas to be approximately \$5.23. Please see Attachment 12, page 1 of 3. MERC is projecting the exchange volume WACOG at Emerson for GLGT\_PNG to be approximately \$3.57. This is an estimate based upon the purchases in October but since this report is filed before the accounting is closed for October, this estimate may change. Please see Attachment 12, page 2 of 3. The remaining 30% of the 70% is hedged by financial call options. MERC purchased call options at an average strike price of \$6.10, which means if NYMEX contract(s) settle above that price, the options are exercised and MERC's customers gas cost is capped at the average strike price. Please see Attachment 12, page 3 of 3. Since financial options are paper only MERC purchases physical index supply to back the financial call options. MERC projects the gas costs to be approximately \$5.06 for 70% of normal winter volumes assuming that the NYMEX prices are above the average \$6.10 strike price plus the physical index basis spread. If the NYMEX prices are below the average \$6.10 strike price, the average natural gas cost for 70% of the normal winter volumes will be lower. The remaining 30% of normal winter volumes are purchased at index or market prices. All numbers reflected are natural gas costs only and do not include any transportation, storage, hedge premium or margin costs.

G. PGA Cost Recovery

MERC proposes to begin recovering the costs associated with the change in demand-related costs in its monthly PGA effective November 1, 2009.

Rate impacts can be found on Attachment 4 and Attachment 7.

II. CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, MERC respectfully requests the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission grant the demand changes requested herein effective November 1, 2009. If any further information, clarification, or substantiation is required to support this filing please advise.

DATED: November 2, 2009

Respectfully Submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

By /s/ Michael J. Ahern  
Michael J. Ahern  
Suite 1500, 50 South Sixth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498  
Telephone: (612) 340-2600

Attorney for Minnesota Energy  
Resources Corporation



**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**

STATE OF MINNESOTA            )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN        )

Sarah J. Kerbeshian, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and states that on the 2nd day of November, 2009, the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation was electronically filed with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission and the Minnesota Department of Commerce. A copy of the filing was provided via United States first class mail to the individuals on the attached service list at the Office of the Attorney General, and a summary of the filing was provided via United States first class mail to the remaining individuals on the attached service list.

/s/ Sarah J. Kerbeshian

Subscribed and sworn to before me  
this 2nd day of November, 2009.

Joni K. Vincent  
Notary Public, State of Minnesota

Burl W. Haar  
MN Public Utilities Commission  
350 Metro Square Building  
121 Seventh Place East  
St. Paul, MN 55101-5147

Robert S. Lee  
Mackall Crouse & Moore PLC  
1400 AT&T Tower  
901 Marquette Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2859

James D. Larson  
Dahlen Berg & Co.  
200 South Sixth Street  
Suite 300  
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Sharon Ferguson  
MN Department of Commerce  
85 Seventh Place East  
Suite 500  
St. Paul, MN 55101-2198

Michael Ahern  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498

Pam Marshall  
Energy CENTS Coalition  
823 East Seventh Street  
St. Paul, MN 55106

Julia Anderson  
Attorney General's Office  
1400 Bremer Tower  
445 Minnesota Street  
St. Paul, MN 55101-2131

Ann Seha  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498

Brian Meloy  
Leonard, Street & Deinard  
150 South Fifth Street  
Suite 2300  
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Ronald M. Giteck  
Attorney General's Office-RUD  
900 Bremer Tower  
445 Minnesota Street  
St. Paul, MN 55101

Michael J. Bradley  
Moss & Barnett  
4800 Wells Fargo Center  
90 South Seventh Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

Eric F. Swanson  
Winthrop & Weinstine  
225 South Sixth Street  
Suite 350  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4629

Karen Finstad Hammel  
Attorney General's Office  
1400 Bremer Tower  
445 Minnesota Street  
St. Paul, MN 55101-2131

Marie Doyle  
CenterPoint Energy  
800 LaSalle Avenue – Fl. 11  
P.O. Box 59038  
Minneapolis, MN 55459-0038

James R. Talcott  
Northern Natural Gas Company  
1111 South 103rd Street  
Omaha, NE 68124

John Lindell  
Attorney General's Office-RUD  
900 Bremer Tower  
445 Minnesota Street  
St. Paul, MN 55101-2130

Jack Kegel  
MN Municipal Utilities Assn.  
3025 Harbor Lane N.  
Suite 400  
Plymouth, MN 55447-5142

Greg Walters  
Minnesota Energy Resources  
3460 Technology Drive NW  
Rochester, MN 55901

# MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES

## DESIGN-DAY DEMAND SUMMARY

NOVEMBER 1, 2009

GLGT

Design Day Requirement	10,802
Total Entitlement on Peak Day(excl. Peak Shaving)	11,500
Firm Peak Day Actual Sendout -Non Coincidental (Jan. 14)	9,777
Firm Annual Throughput - Minnesota	873,047
No. of Firm Customers	6,068
DPS Load Factor Calculation	24.46%

**MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES - PNG**

**MINNESOTA DESIGN DAY REQUIREMENTS**

**NOVEMBER 1, 2009**

**GLGT**

Pipeline Group	Nov08-Mar 09 Avg. Customer Count	1/20 Design DDD	Regression Factors		Regression Total Footnote 1	Regression Adjustment Footnote 2	1/20 Requirements Regression Load Footnote 3	Nov08-Mar 09 Avg. Customer Growth	Total
			Intercept	Slope					

PEAK									
	6,068	107	697	107	12,193	939	11,254	-4.0%	10,802
<b>Total</b>	6,068								10,802

OFF PEAK									
	6,068	57	697	107	6,821	140	6,681	-4.0%	6,413
<b>Total</b>	6,068								6,413

**Footnote 1:** Regression Total is based on total through-put data.

**Footnote 2:** Regression Adjustment subtracts out Interruptible, Transportation and Joint Interruptible volumes and adds Firm Joint volumes.

**Footnote 3:** Total equals Regression Total minus Regression Adjustment.

\*All the requirements are adjusted by customer growth

# MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES

## DESIGN-DAY DEMAND PER CUSTOMER

NOVEMBER 1, 2009

GLGT

<u>Heating Season</u>	<u>No. of Firm Customers</u>	<u>Design Day Requirements</u>	<u>MMBtus /Customer /Day</u>
09/10	6,068	10,802	1.78
08/09	5,874	10,299	1.75
07/08	5,816	9,550	1.64
06/07	5,747	9,543	1.66
05/06	5,679	9,510	1.67
04/05	5,514	9,449	1.71
03/04	5,411	9,647	1.78

# MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES

SUMMER/WINTER USAGE - Mcf  
PROJECTED 12 MONTHS ENDING JUNE 2010  
GLGT

<u>Class</u>	<u>Summer Apr-Oct</u>	<u>Winter Nov-Mar</u>	<u>Total</u>
GS	233,890	611,448	845,338
SVI	3,407	13,433	16,840
SVJ	<u>9,673</u>	<u>18,036</u>	<u>27,708</u>
<b>Total</b>	<u>246,970</u>	<u>642,917</u>	<u>889,887</u>

**MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES**

**ENTITLEMENT LEVELS  
PROPOSED TO BE EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2009**

**GLGT**

<b>Type of Capacity or Entitlement</b>	<b>Current Amount Mcf or MMBtu</b>	<b>Proposed Change Mcf or MMBtu</b>	<b>Proposed Amount Mcf or MMBtu</b>
FT0017	4,105	0	4,105
FT0075	1,973	0	1,973
FT0155(12)	2,422	0	2,422
FT0155(5)	1,500	0	1,500
FT8466	500	1,000	1,500
Heating Season Total	10,500		11,500
Non-Heating Season Total	9,000		10,000
<b>Total Entitlement</b>	<b>10,500</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>11,500</b>
Heating Season Forecasted Design Day	10,299		10,802
Non-Heating Season Forecasted Design Day	5,629		6,413
Heating Season Capacity Surplus/Shortage	201		698
Non-Heating Season Capacity Surplus/Shortage	3,371		3,587
Reserve Margin	1.95%		6.46%

## MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES - PNG

### RATE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED DEMAND CHANGE

NOVEMBER 1, 2009

All costs in \$/MMBtu	Last Base Cost of Gas G007,G011/ MR08-836* Oct. 08	Demand Change G011- M-07-XXXX Oct. 07	Last Demand Change G011- M-08-XXXX Oct. 08	GLGT		Current Proposal Effective Nov.1,2009	Result of Proposed Change				
				Most Recent PGA Oct. 2009			Change from Last Rate Case**	Change from Last Demand Change	Change from Last PGA %	Change from Last PGA \$	
<b>1) General Service: Avg. Annual Use:</b>						<b>146</b>	<b>Mcf</b>				
Commodity Cost	\$8.3290	\$6.9623	\$6.9436	\$3.6667	\$4.3661	-47.58%	-37.29%	19.07%	\$0.6994		
Demand Cost	\$0.8348	\$0.8835	\$0.7995	\$0.7964	\$0.8445	1.16%	-4.41%	6.04%	\$0.0481		
Commodity Margin	\$1.6263	\$1.1771	\$1.6263	\$1.6263	\$1.6263	0.00%	38.16%	0.00%	\$0.0000		
Total Cost of Gas	\$10.7901	\$9.0229	\$9.3694	\$6.0894	\$6.8369	-36.64%	-24.23%	12.27%	\$0.7475		
Avg Annual Cost	\$1,580.29	\$1,321.47	\$1,372.22	\$891.84	\$1,001.31	-36.64%	-24.23%	12.27%	\$109.47		
Effect of proposed commodity change on average annual bills:									\$102.43		
Effect of proposed demand change on average annual bills:									\$7.04		
<b>2) Small Vol. Interruptible: Avg. Annual Use:</b>						<b>4,036</b>	<b>Mcf</b>				
Commodity Cost	\$8.3290	\$6.9623	\$6.9436	\$3.6667	\$4.3661	-47.58%	-37.29%	19.07%	\$0.6994		
Demand Cost											
Commodity Margin	\$1.2434	\$0.9000	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	0.00%	38.16%	0.00%	\$0.0000		
Total Cost of Gas	\$9.5724	\$7.8623	\$8.1870	\$4.9101	\$5.6095	-41.40%	-28.65%	14.24%	\$0.6994		
Avg Annual Cost	\$38,633.82	\$31,731.93	\$33,042.40	\$19,816.97	\$22,639.58	-41.40%	-28.65%	14.24%	\$2,822.62		
Effect of proposed commodity change on average annual bills:									\$2,822.62		
Effect of proposed demand change on average annual bills:									\$0.00		
<b>3) Small Vol. Firm: Avg. Annual Use:</b>						<b>5,462</b>	<b>Mcf</b>				
<b>Avg. Annual CD units:</b>						<b>50</b>					
Commodity Cost	\$8.3290	\$6.9623	\$6.9436	\$3.6667	\$4.3661	-47.58%	-37.29%	19.07%	\$0.6994		
Demand Cost	\$3.4580	\$3.4580	\$3.4580	\$3.4580	\$3.4580	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000		
Commodity Margin	\$1.2434	\$0.9000	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	0.00%	38.16%	0.00%	\$0.0000		
Demand Margin	\$2.0724	\$1.5000	\$2.0724	\$2.0724	\$2.0724	0.00%	38.16%	0.00%	\$0.0000		
Total Cost of Gas	\$9.5724	\$7.8623	\$8.1870	\$4.9101	\$5.6095	-41.40%	-28.65%	14.24%	\$0.6994		
Total Demand Cost	\$5.5304	\$4.9580	\$5.5304	\$5.5304	\$5.5304	0.00%	11.54%	0.00%	\$0.0000		
Avg Annual Cost	\$52,565.37	\$43,195.40	\$44,997.68	\$27,097.74	\$30,918.01	-41.18%	-28.42%	14.10%	\$3,820.26		
Effect of proposed commodity change on average annual bills:									\$3,820.26		
Effect of proposed demand change on average annual bills:									\$0.00		

Note: Average Annual Average based on PNG Annual Automatic Adjustment Report in  
Docket No. E,G999/AA-09-896

\*Implemented with Interim rates

\*\*Interim rates implented on 10/1/08



**MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES - PNG**

**RATE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED DEMAND CHANGE**

NOVEMBER 1, 2009

GLGT

II. GREAT LAKES TRANSMISSION'S RATES -- CURRENT COST OF GAS EFFECTIVE								01-Nov-09	CURRENT
Commodity From Schedule D									\$0.43520
III. ANNUAL SALES -- As filed in Docket No. G007,011/MR-08-836									
Total Great Lakes Sales									10,663,940 therms
IV. PNG'S -- CURRENT COST OF GAS EFFECTIVE								01-Nov-09	CURRENT
		Season	Monthly Entitlement (MCF)	Months	Rate (\$/MCF)	Contract Costs	GS-5 Sales (therm)	Rate (\$/therm)	
<b>A. GS-5</b>	FT-0017	Annual	4,105	12	\$3.4580	\$170,341	8,626,910	\$0.01975	
	FT-0075	Annual	1,973	12	\$3.4580	\$81,872	8,626,910	\$0.00949	
	FT-0155(12)	Annual	2,422	12	\$3.4580	\$100,503	8,626,910	\$0.01165	
	FT-0155(5)	Winter	1,500	5	\$3.4580	\$25,935	8,626,910	\$0.00301	
	FT-8466	Winter	1,500	12	\$3.4580	\$62,244	8,626,910	\$0.00722	
	Exchange	Annual	162,508	1	\$1.7700	\$287,639	8,626,910	\$0.03334	
<b>GS-5 Current Demand Cost of Gas/therm</b>						\$728,534	8,626,910	<b>\$0.08445</b>	
<b>Current Commodity Cost of Gas/therm</b>								<b>\$0.43661</b>	
<b>GS-5 Current Total Cost of Gas/therm</b>								<b>\$0.52106</b>	
<b>B. GS-5, SVI-5, SJ-5 &amp; LJ-5 Commodity</b>									
	Current T-17 Commodity Cost of Gas/therm							\$0.43520	
	<b>Call Option Premium</b>						\$ 12,126.92	10,663,940	<b>0.001405708</b>
<b>GS-5, SVI-5, SJ-5 &amp; LJ-5 Commodity Current Cost of Gas/therm</b>								<b>\$0.43661</b>	
<b>C. SJ-5</b>	Current T-17 Demand Cost of Gas/therm							\$0.34580	
	Current T-17 Commodity Cost of Gas/therm							\$0.43661	
<b>D. LJ-5</b>	Current T-17 Demand Cost of Gas/therm							\$0.34580	
	Current T-17 Commodity Cost of Gas/therm							\$0.43661	



**Attachment 6**  
**Peoples' Great Lakes Area Demand Entitlements Historical and Current Proposal**

**MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES - PNG**

Attachment 6

GLGT

2006-07		2007-08	
G011/M-06-	Quantity (Mcf)	G011/M-07-1404	Quantity (Mcf)
T-17	4,105	FT0017	4,105
FT-075 Res fee	1,973	FT0075	1,973
FT-155 (12)	2,422	FT0155	2,422
FT-155 (5)	1,500	FT0155	1,500
		FT0011	423
		FT8466	0
<b>Total Design Day Capacity</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>Total Design Day Capacity</b>	<b>10,000</b>
<b>Total GL Transportation</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>Total GL Transportation</b>	<b>10,423</b>
<b>Total Transportation</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>Total Transportation</b>	<b>10,000</b>
<b>Total Seasonal Transport</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>Total Seasonal Transport</b>	<b>1,500</b>
<b>Percent Seasonal on GL</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>Percent Seasonal on GL</b>	<b>14.4%</b>

2008-09		2009-10		Change in
G011/M-08-1330	Quantity (Mcf)	G011/M-09-	Quantity (Mcf)	Quantity
FT0017	4,105	FT0017	4,105	0
FT0075	1,973	FT0075	1,973	0
FT0155	2,422	FT0155	2,422	0
FT0155	1,500	FT0155	1,500	0
FT0011	0	FT0011	0	0
FT8466	500	FT8466	1,500	1,000
<b>Total Design Day Capacity</b>	<b>10,500</b>	<b>Total Design Day Capacity</b>	<b>11,500</b>	<b>1,000</b>
<b>Total GL Transportation</b>	<b>10,500</b>	<b>Total GL Transportation</b>	<b>11,500</b>	<b>1,000</b>
<b>Total Transportation</b>	<b>10,500</b>	<b>Total Transportation</b>	<b>11,500</b>	<b>1,000</b>
<b>Total Seasonal Transport</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>Total Seasonal Transport</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Percent Seasonal on GL</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>Percent Seasonal on GL</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>-1.2%</b>

## MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES - PNG

Attachment 7  
GLGT

Page 1 of 1

	Base Cost of Gas Change	Last Demand Change	Most Recent PGA	Nov 1/09 PGA w/ Proposed Demand Changes**	% Change From Last Rate Case^^	% Change From Last Demand Filing	% Change From Last PGA	\$ Change From Last PGA
General Service	G011/MR08-836^	M-08-XXXX	Oct 1/09					
Commodity Cost of Gas (WACOG)	\$8.3290	\$6.9436	\$3.6667	\$4.3661	-47.58%	-37.12%	19.07%	\$0.6994
Demand Cost of Gas	\$0.8348	\$0.7995	\$0.7964	\$0.8445	1.16%	5.63%	6.04%	\$0.0481
Commodity Margin	\$1.6263	\$1.6263	\$1.6263	\$1.6263	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Recovery	\$10.7901	\$9.3694	\$6.0894	\$6.8369	-36.64%	-27.03%	12.27%	\$0.7475
Average Annual Usage (Mcf)	146	146	146	146				
Average Annual Bill*	\$1,580.29	\$1,372.22	\$891.84	\$1,001.31	-36.64%	-27.03%	12.27%	\$109.47

	Base Cost of Gas Change	Last Demand Change	Most Recent PGA	Nov 1/09 PGA w/ Proposed Demand Changes**	% Change From Last Rate Case^^	% Change From Last Demand Filing	% Change From Last PGA	\$ Change From Last PGA
Small Volume Interruptible	G011/MR08-836^	M-08-XXXX	Oct 1/09					
Commodity Cost of Gas (WACOG)	\$8.3290	\$6.9436	\$3.6667	\$4.3661	-47.58%	-37.12%	19.07%	\$0.6994
Demand Cost of Gas								
Commodity Margin	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Recovery	\$9.5724	\$8.1870	\$4.9101	\$5.6095	-41.40%	-31.48%	14.24%	\$0.6994
Average Annual Usage (Mcf)	4,036	4,036	4,036	4,036				
Average Annual Bill*	\$38,633.82	\$33,042.40	\$19,816.97	\$22,639.58	-41.40%	-31.48%	14.24%	\$2,822.62

	Base Cost of Gas Change	Last Demand Change	Most Recent PGA	Nov 1/09 PGA w/ Proposed Demand Changes**	% Change From Last Rate Case^^	% Change From Last Demand Filing	% Change From Last PGA	\$ Change From Last PGA
Small Volume Firm	G011/MR08-836^	M-08-XXXX	Oct 1/09					
Commodity Cost of Gas (WACOG)	\$8.3290	\$6.9436	\$3.6667	\$4.3661	-47.58%	-37.12%	19.07%	\$0.6994
Demand Cost of Gas	\$3.4580	\$3.4580	\$3.4580	\$3.4580	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Commodity Margin	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	\$1.2434	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Demand Margin	\$2.0724	\$2.0724	\$2.0724	\$2.0724	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Commodity Cost	\$9.5724	\$8.1870	\$4.9101	\$5.6095	-41.40%	-31.48%	14.24%	\$0.6994
Total Demand Cost	\$5.5304	\$5.5304	\$5.5304	\$5.5304	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	\$0.0000
Total Recovery	\$30.2056	\$27.4348	\$20.8810	\$22.2797	-26.24%	-18.79%	6.70%	\$1.3987
Average Annual Usage (Mcf)	5,462	5,462	5,462	5,462				
Average Annual CD units (Mcf)	50	50	50	50				
Average Annual Commodity Bill*	\$52,565	\$44,997.68	\$27,098	\$30,918	-41.18%	-31.29%	14.10%	\$3,820.26

	Commodity Change (\$/Mcf)	Commodity Change (%)	Demand Change (\$/Mcf)	Demand Change (%)	Total Change (\$/Mcf)	Total Change (%)
Summary						
General Service	\$0.6994	19.07%	\$0.0481	6.04%	\$0.7475	12.27%
Small Volume Interruptible	\$0.6994	19.07%	\$0.0000	0.00%	\$0.6994	14.24%
Small/Large Volume Firm	\$0.6994	0.00%	\$0.0000	0.00%	\$1.3987	6.70%

\* Average Annual Bill amount does not include customer charges

\*\* Commodity includes Upstream costs.

^ Implemented with Interim rates

^^ Interim rates implemented on 10/1/08

## MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES - PNG

**Attachment 8**

**GLGT**

**Peoples Great Lakes -- Current Cost of Gas Effective**

	Oct. 2009 Entitlements	Nov. 2009 Entitlements	Entitlement Change	Months	Oct. 2009 Rate	Oct. 2009 Total Annual Cost	Nov. 2009 Total Annual Cost	Total Annual Cost Change
T-17 Demand	4,105	4,105	0	12	\$3.4580	\$170,341	\$170,341	\$0
FT-075- Res Fee	1,973	1,973	0	12	\$3.4580	\$81,872	\$81,872	\$0
FT-155 (12)	2,422	2,422	0	12	\$3.4580	\$100,503	\$100,503	\$0
FT-155 (5)	1,500	1,500	0	5	\$3.4580	\$25,935	\$25,935	\$0
FT-8466	500	1,500	1,000	12	\$3.4580	\$20,748	\$62,244	\$41,496
Nexen PSO	162508	162,508	0	1	\$ 1.7700	\$287,639	\$ 287,639	\$0
						<u>\$687,038</u>	<u>\$728,534</u>	<u>\$41,496</u>



**MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES**

GLGT WINTER PLAN (PNG)  
NOVEMBER, 2009 THROUGH MARCH, 2010

[TRADE SECRET DATA BEGINS

<u>PHYSICAL FIXED PRICE HEDGES - GLGT</u>	<u>Deal #</u>	<u>Trigger Locked</u>	<u>Trigger Exercised</u>	<u>Receipt Point</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>	<u>Daily Volumes</u>			<u>Monthly Total</u>
							<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	

Total Actual Fixed/Option Physical					1,001	646	968	715	968	130,076
------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	---------

<u>INDEX - GLGT</u>	<u>Contract Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Receipt Point</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>Total</u>
---------------------	------------------------	-------------	----------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	--------------

Total Actual Seasonal Index				1,000	1,613	1,613	1,429	1,290	210,009
-----------------------------	--	--	--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	---------

GAS DAILY PACKAGES

NO Gas Daily Peakers

STORAGE  
No Storage

TRADE SECRET DATA ENDS]

## MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES - PNG

Attachment 10

Daily Total Throughput Data - July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009

GLGT

Base	499
Variable	109

Date	78.00% Bemidji Adjusted HDD	22.00% Cloquet Adjusted HDD	100.00% Weighted Adjusted HDD	Actual Total Through- Put *	Estimated Through- Put
7/1/08	0	0	0	1,131	499
7/2/08	8	1	6	1,493	1,186
7/3/08	9	8	9	1,010	1,487
7/4/08	3	7	4	772	939
7/5/08	0	0	0	970	499
7/6/08	0	0	0	722	499
7/7/08	2	8	3	977	861
7/8/08	7	7	7	1,299	1,239
7/9/08	2	2	2	872	731
7/10/08	1	5	2	879	713
7/11/08	0	11	2	1,073	763
7/12/08	5	2	4	1,031	962
7/13/08	2	2	2	1,603	760
7/14/08	0	2	0	1,504	549
7/15/08	0	0	0	1,193	499
7/16/08	2	1	2	977	699
7/17/08	0	6	1	895	647
7/18/08	2	0	2	950	678
7/19/08	7	9	8	911	1,317
7/20/08	0	2	0	1,236	548
7/21/08	0	4	1	1,383	600
7/22/08	0	3	1	1,413	575
7/23/08	0	7	2	1,384	674
7/24/08	0	0	0	1,430	499
7/25/08	0	0	0	1,015	499
7/26/08	0	0	0	741	499
7/27/08	0	0	0	1,432	499
7/28/08	0	0	0	1,431	499
7/29/08	0	0	0	1,149	499
7/30/08	0	0	0	1,115	499
7/31/08	0	1	0	776	523
8/1/08	0	0	0	755	499
8/2/08	0	2	0	714	549
8/3/08	0	2	0	913	549
8/4/08	0	0	0	920	499
8/5/08	0	0	0	1,066	499
8/6/08	0	1	0	806	525
8/7/08	2	2	2	878	730
8/8/08	4	3	4	839	921
8/9/08	0	4	1	1,002	603
8/10/08	0	10	2	750	746
8/11/08	3	3	3	778	854
8/12/08	0	4	1	928	599
8/13/08	0	0	0	1,072	499
8/14/08	0	1	0	838	525
8/15/08	4	6	5	915	996
8/16/08	0	0	0	690	499
8/17/08	0	0	0	853	499
8/18/08	0	0	0	754	499



9/26/08	0	0	0	921	499
9/27/08	14	13	14	1,071	1,973
9/28/08	14	17	15	1,517	2,107
9/29/08	21	16	20	1,791	2,661
9/30/08	22	21	22	1,805	2,848
10/1/08	19	19	19	1,846	2,583
10/2/08	15	17	16	1,643	2,195
10/3/08	24	25	24	1,800	3,153
10/4/08	19	23	20	1,767	2,684
10/5/08	15	21	16	1,642	2,251
10/6/08	13	16	13	1,480	1,967
10/7/08	16	16	16	1,859	2,219
10/8/08	14	15	14	1,985	2,063
10/9/08	20	19	20	2,104	2,632
10/10/08	26	24	26	2,603	3,310
10/11/08	20	15	19	3,134	2,577
10/12/08	8	13	9	1,657	1,450
10/13/08	13	14	14	2,328	1,978
10/14/08	24	22	24	1,983	3,077
10/15/08	26	24	26	2,224	3,289
10/16/08	26	26	26	2,368	3,312
10/17/08	27	25	26	2,164	3,381
10/18/08	19	25	20	1,650	2,713
10/19/08	23	17	21	2,383	2,838
10/20/08	30	31	31	3,110	3,839
10/21/08	31	29	31	2,599	3,870
10/22/08	26	29	27	2,847	3,389
10/23/08	21	24	22	2,147	2,866
10/24/08	24	24	24	2,662	3,094
10/25/08	22	21	22	2,379	2,900
10/26/08	33	28	32	4,026	3,944
10/27/08	40	37	40	4,140	4,807
10/28/08	31	32	31	3,687	3,900
10/29/08	27	29	28	2,940	3,518
10/30/08	10	14	11	1,773	1,673
10/31/08	26	25	25	2,642	3,278
11/1/08	25	25	25	2,032	3,215
11/2/08	16	20	17	1,716	2,316
11/3/08	9	16	10	1,889	1,632
11/4/08	12	7	11	1,932	1,659
11/5/08	12	12	12	1,537	1,779
11/6/08	22	20	21	2,101	2,835
11/7/08	34	32	33	3,423	4,139
11/8/08	48	46	48	4,741	5,682
11/9/08	50	46	49	4,916	5,853
11/10/08	46	45	46	4,780	5,478
11/11/08	40	37	39	4,078	4,778
11/12/08	35	35	35	3,586	4,338
11/13/08	35	31	34	3,459	4,183
11/14/08	42	37	41	4,143	4,971
11/15/08	45	44	45	4,296	5,353
11/16/08	47	45	46	4,429	5,550
11/17/08	53	50	52	5,204	6,204
11/18/08	47	46	47	4,750	5,612
11/19/08	52	50	52	5,545	6,121
11/20/08	61	61	61	6,993	7,139
11/21/08	56	54	55	6,177	6,514
11/22/08	46	46	46	4,509	5,499
11/23/08	39	43	40	4,337	4,847
11/24/08	49	51	50	5,164	5,901
11/25/08	46	50	47	5,222	5,600
11/26/08	40	44	41	4,155	4,953

1/3/09	69	59	67	7,020	7,802
1/4/09	88	80	86	9,952	9,875
1/5/09	78	70	76	9,037	8,793
1/6/09	61	54	60	7,691	7,023
1/7/09	69	69	69	7,932	8,029
1/8/09	68	71	69	7,834	8,014
1/9/09	67	67	67	7,890	7,819
1/10/09	63	61	63	6,882	7,313
1/11/09	62	64	63	7,815	7,350
1/12/09	87	81	86	9,953	9,846
1/13/09	88	83	87	10,393	10,010
1/14/09	92	90	92	11,491	10,511
1/15/09	87	85	87	11,054	9,954
1/16/09	80	80	80	9,183	9,251
1/17/09	55	66	58	7,654	6,770
1/18/09	58	56	58	7,717	6,803
1/19/09	62	58	61	7,845	7,173
1/20/09	50	57	52	6,272	6,147
1/21/09	46	53	48	5,707	5,712
1/22/09	60	54	59	6,247	6,892
1/23/09	85	79	84	9,021	9,633
1/24/09	84	80	83	9,278	9,597
1/25/09	83	80	82	9,479	9,486
1/26/09	79	78	79	10,341	9,075
1/27/09	72	69	71	8,379	8,289
1/28/09	65	66	66	7,533	7,650
1/29/09	80	74	79	8,693	9,099
1/30/09	63	64	63	6,822	7,341
1/31/09	40	38	40	5,422	4,812
2/1/09	66	60	65	6,821	7,557
2/2/09	83	74	81	9,083	9,342
2/3/09	77	76	76	9,093	8,833
2/4/09	72	69	71	7,901	8,240
2/5/09	49	47	49	5,918	5,828
2/6/09	37	40	38	4,605	4,649
2/7/09	49	47	48	5,205	5,755
2/8/09	38	42	39	4,338	4,713
2/9/09	34	35	34	4,245	4,214
2/10/09	34	36	34	4,024	4,250
2/11/09	41	37	40	4,468	4,911
2/12/09	54	48	52	5,395	6,221
2/13/09	58	55	57	5,947	6,766
2/14/09	67	64	66	6,952	7,694
2/15/09	61	57	60	6,915	7,048
2/16/09	47	45	47	6,026	5,584
2/17/09	57	53	56	6,473	6,587
2/18/09	72	72	72	8,326	8,385
2/19/09	64	67	65	7,759	7,530
2/20/09	58	57	58	7,080	6,814
2/21/09	65	61	64	6,813	7,468
2/22/09	64	66	65	6,889	7,557
2/23/09	61	57	60	6,168	7,002
2/24/09	44	42	43	4,471	5,236
2/25/09	64	50	61	6,357	7,169
2/26/09	75	69	73	7,947	8,509
2/27/09	73	75	74	8,430	8,546
2/28/09	77	66	75	8,232	8,628
3/1/09	68	70	68	8,581	7,950
3/2/09	57	58	57	7,261	6,745
3/3/09	46	49	47	5,762	5,572
3/4/09	37	38	38	4,447	4,589
3/5/09	30	31	30	3,592	3,783

4/12/09	19	24	20	3,065	2,659
4/13/09	19	28	21	3,204	2,742
4/14/09	19	26	20	2,280	2,704
4/15/09	14	22	16	2,980	2,260
4/16/09	10	14	11	1,803	1,650
4/17/09	20	9	17	1,845	2,393
4/18/09	29	25	28	2,639	3,533
4/19/09	24	35	26	2,821	3,383
4/20/09	32	33	33	3,255	4,053
4/21/09	25	26	25	2,653	3,265
4/22/09	23	21	22	2,511	2,940
4/23/09	8	8	8	1,589	1,358
4/24/09	33	22	30	3,312	3,804
4/25/09	24	25	24	2,589	3,128
4/26/09	30	32	31	3,645	3,849
4/27/09	30	30	30	3,163	3,748
4/28/09	20	25	21	2,448	2,784
4/29/09	21	27	22	2,848	2,929
4/30/09	28	18	26	2,784	3,305
5/1/09	22	24	22	2,555	2,927
5/2/09	22	22	22	2,440	2,853
5/3/09	21	22	21	2,062	2,836
5/4/09	10	16	11	1,606	1,726
5/5/09	17	14	16	2,170	2,282
5/6/09	6	5	6	1,790	1,178
5/7/09	20	12	18	2,017	2,508
5/8/09	24	20	23	2,164	3,007
5/9/09	30	25	29	2,685	3,680
5/10/09	22	23	22	2,778	2,922
5/11/09	11	15	12	2,826	1,778
5/12/09	11	7	10	1,935	1,624
5/13/09	21	15	19	2,111	2,609
5/14/09	30	24	28	2,514	3,599
5/15/09	20	25	21	2,232	2,830
5/16/09	25	28	26	2,042	3,290
5/17/09	9	13	10	1,442	1,555
5/18/09	10	11	10	1,454	1,619
5/19/09	9	28	13	1,682	1,945
5/20/09	0	0	0	1,281	499
5/21/09	20	18	19	1,358	2,607
5/22/09	11	8	10	1,294	1,607
5/23/09	20	17	19	1,534	2,566
5/24/09	6	9	7	1,685	1,266
5/25/09	10	16	11	1,926	1,729
5/26/09	19	15	18	2,646	2,459
5/27/09	16	24	18	1,891	2,408
5/28/09	11	9	10	1,299	1,626
5/29/09	9	11	9	1,138	1,509
5/30/09	17	22	18	1,677	2,425
5/31/09	11	21	13	1,448	1,930
6/1/09	13	14	13	1,215	1,905
6/2/09	21	21	21	1,548	2,815
6/3/09	6	11	7	1,151	1,304
6/4/09	5	3	4	1,060	963
6/5/09	26	21	25	1,875	3,199
6/6/09	18	21	19	1,500	2,538
6/7/09	17	24	18	1,287	2,501
6/8/09	22	22	22	1,747	2,872
6/9/09	17	12	16	1,498	2,254
6/10/09	13	13	13	1,551	1,885
6/11/09	11	15	12	1,084	1,759
6/12/09	1	6	2	1,531	743

## MINNESOTA ENERGY RESOURCES - PNG

Attachment 11

Customer Counts by PGAC Class - July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009  
GLGT

Rate Class	Tariff Rate Designation	Jul-08 Average Customers	Aug-08 Average Customers	Sep-08 Average Customers	Oct-08 Average Customers	Nov-08 Average Customers	Dec-08 Average Customers	Jan-09 Average Customers	Feb-09 Average Customers	Mar-09 Average Customers	Apr-09 Average Customers	May-09 Average Customers	Jun-09 Average Customers
Residential w/ Heat	MN006	4,764	4,744	4,695	4,815	4,954	4,983	5,227	5,146	5,139	5,022	5,016	5,515
Residential w/o Heat	MN005	36	37	33	33	36	34	35	37	34	34	34	36
Commercial-SV	MN052/074	412	404	7	412	421	423	430	437	453	429	421	420
Commercial-LV	MN062/075	506	506	506	502	466	511	523	524	527	514	510	515
SV-Joint	MN106	5	5	5	5	4	5	6	5	4	5	5	5
SV-Interruptible	MN127	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Transport	MN509	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transport	MN83L	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Total		5,731	5,704	5,254	5,775	5,889	5,964	6,229	6,157	6,165	6,012	5,994	6,499











\*\*\*PUBLIC DOCUMENT - TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED\*\*\*

Projected Storage/Exchange Volumes Cost - November 2009 through March 2010

[TRADE SECRET DATA BEGINS







TRADE DATA SECRET ENDS]

\*\*\*PUBLIC DOCUMENT - TRADE SECRET DATA EXCISED\*\*\*

