



November 28, 2022

—Via Electronic Filing—

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: REPLY COMMENTS

BORDER WINDS & PLEASANT VALLEY WIND REPOWERING PROJECTS

DOCKET NO. E002/M-20-620

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission the enclosed Reply Comments regarding the updated pricing for the Border Winds and Pleasant Valley Wind Repowering Projects in response to Comments received from the Department of Commerce.

We appreciate the Commission's time and consideration with this matter. Portions of the enclosed Reply Comments and Attachments are marked "NOT PUBLIC" as they contain information the Company considers to be trade secret data as defined by Minn. Stat. § 13.37(1)(b). This data includes confidential pricing forecasts and assumptions as well as contract terms. The information has independent economic value from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by, other parties who could obtain economic value from its disclosure or use. We have marked additional information as "NOT PUBLIC" because the knowledge of such information in conjunction with public information in our Petition could also adversely impact future contract negotiations, potentially increasing costs for our customers. Thus, the Company maintains this information as a trade secret.

Please note, Attachments A through N to this filing contain information classified as trade secret pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 13.37 for the above-noted reasons and are marked as "Not-Public" in their entirety. Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.0500, subp. 3, the Company provides the following description of the excised material:

Attachment A:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** A live, Microsoft Excel file that provides a summary of annual revenue requirements, PVRR, and LCOE for both projects as filed in the original September 29, 2020 petition compared to the October 14, 2022 petition
- 2. **Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. **Importance:** This data includes confidential cost information.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachments B through I:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** The attachments are supporting revenue requirements models provided in live Excel spreadsheet format.
- 2. **Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. **Importance:** This data includes confidential cost information, and the Company considers the models as proprietary in their design.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachment J:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** A live, Microsoft Excel file providing a breakdown of the capital cost increases.
- 2. **Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. Importance: This data includes confidential cost information.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachment K:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** A live, Microsoft Excel file detailing production tax credits information.
- 2. **Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. **Importance:** This data includes confidential production and PTC information.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachment L:

- 1. Nature of the Material: A live, Microsoft Excel file providing a summary of the benefits due to the IRA.
- **2. Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. Importance: This data includes confidential cost information.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachments M and N:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** The attachments are supporting revenue requirements models provided in a live Excel spreadsheet format.
- **2. Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- **3. Importance:** This data includes confidential cost information, and the Company considers the models as proprietary in their design.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

We have electronically filed this document with the Commission, and copies have been served on the parties on the attached service lists. Please contact Madeline Lydon at madeline.k.lydon@xcelenergy.com or me at monsherra.s.blank@xcelenergy.com if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/

MONSHERRA S. BLANK DIRECTOR, REGULATORY & STRATEGIC ANALYSIS

Enclosures cc: Service List

STATE OF MINNESOTA BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Katie J. Sieben	Chair
Valerie Means	Commissioner
Matthew Schuerger	Commissioner
Joseph K. Sullivan	Commissioner
John A. Tuma	Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF XCEL ENERGY FOR APPROVAL OF THE ACQUISITION OF REPOWERED WIND GENERATION TO SUPPORT ECONOMIC RELIEF AND RECOVERY

DOCKET NO. E002/M-20-620

REPLY COMMENTS

INTRODUCTION

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission these Reply Comments regarding the updated pricing for the Border Winds and Pleasant Valley Wind Repowering Projects (the Projects) in response to Comments received from the Department of Commerce.

We appreciate the Minnesota Department of Commerce's (the Department) thorough review of the Company's Petition and have provided answers to their questions below. While there have been challenges that have put upward pressures on costs for the Projects, the benefits of the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), combined with the Company's work to maximize production tax credit (PTC) benefits for our customers, will more than offset these cost increases and result in significant additional customer savings compared to the initial filing. The Company believes there is still great value in these Projects for our customers. To keep the Projects on track, we hope the Commission can reach a decision by March 2023.

REPLY COMMENTS

I. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION REQUESTED

A. Provide workpapers (in both PDF and spreadsheet form) detailing the forecasted and historical annual costs, benefits, and production levels of

the project, as newly forecasted and as forecasted in the original September 29, 2020 petition,

Attachment A provides a summary of annual revenue requirements, PVRR, and LCOE for both projects as filed in the original September 29, 2020 petition compared to the October 14, 2022 petition. Attachment A includes labels referencing the detailed supporting revenue requirement models, provided as Attachments B through I. The updated models reflect higher capital costs, COD deferral to 2025, and the impact of IRA as described in the October 14, 2022 petition.

B. Tie the workpapers to all cost and benefit numbers cited in the October 14, 2022 petition,

Attachments A through I, as noted above, support the LCOEs in the October 14, 2022 petition.

The following additional attachments are provided in support of other cost and benefit numbers cited in the October 14, 2022 petition:

- Attachment J contains a breakdown of the \$89 million in capital cost increases with links to the supporting models,
- Attachment K details the **[PROTECTED DATA BEGINS] PROTECTED DATA ENDS]** in PVRR benefits from PTCs preserved on the original projects by deferring the repower CODs to 2025,
- Attachment L is a summary of the **[PROTECTED DATA BEGINS] PROTECTED DATA ENDS]** in PVRR benefits due to the IRA, and includes labels referencing the detailed supporting revenue requirement models (Attachments E, I, M, and N).
- C. Provide updated Encompass modeling and present value of revenue requirements (PVRR) and present value of societal costs (PVSC) calculations, both on an individual basis and as a total portfolio, as required by the January 22, 2021 order,

The Department interpreted the Commission's January 22, 2021 Order as requiring updated Encompass modeling on both an individual basis and total portfolio basis. The Commission's Order states:

For future repowering petitions that include more than one project, Xcel shall evaluate the proposed wind projects both on an individual basis and as a total portfolio.

In this case, the Company is not proposing new repowering projects, but providing an update to the costs of two previously-approved repowering projects. The Company disagrees that the Commission Order was intended to require additional Encompass modeling in this circumstance.

Further, while the Department does not indicate another basis for its recommendation beyond the Commission's Order, the Company notes that additional Encompass analysis would provide little value to this proceeding, while creating further demands on limited resources and potentially resulting in delay. The increased capital costs and offsetting benefits of the IRA significantly reduce the annual revenue requirements of Border Winds and Pleasant Valley. The annual revenue requirements are used as inputs to the Encompass model, but do not impact the optimization or dispatch of Encompass. Therefore, a change in revenue requirements does not require re-modeling to assess the impact. A change in the present value of revenue requirements has the same impact on the modeling results.¹

The Encompass modeling conducted as part of our September 29, 2020 petition for approval of the repower portfolio resulted in estimated net benefits of \$260 million in customer savings on a present value of societal cost (PVSC) basis, and \$163 million on a present value of revenue requirement (PVRR) basis. Our October 14, 2022 pricing update discussed changes to the costs of Border Winds and Pleasant Valley, which are further supported in the response to part A and B, above. The net impact, as shown in Attachment A, is a further reduction in the Company's revenue requirement of approximately \$200 million on a present value basis for Border Winds and Pleasant Valley, compared to what the Commission previously approved.² As noted above, such a change in revenue requirements would not impact the dispatch or optimization of the Encompass model.

D. Explain how any new types of transfer of credits to third parties would affect revenue requirements offsets for PTCs relative to pre-IRA,

The cost associated with transferring credits to third parties will be netted against the PTC benefit that is passed on to customers. The Company modeled the cost at **[PROTECTED DATA BEGINS] PROTECTED DATA ENDS]** of the

¹ This assumes the same discount period and rate are used.

² The total benefits of the repower portfolio will increase further as the IRA will also reduce the revenue requirement for Nobles and Grand Meadow.

PTC, which we believe is reasonable. However, we will learn more about the cost to transact as the market for selling credits develops. Prior to the IRA, there was no ability to transfer credits. Instead, customers would receive the PTC benefit net of the cost to carry the PTC in rate base until the Company could utilize the credits to offset taxable income.

E. Explain how ratepayers would benefit from any new types of transfers of credits to third parties,

The transfer of credits to third parties will replace the need to carry unused credits in rate base due to the Company's current inability to utilize credits to offset taxable income. Having the Company carry unused PTCs is expected to have a significantly higher cost to customers than the cost to transfer the credits.

F. Precisely explain under what section (45 or 45Y) the new projects would receive PTCs, what PTC levels are expected, and the uncertainty regarding the level of PTCs,

Both projects would receive PTCs under Sec 45 at the full PTC rate, which is currently 2.75 cents per kilowatt hour. If the projects are placed in service by the end of 2025, the only remaining uncertainty is with respect to potential bonus PTCs for domestic content and the resources being in an energy community. We are awaiting US Department of Treasury/Internal Revenue Service (IRS) guidance and working with our suppliers to determine the projects' applicable domestic content. We are also awaiting IRS guidance regarding energy community qualification requirements; however, at this time, it does not appear that the Border Winds and Pleasant Valley repowers are in energy communities.

G. Explain and quantify to what extent the value of PTCs underlying benefit estimates depends on the prevailing wage and apprenticeship provisions or bonus credit provisions related to energy communities or domestic content,

Both projects began construction in 2021, before the passage of the IRA. Under the applicable IRS continuous construction safe harbor, if the Projects are placed in service by the end of 2025, they are not subject to the prevailing wage and apprenticeship provisions. We are awaiting further guidance from the IRS regarding energy community qualification requirements; however, it appears that neither of these projects are in energy communities. We also do not yet know if the projects will

qualify for the domestic content bonus PTC, as the IRS has not yet defined the qualifications for domestic content bonus. We are awaiting guidance from the IRS and are working with our suppliers to determine domestic content. Currently, our estimates only include the full PTC benefit (currently \$27.50/MWh) and assume that the projects do not qualify for bonus credits.

H. (1) Explain and quantify the uncertainty in PTC estimates related to the inflation adjustment factor, and (2) Explain the "uncertainty in the language surrounding the inflation adjustment factor and the resulting PTC value for credits beginning in 2022,"

There is no longer uncertainty as to the inflation adjustment factor methodology applicable to these projects. The IRS issued Announcement 2022-23 on November 10, 2022, in which it affirmed the applicability of the new inflation adjustment rounding mechanism to projects that are placed in service after 2021.³ The 2022 PTC rate for these newer projects, which would apply to Border Winds and Pleasant Valley with an adjustment for inflation, is 2.75 cents per kilowatt hour, while the 2022 PTC rate for projects placed in service before 2022 remains at 2.6 cents per kilowatt hour.

I. Explain how delaying construction to 2025 or 2026 generates additional PTCs,

The 10 years of PTC eligibility for the existing facilities expires in November 2025 for Pleasant Valley and December 2025 for Border Winds. The Company's initial planned repower COD of December 2024 would result in foregoing the final year of PTCs currently in place for both projects. Delaying construction and COD to 2025 would allow the Company to preserve a significant portion of the final year's PTCs, with some PTCs forfeited during the construction period as wind turbines are taken offline to be repowered. Delaying further into 2026 would allow the Company to preserve 100 percent of the final year's PTCs. However, the Company needs further IRS guidance to determine if a delay into 2026 would qualify the projects for the full PTC under the IRA, as described in the response to question J below.

J. Explain why the projects need to be placed in service by the end of 2025 to satisfy certain PTC requirements and explain which requirements Xcel is referring to and whether these are the safe harbor requirements,

³ https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-drop/a-22-23.pdf

The projects began construction pre-IRA in 2021 when prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements were not applicable. Once a project begins construction, it also must continue construction activities. If a project is placed in service within a certain number of years (usually four years), the IRS presumes that continuous construction has occurred. This is the continuous construction safe harbor. For projects that began construction in 2021, the continues construction deadline is the end of 2025. Since these two repower projects began before the passing of the IRA and are planned to be placed in service prior to the expiration of the continuity safe harbor in 2025, the new prevailing wage/apprenticeship requirements remain inapplicable.

If, instead, the projects are placed in service after 2025, whether they qualify for the full PTC (currently at 2.75 cents per kilowatt hour) ⁴ might depend on if all our contractors will adhere to the prevailing wage/apprenticeship requirements of the IRA throughout the construction period and during the 10-year PTC period. We are expecting guidance from the Treasury/IRS to address situations where a project began construction pre-IRA but was not placed in service prior to the expiration of the current continuous construction safe harbor. The question is whether a project with this construction timeline would be subject to the prevailing wage/apprenticeship provisions to qualify for the full PTC rate. If the IRS provides favorable guidance, we might be able to delay these projects into 2026, if beneficial, without risking loss of the full PTC rate.

K. Provide updated information on when precisely the repowering is expected to occur, how long it will take, and the expected production cuts during construction,

The timelines of the Border Winds and Pleasant Valley repowers have been aligned to maximize the PTCs at each site. The Site Permit Amendment for each project was verbally approved by the respective commissions in Minnesota and North Dakota on October 27, 2022. Both projects are currently scheduled to begin repowering in Q2 2025. If favorable, we may start civil activities, such as the laydown yard or road improvements, in the year prior to repowering, provided further unanticipated federal tax law changes do not affect the schedule. Xcel Energy has not selected a contractor

6

⁴ Note that the IRA establishes a new, lower "base rate" (\$0.003/kWh in 1992 dollars) and provides a 5x multiplier for projects that satisfy the prevailing wage and apprenticeship requirements. Therefore, the full value of the PTC referenced above is based on the base rate times the 5x multiplier – \$0.015/kWh – which equates to \$0.0275 when adjusted for inflation. See: https://www.mcguirewoods.com/client-resources/Alerts/2022/8/inflation-reduction-act-tax-credits-for-wind-projects

for either project, and as such, a finalized sequence is not likely to be known until 2024. However, for comparison, we utilized the information from our most recent repowering project at Nobles. The Nobles project required a downtime of 21 days per turbine - plus an allowance for wind/weather days if applicable.

L. Provide an update on the current production of the facilities and any current construction or repowering activities,

Currently, there are no repowering construction activities at either site. As previously mentioned, we may start civil activities, such as the laydown yard or road improvements, in 2024; however, Xcel Energy has not selected a contractor for either project, and as such, a finalized sequence is not likely to be known until 2024. Construction and repowering activities are currently scheduled to begin in Q2 2025.

M. Explain the open builder's insurance claims cited on page 6 and why builder's insurance has increased by over 20 times from what the Company originally forecasted,

Over the past four to five years, property insurance markets have experienced numerous claims, which have impacted the premiums for current and future projects. The Company has not escaped the impacts of rising premiums, and has additionally filed insurance claims on our wind farms, making the original insurance estimate of [PROTECTED DATA BEGINS PROTECTED DATA ENDS for both Projects is no longer attainable. The [PROTECTED DATA BEGINS **PROTECTED DATA ENDS**] estimated premium we reported in our October 14, 2022 supplemental filing in this docket was based on past insurance placements. Since that placement, the Company changed insurance brokers and utilized them on an early October insurance placement. Based on that result, we are now estimating the insurance cost for both projects to be [PROTECTED] **DATA BEGINS PROTECTED DATA ENDS**]. This changes the cost increase of Border Winds and Pleasant Valley from [PROTECTED DATA **BEGINS** PROTECTED DATA ENDS to [PROTECTED DATA **BEGINS** PROTECTED DATA ENDS].

N. Explain whether Xcel included any forecasted Border Winds and Pleasant Valley revenue requirements in its recovery request in Docket No. E002/GR-21-630 and explain how this updated petition affects that docket,

The Company is not seeking to recover any costs associated with the repowering of Border Winds or Pleasant Valley in its pending electric rate case in Docket No. E002/GR-21-630. Specifically, although the Company included forecasted Border Winds and Pleasant Valley revenue requirements in our MYRP forecast, all those costs were removed through our RES Rider removal and are not being requested as part of MYRP costs of service. Therefore, any changes that have occurred since filing will have no impacts on our rate case.^{5,6}

O. Provide a narrative explaining the annual difference in expected PTCs and an explanation of whether the new credits include any changes for the sale or transfer to third parties,

The Company's initial filing included PTCs for both projects at an expected 60 percent qualification level (2020 rate of \$15.00/MWh adjusted for inflation thereafter), and costs for carrying unused PTCs in the rate base. With the passage of the IRA, we currently anticipate that the projects will qualify for the full credit (2022 rate of \$27.50/MWh adjusted for inflation thereafter). Additionally, we assume the benefit of avoiding the cost to carry PTCs by transferring the credits, net of an estimated [PROTECTED DATA BEGINS PROTECTED DATA ENDS] cost to transfer credits.

P. Explain any anticipated risks (with additional inflation, supply chain issues, workforce issues, land issues, etc.) with delaying construction from 2024 to 2025,

As with any project, we see several risks, including inflation and workforce, land, environmental, and interconnection issues. Our current assessment is that these risks are not sufficiently material to warrant starting the repowering before 2025, as they are difficult to quantify, and they do not outweigh the benefits of prolonging the PTCs.

⁵ 2022-2024 MYRP Workpapers (Docket No. E002/GR-21-630), Volume 4, Section VIII: Adjustments, Tab A44, October 25, 2021.

⁶ In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota, Direct Testimony and Schedules, Benjamin C. Halama (Docket No. E002/GR-21-630), Section VII, subpart D, item 25 and Section VIII, subpart A, item 1, October 25, 2021.

Securing an agreement with Vestas early in the project (by 1Q 2023) mitigates the supply chain risks.⁷

Q. Provide detail on cost changes as a result of contractor changes, including any changes to any costs paid to Vestas,

Xcel Energy signed a safe harbor agreement with Vestas in 2021. The Turbine Supply Agreement for the remainder of the wind turbine components has not yet been executed. We anticipate that execution of the Turbine Supply Agreement will occur after approval of this petition.

Xcel Energy has not yet signed an agreement with any contractor. The procurement process for this will begin after the Turbine Supply Agreement is executed.

R. Explain whether the Company anticipates any effects on transmission issues resulting from anticipated project changes.

We do not foresee any adverse effects due to impacts on the transmission system, as wind repowerings have a very low probability of requiring mitigation. To comply with MISO Tariff and Business Practice Manual provision, replacement of an existing facility is required to have de minimis impact on the grid. When grid changes are submitted to MISO, a reliability assessment is performed to determine impact. Unless there is a significant change (like switching fuel type or decreased output) these are generally found to have insignificant impacts. If MISO determines a change to the grid represents a material modification, that change would be required to enter the MISO Generator Interconnection Queue to address those changes⁸. Beyond this requirement, mitigation of impacts from transmission limitations leading to congestion are actively being developed and implemented by the Company. As discussed in our Informational Filing on November 10, 2022 in Docket No. E002/M-20-620, the Company has recently completed several near-term congestion and curtailment mitigation efforts, which increased overall system capability and decreased curtailments. The Company also has several ongoing efforts to mitigate and reduce curtailments in the near-term (such as Grid Enhancing Technologies), the mid-term

_

⁷ The Vestas contract timeline and risk mitigation is the reason we have asked for a decision by March 2023.

⁸ MISO Business Practice Manual 015: https://cdn.misoenergy.org/BPM%20015%20-

^{%20}Generation%20Interconnection49574.zip

(low cost, high impact system upgrades), and the long-term (MISO Long Range Transmission Planning projects).

CONCLUSION

The Company has worked diligently to keep the Border Winds and Pleasant Valley Projects moving forward amid cost, technical, and logistical challenges, which are occurring in an inflationary environment, and to maximize PTCs and IRA benefits to combat these pressures. These Projects have value for our customers, and it is critical to keep our entire portfolio of wind repowering projects on track to meet approved resource needs. Additionally, the Projects will generate approximately 250 well-paying union construction jobs, maintain existing permanent jobs, and meet the requirements outlined in the economic relief and recovery docket. For these reasons, and the merits previously discussed in the record, the Projects are in the public interest, and the Company respectfully requests that the Commission:

- Approve the updated pricing for the Projects discussed in this Petition, as reasonable and in the public interest; and,
- Continue to allow the Company to pursue cost recovery for our Wind Repowering Portfolio, including the Border Winds and Pleasant Valley Projects, in a future Renewable Energy Standard (RES) Rider filing.

Dated: November 28, 2022

Northern States Power Company

Please note, Attachments A through N to this filing contain information classified as trade secret pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 13.37 for the above-noted reasons and are marked as "Not-Public" in their entirety. Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.0500, subp. 3, the Company provides the following description of the excised material:

Attachment A:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** A live, Microsoft Excel file that provides a summary of annual revenue requirements, PVRR, and LCOE for both projects as filed in the original September 29, 2020 petition compared to the October 14, 2022 petition
- 2. **Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. **Importance:** This data includes confidential cost information.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachments B through I:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** The attachments are supporting revenue requirements models provided in live Excel spreadsheet format.
- 2. **Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. **Importance:** This data includes confidential cost information, and the Company considers the models as proprietary in their design.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachment J:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** A live, Microsoft Excel file providing a breakdown of the capital cost increases.
- 2. **Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. Importance: This data includes confidential cost information.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachment K:

1. **Nature of the Material:** A live, Microsoft Excel file detailing production tax credits information.

- 2. **Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. **Importance:** This data includes confidential production and PTC information.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachment L:

- **1. Nature of the Material:** A live, Microsoft Excel file providing a summary of the benefits due to the IRA.
- **2. Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- 3. Importance: This data includes confidential cost information.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

Attachments M and N:

- 1. **Nature of the Material:** The attachments are supporting revenue requirements models provided in a live Excel spreadsheet format.
- **2. Authors:** The attachments were prepared by the Company's Corporate Development personnel.
- **3. Importance:** This data includes confidential cost information, and the Company considers the models as proprietary in their design.
- 4. Date the Information was Prepared: Fall 2022

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Joshua DePauw, hereby certify	that I have this day served copies of the foregoin	ıg
document on the attached list of	persons.	

- <u>xx</u> by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States mail at Minneapolis, Minnesota
- xx electronic filing

Docket No. E002/M-20-620

Dated this 28 th day of November 2022
/s/
Joshua DePauw

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Ahern	ahern.michael@dorsey.co m	Dorsey & Whitney, LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 1500 Minneapolis, MN 554021498	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Kristine	Anderson	kanderson@greatermngas. com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.& Greater MN Transmission, LLC	1900 Cardinal Lane PO Box 798 Faribault, MN 55021	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Brenda A.	Bjorklund	brenda.bjorklund@centerp ointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state. mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Cody	Chilson	cchilson@greatermngas.co m	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. & Greater MN Transmission, LLC	1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault, MN 55021	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.	12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha, NE 68103-2047	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd. St, Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Riley	Conlin	riley.conlin@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 S. 6th Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Brooke	Cooper	bcooper@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Hillary	Creurer	hcreurer@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Bridget	Dockter	Bridget.Dockter@xcelenerg y.com		N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Michelle	Dreier	mdreier@electricalassociati on.com		N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Ron	Elwood	relwood@mnlsap.org	Legal Services Advocacy Project	970 Raymond Avenue Suite G-40 Saint Paul, MN 55114	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
James C.	Erickson	jericksonkbc@gmail.com	Kelly Bay Consulting	17 Quechee St Superior, WI 54880-4421	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Catherine	Fair	catherine@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 E 7th St St Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance	2720 E. 22nd St Institute for Local Self Reliance Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Kevin	Favero, P.E.	Kevin.T.Favero@Leidos.co m	Leidos Engineering LLC	8918 Xerxes Circle South Bloomington, MN 55431	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Eric	Fehlhaber	efehlhaber@dakotaelectric. com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Daryll	Fuentes	energy@usg.com	USG Corporation	550 W Adams St Chicago, IL 60661	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
James	Garness	james.r.garness@xcelener gy.com		N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLcons ulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Bruce	Gerhardson	bgerhardson@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	PO Box 496 215 S Cascade St Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Allen	Gleckner	gleckner@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 St. Peter Street Ste 350 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn. us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Anita	Grace	anita@gracemulticultural.c om	GRACE Multicltural	12959 196th LN NW Elk River, MN 55330	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Bill	Grant	billgrant@minncap.org	Minnesota Community Action Partnership	MCIT Building 100 Empire Dr Ste 202 St. Paul, MN 55103	Electronic Service 2	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.c om	Kutak Rock LLP	Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M 20-620
Adam	Heinen	aheinen@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Corey	Hintz	chintz@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th Street Farmington, MN 550249583	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Michael	Норре	lu23@ibew23.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	445 Etna Street Ste. 61 St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
MJ	Horner	mj.horner@xcelenergy.com		N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Lori	Hoyum	lhoyum@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Travis	Jacobson	travis.jacobson@mdu.com	Great Plains Natural Gas Company	400 N 4th St Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2950 Yellowtail Ave. Marathon, FL 33050	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Nick	Kaneski	nick.kaneski@enbridge.co m	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	11 East Superior St Ste 125 Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Nicolle	Kupser	nkupser@greatermngas.co m	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. & Greater MN Transmission, LLC	1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault, MN 55021	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
James D.	Larson	james.larson@avantenergy .com	Avant Energy Services	220 S 6th St Ste 1300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.co m	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Annie	Levenson Falk	annielf@cubminnesota.org	Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota	332 Minnesota Street, Suite W1360 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Ryan	Long	ryan.j.long@xcelenergy.co m	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall 401 8th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Susan	Ludwig	sludwig@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting, LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn .us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Stacy	Miller	stacy.miller@minneapolism n.gov	City of Minneapolis	350 S. 5th Street Room M 301 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantene rgy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Matthew	Olsen	molsen@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
John	Pacheco	johnpachecojr@gmail.com		N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Greg	Palmer	gpalmer@greatermngas.co m	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. & Greater MN Transmission, LLC	1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault, MN 55021	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Jose	Perez	jose@hispanicsinenergy.co m	Hispanics in Energy	1017 L Street #719 Sacramento, CA 95814	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Jennifer	Peterson	jjpeterson@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Catherine	Phillips	Catherine.Phillips@wecene rgygroup.com	Minnesota Energy Resources	231 West Michigan St Milwaukee, WI 53203	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Kevin	Pranis	kpranis@liunagroc.com	Laborers' District Council of MN and ND	81 E Little Canada Road St. Paul, Minnesota 55117	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Susan	Romans	sromans@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Legal Dept Duulth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Elizabeth	Schmiesing	eschmiesing@winthrop.co m	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	225 South Sixth Street Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Christine	Schwartz	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th PI E Ste 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	7400 Lyndale Ave S Ste 190 Richfield, MN 55423	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Colleen	Sipiorski	Colleen.Sipiorski@wecener gygroup.com	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation	700 North Adams St Green Bay, WI 54307	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Peggy	Sorum	peggy.sorum@centerpointe nergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Jamez	Staples	jstaples@renewablenrgpart ners.com	Renewable Energy Partners	3033 Excelsior Blvd S Minneapolis, MN 55416	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard	Stasik	richard.stasik@wecenergyg roup.com	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (HOLDING)	231 West Michigan St - P321 Milwaukee, WI 53203	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Kristin	Stastny	kstastny@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP	2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Cary	Stephenson	cStephenson@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
James M	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	150 S 5th St Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Stuart	Tommerdahl	stommerdahl@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 S Cascade St PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Analeisha	Vang	avang@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Laurie	Williams	laurie.williams@sierraclub. org	Sierra Club	Environmental Law Program 1536 Wynkoop St Ste Denver, CO 80202	Electronic Service 200	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Samantha	Williams	swilliams@nrdc.org	Natural Resources Defense Council	20 N. Wacker Drive Ste 1600 Chicago, IL 60606	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Kurt	Zimmerman	kwz@ibew160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620
Patrick	Zomer	Pat.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett PA	150 S 5th St #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-620_E002-M- 20-620