



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Thursday, February 12, 2026

10:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2026-015](#)

**\*\* G022/GR-24-350**

**Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.**

In the Matter of the Application of Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. for Authority to Increase Rates for Natural Gas Utility Service in Minnesota.

Should the Commission reopen, reconsider, and/or clarify its November 26, 2025 Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order? (PUC: **Bonnett**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

2. [Details 2026-013](#)

**\*\* E002/M-25-245**

**Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for Approval of its 2025 Annual Administrative Service Agreement.

Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's 2025 Annual Administrative Service Agreement Petition? (PUC: **Pham**)

**3. [Details 2024-197](#)****\* IP7013/WS-19-619****Apex Clean Energy Holdings, LLC d/b/a  
Big Bend Wind, LLC**

In the Matter of the Application of Big Bend Wind, LLC for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System Site Permit for the up to 300 MW Big Bend Wind Project in Cottonwood and Watonwan Counties, Minnesota.

1. Are there any new or changed human or environmental impacts from the redesigned project when compared to the original project?
2. If the proposed design changes were known to the Commission at the time the site permit was issued, would the Commission have made a different decision?
3. Should the Commission approve Big Bend Wind's petition to amend the site permit for the Big Bend Wind Project?
4. Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter? (PUC: **Davis, Panait**)

## **ADJOURNMENT**

**\* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**\*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

**\*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

**Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.**