

- Not-Public Document – Not For Public Disclosure
 Public Document – Not-Public Data Has Been Excised
 Public Document

Xcel Energy Information Request No. 10
Docket No.: E002/M-25-378
Response To: Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
Requestor: James Worlobah, Hanna Terwilliger, Sophie Nikitas
Date Received: February 26, 2026

Question:

- A. Did Xcel perform modeling to assess the total net benefits or cost (in PVRR and PVSC) of C*C as part of its resource portfolio, compared to a baseline capacity expansion plan? If so, provide the quantitative modeling outcomes and a narrative explanation. If not, why not?
- B. If approved, how will the Company account for C*C in future resource plans and capacity expansion modeling?

Response:

- A. We did not perform any EnCompass modeling to assess the total system impact of C*C because the EnCompass modeling used in our standard resource planning process would not capture the non-bulk-system benefits of C*C, such as distribution system benefits or other locational benefits.
- B. If approved, the Company intends to include C*C as a system resource in the base scenarios of future resource plans and capacity expansion modeling that reflect its actual operational characteristics and MISO accreditation values.
-

Preparer: Bixuan Sun
Title: Director
Department: Resource Planning & Bidding
E-mail: bixuan.sun@xcelenergy.com
Date: March 9, 2026