



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Tuesday, June 26, 2018

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEM

1. [Details 2015-134](#)

**\*\* PL9/CN-14-916; Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership  
PL9/PPL-15-137**

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the Proposed Line 3 Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border;

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Route Permit for the Proposed Line 3 Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border.

Should the Commission reconsider its May 1, 2018 Order Finding Environmental Impact Statement Adequate and Adopting ALJ Lipman's November 2017 Report as Modified? (PUC: **Ek**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration with or without a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

**Attachments:** [Briefing Papers](#)

### ORAL ARGUMENT/DELIBERATION ITEMS

2. [Details 2014-369](#)

**\*\* PL9/CN-14-916 Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership**

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the Proposed Line 3 Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border.

1. Should the Commission grant a certificate of need to Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership's for its proposed Line 3 Replacement Project?
2. Should the Commission adopt as is, modify, or reject the Administrative Law Judge's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation as they apply to its certificate of need decision? (PUC: **Ek, Bahn**)

**Attachments:**[Briefing Papers](#)**3. [Details 2015-150](#)****\*\* PL9/PPL-15-137****Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership**

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Route Permit for the Proposed Line 3 Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border.

1. Should the Commission issue a route permit to Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for its proposed Line 3 Replacement Project?
2. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation as they apply to a route permit decision? (PUC: **Ek**)

**Attachments:**[Briefing Papers](#)

## ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets