



**Minnesota Public Utilities
Commission
Decisions
PUC Agenda Meeting**

121 7th Place East
Suite 350
Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Thursday, January 22, 2015

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DELIBERATION ITEMS

DECISION ITEMS

1. **E289,E017/SA-14-1011 City of Ortonville;
Otter Tail Power Company**
Joint Request of the City of Ortonville (the City) and Otter Tail Power (OTP), collectively the Parties, to Modify Electric Service Territory Boundaries. (PUC: Rebholz; DOC: Lusti) NOTE: Staff agrees with the Department's recommendations.
Approved service territory boundary modification.

2. * **E002/M-14-648 Northern States Power Company d/b/a
Xcel Energy**
In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of Interconnection Tariff Changes.

Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's proposed modifications to its Interconnection Tariff? (PUC: **Twite, Mackenzie**)
Approved modifications to Section 10 tariff sheets with alterations; set fees; required refunds; required compliance filings.

3. * **ET2,E015/CN-14-853 Great River Energy;
Minnesota Power**
In the Matter of the Application of Great River Energy and Minnesota Power for a Certificate of Need for the Motley Area 115 kV Transmission Line Project in Morrison, Cass and Todd Counties, Minnesota.

Should the Commission approve the proposed notice plan?
Should the Commission approve the exemption request? (PUC: **Ham**)
Approved notice plan with modifications; approved variances;

granted exemptions subject to provision of alternative data.

4. ** IP6853/WS-10-1240; **Black Oak Wind, LLC;**
 IP6866/WS-11-831 **Getty Wind Company, LLC**
 In the Matter of the Application of Black Oak Wind, LLC for a Site
 Permit for a 42 MW Large Wind Project in Stearns County,
 Minnesota;
 In the Matter of the Application of Getty Wind Company, LLC for a
 Site Permit for a 40 MW Large Wind Project in Stearns County,
 Minnesota.

Should the Commission reconsider either of its November 18, 2014
Order Amending Site Permits? (PUC: **DeBleekere**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for
reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument.
(Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision
on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral
comments at the Commission meeting.

Reconsideration denied.

ADJOURNMENT

- * One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be
resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets