

April 12<sup>th</sup>, 2021

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 Seventh Place, Suite 350
St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

Re: In the Matter of Reply Comments of Farmers Mutual Telephone Company RDOF ETC application MPUC Docket No. 21-86 and Docket No. 21-77

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Attached please find the Reply Comments of Farmers Mutual Telephone Company.

Please contact the undersigned if further information is needed at 651-621-8306.

Sincerely,

/s/ Mary T. Buley

Mary T. Buley Consultant for Farmers Mutual Telephone Company



April 12, 2021

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7<sup>th</sup> Place E. Suite 350
St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

RE: Docket No: 21-86

This letter [These Reply Comments] are provided on behalf of Farmers Mutual Telephone Company in response to the March 26, 2021 Initial Comments of the Department of Commerce ("Department Comments") and the March 26, 2021 Initial Comments of the Attorney General ("OAG Comments") pertaining to designation eligibility for Rural Digital Opportunity Fund Phase I ("RDOF Phase I").

Farmers Mutual Telephone Company is an Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier ("ILEC") / a Competitive Local Exchange ("CLEC") previously authorized by the Commission to provide LEC service in Minnesota and an Eligible Communications Carrier ("ETC") previously certified by the Commission.

As an ILEC / a CLEC, the Company is already subject to Minnesota statutes and to the Commission's rules and requirements relating to provision of telephone service in Minnesota. These obligations include rules and requirements relating to: (1) consumer protections; (2) provision of stand-alone voice service; and (3) service area expansions. As an ETC, Farmers Mutual Telephone Company is also already subject to all federal requirements pertaining to Tribal Engagement and Lifeline.

Farmers Mutual Telephone Company also has an established record of meeting all LEC service obligations and all obligations of an ETC.

In light of these facts, Farmers Mutual Telephone Company does not object to recommendations in the Department Comments and the OAG Comments to impose obligations to meet existing Commission rules and requirements and federal requirements on entities that receive designation from the Commission as an ETC for RDOF Phase I support.

Farmers Mutual Telephone Company does oppose recommendations in the OAG Comments that would impose additional obligations on entities with an established track records of meeting LEC service and ETC obligations. The recommendations that Farmers Mutual Telephone Company opposes for established providers are the OAG recommendations that ETCs:

- Develop a consumer service inquiry process;
- Provide network buildout updates for the first two years of RDOF Phase I support; and
- Monitor open Commission ETC-related proceedings for additional obligations that may arise after the receipt of an RDOF Phase I ETC designation.

There is no need to impose any of these additional obligations at this time since none would become applicable at this early phase of the RDOF Phase I process. Further, the cost and feasibility of adopting these additional obligations, and the need for such processes with established LECs, are far from clear. As such, consideration of these obligations should not be part of this initial designation process.

Farmers Mutual Telephone Company appreciates the opportunity to provide these Reply Comments.

Respectfully,

Kevin Beyer

CEO/General Manager