

**Minnesota Public Utilities Commission** 

# PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, January 23, 2025

10:00 AM

## INTRODUCTION

## **DECISION ITEMS**

### 1. <u>Details 2023-147</u>

\*\* E015,ET2/CN-22-416; Great River Energy;
E015,ET2/TL-22-415 Minnesota Power (ALLETE)

In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power and Great River Energy for a Certificate of Need and Route Permit for up to an approximately 180-mile, double circuit 345-kV transmission.

- 1. Should the Commission adopt the Administrative Law Judge's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendations?
- 2. Should the Commission find that the Environmental Assessment and the record created at the public hearing adequately address the issues identified in the scoping decision?
- 3. Should the Commission grant a certificate of need for the project?
- 4. Should the Commission issue a Route Permit identifying a specific route and permit conditions for the Project? (PUC: **Janezich**)

### Attachments:

### **Briefing Papers**

## The following items will not be heard before 12:30 PM

### 2. Details 2022-233

\*\* E002/AA-22-179 Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for Approval of its 2023 Annual Fuel Forecast and Monthly Fuel Cost Charges.

Should the Commission reconsider or clarify its November 15, 2024 Order? (PUC: **Marcus**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6). In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments:

**Briefing Papers** 

#### 3. <u>Details 2024-217</u>

#### \*\* E002/CI-23-335

#### Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Implementation of 2023 Legislative Changes to Xcel Energy's Community Solar Garden Program.

Should the Commission approve the changes to the LMI Standard Contract agreed to by Xcel and Stakeholders, and what action should the Commission take regarding changes that did not have agreement? (PUC: **Brown-Huss**)

Attachments:

#### **Briefing Papers**

### ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

\*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.