



**Minnesota Public Utilities
Commission
Decisions
PUC Agenda Meeting**

121 7th Place East
Suite 350
Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Thursday, September 22, 2016

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. **E002,124/SA-16-608** **Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy;**
Minnesota Valley Electric Cooperative
In the Matter of the Joint Request of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, and Minnesota Valley Electric Cooperative for Approval of a Compensation and Orderly Transfer Agreement. (PUC: Twite; DOC: Lusti)
Approved transfer; required Commission maps to be updated.

2. **P6825/RV-16-231** **Public Wireless, Inc.**
In the Matter of the Revocation of the Certificate of Authority of Public Wireless, Inc. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: Dietz)
Revoked certificate of authority.

3. * **G002/GP-16-656** **Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**
In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for a Route Permit for the Black Dog Natural Gas Pipeline Project in Burnsville, Minnesota.

Should the Commission accept the application as complete under the Partial Exemption process? What action should the Commission take regarding other procedural items? (PUC: **DeBleekere**)

Accepted application and partial exemption of route selection procedures; Authorized initiation of review under Minn. R. 7852.0600; expanded required notices to include adjacent properties and how to access to generic permit conditions; solicited comments on conditions.

The following item will not be heard before 10:00 AM.

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEM

4. ** **G011/GR-15-736** **Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation**

In the Matter of the Application by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Authority to Increase Rates for Natural Gas Service in Minnesota.

Should the Commission adopt the recommendations in the ALJ's Report? If not, what level of revenue is appropriate for the Company during the test year? How should that revenue be collected from its customers? (PUC: **Bender, Schwieger, Kaml, Bahn, Brill**)

Held oral argument on MERC rate case.

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)**

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets