



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Decisions

Thursday, May 24, 2018

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. **** P407,405/CI-18-122 Frontier Communications**
In the Matter of a Commission Inquiry into the Service Quality, Customer Service, and Billing Practices of Frontier Communications.
 1. Should the Commission grant the petition for Reconsideration and/or Clarification filed by the Department of Commerce?
 2. Should the Commission take any other action? (PUC: **Rebholz**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Modified order to change notice requirement.

2. *** P431/AM-17-835; West Central Telephone;**
P409/M-17-837; Garden Valley Telephone Cooperative;
P530/M-17-838; Halstad Telephone Company;
P432/M-17-854 Paul Bunyan Telephone Cooperative
In the Matter of Petitions for Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) Designation in Minnesota.
 1. Should the Commission approve the requests for ETC designation in Minnesota for purposes of providing local services under the Lifeline program?
 2. Should the Commission refer questions regarding service availability, excess construction costs, and reporting to be addressed in Docket P999/CI-17-509? (PUC: **McCarthy**)

Granted petitions with conditions; referred questions to generic docket.

3. **** E999/CI-16-521 All Electric Utilities**
In the Matter of Updating the Generic Standards of the Interconnection and Operation of Distributed Generation Facilities Established under Minn. Stat. §216B.16111.

1. Should the Commission approve, modify, or reject the staff recommendations based on the Distributed Generation Workgroup process for the statewide interconnection process and interconnection agreement; including the update to reporting requirements?
2. If approved, how should Minnesota utilities transition from the existing interconnection standards to the updated Minnesota Distributed Energy Resource Interconnection Process and Agreement? (PUC: **Rosier, Terwilliger, Mackenzie**)

Adopted interconnection process and agreement as modified, referring certain issues for further workgroup development; established annual reporting requirements and further procedural steps.

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)**

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets