

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
Briefing Papers Errata Filing
Docket E-015/M-15-875

Minnesota Public Utilities Staff submits the following errata filing to Briefing Papers in Docket E-015/M-15-875.

1. Percentages reflected Table 2¹ of the original Briefing Papers were incorrect. Amounts shown in red in this filing represent the corrected percentages.

Table 2 – DOC Refund Calculation Impact (Corrected)

Credit Amount To	% change
Residential	13.42%
General Service	4.07%
Large Light & Power	5.66%
Large Power	-0.68%
Municipal Pumping	28.18%
Lighting	21.01%
Retail Credit Amount	2.69%
Other Retail Amount	8.31%
Wholesale Jurisdiction	-11.84%
Total Credit Amount	0.07%

2. On page 5 of the original Briefing Papers, under the section Method 2.b, the first sentence should be stricken. The correction is reflected in red, strike-through below:

Method 2.b: Direct Credit to Affected Customers, Use of “Firm kWhs”

~~This Method is the one used as basis for MP’s request.~~ The Department recommended that, if MP continues to propose that the Credit Amount be allocated using “firm” energy sales for the respective classes for the impacted billing months, MP provide in reply comments a narrative fully explaining in layman’s terms what the concept of “firm kWh” represents and/or measures, and fully justifying why it is reasonable to use the “firm kWh” sales in the calculation of the amounts to be credited to individual customers, and an explanation as to why using a “firm kWh” basis is preferable to using the actual retail kWh sales that are the basis of MP’s fuel cost recovery.

¹ Staff Briefing Papers, page 4