



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Thursday, April 16, 2026

10:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2026-047](#)

\* **ET6133/RP-25-302**                      **Minnesota Municipal Power Agency**

In the Matter of Minnesota Municipal Power Agency's Application for Approval of Its 2025-2039 Integrated Resource Plan.

Should the Commission accept Minnesota Municipal Power Agency's 2025-2039 Integrated Resource Plan? (PUC: **Li, Gomez**)

2. [Details 2025-148](#)

\* **E002/ESS-25-214**                      **Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy for an up to 135.5 MW Battery Energy Storage System Site Permit for the Blue Lake Battery Energy Storage Project in Scott County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission adopt the Administrative Law Judge's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation?
2. Should the Commission determine that the environmental assessment and the record created at the public hearing adequately address the issues identified in the scoping decision?
3. Should the Commission grant a site permit for the up to 135.5-megawatt Blue Lake Battery Energy Storage Project in Scott County? (PUC: **Maxon**)

3. [Details 2025-159](#)

\*\* **IP7145/WS-24-349**                      **Wisconsin Power & Light Co.**

In the Matter of Wisconsin Power & Light Co.'s Site Permit Application for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System of up to 153 MW in Freeborn County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission adopt the Administrative Law Judge's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation?
2. Should the Commission grant a site permit for the up to 153-megawatt Bent Tree North Wind Farm Project in Freeborn County? (PUC: **Ek**)

**4. [Details 2026-048](#)****\*\* PL9/CN-07-465****Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership;  
Enbridge Pipelines (Southern Lights) L.L.C.**

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership and Enbridge Pipelines (Southern Lights) LLC for a Certificate of Need for the Alberta Clipper Pipeline Project and Southern Lights Project.

1. Does the applicant's request to increase the annual average diluent capacity on Line 13 in Minnesota require a Certificate of Need (CN) under Minn. Stat. § 216B.243 and/or Minn. R. 7853.0030(D)?
2. Does the applicant's request to increase the annual average capacity on Line 13 require recertification under Minn. R. 7853.0800, subp. 3?
3. If recertification is required, what process should the Commission use to determine whether recertification is appropriate? (PUC: **Eknes**)

## ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

\*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.