Roach Direct Testimony

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Northern Crescent Solar LLC for a Solar Energy Generating System Site Permit and a Battery Energy Storage System Site Permit for the up to 150 MW Northern Crescent Solar and up to 50 MW Energy Storage System Project in Faribault County, Minnesota

> MPUC Docket Nos. IP-7096/GS-22-57& IP-7135/ESS-24-238 OAH Docket No. 28-2500-40383

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF HELEN ROACH ON BEHALF OF NORTHERN CRESCENT SOLAR LLC

February 26, 2025

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
2	Q.	Please state your name, employer, and business address.
3	Α.	My name is Helen Roach. I am employed by Primergy Solar LLC, which has a
4		business address of 1901 Harrison Street, Suite 1600, Oakland, California 94612.
5		
6	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational background and professional
7		experience.
8	Α.	I received by Bachelor of Science degree from the University of St. Thomas in St.
9		Paul, Minnesota, in 2020. Since then, I have worked as a developer in the
10		renewable energy industry. I have worked for Primergy Solar as a Project
11		Development Manager since October 2023.
12		
13	Q.	For whom are you testifying?
14	Α.	I am testifying on behalf of Northern Crescent Solar LLC (Northern Crescent or
15		Applicant), a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Primergy.
16		
17	Q.	What is your role with respect to the Project?
17 18	Q. A.	What is your role with respect to the Project? I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility-
18		I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility-
18 19		I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator
18 19 20		I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through
18 19 20 21		I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including
18 19 20 21 22		I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including managing relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local
18 19 20 21 22 23		I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including managing relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local communities, and coordinating with environmental engineering, and pre-
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A.	I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including managing relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local communities, and coordinating with environmental engineering, and pre- construction teams to determine site design.
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	A.	I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including managing relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local communities, and coordinating with environmental engineering, and pre- construction teams to determine site design. What is relationship between Primergy Solar and Northern Crescent Solar
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	А. Q .	I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including managing relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local communities, and coordinating with environmental engineering, and pre- construction teams to determine site design. What is relationship between Primergy Solar and Northern Crescent Solar LLC?
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	А. Q .	I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including managing relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local communities, and coordinating with environmental engineering, and pre- construction teams to determine site design. What is relationship between Primergy Solar and Northern Crescent Solar LLC? Primergy Solar LLC is the owner of Northern Crescent Solar LLC, the entity
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	А. Q .	I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including managing relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local communities, and coordinating with environmental engineering, and pre- construction teams to determine site design. What is relationship between Primergy Solar and Northern Crescent Solar LLC? Primergy Solar LLC is the owner of Northern Crescent Solar LLC, the entity seeking the site permits for these two projects. Northern Crescent Solar LLC will
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	А. Q .	I am the Project Development Manager. I am responsible for developing utility- scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction. I lead projects through the site permitting process, including managing relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local communities, and coordinating with environmental engineering, and pre- construction teams to determine site design. What is relationship between Primergy Solar and Northern Crescent Solar LLC? Primergy Solar LLC is the owner of Northern Crescent Solar LLC, the entity seeking the site permits for these two projects. Northern Crescent Solar LLC will construct, own and operate the two projects with technical and administrative

1		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
2	Q.	What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony?
3	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide an update on the on-going development
4		efforts for the Northern Crescent Project (the Project). Specifically, I will provide an
5		update on consultation with area landowners, local, state, and federal regulatory
6		stakeholders, and tribes. I will also provide an update on potential traffic impacts
7		on U.S. Highway 169 as well as some limited comments on the proposed draft site
8		permits provided with the Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Project.
9		
10	Q.	What schedules are attached to your Direct Testimony?
11	Α.	The following schedules are attached to my Direct Testimony:
12		<u>Attachment A</u> : Curriculum Vitae
13		<u>Attachment B</u> : Trip Generation Analysis Memorandum (TGA)
14		
15		III. PROJECT OVERVIEW
16	Q.	Please describe the Project.
17	Α.	The Project is a proposed 150 megawatt (MW) alternating current (AC)
18		photovoltaic solar energy generating system (Solar Facility) with an associated 50
19		MWac battery energy storage system (BESS) located along 170th Street and
20		180th Street off U.S. Highway 169 in Verona and Prescott Townships, in Faribault
21		County, Minnesota. In addition to the solar array and BESS facilities, the Project
22		includes an Operations and Maintenance building, a substation, an accompanying
23		Xcel Energy switchyard, and transmission infrastructure.
24		
25		The Project Area encompasses approximately 1,179 acres of predominately
26		agricultural land, which is all under lease, easement or purchase option
27		agreements with landowners. The Project is expected to occupy approximately
28		929 acres of the Project Area.
29		

1 Q. How did you identify the project location?

A. We identified this Project location because of Minnesota's ambitious Renewable
Energy Objectives, the proximity to existing transmission infrastructure, and willing
landowners at this site.

5 Under Minnesota's Clean Energy Law, climate legislation establishes a carbon-6 free energy standard and a renewable energy standard. The Project will help meet 7 Minnesota's 100% carbon-free energy standard by 2040 and will contribute to 8 meeting the Minnesota Renewable Energy Objectives.

- 9 The Project location is close to existing transmission infrastructure, and an Xcel 10 Energy Switchyard will be constructed simultaneously with the Project. Because 11 of the proximity to existing transmission infrastructure, the length of the proposed 12 Xcel Energy line tap connecting the Xcel Energy Switchyard to the existing 13 Huntley-Blue Earth 161 kV transmission line will be less than 250 feet, all within 14 the Project Area. This will minimize impacts outside the Project Area.
- Finally, the Project Area had willing landowners who voluntarily granted leases,easements and purchase options to the Applicant.

17 Q. Did you coordinate with local landowners, local, state, and federal regulatory 18 stakeholders, and tribes?

19 Α. Yes. As part of pre-Application efforts, Northern Crescent Solar completed 20 extensive engagement with local, state, and federal regulatory stakeholders to 21 introduce the Project, request comments, and gain feedback. Northern Crescent 22 Solar also contacted the eleven recognized Minnesota Tribal Nations for 23 comments. Northern Crescent Solar also met with state agencies, such as the 24 Minnesota Department of Transportation (MNDOT). Northern Crescent Solar has 25 provided fact sheets, articles, and other resources, specifically to state and local 26 agencies, to maximize the understanding of the Project.

Q. What did you learn from coordination with local landowners and government
 agencies, and how did that guide your development efforts?

3 Α. Through consultation with Faribault County authorities, Northern Crescent learned 4 of public drain tiles within farm fields in the Project Area. To minimize unforeseen 5 repairs or damages to existing public drain tile lines and/or public drain tile 6 systems, Northern Crescent Solar is committed to preserve soil drainage 7 conditions as they currently exist. Existing drain tile lines and surrounding drainage 8 systems will be maintained, repaired, relocated, or replaced (if damaged during 9 construction or operation of the Project) by Northern Crescent Solar as needed. 10 Moreover, Project solar arrays and fencing will be set back a minimum of 75-feet 11 from each side of any public drain tile located within the Project when construction 12 commences.

Q. The Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) commented about
 the possibility of impacts on traffic on U.S. Highway 169. Are you familiar
 with those comments?

TRAFFIC STUDY

IV.

18 A. Yes.

19

13

14

20Q.MnDOT noted that it had not received a Utility Early Notification Memo (ENM)21from the Applicant, so it could not evaluate specifics about the Project's22impacts on traffic at that time. Does the Applicant plan to provide MnDOT23with the ENM as required?

- A. Yes. Northern Crescent provided MnDOT with an ENM on January 17, 2025.
 MnDOT confirmed receipt of the ENM and noted that it would follow up with questions.
- 27

Q. Has Northern Crescent investigated the potential traffic impacts from the Project?

A. Yes. Northern Crescent had a Traffic Engineer with Westwood Professional
 Services complete a Trip Generation Analysis (TGA) to estimate vehicle trips per

day and peak hour volumes generated by the Project. The TGA is attached as

Attachment B.

3

4

Q. How did the TGA estimate the effects of construction on traffic?

A. The TGA identified that current annual daily traffic along U.S. Highway 169
between 170th and 180th Street is 2,414 vehicles. The TGA then identified that
the Construction Phase of the project is assumed to last 16 to 18 months. Trip
generation estimates were developed based on the anticipated number of
construction employees and truck deliveries. Then the TGA reviewed Northern
Crescent's Haul Route Plan, which has only one route, with site trips to originate
from and return to Highway 169.

12

13 Q. Did the TGA address other traffic impacts?

- A. Yes. The TGA also considered trips from the operational phase and decommissioning phase of the project. In the operational phase, the site is anticipated to be operated and maintained by one employee working an 8 am to 5 pm shift. The Project would also require nominal long-term maintenance, which will require some deliveries. The decommissioning phase will last approximately 40 weeks, and will have impacts similar to the construction phase, without some concrete and water trips.
- 21

22 Q. What were the conclusions of the TGA?

A. The maximum peak hour traffic during the construction phase is estimated to be
 11 vehicle trips, with a total of 360 trips daily. This is lower than the threshold for a
 Traffic Impact Study in the MnDOT Access Management Manual. Therefore, no
 further study or road adjustments should be necessary to accommodate
 construction traffic for the Project.

1	Q.	Will any other agreements or consultation be necessary for traffic
2		management or road development for the project?
3	Α.	Yes. Road improvements may also require permits or additional coordination with
4		Nobles County and MnDOT. Northern Crescent will work with MnDOT, county and
5		city road authorities to ensure that appropriate signage and traffic management
6		are present during construction.
7		
8		V. SAMPLE SITE PERMIT
9	Q.	Have you reviewed the EA filed by the Department of Commerce Energy
10		Environmental Review and Analysis (DOC EERA) on February 12, 2025?
11	Α.	Yes.
12		
13	Q.	Do you have any comments on the draft site permits that were attached to
14		the EA?
15	Α.	Yes. The solar site permit and BESS site permit list Primergy as the Permittee.
16		This should be updated Northern Crescent Solar LLC, consistent with the joint
17		application for site permits. Northern Crecent Solar LLC will construct, own and
18		operate the Project and is a distinct business entity from Primergy Solar LLC
19		(Primergy).
20		
21	Q.	Do you have any comments on the mitigation measures discussed in the EA
22		and addressed in the draft site permits?
23	Α.	Yes. The draft site permits include a special condition related to removal of a bald
24		eagle's nest. As the EA correctly notes, the Project Area does not contain nesting
25		habitat suitable for bald eagles. Still, the draft condition in Section 5.4 of the site
26		permit for the solar facility and the BESS facility would require that Northern
27		Crescent file documentation authorizing any bald eagle nest remove prior to
28		construction. While Northern Crescent is not opposed to filing proof of
29		authorization if a bald eagle's nest were to be identified in the Project Area, I note
30		that the conditions do not relate to any identified project impact.
31		

- 1 VI. CONCLUSION
- 2 Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?
- 3 A. Yes.



Helen Roach

Project Development Manager

<u>Education</u>

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering, 2020 University of St. Thomas, St. Paul, Minnesota

Current Role

Project Development Manager, Primergy Solar October 2023 -- Present

- Develop utility-scale Solar and BESS projects in Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) and PJM Interconnection regions from initial prospecting through construction
- Lead projects through site permitting processes
- Negotiate and oversee the execution of Power Purchase Agreements, Interconnection Agreements, and Engineering, Procurement, and Construction Contracts
- Manage relationships with landowners, project stakeholders, and local communities
- Coordinate with environmental, engineering, and pre-construction teams to determine project site design
- Secure project equipment via collaboration with procurement team
- Obtain title insurance policies for land and mineral rights
- Develop project budgets for development and engineering costs
- Communicate with utilities on project timing, networks upgrades, and rights-ofway

Additional Work Experience

RWE Clean Energy Associate Developer February 2022 – October 2023

National Grid Renewables Associate Developer December 2020 – February 2022



main (702) 284-5300

MEMORANDUM

Date: January 24, 2025

Re: Northern Crescent Solar Project Trip Generation Analysis (TGA) R0044069.00

To: Faribault County, Minnesota

From: Paul Villaluz, P.E., PTOE, RSP1

This memorandum has been prepared to support the permit application for the Northern Crescent Solar Project construction in Faribault County, Minnesota. This memorandum contains a Trip Generation Analysis (TGA) that is conducted by a Traffic Engineer and includes estimates of total vehicle trips per day and peak hour volumes generated by the proposed development.

Site Location

The Northern Crescent Solar Project is located along 170th St and 180th St off State Highway 169. The site location is shown on the haul route plan in **Appendix A**.

Project Description

The Northern Crescent Solar Project is projected to be a 168 MW-AC facility. A haul route plan is included in **Appendix A**. The Northern Crescent Solar Project will consist of photovoltaic (PV) panels, trackers, inverters, transformers, underground cabling, access roads, a Project substation and switchyard, security fencing, a generation tie line ("gen-tie") connecting the Project to the point of interconnection, and a battery energy storage system ("BESS"). A map showing the Project location, preliminary layout, and facilities is included in **Appendix A**.

Existing Traffic

Access to the Northern Crescent Solar Project is proposed along 170th St and 180th St off Highway 169. The Annual Daily Traffic (ADT) along Highway 169 is 2,414 vehicles between 170th and 180th St as shown in **Appendix D**. The ADT for 170th St and 180th St are not available.

Construction Phase Trip Generation

This section evaluates the Northern Crescent Solar Project traffic effects during the Construction Phase, which is forecast to generate the highest levels of project traffic over the longest duration of the project construction period. The Construction Phase is assumed to last approximately 16-18 months.

Trip generation estimates were developed based on the anticipated number of construction employees and truck deliveries. The trip generation estimates were made using the following assumptions based on the materials required as seen in **Appendix B**.

Average Number of Vehicles On-Site per Day:

- Commuters 72
- Delivery trucks 105

Vehicle characteristics are noted in **Appendix B.** Anticipated Trip Generation for the Construction Phase is presented in **Table 1**.

Project Phase	Number of Vehicles (Daily)	Daily Trips	AM Peak Hour (7:00 – 9:00)	PM Peak Hour (4:00 – 6:00)
Phase 1: Construction Ph	ase (16-18 n	nonths)		
Employees ^a	72	144	9	9
Construction Deliveries ^b	104	208	0	0
Miscellaneous Deliveries ^c	4	8	1	2
TOTAL	180	360	10	11

Table 1 – Project Trip Generation

(a) Maximum number of employees per site. Daily trip estimate assumes an average vehicle occupancy of 1 employee per vehicle. 1 inbound and 1 outbound trip per employee. (ex. Phase 1 daily trips: 144 trips = (72 employees * 1 day * (1 vehicle/employee) * (2 trips/vehicle - day)). Peak hour trip estimates assume that employees arrive outside the AM peak hour and leave before or after the PM peak hour. The anticipated shift schedule is 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM with 12% of the trips expected in peak hours.

(b) Construction-related deliveries are assumed to arrive during off-peak hours

(c) Maximum number of miscellaneous deliveries per day is 4. Miscellaneous deliveries are defined as non-construction related deliveries (i.e.: packages, office equipment, mail, housekeeping, etc.). Daily miscellaneous delivery trip estimate assumes 1 inbound and 1 outbound trip per delivery. Peak hour miscellaneous delivery trip estimates assume that 6% of the daily deliveries arrive during the AM peak hour and 20% of the AM peak in the PM peak hour. Peak-hour miscellaneous delivery trip estimates also assume 50% entry and 50% exit during the peak hour.

Study Intersection Identification

Intersections with notable traffic movement were identified along the haul route as shown in **Appendix A.** The intersections are listed below:

The primary intersections along the highway are:

- Int #1 Highway 169 and 170th St
- Int #2 Highway 169 and 180th St
- On 170th St and 180th St, the construction traffic will be distributed along the site entrances.

Access Management

The Haul Route Plan in **Appendix A** shows only one route with all site trips expected to originate from and return to Highway 169. The distribution of trips to the driveways is based on the site facilities and the location of the entrances within the site area. A left turn lane is not necessary, as the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes generated by the site fall below the thresholds for left turn warrants, as specified in the Mn/DOT Access Management Manual, Chapter 3, page 47, referenced in **Appendix C**. Existing right-turn lanes are already present at the intersections; therefore, a right turn lane warrants analysis was not conducted.

Operational Phase Analysis

The site is anticipated to be operated and maintained by 1 new employee working one shift (8:00 AM—5:00 PM). The Project would require only nominal long-term maintenance. **Table 2** shows the trip generation forecasts for ongoing operations. This amount of traffic is not anticipated to adversely impact the operation of nearby roadways and intersections.

On-Going Operations	Number of vehicles per Day	Daily Trips	AM Peak Hour of adjacent traffic (7:00 – 9:00)	PM Peak Hour of adjacent traffic (4:00 – 6:00)
Employees ^a Day Shift (8:00 AM – 5:00 PM)	1	4	1	1
Deliveries	2	4	0	0
TOTAL	3	8	1	1

(a) Daily trip estimate assumes a vehicle occupancy of 1, 1 inbound and 1 outbound trip per employee, and that daily employee leaves for meal break. Peak hour trip estimate assumes employees arrived during the AM peak hour and departed during the PM peak hour.

Decommissioning Trips

The solar facility's decommissioning will include removing the solar panels, solar panel racking, steel foundation posts and beams, inverters, transformers, equipment pads and foundations, equipment cabinets, and ancillary equipment. The decommissioning plan will include removal of underground cables and lines and include removing and transporting all project components from the Facility site to the respective recycling and disposal facilities. It is anticipated that the decommissioning activities for the project can be completed in 40 weeks.

The trips involved will be similar to the construction phase trips without some concrete and water trips. Based on an estimate concerning construction trips, it is assumed that decommissioning trips will be 70% of the trips during the construction phase. The estimated decommissioning trips are illustrated in **Table 3**.

Project Phase	Number of Vehicle Trips (Daily)	Daily Trips PCE trips	AM Peak Hour (PCE trips) (7:00 – 9:00)	PM Peak Hour (PCE trips) (4:00 – 6:00)
Decommissioning Phase	(40 weeks)			
Employees ^a	50	100	6	6
Construction Deliveries ^b	73	146	0	0
Miscellaneous Deliveries ^c	4	8	1	1
TOTAL	127	254	7	7

Table 3 – Decommissioning Trips

(a) Maximum number of employees per site. Daily trip estimate assumes an average vehicle occupancy of 1 employee per vehicle. 1 inbound and 1 outbound trip per employee. (ex. Phase 1 daily trips: 100 trips = (50 employees * 1 day * (1 vehicle/employee) * (2 trips/vehicle - day)). Peak hour trip estimates assume that employees arrive outside the AM peak hour and leave before or after the PM peak hour. The anticipated shift schedule is 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM with 12% of the trips expected in peak hours.

(b) Construction-related deliveries are assumed to arrive during off-peak hours

(c) Maximum number of miscellaneous deliveries per day is 4. Miscellaneous deliveries are defined as non-construction related deliveries (i.e.: packages, office equipment, mail, housekeeping, etc.). Daily miscellaneous delivery trip estimate assumes 1 inbound and 1 outbound trip per delivery. Peak hour miscellaneous delivery trip estimates assume that 6% of the daily deliveries arrive during the AM peak hour and 20% of the AM peak in the PM peak hour. Peak-hour miscellaneous delivery trip estimates also assume 50% entry and 50% exit during the peak hour.

Conclusion

The maximum construction peak hour traffic is estimated at 11 vehicle trips, with a total of 360 trips daily. These figures are below the thresholds of 250 peak-hour trips and 2,500 vehicles per day, as outlined in the Mn/DOT Access Management Manual, Chapter 5, page 2, referenced in **Appendix C**. Therefore, no further traffic impact analysis is required.

APPENDIX A Haul Route Plan



Proposed Switchyard

Laydown Yard

Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com

Westwood Professional Services, Inc.

Haul Route

January 24, 2025

APPENDIX B Trip Generation

Northern Crescent Solar Project Traffic Projection Project: By: PL Date

44069.00

1/23/2025

Date 1/23/2025		Exhi	bit 2- Nor	thern Cres	scent Solar	Project - Estim	ated Vehicle Trips
Vehicle Type	Number of Vehicles	Arrival Trips	Departure Trips	Total Trips	Estimated Gross Vehicle Weight (Pounds)	Load Weight (Pounds)	
COMMUTER TRIPS (LIGHT DUTY) VEHICLES							
Commuter Trips	25,720	25,720	25,720	51,440	7,000	500	Based on Similar Sized Project Numbers
Mid-Day Trips Total	2,560	2,560 28,280	2,560 28,280	5,120 56,560	7,000	500	Includes inspectors, project management staff
Average Per Working Day	72	72	72	144	396	Working Days	
FOULDMENT (delivered by Lewboy Delivery Vehicle Additio	nal Aylas)				-		
EQUIPMENT (delivered by Lowboy Delivery Vehicle - Additio Bulldozer	8	8	8	16	90,000	50,000	Cat D6 - steer plus two tridems (10k + 40k+ 40k)
FE Loader	4	4	4	8	80,000	42,000	Cat 950M - steer plus two tridems (10k + 35k+ 35k)
Grader Drum Compactor	3 4	3 4	3 4	6 8	80,000 80,000	42,000 41,000	Cat CS41B - steer plus two tridems (10k + 35k+ 35k) Cat 12M3 - steer plus two tandems (12k + 34k+ 34k)
Rough Terrain Fork Lift/Telehandler	8	8	8	16	72,000	37,000	Manitou MHT 10120: 26,000 pound capacity - steer plus two tandems (10k + 31k+ 31k)
Skid Steer Loader Tracked Pile Driver	8 8	8 8	8 8	16 16	19,000 32,000	4,000 12,000	Cat 272D2 on 2 axle 10 ton trailer Vermeer PD10 on 2 axle 16 ton trailer
Trenching Equipment/Cable Plows	10	10	10	20	90,000	52,000	Wolfe 7000 - steer plus two tridens (10k + 40k+ 40k)
Back Hoe Loader Mobile Hydraulic/Truck Mounted Cranes	2	2	2	4	49,000	24,000 28,600	Cat 415F2 on 2 axle 25 ton trailer Shuttle Lift 15 ton crane - steer plus two tridems (10k + 26.8k+ 26.8k)
Total	57	57	57	114	63,600	28,600	Shuttle Lift 15 ton Grane - steer plus two tridenis (10k + 20.0k+ 20.0k)
Average Per Delivery Day	6	6	6	12	10	Delivery Days	Delivery and removal trips concentrated at beginning and end of project
MOBILE HOME (FIELD OFFICE)							
1 Field Office Trailer (Civil) - 40' x 12'	1	1	1	2	60,000	40,000	Steer plus two tandems (10k + 25k + 25k)
3 Field Office Trailers for Subs - 12- x 36' Triple Wide 1 Field Office Trailer (Tracker) - 40' x 12'	2 2	2 2	2 2	4	60,000 60,000	40,000 40,000	Steer plus two tandems (10k + 25k + 25k) Steer plus two tandems (10k + 25k + 25k)
6 Storage Trailers	2 5	2 5	2 5	4 10	60,000 60,000	40,000 40,000	Steer plus two tandems (10k + 25k + 25k) Steer plus two tandems (10k + 25k + 25k)
Total	10	10	10	20		Dolivor: Dove	Delivery and removed trins concentrated at beginning and and of excises
Average Per Delivery Day	1	1	1	2	1 10	Delivery Days	Delivery and removal trips concentrated at beginning and end of project
MATERIALS							
Erosion and Sediment Control Materials Public Road Aggregate	1 186	1 186	1 186	2 372	45,000 80,000	10,000 40,200	
Access Road Aggregate	1,824	1,824	1,824	3,648	80,000	40,200	
Staging Area Aggregate (Laydown Yards) Substation Aggregate	3,560 128	3,560 128	3,560 128	7,120 256	80,000 80,000	40,200 40,200	
O&M/Field Office Aggregate	43	43	43	86	80,000	40,200	
Total Average Per Delivery Day	5,742 64	5,742 64	5,742 64	11,484 128		Delivery Deve	-
Average rei Delivery Day	04	04	04	128	90	Delivery Days	_
Foundation Piles	34	34	34	68 1 760	80,000	45,000	
Array Racking PV Panels	881 619	881 619	881 619	1,762 1,238	80,000 62,246	45,000 27,246	
Wire and Cable - Collection System	20	20	20	40	80,000	45,000	
Equipment Skids Inverters	56 43	56 43	56 43	112 86	80,000	45,000	
Gen-tie	1	1	1	2			
Reinforcing Steel (Rebar) Total	4 1,658	4 1,658	4 1,658	8 3,316	80,000	45,000	
Average Per Delivery Day	1,050	1,050	1,050	22	160	Delivery Days	
Concrete for Inverter Skids	61	61	61	122	69,000	40,000	1
Concrete for Substation Foundations	2	2	2	4	69,000	40,000	
Concrete for Battery Storage System Foundations Concrete for O&M Building	100 8	100 8	100 8	200 16	69,000 69,000	40,000 40,000	
Total	171	171	171	342			1
Average Per Delivery Day	12	12	12	24	15	Delivery Days	
Building Materials - O & M Building	14	14	14	28	80,000	45,000	
Structural Steel - Substation Electrical Equipment - Substation	2 20	2 20	2 20	4 40	80,000 80,000	45,000 45,000	
Chain Link Fence	63	63	63	126	80,000	45,000	
Miscellaneous Consumables Seed and Mulch	7	7	7	14 10	60,000	20,000	
Seed and Mulch Total	5	5	5	10 222	49,400	9,600	1
Average Per Delivery Day	2	2	2	4	80	Delivery Days	
Water (Compaction) Public Roads	20	20	20	40	52,000	33,400	Based on 20 gallons/ton of aggregate " - Steer plus one tandem (18k+34k)
Water (Compaction) Access Roads	416	416	416	832	52,000	33,400	Based on 20 gallons/ton of aggregate " - Steer plus one tandem (18k+34k)
Water (Dust Control) Public Roads Water (Dust Control) Access Roads	86 1,096	86 1,096	86 1,096	172 2,192	52,000 52,000	1,912,647 2,233,002	Based on 300 gallons/acre/day of Road, staging, and field office area areas" - Steer plus one tandem (18k+34k) Based on 300 gallons/acre/day of Road, staging, and field office area areas" - Steer plus one tandem (18k+34k)
Water (Vegetation establishment)	6	6	6	12	52,000	24,000	Based on 3000 gallons/acre of array" - Steer plus one tandem (18k+34k)
Total Average Per Delivery Day	1,624 7	1,624 7	1,624 7	3,248 14	260	Delivery Days	
MAIL, FOOD, SANITATION, AND FUEL DELIVERIES Mail, Fed Ex, UPS, Etc.	450	450	450	900	12,000	60	Based on 396 days of deliveries and 3 deliveries per day" - Steer plus single (3k+9k)
Canteen Trucks	450	450	450	900	10,000	60	Based on 396 weeks and 9 deliveries per day" - Steer plus single (2k+8k)
Sanitation Fuel Deliveries	240 60	240 60	240 60	480 120	10,000 26,000	60 7,000	Based on 60 weeks and 8 deliveries per week" - Steer plus single (2k+8k) Based on 1500 Gallons/week of diesel on-road & off road" - Steer plus single (8k+18k)
Total	1,200	1,200	1,200	2,400	20,000	7,000	Posses on 2000 Ganonay week of dieser on road ik on road in steel plus single (dkr±10K)
Average Per Delivery Day	4	4	4	8	396	Delivery Days	
Total all Materials	38,853	38,853	38,853	77,706]		
Total All Materials - Average Per Delivery Day	179	179	179	358	l		
OVERSIZE/OVER WEIGHT VEHICLES							
Main Power Transformer Total	1	1	1	2	435000 lbs	360,000	16 Axle Vehicle - 2 - Transformers - tractor with 8 dual axle platform & pusher vehicle
Average Per Delivery Day	1	1	1	2	3	Delivery Days	
					-		
PROJECT TOTALS							
	Number of		Departure		1		
	Number of Trucks	Arrival Trips	Departure Trips	Total Trips			
TOTAL	38,854	38,854	38,854	77,708			
AVERAGE PER DAY	180	180	180	360	1		

APPENDIX C

Mn/DOT Access Management Manual

Chapter 3

Figure 3.40: Warrant 9 for Left-Turn Lanes

2-Lane Highway AADT	4-Lane Highway AADT	Cross Street or Driveway ADT	Turn Lane Requirement
1500 to 2999	3000 to 5999	> 1500	Left-turn lane warranted
3000 to 3999	6000 to 7999	> 1200	Left-turn lane warranted
4000 to 4999	8000 to 9999	> 1000	Left-turn lane warranted
5000 to 6499	10,000 to 12,999	> 800	Left-turn lane warranted
≥ 6500 AADT	≥ 13,000 AADT	101 to 400 > 400	Left-turn lane or bypass lane Left-turn lane warranted

Highway AADT one year after opening Posted speed 45 mph or greater

Chapter 5

5.3 TIS Not Needed

A traffic impact study is not necessary for most individual developments.

- For developments that do not generate significant traffic volumes, a traffic impact study is neither necessary nor warranted.
 - Development proposals that are estimated to generate fewer than 250 peak-hour vehicle trips or 2,500 new daily trips generally would not warrant completion of a traffic impact study, unless there are unusual circumstances;
 - Even projects that otherwise require environmental review should generally not require a traffic impact study if projected volumes are below this threshold.
- The traffic impacts of small/modest development proposals will be evaluated sufficiently by applying other elements of the guidance in this Access Management Manual, such as that regarding development review, spacing, sight distance, and turn lanes. The guidance provides "built-in" traffic engineering measures that are sufficient to address the impacts of lower-volume developments.

> APPENDIX D Mn/DOT ADT



AADT on Highway 169 between 170th St and 180th St.