



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Friday, May 15, 2026

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2026-064](#)

*** E022/M-25-289

Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for Approval of Large General Time of Day Service Customer and Large Peak Controlled Time of day Service Tariffs.

1. Should the Commission approve, reject, or modify Xcel Energy's proposed Large General and Large Peak Controlled Time of Day Service tariffs, associated rider revisions, and the Retail Customer Form Electric Service Agreement (ESA)?
2. Should the Commission approve, reject, or modify the Incremental Cost Test (ICT)?
3. Should the Commission limit the use of the ICT to an interim basis and instead address cost allocations for these new sub-classes through a traditional Class Cost of Service Study in a future general rate case?
4. Should the Commission set the demand size threshold for the new tariffs at 100 MW, or adopt an alternative threshold?
5. Should the Commission include additional criteria when determining tariff applicability?
6. Should the Commission modify the minimum ESA term length?
7. Should the Commission allow Xcel to remove the Plant Stratification process and shift more cost recovery to demand charges and less to energy charges?
8. Should the Commission modify the minimum billing demand and exit fee calculations?
9. Should the Commission treat super-large customers as a separate customer class rather than an incremental sub-class, and direct Xcel to allocate shared versus dedicated system resources accordingly?
10. Should the Commission determine that the Petition complies with the Commission's IRP Order and Minn. Stat. § 216B.1622?
11. Should the Commission explicitly require Xcel to provide "bring your own generation" (BYOG) avenues and develop a voluntary clean energy and capacity tariff by a specific date?
12. Should the Commission direct Xcel to implement an expedited interconnection process for clean or flexible large loads?
13. Should the Commission require Xcel to submit recurring reports detailing the number of new large customer requests, signed ESAs, total capacity, and participation in load flexibility and clean energy programs? (PUC: **Pham**,

Andringa, Brown-Huss, Stalpes)

Attachments:

[Briefing Papers](#)

[New and Modified Decision Options - Commissioner Ham](#)

[Deliberation Outline](#)

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

*** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.