



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Decisions

Thursday, August 12, 2021

9:15 AM

Online via Webex

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. **** E002/M-13-867 Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy**
In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, for Approval of Its Proposed Community Solar Garden Program.

Should the Commission extend the residential adder for Xcel's Community Solar Garden (CSG) program and/or take other actions? (PUC: **Mackenzie**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)
[New Decision Option-Commissioner Means](#)

Extended residential adder and established future reporting requirements.

2. **** E002/C-21-125 Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy**
In the Matter of the Formal Complaint and Request for Expedited Relief by SunShare, LLC Against Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy Regarding Osterson Project.

Should the Commission investigate the Formal Complaint and Request for Expedited Relief by SunShare, LLC Against Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy regarding Osterson Project? (PUC: **Rosier, Mackenzie**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

Opened investigation; required expedited answer and reply.

3. **** E002/C-21-126 Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy**
In the Matter of the Formal Complaint and Request for Expedited Relief by SunShare, LLC Against Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy regarding CleodSun Project.

Should the Commission investigate the Formal Complaint and Request for Expedited Relief by SunShare, LLC Against Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy regarding CleodSun Project? (PUC: **Rosier, Mackenzie**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

Opened investigation; required expedited answer and reply.

THE FOLLOWING ITEM WILL NOT BE HEARD BEFORE 10:45 AM

- 4. ** IP6997/CN-18-699; Plum Creek Wind Farm, LLC**
IP6997/WS-18-700;
IP6997/TL-18-701

In the Matter of the Applications of Plum Creek Wind Farm, LLC for a Certificate of Need, Site Permit, and Route Permit for an up to 414 MW Large Wind Energy Conversion System and 345 kV Transmission Line in Cottonwood, Murray, and Redwood Counties, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission find that the environmental impact statement is adequate?
2. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation?
3. Should the Commission grant a certificate of need for the 414-megawatt Plum Creek large wind energy conversion system and associated 345-kilovolt high-voltage transmission line?
4. Should the Commission issue a site permit for the 414-megawatt Plum Creek large wind energy conversion system?
5. Should the Commission issue a route permit for the associated 345-kilovolt high-voltage transmission line? (PUC: **Ek**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)
[Decision Option D1-Commissioner Tuma](#)
[Decision Option E1-Commissioner Tuma](#)
[Updated Decision Option E1-Commissioner Tuma](#)

**Granted certificate of need; issued site permit with modifications;
issued route permit with modifications.**

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**
**** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)**
Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets