

Brian Meloy PARTNER

DIRECT: 612.335.1451 OFFICE: 612.335.1500

brian.meloy@stinson.com

February 15, 2022

Via Electronic Filing

Mr. Will Seuffert **Executive Secretary** Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Re: In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for Approval of a Power Purchase Agreement between Northern States Power Company and St. Paul Cogeneration, LLC, Docket No. E002/M-21-590

Reply Comments of St. Paul Cogeneration, LLC

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7929.3000, Subp. 4, St. Paul Cogeneration, LLC ("SPC") respectfully submits these brief reply comments in support of Northern States Power Company d/b/a/ Xcel Energy's ("Xcel") February 14, 2022 Request for Reconsideration of the Commission's January 24, 2022 Order Approving Power Purchase Agreement, Authorizing Cost Recovery, and Setting Additional Requirements ("Order") issued in the above-referenced docket.

Like Xcel, SPC supports the Commission's approval of the Power Purchase Agreement between SPC and Xcel for the sale of energy and capacity from SPC's cogeneration facility. The Commission's Order reflects a thoughtful review of the merits of the PPA and the benefits Xcel customers and the State of Minnesota derive from a continuation of the PPA. SPC is concerned, however, that one aspect of the Order could unintentionally undermine the Commission's approval of the PPA. In particular, in its Order the Commission determined that Xcel could only recover the Minnesota jurisdictional amount of PPA purchased-energy costs, net of any matching revenues, through its Fuel Clause Rider. While SPC is loath to weigh into the debate regarding jurisdictional cost allocation, in the present case, a condition precedent to Xcel's obligations under the PPA is that it has received authorization to recover all costs incurred under the PPA. To the extent that Xcel is not authorized to recover costs incurred under the PPA from its retail customers, Xcel may seek to terminate the PPA and all the benefits of the PPA that are appropriately recognized in the Commission's Order may not be realized.

Given the unique benefits the PPA provides to Minnesota – as recognized by the Commission in its Order – SPC urges the Commission to consider the alternative cost-recovery approach proposed by Xcel in its Reconsideration Request. SPC believes that there is a sufficient

50 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600, Minneapolis, MN 55402



Mr. Will Seuffert February 15, 2022 Page 2

record developed upon which the Commission can conclude that Xcel's proposed approach reasonably allocates the costs of the PPA to the respective beneficiaries in the jurisdictions in which Xcel operates.

Additionally, SPC provides the following as an update to Commission on activity that has occurred since the December 16, 2021 hearing on this matter. As proposed by the Commission, work has begun to identify alternatives to reduce the cost of electricity generated by SPC. Over the past 6 weeks, SPC has had multiple meetings with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency and the Partnership on Waste and Energy concerning the sources of waste wood used by SPC, identifying stakeholders to participate in a working group to examine alternatives (*e.g.*, tipping fees) to reduce the cost of electricity generated by SPC, and to quantify the public benefit provided by SPC. SPC anticipates this work will continue to progress over the next several months and as the work advances, SPC will provide periodic voluntary updates in this Docket and to the Minnesota Legislature.

SPC appreciates the opportunity to provide these brief comments and respectfully requests that the Commission grant Xcel's Reconsideration Request. Thank you for your attention to this Filing. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Stinson LLP

/s/ Brian M. Meloy

Brian M. Meloy

STATE OF MINNESOTA BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Petition for Approval of a Power Purchase Agreement) Docket No. E002/M-21-590
between Northern States Power Company and St. Paul Cogeneration, LLC	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Si. Faui Cogeneration, LLC)

The undersigned hereby certifies that true and correct copies of St. Paul Cogeneration's Reply

Comments were electronically served upon the following:

Via Electronic Service

Name	Email	Business
Alison C. Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO
Mara Ascheman	mara.k.ascheman@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy
James J. Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.com	Stinson LLP
James Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD
John Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP
Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC
Riley Conlin	riley.conlin@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP
Brooke Cooper	bcooper@allete.com	Minnesota Power
George Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office
John Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance
Sharon Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce
Edward Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLconsulting.com	AESL Consulting
Adam Heinen	aheinen@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association
Michael Hoppe	lu23@ibew23.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.
Alan Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law
Richard Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett
Sarah Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP
Thomas Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW
Frank Kohlasch	frank.kohlasch@state.mn.us	MN Pollution Control Agency
Kirk Koudelka	Kirk.Koudelka@state.mn.us	MN Pollution Control Agency

Name	Email	Business
Peder Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.
Kavita Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting, LLC
Pam Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition
Joseph Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD
Stacy Miller	stacy.miller@minneapolismn.gov	City of Minneapolis
David Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power
Andrew Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP
David Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency
Carol A. Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office
Residential Utilities Division Attorneys	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD
Kevin Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy
Richard Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.
Will Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission
Ken Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.
Byron E. Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson LLP
James M. Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered
Eric Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine
Lynnette Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy
Thomas Tynes	jjazynka@energyfreedomcoalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America
Lisa Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul
Joseph Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine
Patrick Zomer	Pat.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association

Dated this 15th day of February, 2022

/s/ Joshua M. Feit	
Joshua M. Feit	