

Ex Parte Communication Report

Date:

To: Public Ex Parte Communication File

Docket No:

Case Name:

From: PUC Staff:

RE: Permissible Ex Parte Communications Pursuant to Minn. Rules, Part 7845.7400.

1. Type of communication: (Oral or Written)

If written, attach the document.

If oral, Date:

Time:

NOTE: In both instances, please notify the Maker the communication has been submitted for inclusion in the record.

2. Maker of the Communication:

3. Recipient of the Communication:

4. For communications involving the setting of interim rates or the review of compliance filings, the topic was:

5. For all other permissible communications that are prohibited for the Commissioners under Minn. Rules, part 7845.7200, the substance of the communication was:

6. For oral permissible ex parte communications, has a copy of this memo been sent to the assigned Administrative Law Judge? Yes No N/A

From: [Shah, Sachin \(COMM\)](#)
To: [Dornfeld, Tera C \(PUC\)](#)
Cc: [Lieb, Sydnie \(She/Her/Hers\) \(COMM\)](#)
Subject: RE: Docket Nos. E999/CI-07-1199; G008, G002, G011/CI-23-117; and G999/CI-21-565.
Date: Friday, January 16, 2026 11:01:32 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Good Morning Tera,

The Department's initial comments at pages 12-15 implied that Xcel would have an effective date of 2028 based on the existing December 2023 Commission Order in Docket 07-1199; and CPE and MERC would have an effective date based on the Agencies upcoming analysis and recommendations to the Commission based on feedback in Dockets 25-345 and 07-1199. However, the Department is not opposed to an effective date of 2030 for Xcel, CPE, and MERC.

Regards,
Sachin.

Sachin Shah



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. Information in this e-mail or any attachment may be confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure by state or federal law. Any unauthorized use, dissemination, or copying of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please refrain from reading this e-mail or any attachments and notify the sender immediately. Please destroy all copies of this communication.

From: Dornfeld, Tera C (PUC) <Tera.Dornfeld@state.mn.us>
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2026 9:59 AM
To: Shah, Sachin (COMM) <sachin.shah@state.mn.us>
Subject: Docket Nos. E999/CI-07-1199; G008, G002, G011/CI-23-117; and G999/CI-21-565.

Good Morning Sachin,

I hope this Monday morning finds you doing OK.

I am writing about Docket Nos. E999/CI-07-1199; G008, G002, G011/CI-23-117; and G999/CI-21-565.

This matter will be heard by the Commission on January 21, 2026. I am finalizing my briefing papers now.

In re-reading the Department's initial and reply comments, I didn't find mention of the Department's preferred effective date for utilities to apply the regulatory cost of GHG emissions in their gas IRPs.

I apologize if I missed this recommendation. Did the Department take a position on the topic of effective date?

If so, could you respond with the preferred date.

Out of an abundance of caution, I will file this email exchange as a permissible ex parte communication.

Best,

Tera