Tel 612.673.3666



January 16, 2024

Mr. Will Seuffert Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 East Seventh Place, Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

RE: Docket No. 23-215: In the Matter of CenterPoint Energy's Natural Gas Innovation Plan

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

The City of Minneapolis ("Minneapolis") submits these Comments regarding the CenterPoint Energy Petition for Approval of its Natural Gas Innovation Plan ("Plan") filed June 28, 2023. Minneapolis appreciates that the process required a significant investment of both Company and stakeholder time. We respond to each question posed by the Commission below.

• Should the Commission approve, reject, or modify CenterPoint Energy's 2023 Natural Gas Innovation Plan ("NGIA Plan")?

Minneapolis recommends approval with modifications as described in the next section. Modifications are necessary to protect the public interest and comply with the authorizing statute² to support projects with the most promise for greenhouse gas ("GHG") reductions at a reasonable cost.³

Pilot Evaluation

When evaluating the NGIA Plan, our initial analysis focuses on whether a pilot:

- Demonstrates the potential to lead to significant progress in reducing the climate impacts of CenterPoint operations in Minnesota in the near- to medium-term, consistent with the statutory goal to reduce economy-wide GHG emissions to net zero by 2050 as compared to 2005 levels with interim targets of:
 - o 30 percent by 2025 and
 - 50 percent by 2030⁴

¹ Docket No. E002/CN-23-215. Petition by CenterPoint Energy for Approval of its First Natural Gas Innovation Plan. Jun 28, 2023.

² Minn. Stat. § 216B.2427

³ Minn. Stat. § 216B.2427 Subd. 2 b (6), (7),

⁴ Minn. Stat. § 216H.02, subd 1.

- Holds promise to be cost-effective (i.e. at scale, costs are in-line with the program benefits)
- Will positively impact comfort and affordability for customers
- Is likely improve health and equity for CenterPoint customers

We are generally concerned that with few exceptions, the pilots would put upward pressure on customer rates both in the pilot phase and if deployed at scale because the Plan tends to focus on the source of gas rather than energy efficiency. Ideally, pilots create an opportunity to address GHG emissions by curbing energy use in customer homes and businesses, making them less reliant on gas. This is analogous to the principle on the electricity side that renewable electricity like solar should be deployed only with energy efficiency for the most cost-efficient outcome. Similarly, that the cheapest energy is the NegaWatt—the unit of energy avoided because of investments in energy efficiency.⁵

GHG Emissions Reduction Effectiveness

The city's annual GHG emissions inventory consistently shows that of all the sectors, methane gas consumption is the largest and most persistent source of climate pollution. It is important that any pilot programs approved under the NGIA hold promise for decarbonizing CenterPoint's system. CenterPoint is proposing decades' long infrastructure and approving the wrong pilot projects could delay building electrification—a proven pathway for reducing GHG emissions.

As a package, CenterPoint estimates that the pilots will reduce GHG emissions by 1.2 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent ("CO2e") and equates this to:

- the energy use of approximately 150,000 homes for one year
- approximately 14% of total emissions from gas supplied to CenterPoint sales-service customers in 2020.

Put another way, if emissions reductions were spread out over the 5-year plan period, the estimated emissions reductions could be framed as:

- the energy use of just 30,000 homes per year during the 5-year pilot
- less than 3% of total emissions from gas supplied to CenterPoint sales-service customers per year over 5 years.

The proposed \$112 million budget⁸ to achieve this modest increment of GHG reduction savings suggests that customers would likely benefit from the Company's Plan being scaled back to focus on the pilots that hold the most promise for cost effectively reducing emissions and creating opportunities for participating customers to save money.

⁵ Lovins, Amory. The Negawatt Revolution. Sep. 1990. https://rmi.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/RMI Negawatt Revolution 1990.pdf.

⁶ https://www.minneapolismn.gov/government/government-data/datasource/greenhouse-gas-emissions/

⁷ Petition. p. 8 of 33.

⁸ Letter – Correction of Certain Cost Recovery Figures. Exhibit 1: Corrected Tables p. 2 of 2. Jul 13, 2023. https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentId={10155189-0000-CA15-BF9C-54CE5E363664}&documentTitle=20237-197470-01

A. Pilot A. Hennepin County Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Materials GHG and Natural Gas Savings

Minneapolis does not include analysis of Pilot A since the Company expects that the revised portfolio would remove Pilot A.⁹

B. Pilot B. RNG Produced from Ramsey & Washington Counties' Organic Waste

Minneapolis supports Pilot B if local air quality impacts are acceptable for nearby residents. Some pilot benefits could include:

- A marketable biochar product that is proven to sequester carbon
- Reducing methane emissions from landfills
- A new local fuel source that supports local economic development

Anaerobic digestion can be practical in urban areas where land for aerobic composting is not available. Further, this pilot enables the counties to go beyond composting organics to create a soil amendment that supports the state recycling goal of 75% by 2030.¹⁰ An additional benefit if CenterPoint secures a local offtaker rather than blending the gas into its distribution system includes diverting organic waste streams for gas end uses that can't easily be electrified.

C. Pilot C. Renewable Natural Gas Request for Proposal ("RFP") Purchase

Minneapolis could support Pilot C at a significantly reduced size, but at fully a third of the NGIA proposed budget, the cost of Pilot C is too high. Funds spent on this pilot won't meaningfully benefit customers by lowering bills, improving health, or saving energy. In fact, this pilot as written and at scale would only put upward pressure on customer bills with no opportunity for customer savings.

According to CenterPoint's letter¹² dated January 3, 2024, the Company intends to transfer more funds budgeted for the Hennepin County Anaerobic Digestion pilot toward procuring RNG from food waste, dairy, wastewater treatment, and landfill to maximize an additional optional 15 percent funding under this plan. ¹³ Minneapolis does not support determining RNG procurement levels based on a goal of maximizing the Company's optional spending levels.

Additionally, since CenterPoint procures all its methane fuel from out of state resources, Minneapolis has a preference for contracting with Minnesota-based projects as opposed to those in adjacent states to promote in state economic development and to limit the distance the fuel must be transported, thereby diminishing losses.

⁹ Docket No. G-008/M-23-215. Letter - Pilot Allocation Adjustments Planned for Reply Comments. In the Matter of the Petition by CenterPoint Energy for Approval of its First Natural Gas Innovation Plan. Jan. 3, 2024.

¹⁰ Minn Stat. 115A.551. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/115A.551

¹¹ Petition. p. 9-10 of 33

¹² Letter Pilot Allocation

¹² Letter - Pilot Allocation Adjustments Planned for Reply Comments. Jan 3, 2024. https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentId={F03BD18C-0000-CE3A-9087-E8F72E7E907F}&documentTitle=20241-201812-02

¹³ Minn. Stat. § 216B.2427, subd. 3 https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/216B.2427

Any contract under this Pilot should only be approved if the source is reasonably cost-effective in terms of life cycle GHG emissions reductions.

D. Pilot D. Green Hydrogen Blending into Natural Gas Distribution System

Minneapolis does not support Pilot D to build a second plant for blending hydrogen (" H_2 ") into CenterPoint's existing gas system. H_2 blending is known to pose leakage and safety risks which make it impractical to scale H_2 blending. Leaking H_2 would negate the climate benefit of offsetting methane and waste customer money on H_2 fuel that escapes into the atmosphere. Further the maximum technical limit for blending is often considered to be between 5% and 20% or 30%, meaning that even at scale CenterPoint's system would continue to rely on climate-polluting methane gas as its primary fuel source for decades to come contrary to the State's statutory goal for GHG emissions reductions of 50% by $2030.^{14}$

Risks associated with blending hydrogen into existing methane gas system include:

- Materials compatibility Many components of methane gas infrastructure like pipes, valves, seals, etc. were not designed for H₂ gas. Hydrogen embrittlement can make steel and other materials brittle and prone to cracking, leading to leaks of both methane gas and H2.¹⁵ leak mechanisms through joints, threads, cracks, and pinhole defects
- Safety H₂ has a wider flammability range than methane gas and very low ignition energy. Leaks carry an increased fire or explosion risk in customer homes.¹⁶
- Leakage H₂ molecules are smaller than methane molecules and are even more prone to leaking through small gaps in fittings, seals, etc than methane gas.¹⁷
- Lower Efficiency Customer combustion appliances are less efficient when burning methane gas blended with H₂, offsetting climate benefits and putting upward pressure on customer bills.¹⁸

Minneapolis notes that a H_2 blending pilot in partnership with a dedicated industrial end user may be a more appropriate investment because the customer could design and build a system with H_2 safety and efficiency in mind.

¹⁴ Minn. Stat. § 216H.02, subd 1.

¹⁵ Topolski, Kevin, Evan P. Reznicek, Burcin Cakir Erdener, Chris W. San Marchi, Joseph A. Ronevich, Lisa Fring, Kevin Simmons, Omar Jose Guerra Fernandez, Bri-Mathias Hodge, and Mark Chung. 2022. Hydrogen Blending into Natural Gas Pipeline Infrastructure: Review of the State of Technology. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP5400-81704. https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/81704.pdf.

¹⁶ Blue and Green Hydrogen Production. Clean Energy Group. https://www.cleanegroup.org/wp-content/uploads/Blue-and-Green-Hydrogen-Production-Harms.pdf

¹⁷ Topolski, Kevin, Evan P. Reznicek, Burcin Cakir Erdener, Chris W. San Marchi, Joseph A. Ronevich, Lisa Fring, Kevin Simmons, Omar Jose Guerra Fernandez, Bri-Mathias Hodge, and Mark Chung. 2022. Hydrogen Blending into Natural Gas Pipeline Infrastructure: Review of the State of Technology. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP5400-81704. https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/81704.pdf. p.15, 22

¹⁸ Brania, Jonathan. Hydrogen Fuels and Gas-Fired Appliances. UL Solutions.

Pilot E. Industrial or Large Commercial Hydrogen and Carbon Capture Incentives

CenterPoint indicates "the high-level GHG estimate for this pilot is framed around CO_2 utilization." Minneapolis supports this pilot under the condition that CenterPoint's estimates for CO_2 utilization are realistic and there is an off-taker before customer funds are invested. Under these conditions, the pilot may present an opportunity for some commercial and industrial applications that are more challenging to decarbonize.

Minneapolis also recommends that the customer contribute at least 50 percent of the project cost rather than CenterPoint's proposal to pay 100% of capital costs for project installation, up to \$1.5 million for two projects.²⁰

Pilot F. Industrial Methane and Refrigerant Leak Reduction

Minneapolis appreciates that refrigerant monitoring for leaks is included along with monitoring for methane gas leaks since refrigerants are odorless and also contribute to GHG emissions. However, CenterPoint has not attempted to quantify GHG reductions as a result of refrigerant leak repair.²¹

We recommend that if the pilot is approved, contractors be solicited from in-state to maximize local economic development benefits.

Pilot G. Urban Tree Carbon Offsets

Minneapolis supports this pilot. It is cost effective and advances other climate adaptation goals like mitigating urban heat island through urban forestry projects where people live. To better understand how the program works, Minneapolis sustainability staff interviewed City Forest Credits²² ("CFC") and learned:

- The program assumes and factors in a 20% mortality rate over 25 years
- CFC releases the carbon credits over time to reflect that sequestering carbon in trees happens over many years
- A 3rd party inspector conducts a health audit and tree status starting at 5 years
- The program doesn't support trees that are required to be planted by ordinance
- Each tree is tracked for 26 years
- The responsible party must purchase credits for any tree deaths where credits were already received
- At years 4, 6, 14, and 26, field monitoring is done to:
 - Measure trees
 - Verify whether trees are still alive

While the scale is small at 4,500 metric tons CO₂e reductions expected, the pilot is cost effective, and the community resilience and environmental justice benefits merit approval.

¹⁹ Petition. Exhibit F p. 12 of 22.

²⁰ Petition. Exhibit D. p. 17 of 58.

²¹ *Id.* p 19 of 58.

²² Discussion with Jen Kullgren of CFC Aug. 4, 2023.

Pilot H. Carbon Capture Rebates for Commercial Buildings

Minneapolis supports this pilot and CenterPoint's plan to leverage energy benchmarking data for customer recruitment. CleanO2 recommends customers with boiler inputs between 200,000 BTU/hr - 1,500,000 BTU/hr and this must be factored into the participant selection.²³

While having limited GHG reduction potential (an estimated 20%), 24 the CarbinX process is a climate-friendly way to produce KCO₃ and creates a revenue stream for hosts who are likely to continue using methane gas for heating for the next several years. It may also be possible to utilize captured CO₂ for other commercial uses.

Pilot I. New Networked Geothermal Systems

Minneapolis recommends approval with the following requirements to maximize this leading opportunity to decarbonize heating:

- Completing at least two networked geothermal systems with distinct profiles:
 - New construction (Mixed use development and/or multifamily)
 - o An existing corridor with both residential and commercial customers
- Dedicated support staff to assist customers with utility and federal incentive opportunities
- Developing monitoring and evaluation plans to track system performance, emissions reductions, identify potential issues, and optimize operations
- Evaluating the proposed budget to determine if more funds from year one could be allocated to serving more customers

Networked geothermal can have positive impacts by offering customers an alternative to combusting methane gas for heat. The system wells have a long lifespan, especially if aquifer-sourced or have balanced heating and cooling loads, such as including commercial users with waste heat. The technology also has the potential to meaningfully scale displace methane gas, enhancing local economic development and resilience.

We encourage CenterPoint to seek locations that are likely to have favorable outcomes for participants with use cases that show the most promise:

- New construction will avoid retrofits and ensure buildings are efficient.
- Coordinating with local jurisdictions to co-locate where alley or street improvements are already planned to save money and minimize disruption.
- Consulting with neighborhood stakeholders "early and often' will be important for a positive experience.

Pilot J. Decarbonizing Existing District Energy Systems

6

²³ https://www.carbinx.com/buy-carbinx Accessed Dec. 21, 2023.

²⁴ Id.

Minneapolis supports approval of this pilot and notes the following.

- The budget of \$2.5 M in incentives is approximately \$.70 per therm and in line with CIP/ECO programs range of \$0.50-\$1.00 per therm saved.
- Covering just 20% of feasibility study costs up to \$30K²⁵ may be too low. Minneapolis recommends maintaining the cap but increasing the feasibility incentive to 50% of the cost up to \$30,000.

Pilot K. New District Energy System

Minneapolis is generally supportive of building new district heating systems as a promising approach to decarbonizing and improving heating system efficiency. Clarification about the amount of incentives to be paid would be helpful as there is differing information in pilot budget (Table 32) and the description that follows where up to \$1.5 million per project will be awarded.²⁶ It is also unclear if there are performance incentives that would extend beyond the five-year pilot.

At this time, Minneapolis does not take a position on the proposal that "while the statutory definition requires the system to include multiple buildings, CenterPoint Energy would allow participation by customers that intend to use systems in a single building that would otherwise qualify as district energy systems"²⁷ but notes that it is contrary to the way conventional district systems work.

We appreciate that CenterPoint plans to target sites where customers are open to hosting walk-throughs so that contractors, design firms, and others can gain exposure to the technology.

Pilot L. Industrial Electrification Incentives

Minneapolis is supportive of gaining experience with industrial heat pumps. For the three pilot projects planned, Minneapolis recommends the Commission require that the end use applications are truly novel and innovative in order to be eligible.

We also recommend that participants contribute cost share rather than the program paying for 100% of the cost up to \$1.5 million as proposed.²⁸

Pilot M. Commercial Hybrid Heating

Minneapolis supports this pilot and notes that for small businesses in environmental justice areas, an incentive of greater than 40%²⁹ may be required and justified to include a diverse group of participants.

Minneapolis supports the use of commercial energy benchmarking data as an avenue for customer recruitment. This pilot has the potential to improve health by reducing reliance on methane gas, especially if cold climate heat pumps are specified.

²⁵ Petition. Exhibit D: Full Pilot Descriptions. p. 33 of 58.

²⁶ *Id.* p. 35 of 58.

²⁷ *Id.* p.36 of 58.

²⁸ *Id.* p. 37 of 58.

²⁹ *Id*. p. 40 of 58.

Pilot N. Residential Deep Energy Retrofits and Electric Air Source Heat Pumps

Deep energy retrofitting is a valuable tool to decarbonize the economy. As one of the few pilots that has the potential to lead to improved comfort, health, and bill savings for customers, Minneapolis recommends that this pilot make up a much larger share of the overall Plan. Finding ways to help high energy users lower their bills increases housing security and can reduce the amount of bad debt absorbed by other customers. The NGIA statute requires CenterPoint to "include a pilot program that facilitates deep energy retrofits and the installation of cold climate electric air-source heat pumps in existing residential homes that have natural gas heating systems." But the five-year goal of just 238 participating homes³¹ is too modest compared to the \$112 million overall NGIA budget.

We note that in the *Project Description* of Pilot N, CenterPoint does not specify the inclusion of cold climate heat pumps under the pilot but instead refers simply to "air-source electric heat pumps." We believe that the Company plans to pursue cold climate air source heat pumps per the statute and recommend that the Company clarify this in future filings related to the pilot. We support the Company's objective that 40% of residential units under Pilot N and the Weatherization Blitzes qualify as low income or be in a disadvantaged community and recommend this as a program requirement.³²

Minneapolis agrees with the need to hold public meetings during the planning process to gather feedback on equity and diversity.³³ We also support CenterPoint's commitment "to seek low-ormoderate income customers in the proposed Residential Deep Energy Retrofits and Electric Air Source Heat Pumps pilot... and recommend that the Company consult with an experienced community-based organization to support this priority."³⁴

Pilot O. Small/Medium Business GHG Audit

Minneapolis favors small to medium business having GHG audits and could support this pilot for hard to decarbonize applications. However, the proposal to incentivize the carbon capture technologies being tested under another pilot may not be the best approach. Pursuing deep energy retrofits for businesses could lead to more GHG emissions benefit and increased customer bill savings. The pilot should aim to supplement ECO program funds to make it easier for customers to go beyond the 'lowest-hanging fruit' and invest in insulation and high efficiency appliances rather than carbon capture.

Pilot P. Residential Gas Heat Pumps and Pilot Q. Gas Heat Pumps for Commercial Buildings

Minneapolis does not support deploying gas heat pumps under the NGIA.

- The pilot costs are very expensive for the scale of reductions compared to other technologies the company could deploy. (Pilot P budgets over \$300,000 for only 235 metric tons of CO₂e reductions over the life of the units and Pilot Q budgets \$750,000 for 2,154 metric tons of CO₂e reductions over the life of the units)³⁵
- Deploying gas heat pumps would actually transition electric cooling to gas

³⁰ Minn. Stat. § 216B.2427, subd. 8 https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/216B.2427

³¹ Petition. Exhibit D. p. 43 of 58.

³² Petition. p. 18.

³³ Petition Exhibit D. p. 45 of 58.

³⁴ Petition. p. 24 of 33

³⁵ Petition. Exhibit D. p. 51, 53.

The gas heat pump pilots are inconsistent with state and local goals for GHG emissions reductions.

Pilot R. Industrial and Large Commercial GHG Audit

Minneapolis generally supports this pilot concept. As noted by the Company, Industrial and Commercial GHG Audits can supplement CenterPoint's ECO Plan measures to reduce GHG emissions. The pilot could help address a priority under the Minneapolis Clean Energy Partnership Workplan with CenterPoint to 'impactfully reduce commercial and industrial natural gas use.'36 However at just 10 audits and 1 GHG reduction project per year it is unclear that this pilot would demonstrate how successful GHG audits would be at scale. Also of concern is that the project delivery costs are on par with customer incentives to take action based on the audit throughout the five year pilot.

 Should the Commission grant CenterPoint Energy's request to spend up to 25 percent more than budgeted for pilots with higher-than-expected expenditures without seeking additional approval from the Commission, provided the increase does not cause the plan, as a whole, to exceed its statutory cost cap or fail to satisfy any other statutory requirements?

While budget flexibility is helpful for program administration, given that the program is new and has many pilot programs, Minneapolis recommends that CenterPoint be required to seek approval for budget variances in excess of 5%.

 Should the Commission approve CenterPoint Energy's plan for recovering the costs associated with its 2023 NGIA plan, including the requested variance to Minn. R. 7825.2400?

Minneapolis abstains from taking a position on the Company's proposed cost recovery approach at this time. However, we request clarification on the following:

The IAC and IAA will include the Company's rate of return, income taxes on the rate of return, incremental property taxes, incremental depreciation expense, and incremental operations and maintenance expenses as authorized by the NGIA, including incremental internal labor costs.³⁷ (emphasis added)

Specifically, we seek to understand if customers are normally billed for income taxes resulting from the company's revenue from the rate of return customers pay. If not, Minneapolis opposes CenterPoint recovering income taxes associated with its rate of return from customers.

• Should the Commission grant CenterPoint Energy's request to increase the statutory budget cap for the Company's next NGIA plan, as permitted by Minn. Stat. § 216B.2427, subd. 3(c) & (d), under the condition that "a majority" of the approved cost-effectiveness

³⁶ 2022-2023 Minneapolis Clean Energy Partnership Work Plan.

https://mplscleanenergypartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/CEP-2022-2023-Work-Plan FINAL APPROVED.pdf.

³⁷ Petition, p. 20 of 33.

objectives are achieved?

The Commission should not grant this request for the Company's next NGIA at this early stage. We recommend considering this at a later stage after the Company demonstrates whether its first NGIA plan is successful at decarbonizing its system cost effectively.

• Are there other concerns related to this matter?

Minneapolis recommends that the final list of pilots and the budget approved should prioritize customer benefits, including health, affordability, resilience and comfort. As proposed, the pilots rely heavily on measures that will simply drive up costs without many opportunities for individual customers to participate. Since customers are being asked to pay for the pilots, it is reasonable that there be more customer-facing benefits than procurement solutions.

Additionally, we'd like to see intentional community engagement plans for most of the pilots. The Company and customers may benefit from CenterPoint hiring experienced community-based organizations to support authentic and effective community engagement.

Minneapolis appreciates the Commission's consideration of our Initial Comments and notes that we may update our recommendations during the Reply Comment period based on other stakeholders' analysis and comments.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick Hanlon
Deputy Commissioner of Sustainability, Healthy Homes, Environment

STATE OF MINNESOTA)
) ss. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)

I, Stacy A. Miller, of the City of Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, affirm that on the 16th day of January 2024, I served a copy of the following via e-mail and/or via U.S. Mail:

COMMENTS OF THE CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS REGARDING DOCKET NO. 23-215

at the last known mailing addresses and email addresses of said entities/individuals on the attached Service List. If by U.S. Mail, I placed said document in postage prepaid envelope and placed same in the U.S. Post Office in Minneapolis, Minnesota for delivery by the United States Postal Service.

Story a Miller

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Michael	Ahern	ahern.michael@dorsey.com	Dorsey & Whitney, LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 1500 Minneapolis, MN 554021498	Electronic Service	No
Elizabeth	Aldrich	laldrich@bluesource.com	Bluesource	15669 WATERLOO CIR TRUCKEE, CA 96161	Electronic Service	No
Michael	Allen	michael.allen@allenergysolar.com	All Energy Solar	721 W 26th st Suite 211 Minneapolis, MN 55405	Electronic Service	No
Abigail	Alter	aalter@rmi.org		2490 Junction Place Ste 200 Boulder, CO 80301	Electronic Service	No
Gary	Ambach	Gambach@slipstreaminc.org	Slipstream, Inc.	8973 SW Village Loop Chanhassen, MN 55317	Electronic Service	No
Kristine	Anderson	kanderson@greatermngas.com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.& Greater MN Transmission, LLC	1900 Cardinal Lane PO Box 798 Faribault, MN 55021	Electronic Service	No
Susan	Arntz	sarntz@mankatomn.gov	City Of Mankato	P.O. Box 3368 Mankato, MN 560023368	Electronic Service	No
Mara	Ascheman	mara.k.ascheman@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FI 5 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Michael J	Auger	Michael.auger@ever-greenenergy.com	Ever-Green Energy	305 Saint Peter St Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No
Jessica L	Bayles	Jessica.Bayles@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	1150 18th St NW Ste 325 Washington, DC 20036	Electronic Service	No
Laura	Beaton	beaton@smwlaw.com	Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP		Electronic Service	No
Randall	Beck	RBeck3@wm.com	Waste Management Renewable Energy, L.L.C.	1021 Main St Houston, TX 77002	Electronic Service	No
David	Bender	dbender@earthjustice.org	Earthjustice	1001 G Street NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No
Alicia	Berger	Alicia.E.Berger@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Tracy	Bertram	tbertram@ci.becker.mn.us		12060 Sherburne Ave Becker City Hall Becker, MN 55308- 4694		No
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Sherri	Billimoria	sbillimoria@rmi.org	Rocky Mountain Institute (RMI)	N/A	Electronic Service	No
Mike	Boughner	Michael.l.boughner@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Tim	Brinkman	tim.brinkman@gvtel.net	Garden Valley Telephone Company - Coop	206 Vance Ave S PO Box 259 Erskine, MN 56535	Electronic Service	No
Roderick	Cameron	roderick.cameron@ftr.com	Frontier Communications of Minnesota, Inc.	180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646	Electronic Service	No
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No
Thomas	Carlson	thomas.carlson@edf-re.com	EDF Renewable Energy	10 2nd St NE Ste. 400 Minneapolis, MN 55413	Electronic Service	No
Melodee	Carlson Chang	melodee.carlsonchang@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Margaret	Cherne- Hendrick	cherne-hendrick@fresh-energy.org		Fresh Energy 408 Saint Peter Street, Suite 220 St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No
Cody	Chilson	cchilson@greatermngas.com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. & Greater MN Transmission, LLC	1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault, MN 55021	Electronic Service	No
Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.	12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha, NE 68103- 2047	Electronic Service	No
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd. St, Louis, MO 63119- 2044	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Sheri	Comer	Sheri.comer@ftr.com	Frontier Communications Corporation	1500 MacCorkle Ave SE Charleston, WV 25396	Electronic Service	No
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes
Jean	Comstock	jean.comstock.dbcc@gmail.com	St. Paul 350	729 6th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	5093 Keats Avenue Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No
Joseph	Dammel	dammel@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 Saint Peter St Ste 350 St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No
Seth	DeMerritt	Seth.DeMerritt@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
James	Denniston	james.r.denniston@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	414 Nicollet Mall, 401-8 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Ryan	Dougherty	ryan@geoexchange.org	Geothermal Exchange Organization	312 S 4th St Ste 100 SPRINGFIELD, IL 62701	Electronic Service	No
J.	Drake Hamilton	hamilton@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 St Peter St Ste 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No
Scott F	Dunbar	sdunbar@keyesfox.com	Keyes & Fox LLP	1580 Lincoln St Ste 880 Denver, CO 80203	Electronic Service	No
Brian	Edstrom	briane@cubminnesota.org	Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota	332 Minnesota St Ste W1360 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self- Reliance	2720 E. 22nd St Institute for Local Self- Reliance Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No
Mike	Fiterman	mikefiterman@libertydiversified.com	Liberty Diversified International	5600 N Highway 169 Minneapolis, MN 55428- 3096	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Lucas	Franco	Ifranco@liunagroc.com	LIUNA	81 Little Canada Rd E Little Canada, MN 55117	Electronic Service	No
Amy	Fredregill	afredregill@environmental-initiative.org	Environmental Initiative, MN Sustainable Growth Coalition	211 First St N Ste 250 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Daryll	Fuentes	energy@usg.com	USG Corporation	550 W Adams St Chicago, IL 60661	Electronic Service	No
BEAU	GRIFFEY	bgriffey@id8energy.com	iD8 Energy Group, LLC	1799 County Rd 90 N Maple Plain, MN 55395	Electronic Service	No
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLconsulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102- 2617	Electronic Service	No
Edward	Garvey	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No
Debbie	Goettel	Debbie.Goettel@hennepin.us	Partnership on Waste and Energy	2785 White Bear Ave N Ste 350 Maplewood, MN 55109	Electronic Service	No
Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.com	Kutak Rock LLP	Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No
Matthew B	Harris	matt.b.harris@xcelenergy.com	XCEL ENERGY	401 Nicollet Mall FL 8 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Kim	Havey	kim.havey@minneapolismn.gov	City of Minneapolis	350 South 5th Street, Suite 315M Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No
Philip	Hayet	phayet@jkenn.com	J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc.	570 Colonial Park Drive Suite 305 Roswell, GA 30075- 3770	Electronic Service	No
Adam	Heinen	aheinen@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No
Mike	Henchen	mhenchen@rmi.org	Rocky Mountain Institute (RMI)	N/A	Electronic Service	No
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No
Joylyn C	Hoffman Malueg	Joylyn.hoffmanmalueg@wecenergygroup.com	Minnesota Energy Resources	2685 145th St W Rosemount, MN 55068	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Michael	Норре	lu23@ibew23.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	445 Etna Street Ste. 61 St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No
Emma	Ingebretson	Emma.Ingebretsen@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall PO Box 59038 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Travis	Jacobson	travis.jacobson@mdu.com	Great Plains Natural Gas Company	400 N 4th St Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No
John	Jaimez	john.jaimez@hennepin.us	Hennepin County Public Works	Environment & Energy Department 701 4th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2950 Yellowtail Ave. Marathon, FL 33050	Electronic Service	No
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Brendan	Jordan	bjordan@gpisd.net	Great Plains Institute & Bioeconomy Coalition of MN	2801 21st Ave S Ste 220 Minneapolis, MN 55407	Electronic Service	No
Cliff	Kaehler	cliff.kaehler@novelenergy.biz	Novel Energy Solutions LLC	4710 Blaylock Way Inver Grove Heights, MN 55076	Electronic Service	No
David	Kailbourne	EDK@REVLNG.COM	REV LNG, LLC	1002 Empson Rd Ulysses, PA 16948	Electronic Service	No
D	Kalmon	dkalmon@mwmo.org	Mississippi Watershed Management Organization	2522 Marshall St NE Minneapolis, MN 55418- 3329	Electronic Service	No
William D	Kenworthy	will@votesolar.org	Vote Solar	332 S Michigan Ave FL 9 Chicago, IL 60604	Electronic Service	No
Samuel B.	Ketchum	sketchum@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	150 S 5th St Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Frank	Kohlasch	frank.kohlasch@state.mn.us	MN Pollution Control Agency	520 Lafayette Rd N. St. Paul, MN 55155	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Kyle R.	Kroll	kkroll@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	225 South Sixth Street Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Nicolle	Kupser	nkupser@greatermngas.com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. & Greater MN Transmission, LLC	1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault, MN 55021	Electronic Service	No
Brenda	Kyle	bkyle@stpaulchamber.com	St. Paul Area Chamber of Commerce	401 N Robert Street Suite 150 St Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No
Carmel	Laney	carmel.laney@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Betsy	Lang	betsy.lang@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas	505 Nicollet Ave Mall, 3rd Floor Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No
Samuel	Lehr	sam.lehr@rngcoalition.com	Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas	1017 L St #513 Sacramento, CA 95814	Electronic Service	No
Robert	Lems	administration@dmt-cgs.com	DMT Clear Gas Solutions	19125 SW 125th Ct Tualatin, OR 97062	Electronic Service	No
Rachel	Leonard	rachel.leonard@ci.monticello.mn.us	City of Monticello	505 Walnut St Ste 1 Monticello, MN 55362	Electronic Service	No
Annie	Levenson Falk	annielf@cubminnesota.org	Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota	332 Minnesota Street, Suite W1360 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No
Amy	Liberkowski	amy.a.liberkowski@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No
Eric	Lipman	eric.lipman@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 551640620	Electronic Service	No
Jason	Loos	jason.loos@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp.	505 Nicollet Mall 3rd Floor Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting, LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
				Oconomowoc, WI 53066		
Emily	Marshall	emarshall@mojlaw.com	Miller O'Brien Jensen, PA	120 S. 6th Street Suite 2400 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 E 7th St St Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No
Nicholas	Martin	nicholas.f.martin@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall 7th floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Linda	Martinez	Imartinez@auri.org	Agricultural Utilization Research Institute	N/A	Electronic Service	No
Mary	Martinka	mary.a.martinka@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy Inc	414 Nicollet Mall 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Daryl	Maxwell	dmaxwell@hydro.mb.ca	Manitoba Hydro	360 Portage Ave FL 16 PO Box 815, Station Main Winnipeg, MB R3C 2P4 CANADA	Electronic Service	No
Taylor	McNair	taylor@gridlab.org		668 Capp Street San Francisco, CA 94110	Electronic Service	No
Sarah	Mead	sarah.mead@wecenergygroup.com	MERC	N/A	Electronic Service	No
Matthew	Melewski	matthew@nokomisenergy.com	Nokomis Energy LLC & Ole Solar LLC		Electronic Service	No
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101- 2131	Electronic Service	No
Ana Sophia	Mifsud	amifsud@rmi.org	Rocky Mountain Institute (RMI)	N/A	Electronic Service	No
Stacy	Miller	stacy.miller@minneapolismn.gov	City of Minneapolis	350 S. 5th Street Room M 301 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Evan	Mulholland	emulholland@mncenter.org	Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy	1919 University Ave W Ste 515 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No
Alan	Muller	alan@greendel.org	Energy & Environmental Consulting	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No
M. William	O'Brien	bobrien@mojlaw.com	Miller O'Brien Jensen, P.A.	120 S 6th St Ste 2400 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Ric	O'Connell	ric@gridlab.org	GridLab	2120 University Ave Berkeley, CA 94704	Electronic Service	No
Logan	O'Grady	logrady@mnseia.org	Minnesota Solar Energy Industries Association	2288 University Ave W St. Paul, MN 55114	Electronic Service	No
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No
Greg	Palmer	gpalmer@greatermngas.com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. & Greater MN Transmission, LLC	1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault, MN 55021	Electronic Service	No
Jessica	Palmer Denig	jessica.palmer-Denig@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	600 Robert St N PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 55164	Electronic Service	No
Antonio	Parisi	aparisi@sacyr.com	Sacyr Environment USA LLC	3330 Washington Blvd Ste 400 Arlington, VA 22201	Electronic Service	No
Audrey	Partridge	apartridge@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave. N. Suite 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Dean	Pawlowski	dpawlowski@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	PO Box 496 215 S. Cascade St. Fergus Falls, MN 565370496	Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Lisa	Peterson	lisa.r.peterson@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No
Catherine	Phillips	Catherine.Phillips@wecenergygroup.com	Minnesota Energy Resources	231 West Michigan St Milwaukee, WI 53203	Electronic Service	No
J. Gregory	Porter	greg.porter@nngco.com	Northern Natural Gas Company	1111 South 103rd St Omaha, NE 68124	Electronic Service	No
Kevin	Pranis	kpranis@liunagroc.com	Laborers' District Council of MN and ND	81 E Little Canada Road St. Paul, MN 55117	Electronic Service	No
Greg	Pruszinske	gpruszinske@ci.becker.mn.us	City of Becker	PO Box 250 12060 Sherburne Ave Becker, MN 55308	Electronic Service	No
Victoria	Reinhardt	Victoria.Reinhardt@co.ramsey.mn.us	Partnership on Waste and Energy	Ramsey County Board Office 15 W. Kellogg Blvd., Ste. 220 St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No
Nathaniel	Runke	nrunke@local49.org	International Union of Operating Engineers Local 49	611 28th St. NW Rochester, MN 55901	Electronic Service	No
Bjorgvin	Saevarsson	bjorgvin@yorthgroup.com	Yorth	500 East Grant Street 1207 #1207 Minneapolis, MN 55404	Electronic Service	No
Kevin	Saville	kevin.saville@ftr.com	Citizens/Frontier Communications		Electronic Service	No
Elizabeth	Schmiesing	eschmiesing@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	225 South Sixth Street Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Peter	Scholtz	peter.scholtz@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101- 2131	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Christine	Schwartz	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No
Douglas	Seaton	doug.seaton@umwlc.org	Upper Midwest Law Center	8421 Wayzata Blvd Ste 300 Golden Valley, MN 55426	Electronic Service	No
Patrick	Serfass	pserfass@ttcorp.com	American Biogas Council	1211 Connecticut Ave NW Ste 650 Washington, DC 20036	Electronic Service	No
Patrick	Serfass	info@americanbiogascouncil.org	American Biogas Council	1211 Connecticut Ave NW Ste 650 Washington, DC 20036	Electronic Service	No
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th PI E Ste 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.com	Shaddix And Associates	7400 Lyndale Ave S Ste 190 Richfield, MN 55423	Electronic Service	No
David	Shaffer	david.shaffer@novelenergy.biz	Novel Energy Solutions	2303 Wycliff St Ste 300 St. Paul, MN 55114	Electronic Service	No
Andrew R.	Shedlock	Andrew.Shedlock@KutakRock.com	Kutak Rock LLP	60 South Sixth St Ste 3400 Minneapolis, MN 55402- 4018	Electronic Service	No
Colleen	Sipiorski	Colleen.Sipiorski@wecenergygroup.com	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation	700 North Adams St Green Bay, WI 54307	Electronic Service	No
Edyta	Sitko	esitko@ucsusa.org	Union of Concerned Scientists	1 N Lasalle Ave CHICAGO, IL 60602	Electronic Service	No
Joshua	Smith	joshua.smith@sierraclub.org		85 Second St FL 2 San Francisco, CA 94105	Electronic Service	No
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No
Beth	Smith	bsmith@greatermankato.com	Greater Mankato Growth	1961 Premier Dr Ste 100 Mankato, MN 56001	Electronic Service	No
Beth	Soholt	bsoholt@cleangridalliance.org	Clean Grid Alliance	570 Asbury Street Suite 201 St. Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Anna	Sommer	ASommer@energyfuturesgroup.com	Energy Futures Group	PO Box 692 Canton, NY 13617	Electronic Service	No
Peggy	Sorum	peggy.sorum@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Mark	Spurr	mspurr@fvbenergy.com	International District Energy Association	222 South Ninth St., Suite 825 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Richard	Stasik	richard.stasik@wecenergygroup.com	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (HOLDING)	231 West Michigan St - P321 Milwaukee, WI 53203	Electronic Service	No
Kristin	Stastny	kstastny@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP	2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
James M	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	150 S 5th St Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Kent	Sulem	ksulem@mmua.org	MMUA	3131 Fernbrook Ln N Ste 200 Plymouth, MN 55447- 5337	Electronic Service	No
Emily	Suppes	emily.suppes@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy Minnesota Gas	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No
Matthew	Tomich	tomich@energy-vision.org	Energy Vision	138 E 13th St New York, NY 10003	Electronic Service	No
Jessica	Tritsch	jessica.tritsch@sierraclub.org	Sierra Club	2327 E Franklin Ave Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No
Julie	Voeck	julie.voeck@nee.com	NextEra Energy Resources, LLC	700 Universe Blvd Juno Beach, FL 33408	Electronic Service	No
Sam	Wade	sam@rngcoalition.com	Coalition for Renewable Natural Gas	1017 L Street #513 Sacramento, CA 95814	Electronic Service	No
Nicole	Westling	nicole.westling@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Suite 280 St Paul, MN 55001	Electronic Service	No

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret
Casey	Whelan	cwhelan@kinectenergy.com	Kinect Energy Group	605 Highway 169 N Ste 1200 Plymouth, MN 55441	Electronic Service	No
Laurie	Williams	laurie.williams@sierraclub.org	Sierra Club	Environmental Law Program 1536 Wynkoop St Ste 200 Denver, CO 80202	Electronic Service	No
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Tim	Wulling	t.wulling@earthlink.net		1495 Raymond Ave. Saint Paul, MN 55108	Electronic Service	No
Donald	Wynia	donald.wynia@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	CenterPoint Energy 505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No
Kurt	Zimmermar	n kwz@ibew160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418- 3238	Electronic Service	No
Grant	Zimmermar	n GZIMMERMAN@AMPAMERICAS.COM	Amp Americas	811 W Evergreen Ave Ste 201 Chicago, IL 60642	Electronic Service	No
Patrick	Zomer	Pat.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett PA	150 S 5th St #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No