

Direct Testimony and Schedule
Samuel P. Hobbs

Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
State of Minnesota

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy
for a Certificate of Need for Additional Dry Cask Storage at the
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

Docket No. E002/CN-24-68
Exhibit____(SPH-1)

Radiological Impacts

February 10, 2025

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Radioactive Wastes and Emissions.....	3
III.	Exposure to Radiation	5
IV.	Conclusion.....	9

Schedule

Statement of Qualifications

Schedule 1

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2

3 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND TITLE.

4 A. My name is Samuel P. Hobbs. I am a Health Physicist for the Prairie Island
5 Nuclear Generating Plant (Prairie Island Plant or Plant) owned by Northern
6 States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy (Xcel Energy or the Company).

7

8 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.

9 A. I have worked for Xcel Energy since 2008, initially as a Radiation Protection
10 Specialist. I then served as As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA)
11 Coordinator from 2008 to 2011, and then as a Health Physicist from 2011 to
12 present. My statement of qualifications is provided as Exhibit____(SPH-1),
13 Schedule 1.

14

15 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES?

16 A. In my current role, I am responsible for managing radiological programs
17 including the site Groundwater Protection Program, site dosimetry program,
18 site Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, and the radioactive
19 source accountability program. I am also involved in maintaining site
20 radiological instruments.

21

22 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

23 A. The purpose of my Direct Testimony in this proceeding is to discuss the
24 radiological impacts associated with the proposed expansion of the
25 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) at the Prairie Island Plant.
26 My testimony also introduces the Dose Analysis performed by Sargent &
27 Lundy, L.L.C. (Sargent & Lundy) in support of the Certificate of Need

1 Application (Application) in this docket. The Dose Analysis was submitted as
2 Appendix B to the Application and was prepared by Sargent & Lundy. I also
3 introduce the Updated Risk Assessment, which was also prepared by Sargent &
4 Lundy, and is included as Appendix G to the Application.

5
6 Q. WHICH SECTIONS OF THE APPLICATION ARE YOU SPONSORING?

7 A. I am sponsoring the following sections of the Application:

- 8 • 12.1 – Radioactive Wastes
- 9 • 12.2 – Human Exposure to Radiation Due to Operation
- 10 • 12.7 – Heat Rejection
- 11 • 13.1 – Management of Radioactive Materials
- 12 • 13.2 – Contingency Plans for Accidental Release
- 13 • 13.6 – Spill and Leak Prevention
- 14 • 13.9 – Environmental Monitoring
- 15 • Appendices B-D and G

16
17 Q. WHAT SCHEDULES ARE YOU SPONSORING IN YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

18 A. I am sponsoring the following schedule:

- 19 • Schedule 1 – Statement of Qualifications

20
21 Q. HOW IS THE REMAINDER OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

22 A. My testimony is organized as follows:

- 23 • *Section II*: I discuss radiological wastes from the proposed ISFSI
24 expansion.
- 25 • *Section III*: I address the potential for human exposure to radiation from

1 the proposed ISFSI expansion and the methods used to limit such
2 potential exposure.

- 3 • *Section IV: Conclusion*

4 5 **II. RADIOACTIVE WASTES AND EMISSIONS**

6
7 Q. WILL THE ISFSI EXPANSION LEAD TO THE GENERATION OF RADIOACTIVE
8 WASTES?

9 A. No. As discussed in the Application, the facility will store spent fuel in
10 stainless steel canisters that are sealed closed by multiple weld layers before
11 the canister leaves the auxiliary building to ensure that no radioactive materials
12 can escape. The canisters are also helium leak-tested to a leak-tight criteria per
13 ANSI N14.5. Further, the outer surface of the canister is decontaminated in
14 compliance with the Plant's Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) license
15 prior to leaving the reactor building to ensure that residual radioactive
16 contamination is not released to the environment.

17
18 Q. WHAT IS THE RISK OF A LEAK FROM THE STORAGE CANISTERS THAT WOULD
19 BE STORED IN THE ISFSI?

20 A. The canisters stored in the ISFSI will be licensed by the NRC. Analyses of
21 normal, off-normal, and accident conditions in spent fuel storage system
22 Safety Analysis Reports have determined that no credible conditions can
23 breach the canister shell or fail the double seal welds at the canister closure.

24
25 Q. HAS THE NRC CONDUCTED AN ANALYSIS OF THE LIKELY CONSEQUENCES OF
26 AN ACCIDENTAL RELEASE FROM AN ISFSI?

1 A. Yes. A generic analysis of potential on-site and off-site consequences of
2 accidental releases associated with the operation of an ISFSI is contained in
3 NUREG-1140, "A Regulatory Analysis on Emergency Preparedness for Fuel
4 Cycle and Other Radioactive Material Licensees."

5
6 Q. WHAT DID THAT ANALYSIS SHOW?

7 A. The NUREG-1140 analysis concluded that the postulated accident involving
8 an ISFSI has insignificant consequences to the public health and safety. The
9 maximum dose to a member of the public off site due to an accidental release
10 of radioactive materials under this scenario was calculated to be .003 roentgen
11 equivalent man (rem) at 100 meters. The calculated dose is within the 1 rem
12 effective dose equivalent EPA Protective Action Guideline and the 10 CFR
13 72.106 limit of 5 rem to the whole body or 50 rem to the maximally exposed
14 organ from any design basis accident.

15
16 Q. ARE THERE ANY CONTINGENCY PLANS IN PLACE AT THE PRAIRIE ISLAND
17 PLANT IN THE CASE OF A RELEASE?

18 A. Yes. Under NRC requirements, an emergency plan is required for the Prairie
19 Island spent fuel storage facility. The NRC-required emergency plan already
20 in effect for the Prairie Island Plant is applied to the ISFSI. This plan describes
21 the organization, assessment actions, activation of the emergency
22 organization, notification procedures, emergency facilities, training, provisions
23 for maintaining emergency preparedness, and recovery criteria for off-normal
24 and accident conditions. The procedures associated with this plan have been
25 filed in this docket.

1 Q. WHAT DO YOU CONCLUDE ABOUT THE RISK OF EXPOSURE FROM A RELEASE
2 OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL FROM THE ISFSI?

3 A. For the reasons discussed above, the risk associated with a release is very low.
4 First, the cask system that will be used is unlikely to fail. Second, the risks to
5 public health and safety posed by a release have been shown to be
6 insignificant. Third, in the highly unlikely event of a release from the ISFSI,
7 there is an emergency plan in place for the Prairie Island Plant that includes
8 measures designed to address this situation.
9

10 III. EXPOSURE TO RADIATION

11
12 Q. WILL FACILITY PERSONNEL WORKING AT THE ISFSI RECEIVE INCREASED
13 RADIATION EXPOSURE AS A RESULT OF THE EXPANSION?

14 A. Because there will be more spent fuel stored at the ISFSI, there would be an
15 increase in dose rates and collective doses to Plant personnel working near the
16 ISFSI. The Company will adhere to NRC requirements regarding personnel
17 exposure to radiation, ensuring that each worker's annual exposure is below
18 the regulatory limit of 0.05 Sv [5 rem]. As with the initial ISFSI, there will be
19 some exposure during spent fuel handling, canister loading, closure welding,
20 spent fuel drying, onsite transport operations, and placement and storage of
21 the canisters.
22

23 Q. WHAT DOES THE COMPANY DO TO MINIMIZE DOSES TO ITS WORKERS?

24 A. Workers are provided with dosimetry devices to measure and record radiation
25 dose exposure. The NRC requires a radiation protection program for the
26 ISFSI. The Company meets this requirement by applying the extensive NRC-
27 required program in place for the Prairie Island Plant to the ISFSI.

1 Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME MORE INFORMATION ON THE RADIATION
2 PROTECTION PROGRAM?

3 A. The primary goal of the radiation protection program is to minimize exposure
4 to radiation such that the total individual and collective exposure to personnel
5 in all phases of operation and maintenance is kept As Low As Reasonably
6 Achievable. The ALARA program has three basic objectives:

- 7 1. Protection of personnel, including surveillance and control over
8 internal and external radiation exposure, and ensuring that such
9 exposure remains within permissible limits and ALARA;
- 10 2. Protection of the public, meaning that all activities related to shipment
11 and storage of spent fuel are controlled by a monitoring plan, which I
12 describe below, to ensure off-site doses are ALARA; and
- 13 3. Protection of the facility, including monitoring for physical changes
14 that could lead to exposure hazards, and determining what changes or
15 improvements are needed to maintain exposure ALARA.

16
17 The radiation protection staff at the Prairie Island Plant is responsible for, and
18 has the necessary authority to, maintain occupational exposures as far below
19 the specified limits as is reasonably achievable. The staff conducts periodic
20 formal reviews of the radiation protection program to determine whether
21 there are any additional reasonably achievable means to lower exposure, and
22 modifications are made as appropriate. The program ensures that ISFSI
23 personnel receive appropriate training, that safe operational procedures are
24 enforced, and that adequate equipment and supplies for radiation protection
25 work are provided.

1 Q. WHAT SORT OF RADIATION MONITORING IS IN PLACE AT THE ISFSI?

2 A. Federal Regulations require radiological alarm systems in accessible work
3 areas, but the NRC has determined that storage confinement systems of
4 acceptable design and construction that are sealed by welding do not require
5 closure monitoring.

6
7 That said, there will be adequate radiological monitoring during canister
8 handling activities through the use of portable survey instruments.
9 Additionally, there are thermo-luminescent dosimeters (TLDs) mounted on
10 the ISFSI security fence as well as on the nearest Owner Controlled Area
11 boundary fence to monitor cumulative direct radiation levels over a set time
12 period as part of the environmental monitoring program. Additional TLDs
13 will be added in the event the ISFSI is expanded.

14
15 Q. DOES THE STATE OF MINNESOTA CONDUCT ANY RADIATION
16 MONITORING OF THE PLANT AND THE ISFSI?

17 A. Yes. The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) monitors the Prairie
18 Island ISFSI with two Geiger-Mueller tube-based dose rate monitors (DRM).
19 The DRMs continuously measure and report levels of gamma radiation within
20 the ISFSI. The MDH also monitors air and surface water and conducts milk
21 sampling. Ambient radiation dose levels are monitored using optically
22 stimulated luminescence dosimeters.

23
24 Q. DID THE COMPANY ANALYZE THE RADIATION EXPOSURE IMPACTS THAT
25 WOULD BE EXPERIENCED BY PEOPLE WHO DO NOT WORK ON SITE, BUT LIVE
26 OR WORK NEAR THE ISFSI IN THE EVENT THE ISFSI IS EXPANDED?

1 A. Yes. The Company engaged Sargent & Lundy, an engineering firm, to prepare
2 a Dose Analysis and an Updated Risk Assessment. Sargent & Lundy's Dose
3 Analysis was filed as Appendix B to the Application and the Updated Risk
4 Assessment was filed as Appendix G.

5
6 Q. WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE COMPANY RETAINING SARGENT & LUNDY
7 TO PREPARE A DOSE ANALYSIS AND UPDATED RISK ASSESSMENT?

8 A. The purpose of the engagement was to obtain a conservative, bounding
9 analysis of the radiological effect the proposed expansion would have on
10 people who do not work on site, but live near the ISFSI. At the time the Dose
11 Analysis was prepared, the Company was considering a potential change in
12 dry fuel storage (DFS) system technology for use at the Prairie Island Plant.
13 The Dose Analysis included conservative, bounding assumptions and was
14 designed to estimate the radiological impact of the additional 44 new
15 technology DFS systems (along with the 55 TN-40/TN-40HT casks that
16 would already be in place) at the nearest site boundary and nearest resident.
17 The theoretical, conservative radiological impact to station personnel and the
18 offsite population was also calculated.

19
20 Q. WHAT DID THE DOSE ANALYSIS CONCLUDE WITH RESPECT TO THE EFFECT
21 THE EXPANSION WOULD HAVE ON RADIATION EXPOSURE EXPERIENCED BY
22 PEOPLE WHO DO NOT WORK ON SITE, BUT LIVE NEAR THE ISFSI?

23 A. As concluded in the Dose Analysis, the calculated dose values at the nearest
24 site boundary and at the nearest resident meet regulatory acceptance criteria.
25 Annual dose values (nearest resident) are found in Tables 6-1 and 6-2 of the
26 Dose Analysis, and the dose rate at the site boundary is found in Table 6-3 of
27 the Dose Analysis.

1 Q. WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE UPDATED RISK ASSESSMENT?

2 A. An initial risk assessment was prepared in 2007. This initial risk assessment
3 was filed as Appendix F to the Application. Sargent & Lundy prepared the
4 Update Risk Assessment in January, 2024. The Updated Risk Assessment was
5 filed as Appendix G. Both the update and initial risk assessment were prepared
6 to provide pertinent information on populations at risk, exposure patterns,
7 radiation doses, and types of health effects associated with the ISFSI. The
8 Updated Risk Assessment updated the dose estimates used to assess risk and
9 the risk estimation.

10
11 Q. WHAT DID THE UPDATED RISK ASSESSMENT CONCLUDE?

12 A. As concluded in the Updated Risk Assessment, both occupational and public
13 dose estimates are within applicable federal regulatory limits. Table 4 of the
14 Updated Risk Assessment shows calculated cancer mortality risks to workers
15 and members of the public for the expanded ISFSI. The Updated Risk
16 Assessment concludes that the most probable outcome is no increase in
17 cancer deaths as a result of radiation exposure due to expansion of the ISFSI.
18 This is the same conclusion as was set forth in the initial risk assessment.

19
20 **IV. CONCLUSION**

21
22 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?

23 A. Yes, it does.

Samuel Hobbs

Summary:

- Radiation Protection Health Physicist at Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Facility (Jan 2011-current)
- Site ALARA Coordinator at Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Facility (Dec 2008-Jan 2011)
- Radiation Protection Specialist at Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Facility (June 2008-Dec 2008)
- Six years as a naval nuclear qualified Machinist's Mate and Engineering Laboratory Technician (Jun 1998-Jun 2004)

Experience:

Radiation Protection Health Physicist

- Owner of site Groundwater Protection Program
- Represent RP group as Outage Readiness coordinator
- Involved in managing site dosimetry program
- Involved in managing site Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP)
- Owner of radioactive source accountability program
- Involved in maintaining site radiological instruments

Site ALARA Coordinator

- Responsible for maintaining site exposure As Low As Reasonably Achievable
- Represented Radiation Protection group as Human Performance Liaison
- Served as the Radiation Protection Outage Control Center representative during outages
- Represent Radiation Protection group for site software quality assurance
- Led the Radiation Protection group in the implementation of Visual Survey Data System software at the site
- Fleet Subject Matter Expert on Total Exposure Reports
- Responsible for providing ALARA input on all modifications to site property

Radiation Protection Specialist

- Six months experience working as a RPS at Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Facility

Samuel Hobbs

Propulsion Plant Operator

- 4 years operating on a naval nuclear submarine
- Division responsible for all Primary and Secondary chemistry
- Oversaw all maintenance dealing with radiological controls on ship

Work Center Supervisor

- Scheduled and coordinated maintenance for Reactor Laboratories division
- Instructed and supervised personnel during daily operations ensuring maximum effectiveness and productivity.
- Managed inventory and offloading of all radioactive material generated on the ship

Divisional Leading Petty Officer

- Overall responsibility for Reactor Laboratories division.
- Coordinated maintenance and operations with other Engineering divisions on board
- Trained and supervised all junior personnel in division
- Managed and maintained exposure records for all Engineering personnel
- Experience in QA work

Certifications:

National Registry of Radiation Protection Technologists-
Feb 2010

Education:

Bachelor of Science in Radiation Health Physics
Oregon State University - Jun 2007
Engineering Laboratory Technician School
Nuclear Prototype Training Unit
Naval Nuclear Power School
Machinist's Mate Nuclear Field A School