



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Meeting Agenda

121 7th Place East  
Suite 350  
Saint Paul, MN  
55101-2147

---

Wednesday, April 23, 2014

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

---

## INTRODUCTION

## ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

## DELIBERATION ITEMS

## DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2013-370](#) \* **IP6646/CN-13-193; Stoneray Power Partners, LLC**  
**IP6686/WS-13-216**  
In the Matter of the Application of Stoneray Power Partners, LLC for a Certificate of Need for an up to 105 MW Large Energy Facility in Pipestone and Murray Counties;  
In the Matter of the Application of Stoneray Power Partners, LLC for a LWECs Site Permit for an up to 105 MW Large Energy Facility in Pipestone and Murray Counties.  
  
Should the Commission find that the Environmental Report adequately addresses the scoping decision of the Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Commerce? Should the Commission grant a certificate of need for the up to 105 MW Stoneray Wind Project?  
Should the Commission issue a site permit for the up to 105 MW Stoneray Wind Project? (PUC: **DeBleekere**)  
**Attachments:** [PUC Briefing Papers 4-17-14](#)
2. [Details 2014-102](#) \* **IP6844/WS-10-438 Prairie Wind Energy, LLC**  
In the Matter of the Application of Prairie Wind Energy, LLC for a Site Permit for the 100 MW Prairie Wind Energy Project in Otter Tail County.  
  
Should the Commission revoke the site permit? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)  
**Attachments:** [PUC Briefing Papers 4-17-14](#)
3. [Details 2014-098](#) \* **E015/D-13-252 Minnesota Power**  
In the Matter of 2013 Average Service Lives, 5 yr. depreciation study. (PUC: Schwieger, Dasinger; DOC:Addonizio) Note: Staff agrees with DOC comments.  
**Attachments:** [DOC Comments 7-31-13](#)

4. [Details 2014-099](#)    **\*\* E015/D-13-275**                      **Minnesota Power**  
In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2013 Remaining Life Petition.
- Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's 2013 Proposed Remaining Lives?  
What salvage rates should be used to calculate the depreciation expense?  
(PUC: **Schwieger, Dasinger**)  
**Attachments:**                      [PUC Briefing Papers 4-17-14](#)
5. [Details 2014-100](#)    **\* E015/S-14-145**                      **Minnesota Power**  
In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Request under Minnesota Statutes Section §216B.49 for Approval of ALLETE Inc.'s Consolidation Capital Structure and Authorization to Issue Securities.
- Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's request for approval to issue securities?  
Should the Commission approve ALLETE Inc.'s (ALLETE) proposed consolidated capital structure and capitalizations?  
Should the Commission require Minnesota Power to file additional information? (PUC: **Kaml, Krishnan**)  
**Attachments:**                      [PUC Briefing Papers 4-11-14](#)
6. [Details 2014-101](#)    **\* G022/S-13-1169**                      **Greater Minnesota Gas**  
In the Matter of the Petition of Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. (GMG) for Approval of 2014 Capital Structure and Permission to Issue Securities. (PUC: Kaml, Schwieger; DOC: Addonizio) Note: Staff agrees with DOC comments.  
**Attachments:**                      [DOC Comments 1-31-14](#)
7. [Details 2014-068](#)    **\*\* G022/M-12-1279**                      **Greater Minnesota Gas**  
In the Matter of GMG's Notice of Change in Demand Entitlements.
1. Should the Commission (a) accept GMG's design-day analysis, or (b) reject GMG's design-day analysis and find that GMG did not purchase adequate capacity to serve its firm customers on a peak day?
  2. Should the Commission allow GMG to recover associated demand costs through the monthly Purchased Gas Adjustment effective November 1, 2012?
  3. Should the Commission open an investigation to study rural Minnesota natural gas needs and how the current regulatory system meets those needs? (PUC: **Bender, Harding**)
- Attachments:**                      [12-1279 PUC BP FINAL](#)  
[PUC Briefing Papers addendum 4-16-14](#)

8. [Details 2014-069](#) \* **G022/M-13-730** **Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.**  
In the Matter of GMG's Request for Approval of a change in Contract Demand Entitlement Units Effective November 1, 2013

Should the Commission approve GMG's proposed level of demand entitlements and allow GMG to recover the associated demand costs through the monthly purchased gas adjustment effective November 1, 2013? (PUC: **Bender**)

Attachments: [13-730 PUC BP Final](#)

## ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please visit

<https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showDocketsSearch&searchType=new>