



**Minnesota Public Utilities
Commission
Decisions
PUC Agenda Meeting**

121 7th Place East
Suite 350
Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Thursday, January 15, 2015

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DELIBERATION ITEMS

DECISION ITEMS

1.

PULLED

- ** ~~P551,432/IC-14-905;~~ CenturyTel of Minnesota, Inc.;**
~~P551,523/IC-14-910~~ Wikstrom Telephone Company;
Federated Telephone Cooperative

In the Matter of the Joint Application for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement between CenturyTel of Minnesota, Inc. and Wikstrom Telephone Company;

In the Matter of the Joint Application for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement between CenturyTel of Minnesota, Inc. and Federated Telephone Cooperative.

Should the Commission approve or reject the proposed Interconnection Agreements (ICAs)? (PUC: **O'Grady**)

PULLED

2.

- * G007/M-10-1166; Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation
(MERC)**

G011/M-10-1167;

G011/M-10-1168;

G011/M-10-1169

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC-NMU) for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2010-2011 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2010;

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC-PNG GLGT) for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2010-2011 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2010;

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC-PNG NNG) for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2010-2011 Heating Season Supply Plan

effective November 1,2010;
 In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC-PNG Viking) for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2010-2011 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1,2010.

Should the Commission approve MERC's proposed demand entitlement capacity (levels) and cost changes to meet its Design Day and Reserve Margin requirements as described in the listed dockets, effective November 1, 2010? (PUC: **Brill, Harding**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

Approved interstate pipeline and capacity changes and cost changes; required additional information and discussion in future filings; approved PGA cost recovery through commodity portion for Bison contract for MERC-NMU and MERC-PNG Northern PGA system.

3. * **G011/M-11-1082;** **Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC)**

G011/M-11-1083;
G011/M-11-1084;
G007/M-11-1088

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC-PNG GLGT) for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2010-2011 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2011;

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC-PNG Viking) for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2010-2011 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2011;

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC-PNG NNG) for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2010-2011 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2011;

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC-NMU) for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements for the 2010-2011 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2011.

Should the Commission approve MERCs proposed demand entitlement capacity (levels) and cost changes to meet its Design Day and Reserve Margin requirements as described in the listed dockets, effective November 1, 2011? (PUC: **Brill, Harding**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

[PUC Revised Decision Options 11-1082 83 84 88](#)

Approved interstate pipeline and capacity changes and cost changes; required additional information and analysis in 2015 filing; approved PGA cost recovery for Bison contract through the commodity portion of PGA for MERC-NMU and MERC PNG Northern PGA system.

4. **A E002/M-13-867 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, for Approval of its Proposed Community Solar Garden Program.

1. What action should the Commission take on the SoCore Petition?
2. Should the Commission assign a Lead Commissioner to the current docket? (PUC: **Bahn, Mackenzie**)

****B E002/M-13-867 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, dba Xcel Energy, for Approval of Its Proposed Community Solar Garden Program.

Should the Commission clarify its September 17, 2014 Order on the issue of subscription-size limits and the definition of a subscriber? Should the Commission require Xcel to provide further information on how the Company will determine the definition of a retail customer for customers with multiple facilities and/or different organizational structures?

Should the Commission provide further direction to Xcel on the process for the CSG stakeholder work group? (PUC: **Mackenzie, Bahn**)

Attachments: [A Briefing Papers 13-867 1-7-15](#)
[B Briefing Papers 13-867 1-7-15](#)
[Issue A revised Decision Options 1-14115](#)

- a. **(SoCore Petition) Clarified interconnection process for solar gardens.**
- b. **Denied TruNorth's request for clarification of previous order; required Xcel to provide information on definition of "retail customer" and file workgroup meeting minutes.**

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
 ** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets