

## LOAD FORECAST

### 2.0 Overview of the Base Load Forecast

The IPL load forecast is used as the base forecast in the EGEAS model. The elements of the IPL load forecast are described in the following subsections:

- Section 2.1 – Base Forecast;
- Section 2.2 – IPL Energy Forecast;
- Section 2.3 – IPL Demand Forecast;
- Section 2.4 – Sensitivity and Scenarios; and
- Section 2.5 – Forecast Comparison to Previous IRP.

Appendix 2A provides discussion of methodology, data sources, and definitions for the demand and energy forecasts, as well as model details, statistics, and parameters.

The load forecast has two main components: the energy forecast and the demand forecast. The assumptions and methodologies for calculating the energy and demand forecasts are included in sections 2.2 and 2.3. To illustrate the sensitivity of the IRP to changes in the load forecast, confidence intervals, as well as high and low scenarios are found in section 2.4. Section 2.5 provides a comparison of the 2017 IRP load forecast to the 2014 IRP load forecast.

## 2.1 Base Forecast

Table 2.1.1 summarizes IPL's annual energy and internal peak demand forecast in the base forecast.

**Table 2.1.1**  
**IPL Base Forecast: Energy and Internal Peak Demand**

| Year | Energy (GWH) | Internal Peak Demand (MW) |
|------|--------------|---------------------------|
| 2017 | 17,081       | 3,083.7                   |
| 2018 | 16,858       | 3,048.1                   |
| 2019 | 16,788       | 3,065.0                   |
| 2020 | 16,925       | 3,075.3                   |
| 2021 | 17,031       | 3,091.0                   |
| 2022 | 17,145       | 3,108.1                   |
| 2023 | 17,214       | 3,126.0                   |
| 2024 | 17,335       | 3,144.1                   |
| 2025 | 17,459       | 3,162.4                   |
| 2026 | 17,585       | 3,180.9                   |
| 2027 | 17,676       | 3,199.5                   |
| 2028 | 17,768       | 3,218.2                   |
| 2029 | 17,860       | 3,237.0                   |
| 2030 | 17,953       | 3,256.0                   |
| 2031 | 18,046       | 3,275.0                   |
| 2032 | 18,139       | 3,294.1                   |
| 2033 | 18,233       | 3,313.4                   |
| 2034 | 18,328       | 3,332.8                   |
| 2035 | 18,423       | 3,352.3                   |
| 2036 | 18,519       | 3,371.9                   |
| 2037 | 18,615       | 3,391.6                   |

The translation from the Internal Peak Demand forecast to the EGEAS net load variable is found in Section 4.2.2.

## 2.2 IPL Energy Forecast

### *2.2.1 Methods*

See Appendix 2A Section A.

### *2.2.2 Data*

See Appendix 2A Section B.

## 2.3 IPL Demand Forecast

### *2.3.1 Definitions*

See Appendix 2A Section C.

### *2.3.2 Method*

See Appendix 2A Section D.

### 2.3.3 Data

See Appendix 2A Section E.

## 2.4 Sensitivity and Scenarios

### 2.4.1 Sensitivity

To estimate the sensitivity of the load forecast, IPL constructed annualized confidence intervals for the energy and demand forecasts.

#### 2.4.1.1 Energy Confidence Interval

The confidence interval for the energy forecast utilizes a rolling 12-month annual error. The forecast error was determined using the 95% critical value on the standard deviation of the rolling annual errors. The confidence interval is presented below in Table 2.4.1.1. While the large industrial, wholesale, and small classes, like lighting, municipal pumping, and interdepartmental, are not statistically modeled, IPL applies a confidence interval derived from the statistically modeled classes as a percentage to the total annual sales.

**Table 2.4.1.1  
95% Confidence Intervals IPL Energy Models (GWH)**

| Year | Lower Confidence Interval | Base Energy | Upper Confidence Interval |
|------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| 2017 | 16,799                    | 17,081      | 17,363                    |
| 2018 | 16,580                    | 16,858      | 17,136                    |
| 2019 | 16,511                    | 16,788      | 17,065                    |
| 2020 | 16,646                    | 16,925      | 17,204                    |
| 2021 | 16,750                    | 17,031      | 17,312                    |
| 2022 | 16,862                    | 17,145      | 17,428                    |
| 2023 | 16,930                    | 17,214      | 17,498                    |
| 2024 | 17,049                    | 17,335      | 17,620                    |
| 2025 | 17,171                    | 17,459      | 17,747                    |
| 2026 | 17,295                    | 17,585      | 17,875                    |
| 2027 | 17,385                    | 17,676      | 17,968                    |
| 2028 | 17,475                    | 17,768      | 18,061                    |
| 2029 | 17,565                    | 17,860      | 18,154                    |
| 2030 | 17,657                    | 17,953      | 18,249                    |
| 2031 | 17,748                    | 18,046      | 18,343                    |
| 2032 | 17,840                    | 18,139      | 18,438                    |
| 2033 | 17,933                    | 18,233      | 18,534                    |
| 2034 | 18,026                    | 18,328      | 18,630                    |
| 2035 | 18,119                    | 18,423      | 18,727                    |
| 2036 | 18,213                    | 18,519      | 18,824                    |
| 2037 | 18,308                    | 18,615      | 18,922                    |

2.4.1.2 Demand Confidence Interval

The confidence interval for the demand forecast is derived from the seasonal model which contains the greatest number of peak observations. To illustrate the forecast range stemming from historical variation from the model, the 95% confidence interval for the demand forecast is listed below.

**Table 2.4.1.2**  
**95% Confidence Interval**  
**Internal Peak Demand (MW)**

| Year | Lower Confidence Interval | IPL (MW) | Upper Confidence Interval |
|------|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| 2017 | 2,894.7                   | 3,083.7  | 3,272.7                   |
| 2018 | 2,861.2                   | 3,048.1  | 3,234.9                   |
| 2019 | 2,877.1                   | 3,065.0  | 3,252.9                   |
| 2020 | 2,886.8                   | 3,075.3  | 3,263.8                   |
| 2021 | 2,901.6                   | 3,091.0  | 3,280.5                   |
| 2022 | 2,917.6                   | 3,108.1  | 3,298.7                   |
| 2023 | 2,934.3                   | 3,126.0  | 3,317.6                   |
| 2024 | 2,951.3                   | 3,144.1  | 3,336.8                   |
| 2025 | 2,968.6                   | 3,162.4  | 3,356.3                   |
| 2026 | 2,985.9                   | 3,180.9  | 3,375.9                   |
| 2027 | 3,003.4                   | 3,199.5  | 3,395.7                   |
| 2028 | 3,020.9                   | 3,218.2  | 3,415.5                   |
| 2029 | 3,038.6                   | 3,237.0  | 3,435.5                   |
| 2030 | 3,056.4                   | 3,256.0  | 3,455.6                   |
| 2031 | 3,074.2                   | 3,275.0  | 3,475.8                   |
| 2032 | 3,092.2                   | 3,294.1  | 3,496.1                   |
| 2033 | 3,110.3                   | 3,313.4  | 3,516.5                   |
| 2034 | 3,128.5                   | 3,332.8  | 3,537.1                   |
| 2035 | 3,146.8                   | 3,352.3  | 3,557.8                   |
| 2036 | 3,165.2                   | 3,371.9  | 3,578.6                   |
| 2037 | 3,183.7                   | 3,391.6  | 3,599.5                   |

#### 2.4.2 Scenarios

To indicate the sensitivity of the resource plan to higher or lower than forecasted growth, IPL modeled a high and low load forecast as noted in Tables 2.4.2.1 and 2.4.2.2. To develop the loads for these scenarios, IPL increased or decreased the expected growth rate of the base forecast by 50 basis points. Load could vary due to changes in variables other than economic variables, such as changes in wholesale contracts, distributed generation installations, conservation actions, economic development or changes in electric prices.

**Table 2.4.2.1  
Energy Scenarios (GWH)**

| Year | Low    | Base   | High   |
|------|--------|--------|--------|
| 2017 | 17,081 | 17,081 | 17,081 |
| 2018 | 16,773 | 16,858 | 16,944 |
| 2019 | 16,619 | 16,788 | 16,958 |
| 2020 | 16,671 | 16,925 | 17,181 |
| 2021 | 16,693 | 17,031 | 17,375 |
| 2022 | 16,721 | 17,145 | 17,578 |
| 2023 | 16,705 | 17,214 | 17,736 |
| 2024 | 16,738 | 17,335 | 17,949 |
| 2025 | 16,775 | 17,459 | 18,168 |
| 2026 | 16,812 | 17,585 | 18,390 |
| 2027 | 16,815 | 17,676 | 18,577 |
| 2028 | 16,818 | 17,768 | 18,766 |
| 2029 | 16,821 | 17,860 | 18,957 |
| 2030 | 16,824 | 17,953 | 19,150 |
| 2031 | 16,827 | 18,046 | 19,346 |
| 2032 | 16,831 | 18,139 | 19,543 |
| 2033 | 16,834 | 18,233 | 19,742 |
| 2034 | 16,837 | 18,328 | 19,943 |
| 2035 | 16,840 | 18,423 | 20,146 |
| 2036 | 16,843 | 18,519 | 20,351 |
| 2037 | 16,847 | 18,615 | 20,559 |

**Table 2.4.2.2  
Internal Peak Demand  
Scenarios (MW)**

| Year | Low     | Base    | High    |
|------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2017 | 3,083.7 | 3,083.7 | 3,083.7 |
| 2018 | 3,032.6 | 3,048.1 | 3,063.5 |
| 2019 | 3,034.3 | 3,065.0 | 3,095.8 |
| 2020 | 3,029.4 | 3,075.3 | 3,121.7 |
| 2021 | 3,029.7 | 3,091.0 | 3,153.3 |
| 2022 | 3,031.3 | 3,108.1 | 3,186.5 |
| 2023 | 3,033.6 | 3,126.0 | 3,220.7 |
| 2024 | 3,035.9 | 3,144.1 | 3,255.5 |
| 2025 | 3,038.5 | 3,162.4 | 3,290.8 |
| 2026 | 3,041.1 | 3,180.9 | 3,326.5 |
| 2027 | 3,043.7 | 3,199.5 | 3,362.5 |
| 2028 | 3,046.2 | 3,218.2 | 3,399.0 |
| 2029 | 3,048.8 | 3,237.0 | 3,435.9 |
| 2030 | 3,051.4 | 3,256.0 | 3,473.1 |
| 2031 | 3,054.0 | 3,275.0 | 3,510.8 |
| 2032 | 3,056.6 | 3,294.1 | 3,548.9 |
| 2033 | 3,059.1 | 3,313.4 | 3,587.4 |
| 2034 | 3,061.7 | 3,332.8 | 3,626.3 |
| 2035 | 3,064.3 | 3,352.3 | 3,665.6 |
| 2036 | 3,066.9 | 3,371.9 | 3,705.4 |
| 2037 | 3,069.5 | 3,391.6 | 3,745.6 |

2.5 Comparison to Prior Plan

See table 2.5.1 for a comparison of the Energy forecasts between the current and prior plan.

**Table 2.5.1  
Comparison of Energy Forecasts (GWH)**

| Year | 2017 IRP | 2014 IRP | Variance | Percent |
|------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| 2014 | NA       | 16,928   | NA       | NA      |
| 2015 | NA       | 17,115   | NA       | NA      |
| 2016 | NA       | 17,274   | NA       | NA      |
| 2017 | 17,081   | 17,428   | -346.8   | -2.0%   |
| 2018 | 16,858   | 17,585   | -726.9   | -4.1%   |
| 2019 | 16,788   | 17,728   | -939.9   | -5.3%   |
| 2020 | 16,925   | 17,884   | -959.2   | -5.4%   |
| 2021 | 17,031   | 18,041   | -1,009.8 | -5.6%   |
| 2022 | 17,145   | 18,200   | -1,055.1 | -5.8%   |
| 2023 | 17,214   | 18,360   | -1,146.0 | -6.2%   |
| 2024 | 17,335   | 18,522   | -1,187.5 | -6.4%   |
| 2025 | 17,459   | 18,685   | -1,225.7 | -6.6%   |
| 2026 | 17,585   | 18,850   | -1,265.1 | -6.7%   |
| 2027 | 17,676   | 19,016   | -1,339.9 | -7.0%   |
| 2028 | 17,768   | 19,184   | -1,416.2 | -7.4%   |
| 2029 | 17,860   | 19,353   | -1,493.1 | -7.7%   |
| 2030 | 17,953   | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2031 | 18,046   | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2032 | 18,139   | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2033 | 18,233   | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2034 | 18,328   | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2035 | 18,423   | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2036 | 18,519   | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2037 | 18,615   | NA       | NA       | NA      |

See Table 2.5.2 for a comparison of the Peak forecasts between the current and prior plan.

**Table 2.5.2**  
**Comparison of Internal Peak Demand Forecasts (MW)**

| Year | 2017 IRP | 2014 IRP | Variance | Percent |
|------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| 2014 | NA       | 3,121.3  | NA       | NA      |
| 2015 | NA       | 3,151.7  | NA       | NA      |
| 2016 | NA       | 3,179.1  | NA       | NA      |
| 2017 | 3,083.7  | 3,205.7  | -122.0   | -3.8%   |
| 2018 | 3,048.1  | 3,232.8  | -184.7   | -5.7%   |
| 2019 | 3,065.0  | 3,257.6  | -192.6   | -5.9%   |
| 2020 | 3,075.3  | 3,284.6  | -209.3   | -6.4%   |
| 2021 | 3,091.0  | 3,311.8  | -220.8   | -6.7%   |
| 2022 | 3,108.1  | 3,339.3  | -231.2   | -6.9%   |
| 2023 | 3,126.0  | 3,368.8  | -242.8   | -7.2%   |
| 2024 | 3,144.1  | 3,398.5  | -254.4   | -7.5%   |
| 2025 | 3,162.4  | 3,428.4  | -266.0   | -7.8%   |
| 2026 | 3,180.9  | 3,458.6  | -277.7   | -8.0%   |
| 2027 | 3,199.5  | 3,489.1  | -289.6   | -8.3%   |
| 2028 | 3,218.2  | 3,519.9  | -301.7   | -8.6%   |
| 2029 | 3,237.0  | 3,550.9  | -313.9   | -8.8%   |
| 2030 | 3,256.0  | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2031 | 3,275.0  | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2032 | 3,294.1  | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2033 | 3,313.4  | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2034 | 3,332.8  | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2035 | 3,352.3  | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2036 | 3,371.9  | NA       | NA       | NA      |
| 2037 | 3,391.6  | NA       | NA       | NA      |

IPL's current internal peak demand and energy forecasts are lower than the prior forecasts largely due to a combination of lower than forecasted growth in the residential and industrial classes and the anticipated loss of a wholesale contract in 2018.



## Overview of the Load Forecast

IPL's Load forecast has two main components—the energy forecast and the demand forecast. The methodologies and data for calculating these components are included in sections A, B, D, and E of this document. Sections C and F include detailed model information for the forecasts, as well as variable definitions.

### A. IPL Energy Forecast – Methods

The IPL energy forecast is derived using the following four steps:

**Step 1. Customer count forecast.** The calculation of IPL's energy forecast starts with a forecast of the number of customers. Residential, commercial, and industrial customer counts are forecasted using regression models that are principally based on economic data purchased from Woods and Poole Economics, INC (W&P).

**Step 2. Use per customer forecast.** A use per customer forecast is produced for each revenue class using regression modelling techniques. Models incorporate the calendar month, and in the case of the residential and commercial classes, monthly counts of heating and cooling degree days. A select group of large customers are excluded from the regression models and forecasted individually.

**Step 3. Multiply customer counts by use per customer forecasts.** Forecasted customers are then multiplied by the results of the class-level use per customer regression models. The resulting sales forecasts are added to specific

large customer forecasts and then compared with recent historical class-level sales.

**Step 4. Forecast adjustments.** Sales forecasts are adjusted for external factors that are largely absent from the historical data, including customer-owned generation, changes in lighting standards, and electric vehicles. Forecasts of IPL's smaller classes are also added. Finally, estimated transmission and distribution losses are applied to arrive at the energy forecast. IPL uses the growth in energy sales from the last year of its 10-year forecast (2025 to 2026) to forecast long term energy sales for years 2026 through 2035.

## B. IPL Energy Forecast - Data

Sources of information for key factors used in this process include:

- Sales and customer counts (IPL uses 5 years and 8 months of calendar month data).
- Weather is measured using Heating Degree Days (HDD) and Cooling Degree Days (CDD) and matched to the sales. Normal is defined as the 20-year rolling average using the average of the daily high and low temperature with a base of 65 degrees. Weather is reported from the Eastern Iowa Airport in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. Weather is also represented by the hs1314 variable which indicates a colder than normal heating season of 2013-14.
  - Calendar based indicator variables (month)
- Economic data comes from a third party vendor, W&P, unless otherwise stated.

- Customer count regression models use aggregations of the W&P county-level economic data that are representative of the IPL service territory. The three customer count regression models and the industrial sales model use the following W&P economic data:
  - Residential customer count forecast – Aggregation of county-level population estimates.
  - Commercial customer count forecast – Aggregation of county-level non-manufacturing employment.
  - Industrial customer count forecast – Aggregation of county-level manufacturing income.
  - Industrial sales forecast – Aggregation of county-level real per-capita personal income.

### C. IPL Demand Forecast - Definitions

Internal Peak Demand is defined as the highest observed hourly load. For forecasting purposes, IPL adds any interruptions or Direct Load Control (DLC) to the Internal Peak Demand to calculate the Theoretical Internal Peak Demand. The forecast regression model is performed on the daily Theoretical Internal Peak Demand less the demands of a number of large customers, whose demands are forecasted individually.

### D. IPL Demand Forecast - Methods

To forecast demand, IPL reduces historical theoretical system load data by the load attributed to the large customers which are forecasted individually. The remaining customers are forecasted using a regression model that predicts daily

theoretical internal peak demand as a function of multiple variables. Variables include weather, calendar month peak demand, day of week, holidays, gross regional product, binary variables that capture the deviation of monthly and annual peak demands from average daily demands, and a binary variable that corrects for the below average demands that resulted from the flood of 2008, which had meaningful impacts on economic activity within IPL's electric service territory. The individually-forecasted large customer demands are added to the modeled results to arrive at the total IPL system demand forecast. The demand forecast is then compared with the corresponding energy model. For consistency, the growth rate of the demand forecast was adjusted to match the growth rate from the energy forecast.

#### E. IPL Demand Forecasts - Data

The regression model uses:

- Theoretical demand less large customer demand (large customer demand is forecasted independently from the regression models)
- Calendar based indicator variables (month, day of week, holidays)
- Weather data from the Eastern Iowa Airport in Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
  - Daily max temperature
  - Overnight low temperature
  - Daily max temperature of prior day
  - Daily max dew point
  - Daily average wind speed
- Economic variable (gross regional product) from W&P
- Indicator for the 2008 flood

- Indicator for monthly and annual system peaks

## F. IPL Forecast Model Details

### 1. IPL Residential Sales

#### Residential Customer Forecasts

Table A.1.a provides the summary statistics and parameter estimates of the residential customer prediction model.

**Table A.1.a**  
**IPL Residential Customer Model Parameters**

| Maximum Likelihood Estimates |            |                  |            |  |  |
|------------------------------|------------|------------------|------------|--|--|
| SSE                          | 1163163.21 | DFE              | 53         |  |  |
| MSE                          | 21946      | Root MSE         | 148.14343  |  |  |
| SBC                          | 920.819277 | AIC              | 887.526661 |  |  |
| MAE                          | 91.4338876 | AICC             | 896.757431 |  |  |
| MAPE                         | 0.02239868 | HQC              | 900.718215 |  |  |
| Log Likelihood               | -428.76333 | Regress R-Square | 0.7241     |  |  |
| Durbin-Watson                | 1.6412     | Total R-Square   | 0.9839     |  |  |
|                              |            | Observations     | 68         |  |  |

  

| Parameter Estimates |    |           |                |         |                |
|---------------------|----|-----------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Variable            | DF | Estimate  | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr >  t |
| Intercept           | 1  | -1790     | 62990          | -0.03   | 0.9774         |
| feb                 | 1  | -25.4074  | 60.6307        | -0.42   | 0.6769         |
| mar                 | 1  | -166.9189 | 81.7582        | -2.04   | 0.0462         |
| apr                 | 1  | -577.8987 | 95.2365        | -6.07   | <.0001         |
| may                 | 1  | -645.0290 | 106.0289       | -6.08   | <.0001         |
| jun                 | 1  | -681.8236 | 111.5286       | -6.11   | <.0001         |
| jul                 | 1  | -745.7590 | 112.5187       | -6.63   | <.0001         |
| aug                 | 1  | -813.9251 | 112.7003       | -7.22   | <.0001         |
| sep                 | 1  | -823.8879 | 109.1187       | -7.55   | <.0001         |
| oct                 | 1  | -765.3487 | 101.2643       | -7.56   | <.0001         |
| nov                 | 1  | -476.7064 | 87.9958        | -5.42   | <.0001         |
| dec                 | 1  | -123.8606 | 65.8621        | -1.88   | 0.0655         |
| ipl_pop_wp          | 1  | 333.2893  | 51.1230        | 6.52    | <.0001         |
| collections         | 1  | -313.6279 | 119.8962       | -2.62   | 0.0116         |
| AR1                 | 1  | -0.9085   | 0.0553         | -16.43  | <.0001         |

## Residential Use-Per-Customer Forecast

Table A.1.b shows the results of the IPL Residential model.

**Table A.1.b**  
**IPL Residential Sales Model Parameters**

The REG Procedure  
 Model: MODEL1  
 Dependent Variable: Res\_UPC Res\_UPC

| Source          | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
|-----------------|----|----------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Model           | 14 | 1.70344        | 0.12167     | 147.87  | <.0001 |
| Error           | 53 | 0.04361        | 0.00082286  |         |        |
| Corrected Total | 67 | 1.74705        |             |         |        |

  

|                |         |          |        |
|----------------|---------|----------|--------|
| Root MSE       | 0.02869 | R-Square | 0.9750 |
| Dependent Mean | 0.79286 | Adj R-Sq | 0.9684 |
| Coeff Var      | 3.61797 |          |        |

  

Parameter Estimates

| Variable  | Label     | DF | Parameter Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Pr >  t |
|-----------|-----------|----|--------------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Intercept | Intercept | 1  | 0.64019            | 0.04626        | 13.84   | <.0001  |
| Feb       | Feb       | 1  | -0.17599           | 0.01730        | -10.17  | <.0001  |
| Mar       | Mar       | 1  | -0.14503           | 0.02464        | -5.89   | <.0001  |
| Apr       | Apr       | 1  | -0.15873           | 0.03530        | -4.50   | <.0001  |
| May       | May       | 1  | -0.14020           | 0.04250        | -3.30   | 0.0017  |
| Jun       | Jun       | 1  | -0.10375           | 0.04874        | -2.13   | 0.0380  |
| Jul       | Jul       | 1  | 0.00986            | 0.05269        | 0.19    | 0.8523  |
| Aug       | Aug       | 1  | -0.01020           | 0.04983        | -0.20   | 0.8386  |
| Sep       | Sep       | 1  | -0.10175           | 0.04362        | -2.33   | 0.0235  |
| OCT       | OCT       | 1  | -0.15875           | 0.03531        | -4.50   | <.0001  |
| Nov       | Nov       | 1  | -0.15451           | 0.02447        | -6.32   | <.0001  |
| Dec       | Dec       | 1  | -0.05392           | 0.01831        | -2.95   | 0.0048  |
| CR_HDD    | CR_HDD    | 1  | 0.00026290         | 0.00003204     | 8.21    | <.0001  |
| CR_CDD    | CR_CDD    | 1  | 0.00137            | 0.00009414     | 14.54   | <.0001  |
| hs1314    |           | 1  | 0.01941            | 0.01707        | 1.14    | 0.2604  |

2. IPL Commercial Sales

**Commercial Customer Forecasts**

Monthly commercial customer counts are estimated using the following time series econometric model, shown in Table A.2.a.

**Table A.2.a**  
**IPL Commercial Customer Model Parameters**

| Maximum Likelihood Estimates |            |                  |  |  |            |
|------------------------------|------------|------------------|--|--|------------|
| SSE                          | 204749.912 | DFE              |  |  | 54         |
| MSE                          | 3792       | Root MSE         |  |  | 61.57650   |
| SBC                          | 799.387692 | AIC              |  |  | 768.314584 |
| MAE                          | 39.9538466 | AICC             |  |  | 776.239113 |
| MAPE                         | 0.05364903 | HQC              |  |  | 780.626701 |
| Log Likelihood               | -370.15729 | Regress R-Square |  |  | 0.6304     |
| Durbin-Watson                | 0.7970     | Total R-Square   |  |  | 0.9947     |
|                              |            | Observations     |  |  | 68         |

  

| Parameter Estimates |    |          |                |         |                |
|---------------------|----|----------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Variable            | DF | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr >  t |
| Intercept           | 1  | 28139    | 5554           | 5.07    | <.0001         |
| feb                 | 1  | -31.7827 | 24.5817        | -1.29   | 0.2015         |
| mar                 | 1  | -78.9513 | 33.2570        | -2.37   | 0.0212         |
| apr                 | 1  | -38.2067 | 38.8375        | -0.98   | 0.3296         |
| may                 | 1  | -9.1847  | 42.5488        | -0.22   | 0.8299         |
| jun                 | 1  | 7.0729   | 44.8812        | 0.16    | 0.8754         |
| jul                 | 1  | 36.4341  | 46.0224        | 0.79    | 0.4320         |
| aug                 | 1  | 79.3571  | 46.0897        | 1.72    | 0.0908         |
| sep                 | 1  | 65.8606  | 44.5724        | 1.48    | 0.1453         |
| oct                 | 1  | 64.7817  | 41.2926        | 1.57    | 0.1225         |
| nov                 | 1  | 19.1157  | 35.7962        | 0.53    | 0.5955         |
| dec                 | 1  | 22.4566  | 26.7033        | 0.84    | 0.4041         |
| ipl_emp_no_mfg      | 1  | 67.7247  | 8.1420         | 8.32    | <.0001         |
| AR1                 | 1  | -0.9643  | 0.0317         | -30.39  | <.0001         |

## Commercial Use-Per-Customer Forecasts

Table A.2.b shows the results of the IPL Commercial model.

**Table A.2.b**  
**IPL Commercial Sales Model Parameters**

The REG Procedure  
 Model: MODEL1  
 Dependent Variable: COM\_UPC COM\_UPC

|  |  |  |     |  |
|--|--|--|-----|--|
| Number of Observations Read                |  |  | 192 |  |
| Number of Observations Used                |  |  | 68  |  |
| Number of Observations with Missing Values |  |  | 124 |  |

  

Analysis of Variance

| Source          | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
|-----------------|----|----------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Model           | 14 | 6.24375        | 0.44598     | 26.94   | <.0001 |
| Error           | 53 | 0.87731        | 0.01655     |         |        |
| Corrected Total | 67 | 7.12106        |             |         |        |

  

|                |         |          |        |
|----------------|---------|----------|--------|
| Root MSE       | 0.12866 | R-Square | 0.8768 |
| Dependent Mean | 4.34935 | Adj R-Sq | 0.8443 |
| Coeff Var      | 2.95811 |          |        |

  

Parameter Estimates

| Variable  | Label     | DF | Parameter Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Pr >  t |
|-----------|-----------|----|--------------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Intercept | Intercept | 1  | 3.56217            | 0.20747        | 17.17   | <.0001  |
| Feb       | Feb       | 1  | -0.24247           | 0.07758        | -3.13   | 0.0029  |
| Mar       | Mar       | 1  | 0.05728            | 0.11053        | 0.52    | 0.6064  |
| Apr       | Apr       | 1  | -0.04837           | 0.15833        | -0.31   | 0.7612  |
| May       | May       | 1  | 0.25982            | 0.19064        | 1.36    | 0.1787  |
| Jun       | Jun       | 1  | 0.50413            | 0.21862        | 2.31    | 0.0251  |
| Jul       | Jul       | 1  | 0.58388            | 0.23630        | 2.47    | 0.0167  |
| Aug       | Aug       | 1  | 0.71073            | 0.22348        | 3.18    | 0.0025  |
| Sep       | Sep       | 1  | 0.48689            | 0.19564        | 2.49    | 0.0160  |
| Oct       | Oct       | 1  | 0.56324            | 0.15837        | 3.56    | 0.0008  |
| Nov       | Nov       | 1  | 0.16700            | 0.10974        | 1.52    | 0.1340  |
| Dec       | Dec       | 1  | -0.01760           | 0.08210        | -0.21   | 0.8311  |
| CR_HDD    | CR_HDD    | 1  | 0.00062927         | 0.00014370     | 4.38    | <.0001  |
| CR_CDD    | CR_CDD    | 1  | 0.00240            | 0.00042221     | 5.69    | <.0001  |
| hs1314    |           | 1  | 0.06699            | 0.07654        | 0.88    | 0.3854  |



### 3. IPL Industrial Sales

#### Industrial Customer Forecasts

The following model, shown in Table A.3.a, is estimated using a maximum likelihood estimation.

**Table A.3.a**  
**IPL Industrial Customer Model Parameters**

| Maximum Likelihood Estimates |            |                  |  |            |  |
|------------------------------|------------|------------------|--|------------|--|
| SSE                          | 1456.8962  | DFE              |  | 65         |  |
| MSE                          | 22.41379   | Root MSE         |  | 4.73432    |  |
| SBC                          | 418.156098 | AIC              |  | 411.497575 |  |
| MAE                          | 3.65848947 | AICC             |  | 411.872575 |  |
| MAPE                         | 0.22999108 | HQC              |  | 414.135886 |  |
| Log Likelihood               | -202.74879 | Regress R-Square |  | 0.0001     |  |
| Durbin-Watson                | 1.2465     | Total R-Square   |  | 0.9760     |  |
|                              |            | Observations     |  | 68         |  |

  

| Parameter Estimates |    |          |                |         |                |
|---------------------|----|----------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Variable            | DF | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr >  t |
| Intercept           | 1  | 1594     | 167.6505       | 9.51    | <.0001         |
| ipl_mfg_inc_wp      | 1  | 0.001249 | 0.0210         | 0.06    | 0.9527         |
| AR1                 | 1  | -0.9919  | 0.0154         | -64.38  | <.0001         |

#### Industrial Use-Per-Customer Forecast

IPL forecasts monthly Industrial sales using the following econometric model of use per meter. Table A.3.b shows the IPL Industrial model results.

**Table A.3.b**  
**IPL Industrial Sales Model Parameters**

The REG Procedure  
Model: MODEL1  
Dependent Variable: IND\_UPC IND\_UPC

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Number of Observations Read                | 192 |
| Number of Observations Used                | 68  |
| Number of Observations with Missing Values | 124 |

Analysis of Variance

| Source          | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
|-----------------|----|----------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Model           | 12 | 11371          | 947.56653   | 21.36   | <.0001 |
| Error           | 55 | 2439.72122     | 44.35857    |         |        |
| Corrected Total | 67 | 13811          |             |         |        |

  

|                |           |          |        |
|----------------|-----------|----------|--------|
| Root MSE       | 6.66022   | R-Square | 0.8233 |
| Dependent Mean | 194.79973 | Adj R-Sq | 0.7848 |
| Coeff Var      | 3.41901   |          |        |

Parameter Estimates

| Variable     | Label     | DF | Parameter Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Pr >  t |
|--------------|-----------|----|--------------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Intercept    | Intercept | 1  | 63.68510           | 21.54741       | 2.96    | 0.0046  |
| Feb          | Feb       | 1  | -3.30853           | 3.84562        | -0.86   | 0.3933  |
| Mar          | Mar       | 1  | 20.37052           | 3.84651        | 5.30    | <.0001  |
| Apr          | Apr       | 1  | 2.46498            | 3.84814        | 0.64    | 0.5245  |
| May          | May       | 1  | 19.89564           | 3.85036        | 5.17    | <.0001  |
| Jun          | Jun       | 1  | 23.93188           | 3.85332        | 6.21    | <.0001  |
| Jul          | Jul       | 1  | 28.42047           | 3.85683        | 7.37    | <.0001  |
| Aug          | Aug       | 1  | 34.58688           | 3.86111        | 8.96    | <.0001  |
| Sep          | Sep       | 1  | 8.26591            | 4.03820        | 2.05    | 0.0455  |
| Oct          | Oct       | 1  | 24.20801           | 4.04128        | 5.99    | <.0001  |
| Nov          | Nov       | 1  | 12.81170           | 4.04520        | 3.17    | 0.0025  |
| Dec          | Dec       | 1  | 7.48059            | 4.04971        | 1.85    | 0.0701  |
| ipl_rpcpi_wp |           | 1  | 0.00292            | 0.00054290     | 5.38    | <.0001  |

4. IPL Summer Peak

Table A.4 shows the model results.

**Table A.4**  
**Summer Peak Parameters**

The REG Procedure  
Model: dl\_b2  
Dependent Variable: peak

|  |  |  |  |  |      |
|--|--|--|--|--|------|
| Number of Observations Read                |  |  |  |  | 7670 |
| Number of Observations Used                |  |  |  |  | 3862 |
| Number of Observations with Missing Values |  |  |  |  | 3808 |

  

Analysis of Variance

| Source          | DF   | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
|-----------------|------|----------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Model           | 50   | 273742632      | 5474853     | 1093.05 | <.0001 |
| Error           | 3811 | 19088495       | 5008.78900  |         |        |
| Corrected Total | 3861 | 292831127      |             |         |        |

  

|                |  |            |          |  |        |
|----------------|--|------------|----------|--|--------|
| Root MSE       |  | 70.77280   | R-Square |  | 0.9348 |
| Dependent Mean |  | 1843.63550 | Adj R-Sq |  | 0.9340 |
| Coeff Var      |  | 3.83876    |          |  |        |

  

| Variable   | Label     | DF | Parameter Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Pr >  t |
|------------|-----------|----|--------------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Intercept  | Intercept | 1  | 1539.54021         | 27.60245       | 55.78   | <.0001  |
| cs_cool1   |           | 1  | 32.74658           | 0.59280        | 55.24   | <.0001  |
| cs_cool1L1 |           | 1  | 4.71068            | 0.59239        | 7.95    | <.0001  |
| cs_cool2   |           | 1  | -19.55064          | 4.94169        | -3.96   | <.0001  |
| cs_cool3   |           | 1  | 5.85465            | 0.68890        | 8.50    | <.0001  |
| cs_cool3L1 |           | 1  | 3.69558            | 0.52402        | 7.05    | <.0001  |
| cs_cool4   |           | 1  | 7.31145            | 0.68810        | 10.63   | <.0001  |
| ss_cool1   |           | 1  | 14.09212           | 1.07905        | 13.06   | <.0001  |
| ss_cool1L1 |           | 1  | 4.19218            | 1.15660        | 3.62    | 0.0003  |
| ss_cool3   |           | 1  | 8.71689            | 1.22757        | 7.10    | <.0001  |
| ss_cool3L1 |           | 1  | 7.21004            | 1.02922        | 7.01    | <.0001  |
| ss_cool4   |           | 1  | 2.91178            | 1.76958        | 1.65    | 0.1000  |
| hs_heat1   |           | 1  | 5.00786            | 0.20649        | 24.25   | <.0001  |
| hs_heat1L1 |           | 1  | 1.30639            | 0.20712        | 6.31    | <.0001  |
| ss_heat1   |           | 1  | 2.65607            | 0.40553        | 6.55    | <.0001  |
| ss_heat1L1 |           | 1  | 1.72701            | 0.40543        | 4.26    | <.0001  |
| avgwind_cs |           | 1  | -8.79179           | 0.71624        | -12.27  | <.0001  |
| avgwind_hs |           | 1  | 4.04777            | 0.40875        | 9.90    | <.0001  |

|              |         |   |            |            |        |        |
|--------------|---------|---|------------|------------|--------|--------|
| tue          |         | 1 | 3.36117    | 4.33647    | 0.78   | 0.4383 |
| wed          |         | 1 | 2.14328    | 4.33291    | 0.49   | 0.6209 |
| thu          |         | 1 | -1.93179   | 4.35727    | -0.44  | 0.6575 |
| fri          |         | 1 | -37.16639  | 4.38956    | -8.47  | <.0001 |
| sat          |         | 1 | -281.05918 | 4.35825    | -64.49 | <.0001 |
| sun          |         | 1 | -280.05149 | 4.38438    | -63.87 | <.0001 |
| feb          |         | 1 | -35.00243  | 5.58525    | -6.27  | <.0001 |
| mar          |         | 1 | -68.11180  | 5.99255    | -11.37 | <.0001 |
| apr          |         | 1 | -50.14973  | 12.02786   | -4.17  | <.0001 |
| may          |         | 1 | -48.58901  | 11.30855   | -4.30  | <.0001 |
| jun          |         | 1 | 101.25160  | 12.29938   | 8.23   | <.0001 |
| jul          |         | 1 | 176.97879  | 12.11536   | 14.61  | <.0001 |
| aug          |         | 1 | 184.61339  | 11.78926   | 15.66  | <.0001 |
| sep          |         | 1 | 116.37684  | 11.69671   | 9.95   | <.0001 |
| oct          |         | 1 | 50.09475   | 12.02694   | 4.17   | <.0001 |
| nov          |         | 1 | 2.60191    | 6.36221    | 0.41   | 0.6826 |
| dec          |         | 1 | 29.17359   | 5.75083    | 5.07   | <.0001 |
| hol_gfr      | hol_gfr | 1 | -151.31440 | 21.83236   | -6.93  | <.0001 |
| hol_eas      | hol_eas | 1 | -107.37885 | 21.79258   | -4.93  | <.0001 |
| hol_mem      | hol_mem | 1 | -291.05625 | 22.33251   | -13.03 | <.0001 |
| hol_lab      | hol_lab | 1 | -318.42062 | 23.05364   | -13.81 | <.0001 |
| hol_col      | hol_col | 1 | -7.71842   | 23.22455   | -0.33  | 0.7397 |
| hol_ind      | hol_ind | 1 | -369.25480 | 21.76507   | -16.97 | <.0001 |
| hol_thx      | hol_thx | 1 | -407.16628 | 24.19245   | -16.83 | <.0001 |
| hol_bfr      | hol_bfr | 1 | -259.50447 | 22.99553   | -11.28 | <.0001 |
| hol_eve      | hol_eve | 1 | -299.07255 | 27.11402   | -11.03 | <.0001 |
| hol_xms      | hol_xms | 1 | -441.61618 | 27.10464   | -16.29 | <.0001 |
| hol_nye      | hol_nye | 1 | -213.05040 | 27.13678   | -7.85  | <.0001 |
| hol_nyd      | hol_nyd | 1 | -281.45722 | 27.07269   | -10.40 | <.0001 |
| ipl_grp_wp   |         | 1 | 0.00214    | 0.00048837 | 4.38   | <.0001 |
| monthly_peak |         | 1 | 54.65537   | 6.94288    | 7.87   | <.0001 |
| annual_peak  |         | 1 | 9.30485    | 24.10495   | 0.39   | 0.6995 |
| flood        |         | 1 | -32.55039  | 9.62236    | -3.38  | 0.0007 |