

# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

**PUC Agenda Meeting** 

Thursday, July 10, 2025

# 10:00 AM

# INTRODUCTION

## **DECISION ITEMS**

# 1. <u>Details 2024-143</u>

\* IP7119/GS-23-477 Birch Coulee Solar LLC

In the Matter of the Application of Birch Coulee Solar LLC for a Site Permit for the up to 125 MW Birch Coulee Solar Project in Renville County, Minnesota.

- 1. Should the Commission grant a site permit for the proposed solar energy generating system?
- 2. If granted, what additional conditions or requirements should be included in the site permit? (PUC: **Janezich**)

## 2. <u>Details 2019-219</u>

\* IP6997/CN-18-699; Plum Creek Wind Farm, LLC

IP6997/WS-18-700;

IP6997/TL-18-701

In the Matter of the Applications of Plum Creek Wind Farm, LLC for a Certificate of Need for an up to 414 MW Large wind Energy Conversion System and 345 kV Transmission Line Cottonwood, Murray, and Redwood Counties.

- 1. Should the Commission approve Plum Creek's request to amend the Certificate of Need for the Plum Creek Project?
- 2. Should the Commission approve Plum Creek's requests to amend the site permit for the Plum Creek Project?
- 3. Should the Commission approve Plum Creek's request to amend the route permit for the Plum creek Wind Project?
- 4. If the amendments are authorized, what additional conditions, if any, should the Commission impose?
- 5. Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter? (PUC: Harvieux)

#### 3. Details 2025-109

\*\* E,G999/PR-25-2 All Rate-Regulated Gas and Electric Utilities

In the Matter of Utility Cold Weather Rule and Residential Customers Status Data.

- 1. Should the Commission take any action on the residential customer status data reported in docket no. E,G999/PR-25-2?
- 2. Should utilities be required to develop disconnection and payment arrangement policies and make them public?
- 3. Should the Commission take any action on Greater Minnesota Gas' delayed filing of its Cold Weather Rule report? (PUC: **Dornfeld**, **McShane**)

## **ADJOURNMENT**

- \* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.
- \*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.
- \*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.