## State of Minnesota Before the Public Utilities Commission

Nancy Lange Chair

Dan LipschultzCommissionerMatt SchuergerCommissionerJohn TumaCommissionerKatie SiebenCommissioner

In the Matter of Xcel's 2017 Biennial Distribution Grid Modernization Report

Docket No. E002/M-17-776

### Reply Comments of the Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota

### I. Introduction

The Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota ("CUB") appreciates the opportunity offered by the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ("PUC" or "the Commission") to submit these reply comments regarding the 2017 Biennial Distribution Grid Modernization Report ("2017 Report") filed by Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy ("Xcel"). CUB continues to recommend the Commission certify the time of use ("TOU") pilot proposed by Xcel, deny certification of the proposed FLISR and view with skepticism recovery of grid modernization efforts through riders. CUB further agrees with the Office of Attorney General ("OAG") that specific criteria be established for use in determining which projects are eligible for certification and rider cost recovery. CUB also recommends the Commission direct Xcel to develop a costbenefit analysis for both FLISR and voltage optimization technologies (IVVO), as recommended by the Department of Commerce Energy Division ("the Department"). Finally, CUB recommends the Commission define the overall goals of grid modernization in Docket No. 15-556, direct each utility to develop distribution system plans in accordance with that definition, and clearly connect those plans to any grid modernization investment proposals and performance reports that the utilities produce. This will help CUB and other organizations involved in the many related Commission proceedings and ultimately help to ensure that all aspects of grid modernization can be viewed holistically by the ratepayers supporting them.

### II. The Commission should limit the use of riders for grid modernization projects.

CUB supports prudent distribution system investments that benefit ratepayers. To ensure these investments are beneficial to ratepayers, it is imperative for the utility to provide evidence that the benefits outweigh the costs. CUB recommends the Commission focus on the costs and benefits of each investment when deliberating certification and, in the future, require Xcel to file a cost-benefit analysis with the request for certification to establish a net benefit for ratepayers. To that end, CUB supports the recommendation of the Department that Xcel be allowed implementation of grid modernization projects that show a positive benefit-cost result (e.g. a total resource cost test result greater than 1.0). The Commission may wish to approve some projects at pilot scale that are not expected to achieve a positive benefit-cost result, but should do so with caution and only when the rollout of the project at full scale is expected to provide a net benefit to ratepayers.

CUB also agrees with other parties in expressing concern over the proposal to use the Transmission Cost Recovery (TCR) rider for grid modernization projects. In this docket, Xcel provided information on upcoming grid modernization projects throughout the next decade. These investments will cost millions of dollars over many years. The TCR rider should not be seen as a tool for cost recovery for all distribution system investments needed to modernize the grid. Recovering these costs in a rider does not allow for the cost savings these technologies will provide to be realized by the ratepayer. Indeed, implementation of many of these technologies will lead to immediate cost reductions throughout many areas of Xcel's business. These cost savings will not be incorporated into the calculation through a rider, which could lead to an over-recovery of costs by Xcel that cannot be addressed in a rate case because of test year constraints.

A rate case is a much more appropriate arena to consider the cost recovery for these investments. A rate case provides regulators and stakeholders the ability to review all costs a utility undertakes and realize the cost savings from these technologies. Allowing the utility to recover costs outside of a rate case limits the ability to truly gain a sense of the how just and reasonable rates are. CUB cited a 2010 PUC report that provides situations where a rider may be appropriate in initial comments. The use of riders is not a tool the Commission should disregard completely, but many of the distribution system upgrades discussed by Xcel in this docket are planned by the utility years in advance and do not represent the "unpredictable or dramatic change in cost" that provides justification for recovery through a rider.<sup>1</sup>

Further, allowing for cost recovery of distribution system investments through the TCR rider limits the effectiveness of Xcel's multi-year rate plan (MYRP). A major advantage of a MYRP is the incentive for the utility to control costs between rate cases, giving customers a greater certainty in their rates. Allowing for recovery of significant investments through an existing rider limits the benefits of the MYRP and the rate case proceeding in general.

## III. The Commission should establish criteria for use in certifying grid modernization investments.

As discussed above, CUB has concerns with using a rider to recover the costs of grid modernization projects. However, CUB acknowledges that in some cases, certification of these projects for recovery through a rider could be appropriate. In order to ensure that certification is used sparingly as an interimmeasure between rate cases, CUB agrees with the OAG in the importance of establishing clear criteria for certification of grid modernization investments. As noted by several parties, including CUB, the Commission is currently relying on a limited set of criteria, and approval of a project relies on the investment meeting at least one of the benefits listed in statute.<sup>2</sup> The current governing statute lacks specifics that are very important in determining whether cost recovery through a rider is appropriate.

To that end, CUB supports the proposal of the OAG to now set specific criteria for these projects. The initial comments of the OAG in this docket provide criteria drawn from past decisions on grid modernization project certification. In Docket No. 15-962, the Commission noted the absence of specific criteria for deciding what should be certified and is currently making decisions on a case-by-case basis until criteria is established.<sup>3</sup> The OAG proposed that the Commission certify only those grid modernization projects that are, first, necessary and fundamental for grid modernization and, second, related to at least

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CUB Initial Comments ("CUB Init. Comments"), 2/5/18, Docket No. 17-776, at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Minn. Stat. §216B.2425, subd. 2(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OAG Init. Comments.

one of the objectives listed in the certification statute, Minnesota Statutes §216B.2425.

CUB agrees that the Commission should utilize these criteria in making a determination in this docket. CUB also recommends the PUC set forth the overall goals of grid modernization in Docket 15-556, to provide direction by which utilities would then develop distribution system plans.<sup>4</sup>

The establishment of specific goals for distribution system investments is particularly important given the number of projects currently proposed or scheduled to be proposed by Xcel in the near future. Once these goals are set, it will provide a more concrete direction for these investments and may lead to different decisions on grid modernization investments in the past and present. Until that time, decisions made here regarding specific projects should not necessarily be considered precedential.

# IV. The Commission should direct Xcel to compare FLISR with other advanced grid applications such as voltage optimization technologies.

CUB supports the recommendation of the Department that Xcel examine a range of advanced grid applications to evaluate which prove most beneficial for Xcel's customers. In its initial comments, CUB did not support certification of the Fault Location, Isolation, and Service Restoration (FLISR) project.<sup>5</sup> However, CUB agrees that advanced grid applications like FLISR may hold potential for lowering cost and improving service. While Xcel fell short of proving FLISR is appropriate at this time, CUB believes Xcel should investigate a suite of applications that could deliver benefits to customers independent of customer action. The Department recommends that Xcel conduct a cost-benefit analysis of other applications such as voltage optimization technologies.<sup>6</sup> CUB agrees that these technologies have the potential to deliver energy savings. However, such savings are greatly dependent on the distribution system configuration. For that reason, Xcel should conduct a study to see which specific voltage optimization technologies may be cost-effectively deployed.

## V. The Commission ensure the objectives of utilities' grid modernization investments are clear and the outcomes are clearly reported.

The initial comments filed by Communities United for Responsible Energy (CURE) in this docket point to how important it is for members of the public to understand, at a general level, the grid modernization investments being made and the benefits those investments provide to ratepayers. CURE's comments include a partial list of efforts and statutes related to public engagement in transmission and distribution planning. The list highlights how difficult it is for any person — especially a member of the public who is not an energy policy professional — to track the various related initiatives, how they fit together, and whether investments have been worthwhile.

The Commission can ease this difficulty by making clear the objectives of distribution system investments in general and for each utility that undertakes such investments, and by ensuring that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In our reply comments in Docket No. 15-556, CUB identifies the following goals of grid modernization: meeting traditional utility responsibilities (e.g. affordability and reliability of service); advancement of policy goals regarding greenhouse gas reduction in the manner most cost-effective for ratepayers; and equity and environmental justice goals of ensuring affordability of service and penetration of DER amongst all types of customers, including low-income, renters, etc. (CUB Reply Comments, 9/21/17, at 2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> CUB Init. Comments at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Dept. Init. Comments at 4-5.

utilities' regular reporting on performance incorporate the outcome of these investments.

The Commission should define the objectives of grid modernization in Docket No. 15-556, then require each utility to submit distribution system plans, as CUB recommended in our initial comments in this docket. Furthermore, to the degree that performance metrics are established for particular utilities, the Commission should ensure that these metrics will measure benefits of grid modernization investments.<sup>7</sup> This will help members of the public understand why the utility is undertaking grid modernization projects and what they are getting for the ratepayer dollars invested.

#### VI. Conclusion

CUB appreciates the opportunity to comment on Xcel's proposed grid modernization efforts. CUB recommends the Commission:

- Certify Xcel's TOU pilot;
- Deny certification of Xcel's proposed FLISR project at this time and direct Xcel to conduct a costbenefit analysis for the Commission to review;
- Define the objectives of grid modernization in docket 15-556, then require each utility to submit distribution system plans;
- Limit the use of riders for grid modernization projects;
- Require Xcel to make grid data and privacy-protected customer data that is generated through grid modernization investments available to the public;<sup>8</sup> and
- Ensure that the outcomes achieved by grid modernization investments are reported through any utility performance metrics that are established.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

Respectfully submitted,

February 26, 2018

#### /s/ Ben Bratrud

Ben Bratrud
Program Coordinator, Citizens Utility Board of
Minnesota
332 Minnesota St., Suite W1360
St. Paul, MN 55101
651-300-4701, ext.
benb@cubminnesota.org

### /s/ Kristin Munsch

Kristin Munsch
Deputy Director, Citizens Utility Board of Illinois
309 West Washington Street
Chicago, IL 60606
312-263-4329
kmunsch@citizensutilityboard.org

/s/ Annie Levenson-Falk

Annie Levenson-Falk
Executive Director, Citizens Utility Board of
Minnesota
332 Minnesota St., Suite W1360
St. Paul, MN 55101
651-300-4701, ext. 1
annielf@cubminnesota.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This would include, for example, Xcel's performance metrics currently under consideration in Docket No. 17-401.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See CUB's 2/5/18 Initial Comments in this docket for further details.

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
				554024629			
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth,  MN  558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd  Eagan,  MN  55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Ryan	Barlow	Ryan.Barlow@ag.state.mn. us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	445 Minnesota Street Bremer Tower, Suite 1 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service 400	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street Nor St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service th	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state. mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Jeanne	Cochran	Jeanne.Cochran@state.mn .us	Office of Administrative Hearings	P.O. Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 55164-0620	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd.  St, Louis,  MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Corey	Conover	corey.conover@minneapoli smn.gov	Minneapolis City Attorney	350 S. Fifth Street City Hall, Room 210 Minneapolis, MN 554022453	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Joseph	Dammel	joseph.dammel@ag.state. mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self- Reliance	1313 5th St SE #303  Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280  Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLcons ulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn. us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Julia	Jazynka	jjazynka@energyfreedomc oalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East Washington, DC	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	20001 2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.o rg	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South  Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln  St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W  Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.co m	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Paula	Maccabee	Pmaccabee@justchangela w.com	Just Change Law Offices	1961 Selby Ave Saint Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Peter	Madsen	peter.madsen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Bremer Tower, Suite 1800 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E  St. Paul,  MN  55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn .us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue  Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jeff	Oxley	jeff.oxley@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	600 North Robert Street St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Inga	Schuchard	ischuchard@larkinhoffman. com	Larkin Hoffman	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Minneapolis, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Zeviel	Simpser	zsimpser@briggs.com	Briggs and Morgan PA	2200 IDS Center80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 554022157	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Cam	Winton	cwinton@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Patrick	Zomer	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.c om	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776