



414 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis, MN 55401

January 22, 2024

—Via Electronic Filing—

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: REPLY COMMENTS
COMPLIANCE PROPOSALS FOR SWITCHING ARR-ERA COMMUNITY SOLAR
GARDENS TO VOS RATE
DOCKET NO. E002/M-13-867

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits the enclosed Reply Comments in response to the Minnesota Public Utility Commission's November 29, 2023 Notice of Extended Comment Period and the Comments submitted by parties.

We have electronically filed this document with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, and copies have been served on the parties on the attached service list. Please contact Lynnette Sweet at 612-321-3159 or Lynnette.M.Sweet@xcelenergy.com or contact me at Nick.Paluck@xcelenergy.com or (612) 330-2905 if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/

NICK PALUCK
MANAGER, REGULATORY ANALYSIS

Enclosures
cc: Service List

STATE OF MINNESOTA
BEFORE THE
MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Katie J. Sieben	Chair
Hwikwon Ham	Commissioner
Valerie Means	Commissioner
Joseph K. Sullivan	Commissioner
John A. Tuma	Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF NORTHERN STATES
POWER COMPANY, DBA XCEL ENERGY,
FOR APPROVAL OF ITS PROPOSED
COMMUNITY SOLAR GARDEN PROGRAM

DOCKET No. E002/M-13-867

**REPLY COMMENTS ON COMPLIANCE
PROPOSALS FOR SWITCHING ARR-ERA
COMMUNITY SOLAR GARDENS TO
VOS RATE**

INTRODUCTION

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits these Reply Comments in response to the Minnesota Public Utility Commission’s November 29, 2023 Notice of Extended Comment Period and the Comments submitted by parties.

The Commission’s June 27, 2023 Order in this docket directed the Company to submit a proposal for transitioning Applicable Retail Rate (ARR)-era Community Solar Gardens (CSGs) to the appropriate Value of Solar (VOS) rate. The Commission found that the record in the 2023 ARR Calculation filing raised “questions about whether calculating CSG bill credits based on the ARR, as currently defined, remains consistent with the public interest and other statutory requirements of Minn. Stat. § 216B.1641.” The stated goal of the proposal was “to further augment the record and explore the relevant legal and factual issues more fully.” The Company’s September 25, 2023 compliance proposal presented an administrative pathway to make the transition from the ARR to the VOS rate in a manner that complied with the Order and is relatively practical to administer.

The Commission’s direction to explore whether the ARR compensation structure is consistent with the public interest has prompted comments from many individuals, communities, and advocates. Both Fresh Energy and the Office of Attorney General (OAG) have advanced the record by submitting additional recommendations to the Commission related to potential ARR-to-VOS transition pathways or to modifications of the ARR to reduce the program’s burden on customers’ electric fuel costs.

In these Reply Comments, the Company reaffirms the Commission’s authority to determine the compensation rates for subscribers to the CSG program and to modify the same over time. The Company also summarizes points in the record the Commission may wish to consider in its public interest determination related to its review of the ARR. Finally, the Company presents calculations that estimate the impact of the proposed approaches to both subscribers and to all customers who pay fuel clause rates, to assist the Commission in its consideration. We present an estimate of the impact to subscribers and to all customers of 1) Fresh Energy’s proposal to transition General Service class subscribers from the ARR to the VOS, 2) OAG’s proposal to modify the ARR calculation, and 3) the Company’s September 25, 2023 compliance proposal.

COMMENTS

I. COMMISSION AUTHORITY AND PUBLIC INTEREST STANDARD

The Commission is empowered by the state Legislature to determine how bill credits are applied as part of the CSG program. The “Legacy” Community Solar Statute specifically empowers the Commission to “modify” the Community Solar Garden (CSG) program provided that in doing so the modification is “consistent with the public interest.” (Minn. Stat. § 216B.1641, Subd. 1, par. (e)). In determining what is “consistent with the public interest,” the Commission may consider many pertinent, sometimes conflicting factors.

Some of the factors, including those presented in comments, which may support modifications to the bill credit rate include the following:

- As highlighted by a group of Minnesota Senators¹, to meet the state’s energy goals, affordability needs to be a focus. In 2024 the CSG costs will be \$142/MWh, and this compares to the current market rate for solar of about \$61/MWh. CSGs currently contribute about 32.2 percent (or about \$329 million) of the total 2024 forecasted Xcel Energy fuel clause cost, but only provide about 4.3 percent of the total energy provided. The current market cost for this solar energy is about \$80 million, meaning that all Xcel Energy ratepayers in 2024 will pay annual “above market costs” of about \$250 million for these CSG costs.

¹ Senators Frentz, Mitchell, Klein, Xiong, and Hoffman

- As noted by carpenters' unions², there is concern with the ongoing and future costs of the program, and these costs would be borne by ratepayers. Also, the ARR has a cost that continually exceeds the benefits. Further, because the ARR bill credit benefits accrue to only some Xcel Energy customers (oftentimes commercial customers) at the expense of others, the benefits and burdens are often inequitable.
- The OAG highlighted similar facts and also noted that the vast majority, about 84 percent, of bill credit payments go to commercial and industrial customers, with residential subscribers receiving only about 16 percent of bill credit payments.
- The Company notes that under the Xcel Energy Integrated Resource Plan (IDP), CSGs do not provide any incremental solar energy. This is because the overall solar energy goal is set, and to the extent to which CSGs will not meet this goal other significant solar energy resources are then acquired at competitive market rates to fulfill the overall solar goal. Accordingly, even if there were no CSGs, the overall solar energy production for Xcel Energy in Minnesota would remain basically the same as it is today but would be at a markedly lower cost.

On the other hand, numerous commenters raised arguments that may detract from changing the bill credit rate, including:

- Some subscribers assert the transition would result in a “rate shock” for subscribers, with sudden, marked reduction in credits on their electric bills.
- Some governmental entities and school districts note that their budgets for 2024 have already been set, and that an unplanned, sudden, significant drop in the bill credits would cause hardship.
- The transition, for some subscribers, could result in bill credits that are less than their subscription fees paid to CSG operators.
- With reduced bill credits, some CSG operators expect a percentage of their subscribers to cancel their subscription. This would potentially place the specific CSGs at financial risk with their lenders and financiers and put the CSG at risk for declaring bankruptcy or becoming insolvent.
- Solar garden developers make arguments about the authority of the

² IUOE Local 49 and NCSRC of Carpenters

Commission to transition program rates, and that even if their legal arguments are not sufficient, they argue that transitioning rates would have a chilling effect on future solar investments in Minnesota.

The Commission has the legal authority to modify the CSG tariff, which includes the CSG tariffed standard contract and the subscriber compensation rates. US Solar, NextEra, CCSA, MnSEIA, and others argue, incorrectly, that the Commission has no authority to modify the tariffed contract at issue here, that only amendments to the tariffed contract signed by both parties are effective, that changing the bill credit methodology would be retroactive ratemaking, and that Xcel Energy cannot unilaterally change the tariffed contract. These arguments have been raised previously in this docket. We addressed these arguments in our April 10, 2023 Reply Comments, and we incorporate that discussion here.

Minn. Stat. 216B.1641(e) describes the standards the Commission should use in modifying the program:

- (e) The commission may approve, disapprove, or modify a community solar garden program. Any plan approved by the commission must:
 - (1) reasonably allow for the creation, financing, and accessibility of community solar gardens;
 - ...
 - (4) be consistent with the public interest;
 - (5) identify the information that must be provided to potential subscribers to ensure fair disclosure of future costs and benefits of subscriptions;
 - (6) include a program implementation schedule;
 - (7) identify all proposed rules, fees, and charges; and
 - (8) identify the means by which the program will be promoted.

Further, Minn. Stat. § 216B.25 also authorizes the Commission to alter or amend its prior orders.³ The Company’s tariffed Standard Contract for Solar*Rewards Community is also clear that changes may be made to our tariffed contract from time to time, and the then-current version of the tariffed contract controls. This language specifically includes changes to the rates for

³ Minn. Stat. §216B.25 states: “FURTHER ACTION ON PREVIOUS ORDER. The commission may at any time, on its own motion or upon motion of an interested party, and upon notice to the public utility and after opportunity to be heard, rescind, alter, or amend any order fixing rates, tolls, charges, or schedules, or any other order made by the commission, and may reopen any case following the issuance of an order therein, for the taking of further evidence or for any other reason. Any order rescinding, altering, amending, or reopening a prior order shall have the same effect as an original order.”

sales and purchases of Subscribed Energy. The tariffed Standard Contract Solar*Rewards Community states at tariff sheet 9-73, par. 1.B:

*The rates for sales and purchases of Subscribed Energy shall be changed annually or otherwise as provided by order of the MPUC. The Community Solar Garden Operator shall comply with all of the rules stated in the Company's applicable electric tariff related to the Solar*Rewards Community Program and the tariffed version of this Contract, as the same may be revised from time to time, or as otherwise allowed by an amendment to this Contract approved, or deemed approved, by the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Contract and Company's electric tariff, the provisions of the tariff shall control.*

Consistent with this, the Company has repeatedly reminded participants in this docket about how the tariffed version of the contract controls over the signed version.⁴ In its June 27, 2023 Order, the Commission stated that during the CSG program's inception and roll out, the Commission has refined certain aspects of the program due to unanticipated outcomes, and that the Commission has an obligation to continue to monitor the program and address issues as they arise. (June 27, 2023 Order at p. 8).

Certain commenters point to the Commission's September 2014 order that had adopted the ARR and the statement that the ARR would apply to the 25-year term of the CSG contract. We have shown above several factors to be considered by the Commission in addressing the public interest standard. The Commission could recognize the pertinent factors and using its quasi-legislative authority, and specific legislative grant of authority to modify the program, determine what is consistent with the public interest. It is also important to consider that at the time of the Commission decision there were no CSGs in the state and this was a brand new program. In fact, Xcel Energy in Minnesota only had two DER systems of 1 MW in size or larger.⁵ The information supplied to the Commission at that time by the CSG developers was that the ARR with the REC adder "... would provide compensation for solar-garden generation at or near the level shown by the record to be *minimally needed to reasonably allow for the financing and development of solar gardens.*" (September 17, 2014 order, at page 9). This turned out to be false. Within a few months of launching the program over 400 MW of applications were submitted. (See, Xcel Energy Monthly Update of March 6, 2015). The Commission can and should consider whether the decision it made,

⁴ See, for example, Company filings in this docket on May 18, 2015 (at page 7); April 1, 2016 (at pages 23-24); June 17, 2016 (at page 6); and September 23, 2021 (at page 5).

⁵ See, Minnesota Department of Commerce April 11, 2014 presentation "Renewables on the Distribution Grid", at PDF page 19, available at: https://mn.gov/puc/assets/Smart%20Grid%20Distributed%20Generation%20Facilities%204-11-2014_tcm14-11453.pdf

based on the information provided, will continue to result in a program that is in the public interest.

CCSA and MnSEIA argue that the Commission in its September 6, 2016 order reaffirmed that the change to the bill credit rate to a VOS rate was to be applied prospectively to applications filed after December 31, 2016. They argue that “nothing material has changed” since that Commission order. (CCSA and MnSEIA, at 4). To the contrary, at that time there were only about four CSGs in service for a combined 0.4 MW. (See, Xcel Energy October 13, 2016 Monthly Update at PDF page 3). Today, there are about 886 MWs of CSGs in service, and this includes 742 gardens under the ARR rate and 198 gardens under the VOS rate. (See, Xcel Energy October 27, 2023, Quarterly Report, at PDF pages 2 and 3). This change has a profound impact on the overall cost of the program to non-subscribers.

The Commission can examine the various factors and make its determination on whether, or to what extent, it should modify the bill credit rate consistent with the public interest. In this Reply, we also present the financial impact of the OAG’s and Fresh Energy’s alternative proposals, as well as the Company’s compliance proposal. The financial impacts from the proposals are additional factors to consider to map a path forward consistent with the public interest.

II. IMPACT OF FRESH ENERGY’S PROPOSAL TO TRANSITION THE GENERAL SERVICE CLASS TO VOS

Fresh Energy proposed an alternative path: transitioning the General Service class subscribers to VOS rates (with the Residential and Small General Service class subscribers remaining on the ARR).⁶ Fresh Energy also proposed applying an “adder” to the 2017 VOS rate for the General Service class. We estimate the annual impact to subscriber bill credits (and corresponding all-customer fuel savings) for Fresh Energy’s alternative proposals as approximately -\$36 million (for the proposal without the adder) and -\$25 million (for the proposal with the adder), as show in Table 1.⁷

⁶ The OAG also mentioned this as a possible path, sometimes instead calling the latter “small commercial” subscribers. The Company notes that per the limitations of our billing system, customer classes for ARR-era subscribers can only be split out as Residential, Small General Service, and General Service.

⁷ In the Company’s September 23, 2025 compliance filing, we estimated the reduction in subscriber bill credits (and corresponding all-customer fuel savings) resulting from the compliance transition proposal as \$62.6 million. In response to OAG Information Request No. 201, included as an attachment to the OAG comments, we provided an alternative way to estimate annual production and reduced our estimated impact to bill credits (and fuel savings) amount from \$62.6 million to \$48.4 million. We use the updated approach to estimating annual production for the remainder of these comments.

Table 1
Estimated Annual Impact to Subscriber Bill Credits of
Fresh Energy’s Alternate VOS Proposals (in \$ million)

	Residential	Small General Service	General Service	Total
Transition the General Service Class	n/a	n/a	-\$36.2	-\$36.2
Transition the General Service Class and include a \$0.01/kWh Adder	n/a	n/a	-\$25.4	-\$25.4

III. IMPACT OF OAG’S PROPOSAL TO MODIFY THE ARR CALCULATION

The OAG presented an alternate proposal to modify the calculation of the ARR, rather than transitioning subscribers from the ARR to the VOS.

A. Remove Customer-Charge Component and Reduce the Demand-Charge Component

The OAG’s Initial Comments restated a modification to the ARR that has been previously discussed in this docket. In the 2023 ARR Calculation rate process, Fresh Energy proposed to remove customer charges from the ARR calculation and to reduce demand-charge compensation by half.⁸ The Company supported Fresh Energy’s proposal in that proceeding and provided details of its impacts in our Reply Comments,⁹ which are summarized below. The Commission found that the record was not sufficiently developed to support modifying the ARR calculation in those ways at that time, but they ordered the modification of the ARR calculation to remove the compounding effect of the ARR and required an update to the tariff to correspond with the change. This tariff change allows other changes to the calculation of the ARR without an update to the tariff language.

Table 2 shows the impact of the changes to the ARR, as recommended by the OAG, using the 2023 ARR as a proxy.

⁸ Comments, March 30, 2023

⁹ April 10, 2023

Table 2
Impact of OAG Proposal to 2023 ARR for
Enhanced - Solar Garden > 250 KW (\$/kWh)

	Residential	Small General Service	General Service
Approved (Effective Aug. 1, 2023)	0.17252	0.17969	0.15186
Remove customer charge component	0.15861	0.16681	0.15010
Remove customer charge and 50% of demand charge components	0.15861	0.16681	0.13131

Table 3 shows the historic range of the ARR (including the 2 cent REC adder that applies to all garden subscribers receiving the ARR).

Table 3
Historic ARR Rates (with \$0.02/kWh REC Adder)
for Gardens > 250 KW (\$/kWh)

	Residential	Small General Service	General Service
2014	0.14033	0.13783	0.11456
2015	0.14743	0.14431	0.11914
2016	0.14596	0.14229	0.11740
2017	0.15310	0.14798	0.12296
2018	0.15539	0.14844	0.12515
2019	0.15583	0.14509	0.12405
2020	0.15540	0.14634	0.12550
2021	0.15860	0.15018	0.12770
2022	0.15940	0.16026	0.13717

When comparing Tables 2 and 3, one can see that by adopting the OAG proposal and removing the customer charge and 50 percent of the demand charges, the net result is an ARR that falls within the historic range for the ARR.

Using the 2023 ARR as a proxy, we estimate the annual subscriber bill credit reduction (and corresponding all-customer fuel savings) resulting from the OAG alternative proposals as approximately \$5 million (for removing the customer charge component) and \$25 million (for removing the customer charge component and half of the demand charge component). See Table 4.

Table 4
Estimated Annual Impact to Subscriber Bill Credits of OAG's
Modification to ARR Calculation (in \$ million)

	Residential	Small General Service	General Service	Total
Remove customer charge component	-\$2.9	-\$0.2	-\$1.9	-\$5.0
Remove customer charge and 50% of demand charge components	-\$2.9	-\$0.2	-\$22.3	-\$25.4

B. Remove Renewable Energy Credit (REC) Adder

The OAG also proposed reducing or eliminating the portion of the ARR rate that is designed to compensate for RECs generated by CSGs that are retired for compliance purposes by the Company. The Commission-approved REC pricing for ARR-era CSGs that sell their RECs to the Company (called “Enhanced” ARR in our tariff) is \$0.02 per kWh. For *each cent* that the REC payment is reduced, we estimate the subscriber bill credit reduction (and corresponding all-customer fuel savings) to be \$13.1 million per year, as shown by class in Table 5.

Table 5
Estimated Annual Impact to Subscriber Bill Credits of
Reducing REC Adder in ARR Calculation (in \$ million)

	Residential	Small General Service	General Service	Total
Per \$0.01/kWh of REC Adder Removed	-\$2.1	-\$0.1	-\$10.9	-\$13.1

IV. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

Table 6 summarizes the bill credit rates resulting from the recent proposals in this proceeding and shows the estimated subscriber bill credit reduction compared to the current ARR for each of the proposals.

Table 6
Summary of Proposals' Bill Credit Rates (\$/kWh)
and Percentage of Rate Reduction

Source	Description	Residential	Small General Service	General Service
Current Effective Rate	2023 ARR for Enhanced - Solar Garden > 250 KW	0.17252	0.17969	0.15186
Company's Sept. 23 Compliance	2017 Vintage VOS, Year 7 % Rate Reduction	0.11850 31%	0.11850 34%	0.11850 22%
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS % Rate Reduction	0.17252 0%	0.17969 0%	0.11850 22%
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS and Include \$0.01/kWh Adder % Rate Reduction	0.17252 0%	0.17969 0%	0.12850 15%
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. Removed % Rate Reduction	0.15861 8%	0.16681 7%	0.15010 1%
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. & 50% Dmd. Chg. Removed % Rate Reduction	0.15861 8%	0.16681 7%	0.13131 14%
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with \$0.01/kWh of REC Adder Removed % Rate Reduction	0.16252 6%	0.16969 6%	0.14186 7%

Table 7 summarizes the estimated annual subscriber bill credit reduction (and corresponding all-customer fuel savings)¹⁰ of each of the recent proposals and expresses each as a percentage of the program's total annual cost. The program's total annual cost, for purposes of this comparison, is \$160.6 million.¹¹

¹⁰ The total savings from each of the proposals would be reflected in the fuel clause and allocated to class based on sales. The subscriber bill credit reductions by class from each of the proposals differs and is estimated below.

¹¹ Actual 2022 Minnesota-state total CSG costs as filed in our 2022 Fuel Clause Adjustment (FCA) True-up filing, Docket No. E002/AA-21-295, March 1, 2023.

Table 7
Summary of Proposals' Estimated Annual Impact to Subscriber Bill Credits
(in \$ millions) and Share of Total CSG Costs (in percentage)

Source	Description	Residential	Small General Service	General Service	Total
Company's Sept. 23 Compliance	2017 Vintage VOS, Year 7 (Compliance Proposal) % of 2022 Total CSG Costs	-\$11.4 7%	-\$0.9 1%	-\$36.2 23%	-\$48.5 30%
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS % of 2022 Total CSG Costs	\$0 0%	\$0 0%	-\$36.2 23%	-\$36.2 23%
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS and Include \$0.01/kWh Adder % of 2022 Total CSG Costs	\$0 0%	\$0 0%	-\$25.4 16%	-\$25.4 16%
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. Removed % of 2022 Total CSG Costs	-\$2.9 2%	-\$0.2 0%	-\$1.9 1%	-\$5.0 3%
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. & 50% Dmd. Chg. Removed % of 2022 Total CSG Costs	-\$2.9 2%	-\$0.2 0%	-\$22.3 14%	-\$25.4 16%
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with \$0.01/kWh of REC Adder Removed % of 2022 Total CSG Costs	-\$2.1 1%	-\$0.1 0%	-\$10.9 7%	-\$13.1 8%

V. IMPACTS TO RESIDENTIAL SUBSCRIBERS

A. Impact to Residential Subscriber's Bill Credits

In our response to OAG Information Request No. 203 included as Attachment A, we provided the annual bill impact that the Company's September 2023 compliance proposal bill-credit reduction would have for an average residential ARR-era CSG subscriber. Please see Attachment A for the assumptions and methodologies used. We modelled our response for two scenarios:

- Scenario 1: Customer pays a fixed amount to the CSG operator.
- Scenario 2: Customer receives 100 percent of the bill credit and pays 90 percent of the bill credit to the CSG operator.

Using the same assumptions and methodologies as OAG IR 203, we provide Tables 8 and 9 showing the annual bill credits and decrease to bills credits from the 2023 ARR under each of the proposals for Scenarios 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 8
Scenario 1 Fixed Payment to CSG Operator – Residential Subscriber
Annual Bill Credit Impacts by Proposal

Source	Description	Annual Bill Credit	Comparison to Current Effective Rate
Current Effective Rate	2023 ARR for Enhanced - Solar Garden > 250 KW	\$1,242	-
Company's Sept. 23 Compliance	2017 Vintage VOS, Year 7	\$853	-\$389
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS	\$1,242	\$0
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS and Include \$0.01/kWh Adder	\$1,242	\$0
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. Removed	\$1,142	-\$100
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. & 50% Dmd. Chg. Removed	\$1,142	-\$100
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with \$0.01/kWh of REC Adder Removed	\$1,170	-\$72

Table 9
Scenario 2 Pro Rata Payment to CSG Operator - Residential Subscriber
Annual Bill Credit Impacts by Proposal

Source	Description	Annual Credit Kept by Customer	Comparison to Current Effective Rate
Current Effective Rate	2023 ARR for Enhanced – Solar Garden > 250 KW	\$124	-
Company’s Sept. 23 Compliance	2017 Vintage VOS, Year 7	\$85	-\$39
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS	\$124	\$0
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS and Include \$0.01/kWh Adder	\$124	\$0
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. Removed	\$114	-\$10
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. & 50% Dmd. Chg. Removed	\$114	-\$10
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with \$0.01/kWh of REC Adder Removed	\$117	-\$7

Though it is not captured in the bill impact analysis above, all CSG subscribers would also enjoy the fuel clause savings (shared by all customers) resulting from the implementation of any of the proposals discussed here. The savings for an average residential customer is detailed below.

B. Impact to the Fuel Clause

If the Commission were to order modifications to the bill credit rates consistent with any proposals discussed here, the estimated annual bill credit reductions reported above would be removed from the CSG costs captured in the Fuel Clause Adjustment, the mechanism where CSG costs (including subscriber bill credits) are recovered from all customers. Using the 2022 FCA as a proxy, an average residential customer using 7,200 kWh annually pays \$40.83 for CSGs. The average residential customer would experience fuel clause savings under each of the proposals, as compared to this \$40.83 amount, is shown in Table 10.

Table 10
Estimated Average Residential Customer
Annual FCA Savings under Proposals

Source	Description	Annual FCA Savings
Company's Sept. 23 Compliance	2017 Vintage VOS, Year 7	\$12.31
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS	\$9.21
Fresh Energy Initial Comments	Transition General Service to VOS and Include \$0.01/kWh Adder	\$6.45
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. Removed	\$1.28
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with Cust. Chg. & 50% Dmd. Chg. Removed	\$6.46
OAG Initial Comments	2023 ARR with \$0.01/kWh of REC Adder Removed	\$3.33

VI. TIMING OF POTENTIAL CHANGES

If the Commission orders a modification to the bill credit rate to transition ARR subscribers to the VOS, we recommend an implementation date of April 1, 2025. This would provide needed time for modifying Company systems, allow CSG operators to communicate changes to their subscribers, and allow the subscribers to plan for changes in the bill credits. If the ARR is modified, then we similarly recommend keeping the historic cadence of updates to the ARR so that on or about February 1, 2025 the Company would propose the next ARR rate that would go into effect on April 1, 2025¹². We believe this timeline would enable an orderly transition to any new rates, should they be ordered by the Commission.

CONCLUSION

In these Reply Comments, we have presented the estimated impacts of the compliance proposal and alternative proposals presented in Comments. The Company requests that, if the Commission orders changes to the program bill credit rates, the implementation of any changes take place on April 1, 2025 or some other reasonable schedule before that date.

Dated: January 22, 2024

Northern States Power Company

¹² In the compliance proposal, the Company had proposed an April 1, 2024 effective date.

- Not-Public Document – Not For Public Disclosure**
 Public Document – Not-Public Data Has Been Excised
 Public Document

Xcel Energy Information Request No. 203
Docket No.: E-002/M-13-867
Response To: State of Minnesota Office of the Attorney General
Requestor: Peter Scholtz
Date Received: October 31, 2023

Question:

Provide the annual bill impact that the proposed bill-credit reduction would have for an average residential ARR-era CSG subscriber. Provide all assumptions supporting your calculation.

Response:

Assumptions:

- Average monthly usage - 600 kWh. Annual usage - 7200 kWh.
- The customer has subscribed to a CSG for all of their consumption.
- Current ARR Residential class bill credit rate is \$0.17252/kWh¹
 - 90% of ARR rate is \$0.15527/kWh
- Proposed to use the 2017 VOS Vintage, Year 7 bill credit rate of \$0.11850/kWh²
 - 90% of the VOS rate is \$0.10665/kWh

We are not privy to the details of the agreements between customers and CSG operators. We have modeled two scenarios that we have heard are used.

- Scenario 1: Customer pays a fixed amount to the CSG operator.
- Scenario 2: Customer receives 100% of the bill credit and pays 90% of the bill credit to the CSG operator.

In Scenario 1, a residential customer would see \$389 less in bill credits, which is a decrease of about 31% from the credit received under the current ARR rate. See Table 1. This calculation does not look at the “net” bill credit because it does not account for the fixed subscription fee paid to the CSG operator by the customer.

¹ Table 3 of September 24, 2023 Compliance Proposal filing

² Ibid.

Table 1: Estimated Bill Credits Using Scenario 1

	Annual kWh	Bill Credit Rate (\$/kWh)	Annual Bill Credit
ARR Rate	7,200	\$0.17252	\$1,242
VOS Rate	<u>7,200</u>	<u>\$0.11850</u>	<u>\$853</u>
Decrease to Bill Credit			\$389

In Scenario 2, a residential customer would see \$39 less in net bill credits (\$124 minus \$85) after deducting the 90% subscription fee paid to the CSG operator. This is a decrease of about 31% from the credit received under the current ARR rate. See Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2: Scenario 2 – Current Estimated Credit (ARR Rate)

	Annual kWh	Bill Credit Rate (\$/kWh)	Annual Bill Credit
Full Credit	7,200	\$0.17252	\$1,242
<u>Amount Paid to CSG Operator</u>	<u>7,200</u>	<u>\$0.15527</u>	<u>\$1,118</u>
Credit Kept by Customer			\$124

Table 3: Scenario 2 – Estimated Credit Using VOS Rate

	Annual kWh	Bill Credit Rate (\$/kWh)	Annual Bill Credit
Full Credit	7,200	\$0.11850	\$853
<u>Amount Paid to CSG Operator</u>	<u>7,200</u>	<u>\$0.10665</u>	<u>\$768</u>
Credit Kept by Customer			\$85

Preparer: Martha Hoschmiller
Title: Principal Pricing Analyst
Department: NSPM Regulatory
Telephone: 612-330-5973
Date: November 10, 2023

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Joshua DePauw, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the foregoing document on the attached list of persons.

xx by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States mail at Minneapolis, Minnesota

or

xx electronic filing

Docket No. **E002/M-13-867**

Dated this 22nd day of January 2024

/s/

Joshua DePauw
Regulatory Administrator

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Ross	Abbey	ross.abbey@us-solar.com	United States Solar Corp.	100 North 6th St Ste 222C Minneapolis, MN 55403	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Daniel	Abelson	daniel.abelson@metc.state.mn.us	Metropolitan Council	390 Robert Street N. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Michael	Allen	michael.allen@allenergysolar.com	All Energy Solar	721 W 26th st Suite 211 Minneapolis, MN 55405	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
David	Amster Olzweski	david@mysunshare.com	SunShare, LLC	1151 Bannock St Denver, CO 80204-8020	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Andrew	Ball	aball@keyesfox.com	Keyes & Fox LLP	1580 Lincoln Street Suite 880 Denver, CO 80203	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Laura	Beaton	beaton@smwlaw.com	Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP	396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Ingrid	Bjorklund	ingrid@bjorklundlaw.com	Bjorklund Law, PLLC	855 Village Center Drive #256 North Oaks, MN 55127	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Kenneth	Bradley	kbradley1965@gmail.com		2837 Emerson Ave S Apt CW112 Minneapolis, MN 55408	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Jessica	Burdette	jessica.burdette@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place East Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Gabriel	Chan	gabechan@umn.edu	University of Minnesota	130 Hubert H. Humphrey Center 301 19th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55455	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
City	Clerk	gregg.engdahl@ci.stcloud.mn.us	City of St. Cloud	400 Second St. S St. Cloud, MN 56301	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Kevin	Cray	kevin@communitysolaraccess.org	CCSA	1644 Platte St Denver, CO 80202	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
George	Damian	gdamian@cleanenergyeconomy.mn.org	Clean Energy Economy MN	13713 Washburn Ave S Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Timothy	DenHerder Thomas	timothy@cooperativeenergyfutures.com	Cooperative Energy Futures	3500 Bloomington Ave. S Minneapolis, MN 55407	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
James	Denniston	james.r.denniston@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	414 Nicollet Mall, 401-8 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Scott F	Dunbar	sdunbar@keyesfox.com	Keyes & Fox LLP	1580 Lincoln St Ste 880 Denver, CO 80203	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Hannah	Dunn	hannah.dunn@oakdalemn.gov	City of Oakdale	1584 Hadley Ave N Oakdale, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Brian	Edstrom	briane@cubminnesota.org	Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota	332 Minnesota St Ste W1360 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Dick	Edwards	dedwards@ci.maple-grove.mn.us	City of Maple Grove	12800 Arbor Lakes Parkway P O Box 1180 Maple Grove, MN 553116180	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Betsy	Engelking	betsy@nationalgridrenewables.com	National Grid Renewables	8400 Normandale Lake Blvd Ste 1200 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance	2720 E. 22nd St Institute for Local Self-Reliance Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Nathan	Franzen	nathan@nationalgridrenewables.com	Geronimo Energy, LLC	8400 Normandale Lake Blvd Ste 1200 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Hal	Galvin	halgalvin@comcast.net	Provectus Energy Development llc	1936 Kenwood Parkway Minneapolis, MN 55405	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Allen	Gleckner	gleckner@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 St. Peter Street Ste 350 Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Jenny	Glumack	jenny@mrea.org	Minnesota Rural Electric Association	11640 73rd Ave N Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Sean	Gosiewski	sean@afors.org	Alliance for Sustainability	2801 21st Ave S Ste 100 Minneapolis, MN 55407	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Scott	Greenbert	scott@nautilusolar.com	Nautilus Solar Energy, LLC	396 Springfield Aver, Ste 2 Summit, NJ 07901	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Kim	Havey	kim.havey@minneapolismn.gov	City of Minneapolis	350 South 5th Street, Suite 315M Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Jan	Hubbard	jan.hubbard@comcast.net		7730 Mississippi Lane Brooklyn Park, MN 55444	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Reuben	Hunter	bhunter@madisonei.com	Madison Energy Investments	8100 Boone Blvd Suite 430 Vienna, VA 22182	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
John S.	Jaffray	jjaffray@jirpower.com	JJR Power	350 Highway 7 Suite 236 Excelsior, MN 55331	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Julie	Jorgensen	juliejorgensen62@gmail.com	Greenmark Solar	4630 Quebec Ave N New Hope, MN 55428-4973	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Ralph	Kaehler	Ralph.Kaehler@gmail.com		13700 Co. Rd. 9 Eyota, MN 55934	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Cliff	Kaehler	cliff.kaehler@novelenergy.biz	Novel Energy Solutions LLC	4710 Blaylock Way Inver Grove Heights, MN 55076	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Michael	Kampmeyer	mkampmeyer@a-e-group.com	AEG Group, LLC	260 Salem Church Road Sunfish Lake, MN 55118	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
William D	Kenworthy	will@votesolar.org	Vote Solar	332 S Michigan Ave FL 9 Chicago, IL 60604	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Bobby	King	bking@solarunitedneighbors.org	Solar United Neighbors	3140 43rd Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Brad	Klein	bklein@elpc.org	Environmental Law & Policy Center	35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600 Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60601	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Aaron	Knoll	aknoll@greeneespel.com	Greene Espel PLLP	222 South Ninth Street Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Michael	Krause	michaelkrause61@yahoo.com	Kandiyo Consulting, LLC	433 S 7th Street Suite 2025 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Dean	Leischow	dean@sunrisenrg.com	Sunrise Energy Ventures	315 Manitoba Ave Ste 200 Wayzata, MN 55391	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Annie	Levenson Falk	annief@cupminnesota.org	Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota	332 Minnesota Street, Suite W1360 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Alice	Madden	alice@communitypowermn.org	Community Power	2720 E 22nd St Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 E 7th St St Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Gregg	Mast	gmast@cleanenergyeconomymn.org	Clean Energy Economy Minnesota	4808 10th Avenue S Minneapolis, MN 55417	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jason	Maur	jason.maur@renesolapower.com	Renosola Power Holdings, LLC	850 Canal Street 3rd Floor Stamford, CT 06902	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Matthew	Melewski	matthew@nokomisenergy.com	Nokomis Energy LLC & Ole Solar LLC	2639 Nicollet Ave Ste 200 Minneapolis, MN 55408	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Thomas	Melone	Thomas.Melone@AllcoUS.com	Minnesota Go Solar LLC	222 South 9th Street Suite 1600 Minneapolis, MN 55120	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Michael	Menzel	mike.m@sagiliti.com	Sagiliti	23505 Smithtown Rd. Suite 280 Excelsior, MN 55331	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Brian	Millberg	fwengineering@comcast.net		695 Grand Ave #222 Saint Paul, MN 55105	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Marc	Miller	mmiller@soltage.com	Soltage, LLC	66 York Street, 5th Floor Jersey City, NJ 07302	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Marcus	Mills	Marcus@communitypowermn.org	Community Power	2720 E 22nd St Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Pouya	Najmaie	pouya@cooperativeenergyfutures.com	Cooperative Energy Futures	3416 16th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55407	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Darin	Nelson	dnelson@minnetonkamn.gov	City of Minnetonka	14600 Minnetonka Blvd Minnetonka, MN 55345	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Rolf	Nordstrom	rnordstrom@gpisd.net	Great Plains Institute	2801 21ST AVE S STE 220 Minneapolis, MN 55407-1229	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Logan	O'Grady	logrady@mnseia.org	Minnesota Solar Energy Industries Association	2288 University Ave W St. Paul, MN 55114	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Patty	O'Keefe	patty.okeefe@sierraclub.org		2525 Emerson Ave S Apt 2 Minneapolis, MN 55405	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Jeff	O'Neill	jeff.oneill@ci.monticello.mn.us	City of Monticello	505 Walnut Street Suite 1 Monticello, MN 55362	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalelectric.org	Legalelectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Eric	Pasi	ericp@ips-solar.com	IPS Solar	2670 Patton Rd Roseville, MN 55113	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Dan	Patry	dpatry@sunedison.com	SunEdison	600 Clipper Drive Belmont, CA 94002	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Jeffrey C	Paulson	jeff.jcplaw@comcast.net	Paulson Law Office, Ltd.	4445 W 77th Street Suite 224 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Morgan	Pitz	morgan.pitz@us-solar.com	US Solar	100 N 6th St #410B Minneapolis, MN 55403	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Kristel	Porter	kristel@mnrenewablenow.org	MN Renewable Now	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Paula	Prahl	paula.prahl@dominiuminc.com	Dominium	2905 Northwest Blvd Ste 150 Plymouth, MN 55441	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Bridget	Rathsack	bridget.rathsack@burnsvill emn.gov	City of Burnsville, MN	100 Civic Center Parkway Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Micah	Revell	micah.revell@stinson.com	Stinson LLP	50 South Sixth St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Isabel	Ricker	ricker@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 Saint Peter Street Suite 220 Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Jonathan	Roberts	jroberts@soltage.com	Soltage	66 York St 5th Floor Jersey City, NJ 07302	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Delaney	Russell	delaney@mnipl.org	Just Solar Coalition	4407 E Lake Street Minneapolis, MN 55407	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Kyle	Samejima	kyle@mplsclimate.org	Minneapolis Climate Action	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Ian	SantosMeeker	ians@ips-solar.com	IPS Solar	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Christine	Schwartz	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th PI E Ste 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Shaffer	david.shaffer@novelenergy.biz	Novel Energy Solutions	2303 Wycliff St Ste 300 St. Paul, MN 55114	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Christopher L.	Sherman	csherman@sherman-associates.com	Solar Holdings LLC	233 Park Ave S Ste 201 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Doug	Shoemaker	dougs@charter.net	Minnesota Renewable Energy	2928 5th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55408	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Karl	Sonneman	karl17@hbci.com	Law Office of Karl W. Sonneman	111 Riverfront Suite 202 Winona, MN 55987	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Russ	Stark	Russ.Stark@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	Mayor's Office 15 W. Kellogg Blvd., Suite 390 Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Ally	Sutherland	asutherland@ighmn.gov	City of Inver Grove Heights	8150 Barbara Avenue Inver Grove Heights, MN 55077	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Whitney	Terrill	whitney@mnipl.org	Minnesota Interfaith Power & Light	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Anna	Tobin	atobin@greeneespel.com	Greene Espel PLLP	222 South Ninth Street Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Zack	Townsend	zachary.townsend@brookfielddrenewable.com	Brookfield Renewable	200 Liberty St FL 14 New York, NY 10281	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Pat	Treseler	pat.jcplaw@comcast.net	Paulson Law Office LTD	4445 W 77th Street Suite 224 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
John	Vaughn	Nik@rreal.org	Rural Renewable Energy Alliance	3963 8th Street SW Backus, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Kevin	Walker	KWalker@beaconinterfaith. org	Beacon Interfaith Housing Collaborative	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Jenna	Warmuth	jwarmuth@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802-2093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Jessica	Welk	jwelk@sherman- associates.com	Sherman Associates	233 Park Avenue South Suite 201 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official
Curtis P	Zaun	curtis@cpzlaw.com	Attorney At Law	3254 Rice Street Little Canada, MN 55126	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_13-867_Official