

Ex Parte Communication Report

Date:

To: Public Ex Parte Communication File

Docket No:

Case Name:

From: PUC Staff:

RE: Permissible Ex Parte Communications Pursuant to Minn. Rules, Part 7845.7400.

1. Type of communication: (Oral or Written)

If written, attach the document.

If oral, Date:

Time:

NOTE: In both instances, please notify the Maker the communication has been submitted for inclusion in the record.

2. Maker of the Communication:

3. Recipient of the Communication:

4. For communications involving the setting of interim rates or the review of compliance filings, the topic was:

5. For all other permissible communications that are prohibited for the Commissioners under Minn. Rules, part 7845.7200, the substance of the communication was:

6. For oral permissible ex parte communications, has a copy of this memo been sent to the assigned Administrative Law Judge? Yes No N/A



RE: Xcel DCP comments - request for clarification

From Teigland, Peter (He/Him/His) (COMM) <Peter.Teigland@state.mn.us>

Date Fri 1/30/2026 1:59 PM

To Terwilliger, Hanna (PUC) <hanna.terwilliger@state.mn.us>; Pradhan, Bhavin (COMM) <Bhavin.Pradhan@state.mn.us>

Cc Lieb, Sydnie (She/Her/Hers) (COMM) <Sydnie.Lieb@state.mn.us>; MacAlister, Jamie (COMM) <jamie.macalister@state.mn.us>; Nikitas, Sophie (She/Her/Hers) (PUC) <sophie.nikitas@state.mn.us>

Hi Hannah,

To your questions:

1. Yes, the Department supports all recommendations included in our letter of January 27.
2. Yes, the recommendations in that letter replace the recommendations from the Department's initial comments.
3. Yes, the letter is only intended to list the Department's support for those recommendations. While those recommendations were developed in consultation with other parties, those parties may or may not support all of those recommendations and have indicated their supported recommendations in their own filings.

Take care,
Peter

Peter Teigland (*he/him*)

Planning, Policy, and Telecom Unit Supervisor

Division of Energy Resources

peter.teigland@state.mn.us | 651-539-1032

[mn.gov/commerce]mn.gov/commerce

Minnesota Department of Commerce

85 7th Place East, Suite 280 | Saint Paul, MN 55101



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. Information in this e-mail or any attachment may be confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure by state or federal law. Any unauthorized use, dissemination, or copying of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please refrain from reading this e-mail or any attachments and notify the sender immediately. Please destroy all copies of this communication.

From: Terwilliger, Hanna (PUC) <hanna.terwilliger@state.mn.us>

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2026 11:45 AM

To: Teigland, Peter (He/Him/His) (COMM) <Peter.Teigland@state.mn.us>; Pradhan, Bhavin (COMM) <Bhavin.Pradhan@state.mn.us>

Cc: Lieb, Sydnie (She/Her/Hers) (COMM) <Sydnie.Lieb@state.mn.us>; MacAlister, Jamie (COMM)

<jamie.macalister@state.mn.us>; Nikitas, Sophie (She/Her/Hers) (PUC) <sophie.nikitas@state.mn.us>

Subject: Xcel DCP comments - request for clarification

Hi Peter and Bhavin,

In order to make sure staff accurately reflects everyone's positions in staff briefing papers for Xcel's Capacity*Connect proposal, I'm hoping you can provide a couple clarifications.

1. Does the Department support all recommendations included in the January 27 Letter? If not, please provide a list of which provisions the Department recommends the Commission adopt.
2. Do the recommendations in the January 27 letter replace the recommendations from the Department's initial comments? If not, please provide a complete list of recommendations.
3. Is the letter only intended to list the Department's support for these recommendations, with other organizations listing which of these recommendations they support in their own comments? For example, you listed Fresh Energy as one of the organizations you collaborated with, but in their comments they do not appear to support many of the recommendations in the January 27 letter.

If you are able, provide a response by Wednesday, February 4. If you need more time please let us know.

Sophie and I plan to file the response as a permissible ex parte communication in the interest of transparency.

Thank you,

Hanna Terwilliger

Analyst Coordinator – Distribution Planning | Economic Analysis

Pronouns: She/Her

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

121 7th Place E, Suite 350

Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

O: 651-201-2243

mn.gov/puc



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is only for the use of the individual(s) named above. Information in this email or any attachment may be confidential or may be protected by state or federal law. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, dissemination, or copying of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read this email or any attachments and notify the sender immediately. Please delete all copies of this communication.