

Main: 651.539.1500 Fax: 651.539.1549

mn.gov/commerce/energy

December 20, 2013

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

RE: Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources Docket No. E999/CI-11-852

Dear Dr. Haar,

On November 6, 2013, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) issued a *Notice* of Comment Period t on Cost Impact Reports in the following matter:

Utility Renewable Energy Cost Impact Reports Required by Minnesota Statutes Section 216B.1691, Subd. 2e.

Attached please find the comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department). The Department is available to answer any questions the Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ KATE O'CONNELL Manager, Energy Regulation and Planning

KO/sm Attachment



BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

COMMENTS OF THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE DIVISION OF ENERGY RESOURCES

DOCKET NO. E999/CI-11-952

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Minn. Stat. §216B.1691 Subd. 2(e) requires electric utilities subject to the State's Renewable Energy Standard (RES) to report the rate impact of compliance with the RES.¹

In accordance with the above-referenced statute, at the end of October 2011, utilities submitted initial reports on the cost impact of complying with the RES requirement.

The Department subsequently filed comments on those reports, indicating the following:

The quality of the analyses in this docket of the rate impact of the RES varied considerably. Some utility analyses were fairly simplistic and limited while others were more complex and complete. For example, some analyses simply compared the costs of renewable energy to the costs of purchases from the wholesale energy market while others considered how all costs changed, including changes in use and costs of existing generation plants along with the ability to avoid or delay adding other resources to the utility's system, thus reducing capital costs.

Because additions of new resources affect how the existing resources on the system are used, it is important to examine how both existing and new resources are used after the new resource is added. Those changes affect fuel costs, capacity values, heat rates, and other operation and maintenance expenses.

¹ These comments refer to Minn. Stat. §216B.169 as the "RES statute."

Analyst assigned: Katherine O'Connell

Page 2

Thus, while all of the reports provided some information about the effects of the RES on rates, the reports with the more complete analyses provided more accurate information.²

During the 2013 legislative session, Minn. Stat. §216B.1691, Subd. 2(e) was amended to require that a uniform reporting system be developed to ensure that utility reports are consistent and comparable.

On November 6, 2013, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) issued a *Notice* of Comment Period on Cost Impact Reports seeking comment on a proposed format for uniform reporting by the utilities.

II. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS

A. CAUTION IN COST COMPARISONS

In its January 2012 comments in this docket, the Department asserted that assessing the costs of adding renewable resources to utilities' systems was best achieved through the comprehensive analysis in an integrated resource plan (IRP), because such as analysis accomplishes the goal of capturing the effects of adding new resources on use of existing resources on the utility's system. As the Department summarized:

Resource planning provides the most comprehensive examination of costs of providing electric service and is the best venue for analyzing the costs of adding renewable resources. Utility IRPs evaluate a utility's ability to meet its forecasted demand over an extended period of time and assess the least-cost mix of resources needed to meet that demand. Resource plans view a utility's system in its entirety, including the existing mix of generation resources, and provide a comprehensive comparison of the impact resource additions or retirements will have on the overall costs on the utility's system.

In addition, resource planning examines the least-cost mix of resources under a variety of scenarios and conditions. Examining the appropriate mix of resources under a variety of conditions provides more assurance that additional resources remain least cost even if the economic environment changes significantly.³

_

² Page 2 of the Department's January 25, 2012 comments.

³ Page 5 of the Department's comments.

Analyst assigned: Katherine O'Connell

Page 3

The Department continues to believe that IRP analysis is the best method for assessing whether additional renewable generation will result in a least-cost mix of resources and continues to perform this analysis in utilities' resource plans where the utility has the data to allow such a review. More limited analyses provide some information, but should be considered with care to avoid reaching unsound conclusions. Rather, such analyses could be considered as first steps in assessing the effects of adding any generation resource to a utility's system.⁴

As noted above, Minn. Stat. §216B.1691, Subd. 2(e) requires the Commission to:

...determine a uniform reporting system to ensure that individual utility reports are consistent and comparable, and shall, by order, require each electric utility subject to this section to use that reporting system. The rate impact estimate must be for wholesale rates and, if the electric utility makes retail sales, the estimate shall also be for the impact on the electric utility's retail rates. Those activities include, without limitation, energy purchases, generation facility acquisition and construction, and transmission improvements.

Given the statutory requirement, the Department offers the following proposal for cost reporting.

B. DEPARTMENT-PROPOSED REPORTING SYSTEM

The Department recommends that utilities report their RES costs on a per-kWh basis, based on the per-kWh costs for all renewable power of a given type added in the past year and the total expected production from these renewable energy facilities over the lives of the new projects.

Since projected utilization rates, the existing resource mix, and capacity values can all vary dramatically across regions where new generation capacity may be needed, the direct comparison of the levelized cost of electricity across technologies is often problematic and can be misleading as a method to assess the economic competitiveness of various generation alternatives. Conceptually, a better assessment of economic competitiveness can be gained through consideration of avoided cost, a measure of what it would cost the grid to generate the electricity that is otherwise displaced by a new generation project, as well as its levelized cost. Avoided cost, which provides a proxy measure for the annual economic value of a candidate project, may be summed over its financial life and converted to a stream of equal annual payments, which may then be divided by average annual output of the project to develop a figure that expresses the "levelized" avoided cost of the project. This levelized avoided cost may then be compared to the levelized cost of the candidate project to provide an indication of whether or not the project's value exceeds its cost. If multiple technologies are available to meet load, comparisons of each project's levelized avoided cost to its levelized project cost may be used to determine which project provides the best net economic value. Estimating avoided costs is more complex than for simple levelized costs, because they require tools to simulate the operation of the power system with and without any project under consideration. The economic decisions regarding capacity additions in EIA's long-term projections reflect these concepts rather than simple comparisons of levelized project costs across technologies.

⁴ The EIA also cautions care:

Analyst assigned: Katherine O'Connell

Page 4

For example, the per-kWh cost for all wind facilities would be based on dividing the total costs of all new wind facilities by the total expected production over all of the lives of both the power purchase agreements for wind facilities and utility-owned wind facilities. In addition, each utility should report the per-kWh cost of all renewable power resources added in the past year. Finally, each utility should report the per-kWh cost of all renewable power resources on its system.

The Department recognizes that when a single generation facility has been added in a given year, the per-kWh cost may be considered trade secret, thus defeating the Commission's desire to foster transparency and comparability. In such cases, information on the per-kWh cost of all renewable resources on the utility's system may still be public information since those figures would include the cost of embedded resources rather than solely the incremental cost of adding a specific resource in a given year.

Rather than reporting the costs by a standard under which the utility might count the resource (e.g. the Renewable Energy Objective, the Renewable Energy Standard or the Solar Energy Standard), the Department recommends reporting costs by type of renewable power. Reporting by standards might lead to confusion as to which standard the utility might count production from a specific facility in the future. Reporting per-kWh costs by type of renewable energy would: 1) provide a comparison of the per-kWh costs of different types of renewable power and 2) separate out a portion of the long-standing embedded hydroelectric resources from the more recent renewable additions.

Given the goal of simplifying the reporting, providing the per-kWh cost of renewables added in the most recent year would provide the most meaningful information in this first-step analysis on the cost of adding renewable resources in a given year. However, that calculation would not compare those costs to the costs of whatever else the utilities would have added to their systems instead of the renewable power. This calculation is important because no utility has requested a modification or delay of the standard as allowed in subdivision 2b of the RES Statute, which means that no utility has indicated that the power was not needed. Thus, the utility should provide the same per-kWh calculation of whatever other new resource the utility would have added if the renewable power had not been added to its system.

If a utility indicates that growth in its needs were not large enough to warrant building a new non-renewable energy facility rather than adding the renewable power (which tends to be added in smaller increments), then the utility should report the per-kWh cost they would have incurred in adding a gas-fired combustion turbine (CT), along with the per-unit cost they would have incurred in adding a gas-fired combined cycle unit (CC). These types of facilities are the alternative resources most commonly selected in resource plans. While CT and CC resources perform different functions and thus should be expected to have different costs per kWh, they are the only comparison that can accomplish the goals of transparency and use by a wide variety of utilities. The utility should also note whether it would be necessary to add a natural gas pipeline to deliver natural gas to the utility's system, but it would not be necessary to estimate those costs.

Analyst assigned: Katherine O'Connell

Page 5

C. DEPARTMENT RESPONSE TO COMMISSION QUESTIONS

a. What is the appropriate timeframe for reporting?

The Commission's proposal contemplates a start date of 2005 through the 15-year planning period for each utility's latest IRP. For the initial filing, the Commission may wish to obtain past information, either annually or in total, beginning with 2005, the passage of the RES statute. However, for future filings, the Department recommends that actual costs for most recent year be filed, along with estimates of future compliance costs.

b. Should REO expenditures be included in the renewable energy rate impact analysis?

As noted above, the Department recommends reporting all renewable energy expenditures on a per-kWh basis by type of renewable generation, rather than by statutory compliance. As a practical matter, generation used to comply with the REO is also available to meet the RES, and does not need to be tracked separately.

c. The statute lists required reporting cost activities to include (without limitation) renewable energy purchases, generation facility acquisition and construction, and transmission improvements. Staff assumes these categories, often referred to as RES in total to include utility-owned generation, power purchases agreements, market purchases and renewable energy credits (RECs). Should expenditures for RES be calculated separately from those for REO and the eventual SES? Why or why not?

As noted above, the Department recommends tracking costs by type of renewable generation, not statutory requirement. Tracking cost information by type of renewable power is expected to provide separate cost information on solar generation thus providing general guidance on the cost of the SES.

Additionally, tracking costs by type of renewable power would eliminate the need to determine how to report costs associated with Xcel Energy's wind and biomass mandates (Minn. Stat. §§216B.2323 and 216B.2324). This generation, while now available to meet the RES, was initially acquired to meet other statutory mandates, not the REO or RES.

Finally, with respect to market purchases, the Department does not recommend that these resources be included in the report since it is not possible to identify that market purchases are from renewable power. Instead, the focus should be only on per-kWh costs of power purchase agreements with renewable facilities or renewable generation that the utility owns or otherwise has under contract.

Analyst assigned: Katherine O'Connell

Page 6

d. Are expenditures for REO, RES and SES separately accounted for and calculated by utility? Would there be different treatment as to cost inclusion for on-line projects versus those in development? Should both levelized and annualized costs be provided in order to show short-term impact on rates vs. expected long-term effects?

e. Should expenditures be separate between existing on-line costs and those projects in development?

As discussed above, the Department does not support separate tracking for REO, RES and SES. Since the statute requires reporting "the rate impact of activities of the electric utility necessary to comply" with the statute, information about projects in development should be reported only to the extent that such costs were reflected in rates in the previous year. To the extent that both types of costs were reflected in rates, differentiating the cost of on-line projects from those in development could provide a better indicator of current costs; however, this approach could result in less transparency to the extent costs associated with projects in development are considered trade secret.

f. List the best available "source" from which to report and calculate, non-renewable generation revenue requirement.

The Department recommends that the Energy Information Administration's (EIA) most recent estimate of levelized costs be the source for information about non-renewable generation. In addition, if the utility is aware that any addition of these types of resources would require further costs (such as the addition of a natural gas pipeline), the utility should note that fact. The link to EIA's levelized costs for 2013 is:

http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/er/pdf/electricity_generation.pdf.

g. Provide alternatives (if any) to the proposed reporting system.

The Department's proposed alternative is described earlier in these comments.

h. What should be the "but for the renewable mandate consideration for comparison purposes"?

As described above, the Department recommends using the per-kWh cost of a new CT unit and the per-kWh cost of a CC unit as a basis for comparison with renewable costs. As discussed in the Department's January 2012 comments, comparing renewable costs with historical market prices is not appropriate and may provide misleading information because such an analysis assumes that the utility would have relied heavily on the wholesale electric energy market at a time when wholesale energy costs were considered high. Wholesale market prices are inherently volatile and should not be relied on over the expected life of long-term period that a generation resource or power purchase agreement, so the comparison is not valid.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jan Mottaz, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E999/CI-11-852

Dated this 20th day of December 2013

/s/Jan Mottaz

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street Nor St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service th	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Michael	Bradley	mike.bradley@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	Suite 4800 90 S 7th St Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Jon	Brekke	jbrekke@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard Maple Grove, MN 553694718	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Mark B.	Bring	mbring@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
3. Andrew	Brown	brown.andrew@dorsey.co m	Dorsey & Whitney LLP	Suite 1500 50 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554021498	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.	200 S 6th St Ste 4000 Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Tammie	Carino	tcarino@GREnergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Blvd. Maple Grove, MN 55369-4718	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Douglas M.	Carnival		McGrann Shea Anderson Carnival	Straugn & Lamb 800 Nicollet Mall, Suite 2600 Minneapolis, MN 554027035	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Kenneth A.	Colburn	kcolburn@symbioticstrategi es.com	Symbiotic Strategies, LLC	26 Winton Road Meredith, NH 32535413	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Mark F.	Dahlberg	markdahlberg@nweco.com	Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Company	P.O. Box 9 104 South Pine Street Grantsburg, WI 548400009	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Jeffrey A.	Daugherty	jeffrey.daugherty@centerp ointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	800 LaSalle Ave Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Curt	Dieren	cdieren@dgrnet.com	L&O Power Cooperative	1302 South Union Street PO Box 511 Rock Rapids, IA 51246	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
lan	Dobson	ian.dobson@ag.state.mn.u s	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Antitrust and Utilities Division 445 Minnesota Street, BRM Tower St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service 1400	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Kristen	Eide Tollefson	HealingSystems@earthlink.	R-CURE	P O Box 129 Frontenac, MN 55026	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Bob	Eleff		Regulated Industries Cmte	100 Rev Dr Martin Luther King Jr Blvd Room 600 St. Paul, MN 55155	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Pam	Fergen		Henepin County Government Center CAO	A2000 300 S. Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55487	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Lori	Frisk Thompson	lorift@cmmpa.org	Central MN MPA	459 S Grove St Blue Earth, MN 56013	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
John	Fuller	john.fuller@senate.mn	MN Senate	75 Rev Dr Martin Luther King Jr Blvd Room G-17 St. Paul, MN 55155	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Edward	Garvey	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Benjamin	Gerber	bgerber@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Darrell	Gerber		Clean Water Action Alliance of Minnesota	308 Hennepin Ave. E. Minneapolis, MN 55414	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Elizabeth	Goodpaster	bgoodpaster@mncenter.or g	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	Suite 206 26 East Exchange Str St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service eet	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Bryan	Gower	N/A	APX, Inc.	224 Airport Parkway Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.c om	Kutak Rock LLP	Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Гопу	Hainault	anthony.hainault@co.henn epin.mn.us	Hennepin County DES	701 4th Ave S Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Bill	Heaney	billheaney@billheaney.com	IBEW Minnesota State Council	3931 Silver Lake Rd NE St. Anthony Village, MN 55421	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
John	Helmers	helmers.john@co.olmsted. mn.us	Olmsted County Waste to Energy	2122 Campus Drive SE Rochester, MN 55904-4744	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Jessy	Hennesy	jessy.hennesy@avantener gy.com	Avant Energy	220 S. Sixth St. Ste 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Ashley	Houston			120 Fairway Rd Chestnut Hill, MA 24671850	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Lori	Hoyum	lhoyum@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Casey	Jacobson	cjacobson@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 East Interstate Avenue Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Eric	Jensen	ejensen@iwla.org	Izaak Walton League of America	Suite 202 1619 Dayton Avenue St. Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
_arry	Johnston	lw.johnston@smmpa.org	SMMPA	500 1st Ave SW Rochester, MN 55902-3303	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Nancy	Kelly	bademailnancyk@eurekare cycling.org	Eureka Recycling	2828 Kennedy Street NE Minneapolis, MN 55413	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Julie	Ketchum	N/A	Waste Management	20520 Keokuk Ave Lakeville, MN 55044	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
-l ank	Koegel	hank.koegel@edf-re.com	EDF Renewable Eenrgy	10 2nd St NE Ste 400 Minneapolis, MN 55413-2652	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Mark	Lindquist	N/A	The Minnesota Project	57107 422nd St New Ulm, MN 56073-4321	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Mike	McDowell		Heartland Consumers Power District	PO Box 248 Madison, SD 570420248	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Dave	McNary	N/A	Hennepin County DES	701 Fourth Avenue South suite 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
ohn	McWilliams	jmm@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	3200 East Ave SPO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54601-7227	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
/alerie	Means	valerie.means@lawmoss.c om	Moss & Barnett	Suite 4800 90 South Seventh Stre Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service et	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@leonard.com	Leonard, Street & Deinard	150 S 5th St Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Peder	Mewis	Peder.Mewis@senate.mn	Senate Energy, Util and Telecom Committee	Room 322, State Capitol 75 Rev. Dr. Martin Lut King Jr. Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55155-1606	Electronic Service her	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Stacy	Miller	stacy.miller@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	State Energy Office 85 7th Place East, Sui 500 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service te	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Andrew	Moratzka	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
David W.	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	Suite 300 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Thomas L.	Osteraas	bademailthomasosteraas@ leonard.com	Excelsior Energy	150 South 5th Street Suite 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Joshua	Pearson	N/A	enXco, Inc.	15445 Innovation Drive San Diego, CA 92128	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Mary Beth	Peranteau	mperanteau@wheelerlaw.c	Wheeler Van Sickle & Anderson SC	Suite 801 25 West Main Street Madison, WI 537033398	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Kent	Ragsdale	kentragsdale@alliantenerg y.com	Alliant Energy-Interstate Power and Light Company	P.O. Box 351 200 First Street, SE Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
John C.	Reinhardt		Laura A. Reinhardt	3552 26Th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55406	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Trudy	Richter	trichter@rranow.com	Minnesota Resource Recovery Assn.	477 Selby Avenue St. Paul, MN 55102	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Craig	Rustad	crustad@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power	1822 Mill Road PO Box 13200 Grand Forks, ND 582083200	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Robert K.	Sahr	bsahr@eastriver.coop	East River Electric Power Cooperative	P.O. Box 227 Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Raymond	Sand	rms@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	P.O. Box 8173200 East Avenue South LaCrosse, WI 546020817	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Matthew J.	Schuerger P.E.	mjsreg@earthlink.net	Energy Systems Consulting Services, LLC	PO Box 16129 St. Paul, MN 55116	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Robert H.	Schulte	rhs@schulteassociates.co m	Schulte Associates LLC	15347 Boulder Pointe Road Eden Prairie, MN 55347	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Dean	Sedgwick	N/A	Itasca Power Company	PO Box 457 Bigfork, MN 56628-0457	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Andrew	Serri	aserri@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Coopertive	1717 E Interstate Ave. Bismarck, ND 58503-0564	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Mrg	Simon	mrgsimon@mrenergy.com	Missouri River Energy Services	3724 W. Avera Drive P.O. Box 88920 Sioux Falls, SD 571098920	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Beth H.	Soholt	bsoholt@windonthewires.or	Wind on the Wires	570 Asbury Street Suite 201 St. Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Erin	Stojan Ruccolo	ruccolo@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 Saint Peter St Ste 220 Saint Paul, MN 55102-1125	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Steve	Thompson		Central Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	459 S Grove St Blue Earth, MN 56013-2629	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
SaGonna	Thompson	Regulatory.Records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Douglas	Tiffany	tiffa002@umn.edu	University of Minnesota	316d Ruttan Hall 1994 Buford Avenue St. Paul, MN 55108	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Pat	Treseler	pat.jcplaw@comcast.net	Paulson Law Office LTD	Suite 325 7301 Ohms Lane Edina, MN 55439	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Darryl	Tveitbakk		Northern Municipal Power Agency	123 Second Street West Thief River Falls, MN 56701	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Roger	Warehime	warehimer@owatonnautiliti es.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	208 South WalnutPO Box 800 Owatonna, MN 55060	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Paul	White	paul.white@prcwind.com	Project Resources Corp./Tamarac Line LLC/Ridgewind	618 2nd Ave SE Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Robyn	Woeste	robynwoeste@alliantenerg y.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 First St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 52401	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties
Thomas J.	Zaremba		WHEELER, VAN SICKLE & ANDERSON	Suite 801 25 West Main Street Madison, WI 537033398	Paper Service	No	SPL_SL_11- 852_Interested Parties