



February 20, 2008

- VIA ELECTRONIC FILING -

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: 2006 AAA- ELECTRIC REPORT

SUPPLEMENTAL FILING

DOCKET NO. E, G999/AA-06-1208

Dear Dr. Haar:

Pursuant to the Commission's February 6, 2008 Order in this docket, Northern States Power Company ("Xcel Energy" or the "Company"), a Minnesota corporation submits this Supplement. The Company filed the attached with our annual Contract Demand filing on November 1, 2007 as Docket Number G002/M-07-1395. The November Contract Demand filing included discussion of inter-class allocation of Producer Demand and Storage Costs, along with various specific requirements discussed in the February 6, 2008 Order.

We have served copies on the Department of Commerce, the Office of the Attorney General – Residential Utilities Division, and on all other parties on the attached service list.

Please call me at (612) 330-6613 if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

Amy A. Liberkowski

MANAGER, PRICING AND PLANNING

N LiferKen Ski

Enclosures c: Service List

### **ATTACHMENT 4**

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota Corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Xcel Energy Inc. Gas Operations

Information provided in response to Department Recommendation in Docket No. E,G999/AA-06-1208 to discuss alternative methods for the classification and billing of demand costs.

# Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota Corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Xcel Energy Inc. Gas Operations

Information provided in response to Department Recommendation in Docket No. E,G999/AA-06-1208 to discuss alternative methods for the classification and billing of demand costs.

In the Department comments dated October 19, 2007 regarding Xcel Energy's AAA filing, Docket No. G002/AA-06-1208, the Department recommended that the Commission require each gas utility in their 2007-2008 Demand Entitlement filing to:

- Provide its unique set of facts in determining whether it is reasonable to classify Producer Demand and Storage costs as commodity or demand costs;
- Clarify which customer classes are to be assigned related costs;
- Provide a detailed explanation of its rationale for its proposal; and
- Provide a rate impact analysis for all affected customer classes based on the
  utility's currently approved method of classifying and billing Producer
  Demand and Storage costs, together with a similar comparison of classifying
  and billing Producer Demand and Storage costs as commodity costs.

# Summary

The Company believes that interruptible sales customers receive some benefit from certain expenses that have historically been allocated on demand, including a portion of storage costs as well as balancing expense. However, the Company does not believe interruptible sales customers receive any benefit from the producer demand expense in our portfolio. Our producer demand expense is attributable to a Viking citygate peaking contract that was done in lieu of acquiring additional annual or heating season interstate pipeline firm transportation service.

Interruptible sales customers provide system value by agreeing to curtail their gas usage when requested by the Company, usually during very cold weather or peak day conditions when gas supplies may be limited. Therefore, the Company does not believe any pipeline transportation demand costs or producer demand costs (a.k.a. supplier reservation costs) should be assigned to the interruptible sales customers. However, the interruptible sales customers are receiving the benefits

of both storage and pipeline balancing services on non-design days; therefore the Company believes a portion of these costs could be recovered from interruptible sales customers. Therefore, Xcel Energy proposes on a prospective basis, to assign an annual volumetric charge of \$0.0129/dth and a winter volumetric charge of \$0.1060/dth to all interruptible gas sales customers on Xcel Energy's system. Based on the Company's 2007-2008 sales forecast, approximately \$837,000 in demand costs will be paid for by the interruptible sales customers. The costs allocated to interruptible sales customers will result in lower rates for firm gas customers. Xcel Energy's proposal to assign a portion of demand costs to interruptible sales customers is further detailed below.

#### Specifics of Xcel Energy Proposal

Xcel Energy's proposal utilizes actual demand costs filed in the November 2007 PGA filing. The first category of demand charges that Xcel Energy proposes to assign to interruptible sales customers is underground storage costs. Storage costs are classified into two categories: deliverability demand charges which determine the amount of peak day deliverability that can be withdrawn in the winter; and capacity demand charges which are placed on the entire cycle quantity of gas that can be stored. Since interruptible sales customers would not receive any gas out of storage on a design day, as their service would be curtailed, Xcel Energy does not believe that interruptible sales customers should be allocated any storage deliverability demand charges. Interruptible sales customers do receive the benefit of gas in storage as reflected in their monthly weighted average cost of gas (WACOG); therefore, Xcel Energy believes a portion of capacity demand charges should be allocated to interruptible sales customers.

In Attachment 4, Schedule 1, Xcel Energy proposes to take the annual cost of storage capacity demand charges for all storage facilities including Northern's Firm Deferred Delivery ("FDD"), ANR Storage Company, and ANR Pipeline Company storage, divided by budgeted heating season sales to determine a per Dth cost to be paid for on all gas commodity sales (firm and interruptible) during the five winter months of November through March. Of the total \$5.2 million in storage capacity demand charges, approximately \$687,000 or 13 percent will be charged to the interruptible sales customers under our proposal.

The second category of demand charges that Xcel Energy proposes to assign to interruptible sales customers is pipeline balancing costs. Since Xcel Energy balances both firm and interruptible sales customer requirements on a daily basis on both Northern and Viking, Xcel Energy believes that a portion of the interstate

pipeline balancing service demand charges should be allocated to interruptible sales customers. In Attachment 4, Schedule 1, Xcel Energy proposes to take the annual demand costs of pipeline balancing services divided by the budgeted annual sales to determine a per Dth costs to be paid for on all gas commodity sales on an annual basis. Of the total \$891,000 in pipeline balancing demand charges, approximately \$150,000 or 17% will be allocated to the interruptible sales customers under our proposal.

An example of how this allocation would appear in the Company's monthly PGA filing is included on line 27 of Attachment 4, Schedule 2. The impact of this proposal on both firm and interruptible sales customer bills is shown on Attachment 4, Schedule 3.

In addition, based on the Department's recommendation, the Company has also provided the rate impact analysis for all affected customer classes if all Producer Demand and Storage costs were allocated as commodity costs, shown on Attachment 4, Schedule 4. The Company does not believe there is appropriate rationale to allocate all Producer Demand and Storage costs on our system as commodity costs, and recommends the specific proposal discussed above.

\$836,715.23

DEMAND CHARGE ALLOCATION TO INTERRUPTIBLE CUSTOMER CLASS and wholly owned subsidiary of Xcel Energy Inc. Company Recommendation

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation

1. Allocation of Storage Capacity Demand Charges

12-Month Winter-Month Cost Cost	\$50,074.15 \$38,909.12 \$686,730.06	,
12-Month Cost		\$135,030.50 \$14,954.66 \$149,985.16
Total Winter Cost to Interruptible Customers \$597,746.79	\$50,0/4.15 \$38,909.12 \$686,730.06 13%	Total Annual Cost to Interruptible Customers \$135,030.50 \$14,954.66 \$149,985.16
Total Interruptible Winter Sales 6,476,350	6,476,350 . 6,476,350	Total Interruptible Annval Sales 11,628,525 11,628,525
Cost per Dth \$0.0923	\$0.0060 \$0.0060 \$0.1060	Cost per Dth \$0.0116 \$0.0013 \$0.0013
<u>Total</u> Winter Sales 48,637,199	48,637,199	cs Total Annual Sales 69,049,569 69,049,569
Annual Cost \$4,489,060.58	\$292,206.35 \$5,157,322.31	2. Allocation of Pipeline Balancing Charges  Annual Cost  NNG:SMS \$801,804.00  VGT:OBA \$88,800.00  \$890,604.00
NNG:FDD ANR	ANRS	2. Allocation of Pip NNG:SMS VGT:OBA

Total

Attachement 4 Schedule 2

# derivation of current pga $\overset{\circ}{\text{costs}}$ - with some demand costs moved to commodity

November 2007 - Projected Costs (Actual prices will be determined Nov.1, 2007)\*

PROPOSED

	•			<i>-</i> .
	nand Cost (Res, Sm & Lg Commercial Firm)	Annual Cost	Winter Cost	<u>Total</u>
1.	MN & ND Total Demand	\$22,306,100	\$27,458,220	
2.	· Less Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity	<u>\$149,985</u>	\$686,730	
3.	MN & ND Total Demand Adjusted	\$22,156,115	\$26,771,490	
4.	x Minnesota Design Day Ratio (2007 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>88.79%</u>	88.79%	
5.	Annual System Demand Allocation to MN	\$19,672,414	\$23,770,406	
6.	Grand Forks Total Demand	\$275,226	\$369,376	
7.	x Minnesota Allocator (2007 Demand Bnittlement Filing)	14.80%	14.80%	
8.	Annual Grand Forks Demand Allocation to MN	\$40,733	\$54,668	
9.	Fargo Base Total Demand	\$226,748	\$107,735	
10.	x Minnesota Allocator (2007 Demand Entitlement Filing)	21.75%	21.75%	
11.	Annual Pargo Demand Allocation to MN	\$49,318	\$23,432	*
11.	Allings 1-31go Denistia Anotadon to tody	4.0,020	4	
. 12.	Minnesota Total Demand (5 + 8 + 11)	<b>\$</b> 19 <b>,</b> 762 <b>,</b> 465	<b>\$23,848,50</b> 6	
13.	MN State Design Day (2007 Demand Entitlement Filing)	683,716	683,716	
14.	- Small & Large Demand Billed Dkt (2007 Demand Entitlement Filing)	20,938	<u> 20,938</u>	
15.	Non-Demand Billed Design Day Dkt (13-14)	662,778	662,778	
16.	Non-Demand Billed Allocation (12 x 15 / 13)	\$19,157,263	\$23,118,173	
17.	Demand Billed Cost Allocation (12-16)	\$605,202	\$730,333	
11.	Delivated Direct Cost Intocation (12-10)	(****,****		
18.	MN Annual / Seasonal Firm Therm Sales (2004 Rate Case)	551,314,240	406,801,350	
19.	Demand Unit Cost \$/Therm (16 / 18)	\$0.03475	\$0.05683	\$0.09158
20.	Demand Cost True-up - Residential (Page 4) Oct-May			\$0.00000
21.	Demand Cost True-up - Commercial (Page 4) Oct-May		•	\$0.00000
	•			00 00450
22.	Total Demnd Rate - Residential (19 +20)			\$0.09158
23.	Total Demnd Rate -Commercial (19 + 21)			\$0.09158
Den	and Cost (Demand Billed)			
24.	Cost Allocated to Demand Billed (17)	\$605,202	\$730,333	<b>\$</b> 1,335,535
25.	/ Annual Contract Billing Demand (2007 Demand Entitlement Piling)	. •		<u>2,512,560</u>
26.	Monthly Commercial Demand Billed Demand Rate			\$0.53154
Com	amodity Costs .			Monthly Cost
27.	NNG Annual/Best Effort/Viking/WBI/Xcel Pk Shv			\$56,362,929
28.	Storage Commodity per docket G-002/M-05-865			\$267,516
29.	Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity - Annual (Line 2-Annual / 12-months)			\$12,499
30.	Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity - Winter (Line 2-Winter / 5-months)			\$137,346
	Total Monthly Commodity Costs			\$56,780,290
31.				88.06%
32.	x MN Portion of Monthly Retail Sales			\$50,000,723
33.	MN Portion of Monthly Commodity Costs			\$30,000,723
34.	MN Budgeted Calendar Month Retail Therm Sales			75,866,935
35.	Commodity Unit Cost \$/Therm (33 / 34)			\$0.65906
	l Gas Cost per Therm			\$0.75064
36.	Residential (22 + 35)			\$0.75064
37 <b>.</b>	Small & Large Commercial (23 + 35)			\$0.7300 <del>4</del> \$0.53154
38.	Small & Large Demand Billed - Demand (26)			\$0,65906
39.	Small & Large Demand Billed - Commodity; All Interruptible (35)			40100000

<sup>\*</sup>Commodity costs are projected and for illustrative purposed only.

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Xcel Energy Inc.

COMPARISON OF ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY FOR CERTAIN DEMAND COSTS

Company Recommendation

Large Interruptible

Attachement 4 Schedule 3

#### Typical Annual Typical Annual Bill With Current Typical Bill With Modified Annual Demand/Commodity Demand/Commodity Percent <u>Class</u> Allocation Usage (dkt) Allocation Difference of Cutrent Residential 91 \$923.85 \$923.87 \$0.02 0.003% Small Commercial Firm 309 \$2,900.19 \$0.07 0.003% \$2,900.26 Large Commercial Firm 1,684 \$14,899.44 \$14,899.80 \$0.35 0.002% Small Commercial Demand Billed \$67,356.61 \$67,369.85 \$13.25 0.02% Demand Usage 59 Commodity Usage 8,045 Large Commercial Demand Billed \$191,034.72 \$191,067.91 \$33.19 0.02% Demand Usage 177 Commodity Usage 22,886 Small Interruptible 8,036 \$62,085.05 \$62,184.25 \$99.21 0.16% Medium Interruptible 50,152 \$357,446.06 \$357,913.90 \$467.84 0.13%

\$5,068,291.24

\$5,075,529.95

\$7,238.71

0.14%

720,870

\$1,628,161.45

Total

1. Allocation of Storage Capacity and Deliverability Demand Charges

DEMAND CHARGE ALLOCATION TO INTERRUPTIBLE CUSTOMER CLASS

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation

and wholly owned subsidiary of Xcel Energy Inc.

100% Storage and Producer Demand as Commodity Method

	Winter-Month	Cost	\$1,195,563.15	\$99,466.22	\$97,570.88	\$1,392,600.25						\$228,664.88	\$6,896.32	\$235,561.20	The second secon
	12-Month	Cost				J								Į.	
Total Winter Cost	to Interruptible	Customers	\$1,195,563.15	\$99,466.22	\$97,570.88	\$1,392,600.25	13%		Total Annual Cost	to Interruptible	Customers	\$228,664.88	\$6,896.32	\$235,561.20	17%
Total	Interruptible	Winter Sales	6,476,350	6,476,350	6,476,350				Total	Interruptible	Annual Sales	11,628,525	11,628,525		٠
)		Cost per Dth	\$0.1846	\$0.0154	\$0.0151	\$0,2150					Cost per Dth	\$0.0197	\$0.000	\$0.0203	
,	Total	Winter Sales	48,637,199	48,637,199	48,637,199			S		Total	Annual Sales	69,049,569	69,049,569		
	•	Annual Cost	\$8,978,643.62	\$746,988.35	\$732,754.44	\$10,458,386.41		2. Allocation of Producer Demand Charges			Annual Cost	\$1,357,800.00	\$40,950.00	\$1,398,750.00	
			FDD Capacity	ANR	ANRS			2. Allocation of Pro				VGT	NNG		

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Xcel Energy Inc.

COMPARISON OF ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY FOR CERTAIN DEMAND COSTS 100% Storage and Producer Demand as Commodity Method

Attachement 4 Schedule 4 Page 2 of 2

<u>Class</u>	Typical Annual <u>Usage (dkt)</u>	Typical Annual Bill With Current Demand/Commodity Allocation	Typical Annual Bill With Modified Demand/Commodity Allocation	Difference	Percent of Current
Residential	91	\$923.85	\$924.08	<b>\$</b> 0.23	0.03%
Small Commercial Firm	309	<b>\$2,</b> 900.19	<b>\$2,</b> 900.97	\$0.78	0.03%
Large Commercial Firm	1,684	\$14,899.44	\$14,903.57	\$4.13	0.03%
Small Commercial Demand Billed Demand Usage Commodity Usage	59 8,045	\$67,356.61	\$67,387.34	\$30.74	0.05%
Large Commercial Demand Billed Demand Usage Commodity Usage	177 22,886	\$191,034.72	\$191,115.37	\$80.65	0.04%
Small Interruptible	8,036	\$62,085.05	\$62,292,24	\$207.19	0.33%
Medium Interruptible	50,152	<b>\$</b> 357,446.06	\$358,379.44	\$933.38	0.26%
Large Interruptible	720,870	\$5,068,291.24	\$5,082,929.60	\$14,638.36	0.29%

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Nancy A. Haley, hereby certify that I have this day served copies or summaries of the foregoing document on the attached list of persons.

xx by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at Minneapolis,
Minnesota

xx electronic filing

DOCKET NO.E, G999/AA-06-1208; G002/M-07-1395

Dated this 20th day of February 2008

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota Corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Xcel Energy Gas Operations – State of Minnesota Notice of report Availability Docket G002/06-1268 8-31-2007

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

Julia E. Anderson Minnesota Office of the Attorney General 1400 NCL Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131

Ronald M. Giteck Office of Attorney General Residential Utilities Division 900 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota St St Paul, MN 55101

James J. Bertrand Leonard, Street & Deinard 150 South 5<sup>th</sup> St, Suite 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402

Steven Bosacker
City of Minneapolis
City Hall, Room 301 M
350 South 5<sup>th</sup> Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415-1376

Jeffrey A. Daugherty
Director, Regulatory Services
CenterPoint Energy Minnegasco
PO Box 59038
800 LaSalle Avenue, Floor 11
Minneapolis, MN 55459-0038

Sharon Ferguson Minnesota Department of Commerce 85 Seventh Place East, Suite 500 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2198

Curt Nelson Minnesota Office of the Attorney General 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 900 St. Paul, MN 55101-2127

Karen Finstad Hammel Office of Attorney General 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota St St Paul, MN 55101

Roger Boehner 6511 Humboldt Ave. N., #210 Brooklyn Center, MN 55430

Leslie Davis
Earth Protector, Inc.
622 Lowry Avenue North
Minneapolis, MN 55411-1441

Marie M. Doyle Tariffs Coordinator CenterPoint Energy Minnegasco 800 LaSalle Avenue, Floor 11 PO Box 59038 Minneapolis, MN 55459-0038 Lloyd W. Grooms Winthrop & Weinstine 225 South Sixth St., Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4629

Kenneth Smith District Energy St. Paul, Inc. 76 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102-1611

Richard J. Johnson Moss & Barnett 4800 Wells Fargo Center 90 South 7<sup>th</sup> St. Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

Michael C. Krikava Briggs & Morgan, P.A. 2200 IDS Center 80 South 8<sup>th</sup> St. Minneapolis, MN 55402

Brian Meloy, Esq. Leonard, Street & Deinard 150 South 5<sup>th</sup> St, Suite 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402

Michael J. Sarafolean Gerdau AmeriSteel US, Inc. 4221 West Boy Scout Boulevard, Ste 600 Tampa, FL 33607

Lon Stanton ENRON-Northern Natural Gas 1600 W. 82nd Street, Suite 210 Minneapolis, MN 55431 Todd J. Guerrero Lindquist & Vennum, PLLP 4200 IDS Center 80 South 8th St. Minneapolis, MN 55402

Sandra L. Hofstetter 1140 Mary Hill Circle Hartland, WI 53029-8009

Jim Korinek Otter Tail Energy Services 224 E. Washington PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 56538-0496

Robert S. Lee Mackall Crounse & Moore Law Offices 1400 AT& T Tower 901 Marquette Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-2859

Joseph V. Plumbo Business Manager Local Union 23, IBEW 932 Payne Avenue St Paul, MN 55101

Richard J. Savelkoul, Esq. Felhaber, Larson, Fenlon & Vogt, P.A. 444 Cedar St, Suite 2100 St Paul, MN 55101-2136

James M. Strommen, Esq. Kennedy & Graven 470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South 6th St Minneapolis, MN 55402 James R. Talcott Northern Natural Gas Company 1111 South 103<sup>rd</sup> St Omaha, NE 68124

Lisa Veith City of St. Paul 400 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102-1616

James P. Johnson Asst General Counsel Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 5th Flr Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 Tim Thornton Briggs & Morgan, P.A. 2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th St. Minneapolis, MN 55402

Catarina Zuber Dahlen, Berg & Co. 200 South 6th St, Suite 300 Minneapolis, MN 55402

SaGonna Thompson Records Analyst Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall -7<sup>th</sup> Flr Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 In the Matter of Xcel Energy Petition for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements

G002/M-07-1395

1/9/2008

Burl W. Haar (O + 15) Executive Secretary MN Public Utilities Commission 121 East Seventh Place, Suite 350 St Paul, MN 55101-2147

Julia E. Anderson Minnesota Attorney General's Office 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota St. St Paul, MN 55101-2131

Ronald M. Giteck Office of Attorney General Residential Utilities Division 445 Minnesota St St Paul, MN 55101

Roger Boehner 6511 Humboldt Avenue N, #210 Brooklyn Center, MN 55430

Chris Duffrin Energy CENTS 823 East Seventh St St Paul, MN 55106

Lloyd W Grooms Winthrop & Weinstine 225 South Sixth St, Ste 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4629 Sharon Ferguson (4)
Docket Coordinator
Minnesota Department of Commerce
85 7th Place East, Suite 500
St Paul, MN 55101-2198

Curt Nelson OAG-RUD 900 Bremer Rower 445 Minnesota St St Paul, MN 55101-2130

Karen Finstad Hammel MN Office of the Attorney General 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131

Jeffrey A Daugherty CenterPoint Energy Minnegasco 800 LaSalle Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Flr PO Box 59038 Minneapolis, MN 55459-0038

Mike Franklin Minnesota Chamber of Commerce 400 Robert St North, Ste 1500 St Paul, MN 55101

Todd J Guerrero Lindquist & Vennum PLLP 4200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth St Minneapolis, MN 55402-2274 Richard J. Johnson Moss & Barnett 4800 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh St Minneapolis, MN 55402

Robert S Lee Mackall Crounse & Moore 901 Marquette Ave, Ste 1400 Minneapolis, MN 55402-2859

Joseph V Plumbo Local Union 23, I.B.E.W. 932 Payne Avenue St Paul, MN 55101

Richard J. Savelkoul Felhaber Larson Fenlon & Vogt PA 444 Cedar St, Ste 2100 St Paul, MN 55101-2136

Kenneth W. Smith, P.E. District Energy St Paul 76 West Kellogg Blvd. St Paul, MN 55102

James R. Talcott Northern Natural Gas Company 1111 South 103<sup>rd</sup> Street Omaha, NE 68124

Catarina zuber Dahlen, Berg & Company 200 South Sixth St, Ste 300 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Michael Krikava Briggs & Morgan 2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

John Moir City of Minneapolis City Hall, Room 301M 350 South 5th Street Minneapolis, MN 55415-1376

Michael Sarafolean Gerdau Ameristeel US Inc. 4221 West Boy Scout Blvd, Ste 600 Tampa, FL 33607

Kathleen D. Sheehy Office of Administrative Hearings PO Box 64620 St Paul, MN 55164-0620

James M. Strommen Kennedy & Graven, Chartered 470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth St Minneapolis, MN 55402

Lisa Veith
City of St Paul
400 City Hall and Courthouse
15 West Kellogg Blvd.
St Paul, MN 55102

Megan Hertzler Asst General Counsel Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 5<sup>th</sup> Flr Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 James P. Johnson Asst General Counsel Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 5th Flr Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993

SaGonna Thompson Records Analyst Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall – 7<sup>th</sup> Flr Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993