



414 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, MN 55401

February 26, 2018

—Via Electronic Filing—

Daniel P. Wolf  
Executive Secretary  
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission  
121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 350  
St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: REPLY COMMENTS  
BIENNIAL DISTRIBUTION GRID MODERNIZATION REPORT  
DOCKET NO. E002/M-17-776

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits these Reply Comments in response to the Comments received on February 5, 2018 on our Grid Modernization Report.

Pursuant to Minn. Stat. §216.17, subd. 3, we have electronically filed this document with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, and copies have been served on all parties on the attached service list. Please contact Jody Londo at [jody.l.londo@xcelenergy.com](mailto:jody.l.londo@xcelenergy.com) or (612) 330-5601 if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/

BRIA E. SHEA  
DIRECTOR, REGULATORY & STRATEGIC ANALYSIS

Enclosures  
c: Service Lists

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
BEFORE THE  
MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Nancy Lange	Chair
Dan Lipschultz	Commissioner
Matthew Schuerger	Commissioner
Katie J. Sieben	Commissioner
John A. Tuma	Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF XCEL ENERGY'S 2017  
GRID MODERNIZATION REPORT UNDER  
MINN. STAT. § 216B.2425, SUBD. 2(e)

DOCKET No. E002/M-17-776

**REPLY COMMENTS**

**OVERVIEW**

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits these Reply Comments in response to the Comments received on February 5, 2018 on our 2017 Grid Modernization Report. We appreciate the opportunity to respond to Parties and to provide additional support for our request.

The utility industry is in a time of change. We are in the midst of transitioning our distribution grid from a predominately one-way system to a two-way, interoperable network. At the same time, the speed at which technology, the reliability expectations of our customers, and industry reliability standards are evolving requires us to be responsive and advance the foundations of the distribution system. Recognizing the importance of the changes underway, Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425 was modified in 2015 to provide utilities with an opportunity to identify priority projects that work toward the benefit of grid modernization efforts, obtain rider recovery for those projects to enable grid advancement to proceed at an appropriate pace, and continue the work necessary to achieve a modern distribution grid.

Even more recently, some states, including Minnesota, are moving toward planning processes that seek to understand the broader distribution plans and strategies of their utilities, of which grid modernization is one component. For Xcel Energy, we are beginning to realize our Advanced Grid Intelligence and Security (AGIS) initiative – starting with the implementation of our Advanced Distribution Management System (ADMS), which the Commission certified in 2017 and is on track to be implemented in 2020. Our November 1, 2017 Grid Modernization Report identified a Time of Use (TOU) Rate Pilot and a Fault Location, Isolation, and Service Restoration (FLISR) reliability improvement project – as well as development of the foundational Field

Area Network (FAN) – as priority projects. We also requested the ability to return to the Commission with *annual* Grid Modernization Reports for the foreseeable future – foreshadowing our intent to identify a full Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) implementation as a priority project in November 2018. Together, these components of the AGIS initiative will address a confluence of factors, including rapid technological advances, changing customer needs and preferences, increasing industry reliability standards, emerging distributed energy resources (DER), and policy objectives.

We appreciate parties' general support of certification for our proposed TOU Pilot, and respond to parties' comments on the pilot in that docket.<sup>1</sup> While parties did not dispute the benefits of FLISR, and did not reject FLISR outright, the Department of Commerce, Office of the Attorney General (OAG), and Citizens Utility Board (CUB) did not support certification of FLISR at this time. We acknowledge and appreciate the feedback on our FLISR proposal from parties. We believe the comments are at least somewhat reflective of a certification process that is not yet mature. We are the only utility to have submitted Grid Modernization Reports under Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425, and the Commission has made only one round of certification decisions. Also, parties seem to be anticipating the Commission's intent to establish distribution planning requirements for utilities in Docket No. E999/CI-15-556.

We believe as the certification process matures and additional steps are taken to advance overall grid modernization through the certification process, specific proposals and Commission and stakeholder expectations for those proposals will better align. Understanding that our stakeholders want to continue to enhance understanding of our broader vision and plans of our distribution grid, we believe it is in our customers' best interests to continue to build the clear foundations of grid advancement. In this certification filing, we proposed to implement programs that promise significant benefits, and that squarely align with one of the principles that is most important to our customers – reliability. FLISR not only meets the certification criteria in Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425, it will significantly improve our customers' reliability experience, which customers value as the most important attribute of our service. FLISR will add important intelligence to our system and increase our system visibility into outage events.

We believe the Commission can certify our proposed projects in this proceeding while the distribution planning track of the Commission's generic grid modernization proceeding continues. We are actively involved in that effort, and are additionally

---

<sup>1</sup> See Xcel Energy Reply Comments, Docket No. E002/M-17-775 (February 26, 2018).

evaluating plans that other utilities are submitting in other states to inform our future grid modernization proposals. We will build our future plans to address the feedback we heard in this proceeding, and work with stakeholders to increase transparency as our plans evolve and future filings are made. We respectfully request that the Commission certify FLISR as supplemented by this Reply. We also request that the Commission allow us to return in November 2018 with an AMI certification proposal and annually thereafter through at least 2022.

We look forward to continued dialogue on bringing new capabilities, functions and technologies to the distribution grid. We support the evolution of the grid and anticipate meeting our customers' expanding energy needs at the "speed of value." We also look forward to enhancing our future plans, and engaging with our stakeholders as we build those plans.

Our Reply is organized as follows:

- A. FLISR Meets the Statutory Certification Criteria
- B. FLISR Promises to Deliver Significant Value to Customers
- C. Proper Assessment of Investment Value Must Fit the Circumstances
- D. Rider Recovery for Certified Projects is Consistent with Statute and Follows Certification
- E. Annual Grid Modernization Reports are Appropriate and Within the Commission's Discretion
- F. Third-Party Data Access Must be Balanced with Customer Privacy and Grid Security Interests

## **REPLY COMMENTS**

### **A. FLISR Meets the Statutory Certification Criteria**

We begin with a discussion of the grid modernization certification criteria and FLISR's importance to our customer service capabilities. The OAG comments devote significant attention to the criteria that the Commission should apply in evaluating certification of a distribution grid modernization project. We agree with the OAG that the criteria for certification should first and foremost be guided by the statutory language, and also that it is not necessary to create an exhaustive list of criteria.<sup>2</sup> Rather, given the unique and innovative nature of the grid modernization projects that may be proposed for certification under the Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425, it is important to maintain flexibility and adapt the criteria as needed based on the specific project

---

<sup>2</sup> OAG Comments at page 8.

under consideration.

Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425, subd. 2(e) provides in part:

A utility . . . shall identify in its report investments that it considers necessary to modernize the transmission and distribution system by enhancing reliability, improving security against cyber and physical threats, and by increasing energy conservation opportunities by facilitating communication between the utility and its customers through the use of two-way meters, control technologies, energy storage and microgrids, technologies to enable demand response, and other innovative technologies.

The criteria outlined in this statute provide a broad range of types of investments that could qualify for certification. Specifically, so long as a project is needed to “modernize the distribution system” and provides benefits in one or more of the areas outlined in the statute, a project can be considered for certification.

To the extent that OAG’s comments imply that the Commission should be bound by specific criteria examined in prior certification decisions, the Commission should reject such a rigid approach. It is notable that the specific criteria to be applied in determining whether projects should be certified is not specifically outlined by the statute. The Commission therefore has discretion to examine each project on a case-by-case basis to determine whether certification is appropriate. The grid modernization projects that will be evaluated under this statute are likely to present a diverse array of goals, issues, and challenges – and the Commission should examine the merits of each project within the broader confines of the legislative goals outlined in Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425. The Commission’s decision will be guided by the legislative intent of the statute and the grid modernization goals it outlines.

FLISR fits squarely within the statutory criteria for certification. It will modernize the system by implementing intelligent devices that automate certain system operations and increase system visibility to aid proactive system planning. FLISR will also significantly enhance reliability for customers, which is an express benefit noted in the statute. We have estimated that when complete, FLISR will improve our all-day System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) by 23 minutes,<sup>3</sup> and will save our customers from experiencing approximately 30 million minutes of outages annually than would have otherwise occurred, but for FLISR.

---

<sup>3</sup> “All-day” SAIDI differs from “normalized” SAIDI in that it does not remove the effects of storms/escalated operations – and so more closely represents customers’ experience.

## **B. FLISR Promises to Deliver Significant Value to Customers**

The OAG also questions whether FLISR is needed, given the Company's already-strong reliability metrics. While FLISR will improve the Company's performance on industry standard reliability metrics, including SAIDI and System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) indices, the OAG observes that the Company has met or exceeded the SAIDI and SAIFI performance thresholds contained in its Quality of Service Plan (QSP) Tariff. Based on this good performance, the OAG questions the need to improve reliability and thus implement FLISR at this time.

While we agree that we have met our QSP SAIDI and SAIFI reliability metrics, the Outage Credits aspect of our QSP Tariff has resulted in payments to customers for certain frequent and long duration outages. We also have not consistently met our reliability goals established as part of our annual service quality reporting under Minnesota Rules. Finally, while we are presently comparing favorably with our peers, we expect that we will not be able to keep pace with rising industry reliability benchmarks and will fall behind relative to our peers without initiatives like AGIS, and specifically projects such as FLISR.

### *1. Reliability is Essential to Customer Satisfaction*

Customer satisfaction greatly depends on whether a product or service meets a customer's expectations. As virtually every sector of the modern economy depends on electricity, and with the rise of personal electronic devices, reliable electric service has become even more important to our customers. We regularly survey our customers to understand their satisfaction with our service, and to learn about what they value with regard to our products, services, and performance. We also glean insights from established sources such as J.D. Power.

The JD Power Electric Utility Customer Satisfaction Study identifies the key factors that drive satisfaction, and how utilities are delivering on those factors. Consistent with past surveys, the 2017 JD Power Electric Utility Residential Customer Satisfaction Study identified Power Quality and Reliability as the most important attributes of satisfaction with utility service. Business customers similarly put the greatest emphasis on Power Quality and Reliability for their satisfaction. JD Power defines Power Quality and Reliability as reliable, quality electric service, prompt restoration when there is an outage, avoidance of brief interruptions and lengthy outages, and good communication about outages when they occur.

The strong tie between reliability and customer satisfaction is further borne out by broader industry studies. For example, in 2013 The Brattle Group published an

article in Public Utilities Fortnightly regarding the results of a study to determine the relationship between customer satisfaction and system reliability. The analysis concluded in part that:

[I]ndeed, system reliability—as measured by the duration of service interruptions, their frequency, or both—significantly explains customer satisfaction scores. Furthermore, a separate but related regression showed that spending by utilities on their distribution systems was significantly correlated with achieved levels of reliability.<sup>4</sup>

Finally, we discussed the issue of customer satisfaction and expectations – and how customers think about reliability – in response to a specific Commission directive in our April 1, 2016 Annual Service Quality Report under the Minnesota Rules.<sup>5</sup> Based on ongoing research that we conduct with our customers, we outlined that customers value reliable electric service above all else. We also discussed that customers generally accept the notion that their power supply is not perfect, and therefore customers almost equally value communication about an outage throughout its duration. We have discussed the steps we are taking to also improve our outage communications as part of our annual service quality filings – and other filings on related topics, such as our customer preferences filing in Docket No. E,G002/M-17-553 and our initial comments in the performance based rates proceeding in Docket No. E002/CI-17-401.<sup>6</sup> We believe that simply being “good enough” with respect to certain reliability metrics is not the ultimate goal; rather, we should be consistently working to improve customer satisfaction overall, and improving reliability is a key part of this goal.

One of the primary benefits of FLISR, which is not disputed in the Parties’ comments, is that it will reduce the numbers of customers who experience a sustained outage, and will shorten the duration of certain sustained outages. FLISR will also provide increased visibility into outage events occurring on the system for our engineering and operations personnel, which will help inform our operations and future management and investments in the system. We plan to target implementation of FLISR in areas where we expect to achieve the greatest reliability improvements –

---

<sup>4</sup> William P. Zarakas, Philip Q Hanser, and Kent Diep, *Rates, Reliability, and Region: Customer Satisfaction and Electric Utilities*, PUBLIC UTILITIES FORTNIGHTLY, Jan. 2013, at 52., also available at <https://www.fortnightly.com/fortnightly/2013/01/rates-reliability-and-region?page=0%2C0>.

<sup>5</sup> See Attachment P, *In the Matter of Northern States Power Company’s Annual Report on Safety, Reliability, and Service Quality for 2015; and Petition for Approval of Electric Reliability Standards for 2016*, Docket No. E002/M-16-281 (April 1, 2016).

<sup>6</sup> See Xcel Energy Petition, *In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of Tariff Modifications and a Variance from Commission Rules to Implement Customer Driven Operational Changes and Other Tariff Changes*, Docket No. E,G002/M-17-553 (July 14, 2017) and Xcel Energy Comments, *In the Matter of the Commission Investigation to identify and Develop Performance Metrics and Potentially, Incentives for Xcel Energy’s Electric Utility Operation*, DOCKET NO. E002/CI-17-401 (December 21, 2017).

overhead areas with high customer density, and areas with a history of more frequent outages as compared to the rest of the system. As a result, it is our expectation that FLISR will provide marked improvement in reliability and aid our ability to better keep customers informed, which will translate into greater customer satisfaction.

## 2. *Industry Reliability Performance is Increasing by Comparison*

In addition to meeting customer needs, Xcel Energy must improve reliability performance to maintain its position relative to industry standards and expectations. In contrast to the QSP and Rules-based SAIDI and SAIFI metrics, which have been set based on our past performance, the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) sets benchmarks based on industry-wide utility performance. As electric utilities across the country implement grid modernization projects, these IEEE SAIDI and SAIFI benchmarks will rise with peer utilities' improved performance. By 2020, it is now expected that first quartile SAIDI benchmarks will improve to 84 minutes, and second quartile rankings will be between 94 and 84 minutes.<sup>7</sup> In 2016, we achieved a second quartile ranking with a SAIDI value of 93 minutes. Simply put, without implementation of FLISR and other reliability efforts, we will not be able to keep pace with the rising industry reliability benchmarks, and will fall behind relative to our peers. As such, we respectfully disagree with the OAG that we need not invest in reliability simply because we are doing well under today's metrics.

## C. **Proper Assessment of Investment Value Must Fit the Circumstances**

In this section, we respond to several parties who suggest that more detailed or different analyses are necessary before the Commission certifies FLISR. These suggestions include (i) Any proposed grid modernization investment should not be certified absent a detailed cost-benefit analysis (CBA) that results in a ratio of benefits to costs greater than 1.0 – that is, that the quantifiable benefits of the project exceed its costs; (ii) for our FLISR Value Analysis, the Commission might consider an alternative Customer Minutes Out (CMO) value offered by the Department, which is based on an underperformance penalty in our QSP Tariff rather than on industry research that approximates the impact of an outage event from a customer's perspective; and (iii) the Department's suggestion that the Commission defer its decision on FLISR until we provide a comparative analysis of FLISR to the form of Integrated Volt Var Optimization (IVVO) that we are implementing in our Colorado jurisdiction.

---

<sup>7</sup> These benchmarks represent storm-normalized results.



1. *A CBA Only Quantifies that which is Quantifiable*

The idea that each project must be accompanied by a CBA<sup>8</sup> with a benefit to cost ratio greater than 1.0 is not new, but it is flawed. Because CBAs are based on a comparison of quantifiable benefits versus costs in dollars, the fundamental implication of this argument is that a project is only valuable if it saves more money than it costs. Put differently, the OAG and the Department appear to be suggesting that FLISR should not move forward unless it reduces costs to customers.

While we agree that CBAs can provide one helpful evaluation tool, by definition a CBA can only quantify that which is quantifiable. It may be possible to estimate the costs of a particular project (including contingencies); however, it is not possible to quantify all potential qualitative benefits. As such, over reliance on CBAs encourages overlooking other, valid considerations, such as: (1) customer satisfaction; (2) customer convenience/inconvenience; (3) employee or customer personal safety; (4) power quality; (5) customer service risks associated with aging systems; (6) strategic advancement of the distribution system to accommodate other customer interests, such as DER; (7) maintaining favorable utility market position with respect to service to customers; and (8) overall impressions of utility service and the regulatory environment in Minnesota. This is especially true for applications like FLISR and its supporting Field Area Network (FAN), which are or relate to foundational capabilities that can be built upon down the road.

Additionally, adopting the assumption that any CBA should result in more quantifiable benefits (i.e., cost savings or avoided costs) than actual costs would preclude the Company from pursuing otherwise worthwhile utility investments. For example, a utility must make reasonable investments in transmission and distribution lines even though such investments contribute to, rather than reduce, the utility's rate base and customer costs; without such investments, the utility cannot function, or cannot effectively carry out its obligation to provide reliable and safe electric service to customers. Investments in reliability often do not result in a quantifiable benefit-to-cost ratio greater than 1.0, as such investments typically improve service and satisfaction rather than reducing costs *per se*.

---

<sup>8</sup> Except where otherwise noted, we use the term "CBA" broadly to mean any of several types of quantitative valuation of various costs and benefits associated with a particular proposed project. As discussed later in these Reply Comments, a CBA can take any of a number of forms and have different structures or purposes in different contexts. The FLISR Value Analysis provided in this Certification proceeding, for example, is one specific type of CBA.

Lastly, a difficulty with CBAs in general is that they can be conducted in many different ways and based on many alternatives, and sometimes subjective or conflicting assumptions that also may evolve over time. For example, while our estimates of the likely reductions to outage length that FLISR offers are not contested in the Parties' comments, the Department correctly notes that our analysis of FLISR value vs. costs depends largely on the assumptions one makes regarding the *value* of a CMO. This is true of multiple variables within any CBA. Likewise, the value of a CMO could change based on the information available at the time regarding both projects that are not yet implemented and future conditions that have not yet occurred. While we believe our assumptions are reasonable and that CBAs may present one business case for any particular project or proposal, the Department's very analysis of CMO values demonstrates that CBAs are not a wholly objective evaluation of relevant costs and benefits. Nor should the Commission adopt an approach to CBAs that encourages parties to any given proceeding to drive such analyses to a particular outcome.

Here, we seek certification of FLISR as a reasonable means of not only reducing outage minutes and their quantifiable impact on customers, but also improving our reliability standards and customers' satisfaction with their electric service. Absent FLISR, our ability to isolate, locate, and resolve faults is limited due to: (1) a lack of intelligent field devices that interact with the FAN and ADMS to restore service to a majority of customers on the faulted circuit; and (2) a lack of visibility and information regarding where the fault may have occurred and the type of fault occurring. Overall customer satisfaction tends to decline when customers experience frequent outages and when service is not quickly restored after an outage event. Quantifying these effects is difficult at best, and in any case, very subjective.

Minnesota recognizes that differing tools can be used to measure the benefits of any given project, and has a long history of employing varying tools to evaluate the effectiveness of investments and customer programs. For example, there are several cost-effectiveness tests for utility Conservation Improvement Programs (CIP), which involve weighing benefits and program costs from the following perspectives: (1) participant, (2) ratepayer impact, (3) utility cost, (4) total resource cost, and (5) societal cost. While the program may demonstrate a cost-effective value in one test, it may not in another. Another example of varying CBA approaches are the Present Value Societal Cost (PVSC) and Present Value Revenue Requirements (PVRR) valuations used in Integrated Resource Plans – with the PVSC considering some, less tangible, societal impacts and the PVRR representing actual expected costs.

The same possible range of analyses will likewise need to exist for grid modernization investments. As we note above, some investments will be necessary to effectively

continue carrying out our obligation to provide reliable and safe utility service to customers. The evaluation of a proposed investment must be tailored to properly consider a reasonable view of expected benefits and costs – and allow sufficient flexibility and discretion to weigh intangibles. The Value Analysis we provided for FLISR is one such evaluation.

We are not suggesting that CBAs do not play a role in evaluating projects; we believe they can be one valuable tool. We simply wish to encourage our stakeholders to take a broader view that recognizes both the benefits and limitations of this particular tool, such that approval or disapproval of a proposal depends on all relevant information.

## 2. *The Company's FLISR Value Analysis Relies on a Reasonable Benchmark*

In light of the discussion above, it is not surprising that parties may offer differing views of key attributes underlying the value analysis of a particular project. In particular, the Department questions the Company's CMO value underlying our FLISR Value Analysis by pointing out that a different, and much lower, CMO value is implied in the Company's QSP Tariff. Specifically, our tariff provides a one-time \$50 bill credit to individual customers when our service falls below the established thresholds, which are for: (1) interruptions lasting 24 hours or more; and/or (2) six or more interruptions in a calendar year.

It is important to recognize, however, that this \$50 credit is not intended to compensate a customer for their economic loss due to an outage, nor was the amount of this credit set based on any type of economic evaluation. Rather, the \$50 credit is a Company penalty, and intended to compensate customers for the burden imposed by the Company providing below standard service. It was never intended to be a calculus of the value of any particular outage minute or hour to customers.

In contrast, the CMO value we used to estimate the value of implementing FLISR for our customers is based on actual Company reliability data – and work completed by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). To establish this value, LBNL created a tool to estimate the value of an interruption from a customer viewpoint called the Interruption Cost Estimate (ICE) Calculator. LBNL bases the value for commercial and industrial customers on their costs due to an outage, and for residential customers, on the amount they would be willing to spend to avoid an outage. It incorporates the studies, analyses, and econometric models done by Freeman, Sullivan & Co., and was designed specifically for use in estimating interruption costs and/or benefits associated with reliability improvements.

Although the CMO value used by the Company in its FLISR Analysis is an estimate, it

is the result of objective work completed by LBNL and is more representative of the actual value of an outage to our customers than the \$50 penalty in our QSP Tariff.

### 3. *IVVO and FLISR Offer Different Value Propositions*

The Department also suggests that the Commission defer its certification of FLISR until the Company compares FLISR to the form of IVVO as it is being implemented in our Colorado jurisdiction, which includes employing Conservation Voltage Reduction (CVR).<sup>9</sup> This suggestion seems to stem at least partially from the Department's perception of CBA results in our AGIS Certificate of Public Necessity and Convenience (CPCN) in Colorado, as discussed in the Department's comments.

Below, we first address why IVVO is not a substitute for FLISR. Rather, FLISR and IVVO have two very different purposes that are not mutually-exclusive and that have different value propositions for our customers. Next, we clarify some aspects of our IVVO application and CBA in Colorado. We then explain why IVVO with CVR is a different value proposition in Minnesota as compared to Colorado. Finally, we explain that we believe a comparison between IVVO and FLISR is inappropriate, and that the Department's request that the Company complete a full CBA for IVVO before pursuing FLISR would be detrimental to both projects and to our customers.

#### a. *FLISR and IVVO Serve Two Different Customer Needs*

First, the Department submits that "the resources the Company has available to implement FLISR may be better used to implement another advanced grid application – IVVO."<sup>10</sup> The Department then suggests it requires more information to make adequate comparisons between FLISR and IVVO to determine which it will recommend the Company should pursue.

At the outset, it is important to clarify that FLISR and IVVO are two different grid advancement programs with different purposes and different costs and benefits to customers. They are not interchangeable, and we have not presented FLISR and IVVO as alternative means to accomplish the same objective. Rather, we seek certification of FLISR as an advanced application that brings particular benefits to customers in its own right.

As described in our initial filing, FLISR is a feeder fault location and service

---

<sup>9</sup> CVR is a reduction of voltage along the distribution feeder for the purpose of reducing electric power demand and energy.

<sup>10</sup> Department Comments at page 3.

restoration solution that utilizes intelligent field devices, advanced applications, and the FAN to automatically restore service to customers – and more quickly locate, isolate, and respond to outage events it cannot remotely remedy. It results in reliability improvements and operational efficiencies for the benefit of customers. In contrast, IVVO as it is being implemented in Colorado is intended to reduce the variability of voltage levels along feeder lines, while still ensuring the utility provides adequate voltage to meet customer needs. IVVO deals with voltage regulation, whereas FLISR deals with reliability performance and outage response.

The Department points to testimony in our Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo) Operating Company affiliate’s CPCN proceeding stating that FLISR and IVVO are alike in that they are both advanced applications and associated field devices that will support a more advanced grid.<sup>11</sup> However, this discussion was not intended to suggest that IVVO and FLISR are interchangeable; that would be like saying that transmission and distribution systems are interchangeable because both involve poles and lines and deliver energy to customers. In other words, FLISR and IVVO have some similarities to the extent both can bring benefits to the distribution grid and can complement each other; however, they serve very different functions as part of the advanced grid, and fulfill very different purposes on behalf of customers.

The Department also suggests that IVVO presents attractive opportunities for demand side management without requiring customer behavioral changes.<sup>12</sup> We agree that this is one of the benefits of IVVO we identified in Colorado; however, comparing this benefit to FLISR requires one to choose whether conservation (CVR) is more important than reliability (FLISR) or vice versa. As we have discussed previously, we know that customers place greatest value on reliability.

However, we also recognize that both reliability and conservation are important. In this case, there are also other considerations, including technical system design and IVVO efforts we have already undertaken in Minnesota that we believe erode the potential of IVVO in Minnesota as compared to Colorado. We discuss these below.

b. The Company Did Not Present a Positive NPV for IVVO in Colorado

The Department expresses its initial support for IVVO on the grounds that our PSCo presented a “highly developed cost-benefit analysis” in Colorado, and that “[s]ome of [Company witness] Mr. [Samuel J.] Hancock’s analyses in his Rebuttal Testimony

---

<sup>11</sup> Department Comments at page 4 (*referring to Alice Jackson Direct Testimony in Colorado Docket 16A-0588E*).

<sup>12</sup> Department Comments at page 6.

identified a net benefit related to the installation of IVVO in Colorado.”<sup>13</sup> This is, unfortunately, a misunderstanding of the analyses Mr. Hancock presented in Colorado. Mr. Hancock’s IVVO CBAs in Colorado showed a benefit-to-cost ratio between 0.68 and 0.76 – that is, IVVO capital implementation and O&M costs outweighed quantifiable benefits.<sup>14</sup> The Company’s support for IVVO in Colorado was instead based on a combination of its quantitative CBA and qualitative discussion of the need for and benefits of IVVO in that service area.

Likewise, the assumptions within the Colorado IVVO CBA were different than the circumstances occurring in Minnesota. In particular, the Colorado IVVO proposal was integrated with AMI, such that AMI meters would act as sensors that reduced the overall cost and increased the efficacy of the IVVO application.<sup>15</sup> Without AMI, standalone meters would need to be deployed. PSCo did not support a standalone meter approach – and quantified that more than \$10 million of additional investment would be needed for that approach, as compared to the AMI “meter as sensor” approach. Without AMI, benefits would likely decline and reduce the overall IVVO benefit-to-cost ratio further below PSCo’s assessment that was already less than one.<sup>16</sup> As such, we do not believe it would be beneficial to pursue the same form of IVVO in Minnesota at this time for a number of reasons, including because AMI meters as sensors are not yet available here.

c. IVVO Has a Different Value Proposition in Minnesota than in Colorado

Given the Department’s interest in IVVO for Minnesota, we wish to further clarify the important differences between deployment of IVVO in Minnesota and deployment of IVVO in Colorado. We explained in our response to Department

---

<sup>13</sup> Department Comments at page 7.

<sup>14</sup> Rebuttal Testimony of Samuel J. Hancock at page 63, lines 13-18 in Colorado Docket No. 16A-0588E. While other parties suggested conservation benefits that Mr. Hancock attempted to quantify for purposes of providing an “apples to apples” cost-benefit comparisons, PSCo did not provide nor support its own IVVO cost-benefit in which the quantifiable benefit-to-cost ratio approached 1.0. Rather, Mr. Hancock stated that “The Company’s position represents a middle ground as compared to the various positions of other parties. The Company’s position does not result in benefit to cost ratios as high as [another party’s], but (consistent with my prior discussion) is higher than the position of Staff for a standalone IVVO implementation.”

<sup>15</sup> Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. John Lee at page 40, lines 9-20. Mr. Lee explained that:

*AMI meters provide voltage sensing functions—measuring and transmitting voltage, current, and power quality data—that will allow the Company to have access to more granular voltage data at the service point or customer meter that will make IVVO more effective. Without AMI meters, the Company would need to deploy stand-alone sensors to implement IVVO, which would also make IVVO less effective as the Company would have to be more conservative with the level of voltage reduction such that it does not result in voltages outside of the [American National Standards Institute (“ANSI”) Standard] C84.1 range.*

<sup>16</sup> Mr. Hancock’s Rebuttal Testimony in Docket No. 16A-0588E at page 50, lines 12-21.

Information Request No. 1 that voltage optimization *is* part of our AGIS plan for Minnesota; however, we intend to employ it such that it will maximize value to Minnesota customers, which is different than the form of IVVO we are implementing in Colorado. The different approaches are due to both policy and technical system design and operational differences. In light of these differences, we do not believe IVVO as it is being implemented in PSCo is appropriate for the Minnesota system, at least at this time.

More specifically, in Department Information Request No. 1, the Department asked:

*Xcel Energy discussed the costs and benefits of Integrated Volt-VAr Optimization (IVVO) technology at length in this [Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16A-0588E] proceeding. What are the Company's regarding the installation of an IVVO system in Minnesota.*

Based on our understanding that the Department was asking about our plans for IVVO in Minnesota, we responded in pertinent part:<sup>17</sup>

Since 2010, we have been doing VAr Control through our SmartVAR program in Minnesota, which has provided benefits to the grid and our customers.<sup>18</sup>

SmartVAR is presently managed through a specific system and will ultimately be transitioned to our ADMS, where we will technically have the ability to implement other IVVO objectives on the Minnesota system. However, there are important considerations involved in determining IVVO application on the system – some of which are technical, and others are about maximizing value for customers.

While the preliminary analysis we have done on the Minnesota system shows that [Conservation Voltage Reduction or “CVR” mode, which is the proposed mode in which IVVO will operate in Colorado] may deliver energy savings comparable to the PSCo system, our analysis did not examine the breadth of CVR Factors that vary feeder by feeder; rather, it relied on a representative CVR Factor and a simple extrapolation of the Wilson Substation information to the entire Minnesota system.

We know that the different types of voltage control are affected by a variety of factors throughout the distribution network. The following key differences in

---

<sup>17</sup> See DOC IR-1, provided as Attachment A to these Reply Comments. We note that while our response to DOC IR-1 also references other IR responses, for the sake of brevity we have not appended those additional responses to these Reply Comments.

<sup>18</sup> See *In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota Corporation, for Approval of Two Proposed Energy Innovation Corridor Projects in the Central Corridor Utility Zone and Deferred Accounting Treatment for Costs Incurred After January 1, 2010* (Docket No. E002/M-09-1488).

feeder design between Minnesota and Colorado have factored into our decision thus far to deploy IVVO differently in these two operating areas:

- *The system design in Colorado uses shorter feeders with larger conductor to support a denser load.* Larger conductor size has lower impedance, which means that the voltage drop across the wire is reduced – making the system more capable of CVR; higher load density on each feeder means that the net impact from IVVO on a per-feeder basis can be greater. As a result, the PSCo feeder design is more amenable to CVR.
- *The standard substation bus voltage is different.* In PSCo, the standard bus voltage is 125V, which is at the very high end of the ANSI C84.1 standard for distribution voltage. This higher starting voltage allows for a greater range of voltage reduction to be done with IVVO, giving more opportunity for energy savings while maintaining adequate service quality to customers. In the NSP-Minnesota service area, average bus voltage is typically 123.5 volts. This, along with smaller wire size, reduces the potential impact of CVR for Minnesota.
- *AMI is a beneficial component of IVVO.* AMI meters used as ‘bellwether’ meters are the least cost method to provide voltage inputs to ADMS at key locations across the grid. For IVVO to be successfully and safely operated, voltage endpoints are necessary at ten end points on each feeder; without AMI, this data would need to be gathered in other ways. The preliminary Minnesota analysis we provide with our response to Department Information Request No. 3 shows the use of Voltage Sensors, which are approximately ten times the cost per unit than an AMI meter. Our PSCo implementation of IVVO relies on AMI as a critical part of the deployment to minimize costs and provide the voltage data.
- *Additional considerations.* Our sample set, using a simple average generated from the Wilson Substation pilot, may not be representative of the potentially wide range of CVR Factors existing on the system.<sup>19</sup> This study also did not take into account higher anticipated levels of DER, as we explained in CEO-55, attached as Attachment E to Department Information Request No. 3 in this docket. And, the analysis did not take into consideration the declining use per customer driven by organic and explicit conservation measures; declining customer use diminishes the potential benefits of IVVO.

---

<sup>19</sup> CVR Factors on NSP-Minnesota feeders vary widely and can range from 0.4 to 1.5, depending on the type of load on the feeder. Commercial and industrial are on the lower end of this range, and highly resistive loads such as old lighting are on the higher end. With the transition to LED lighting and other constant power devices, the CVR Factor is expected to decline year-over-year as customers make the transition to more energy efficient equipment.



For these reasons, we have not proposed IVVO at this time for Minnesota. As we have expressed over time, we are committed to implementing grid modernization at the speed of value for our customers. For the reasons we have discussed, we believe our customers will realize greater value from the FLISR project and the Time of Use Rate Pilot we have proposed – and continuing to reap the benefits of the present Minnesota IVVO – Voltage Control/SmartVAR functionality.

The discussion in this discovery response continues to hold true, and encapsulates the reasons implementation of IVVO in Colorado do not necessarily encourage that specific form of IVVO for Minnesota.

d. An Updated Minnesota IVVO Value Analysis Would Not Add Value At This Time

While we do not agree that the FLISR vs. IVVO comparison is appropriate, and specifically raises concerns given different circumstances in Minnesota than in Colorado, we wish to address the Department’s proposal that an updated IVVO analysis would inform the FLISR evaluation. Much of the difference between the study conducted in Minnesota, as well as the time that would be required to expand and update it, versus the analysis conducted in Colorado stem from different circumstances in the respective states.

The Colorado IVVO project exploration started in 2008, largely in response to significant and explicit interest in IVVO by the Colorado Public Utilities Commission in that timeframe. This was followed by a pilot in the 2010 timeframe and another pilot in 2011. These pilots, a number of years of investigation, and a re-study informed the CBA that was submitted in the Colorado CPCN in 2016.

In contrast, when we performed the IVVO study in Minnesota in 2015, it was developed as a high-level estimate of project costs and benefits based on assumptions and preliminary studies from Colorado (which were refreshed before filing in the Colorado request for approval of IVVO). At the time of this Minnesota study, we did not have cost estimates or fully vetted strategies around our ADMS deployment, the FAN deployment, or the devices that we would use for secondary VAR compensation.

Further, largely because of the greater, more detailed, and more fully-developed data in Colorado, the IVVO CBA in Colorado was based on more detailed examination of specific capital and O&M implementation costs as compared to specific conservation benefits to customers. It was a very different kind of analysis than the high-level analysis conducted in Minnesota in 2015 – particularly given that the Minnesota

system already has SmartVAR and has different feeder configurations and operating voltage – and did not have the same level of policy interest that drove much of the ongoing analyses as we have experienced in Colorado. In short, the analysis was not intended to inform a project selection process, or regulatory proposal. Nor has it been updated given that the Company does not see value in pursuing IVVO in Minnesota at this time.

While a more detailed analysis could eventually be conducted for IVVO, we do not believe it is a worthwhile use of resources to do so at this time. Further, the differences between Minnesota and Colorado’s readiness and technical underpinnings for IVVO – and between the value proposition in each state – mean that a great deal more work and time would be required in Minnesota to develop an accurate IVVO CBA or other analysis of its value.

In sum, even if IVVO were an appropriate comparison to FLISR, and even if the comparison were dependent on a more detailed CBA for IVVO, that analysis would require a significant investment of financial and other resources while delaying the benefits of either program to our customers. Additionally, in the end and for the reasons we have discussed, it is not clear whether an IVVO cost-benefit analysis would result in a positive net present value calculation for Minnesota – an outcome that would be consistent with the CBA the Company supported in Colorado. As such, we respectfully disagree with the Department that approval of FLISR should be tied at all to IVVO analyses.

#### **D. Rider Recovery for Certified Projects is Consistent with Statute and Follows Certification**

We next turn to certain cost recovery arguments raised by the Parties in their comments. For example, CUB suggests that at least a portion of the costs of distribution projects certified by the Commission under Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425 should be recovered in a general rate case rather than through the Transmission Cost Recovery (TCR) Rider. In support of its position, CUB relies on a 2010 Commission report to the Minnesota legislature on use of riders. This report contains helpful information related to the use of cost recovery riders in Minnesota. In fact, this report was submitted and considered by the Minnesota legislature in 2015 when it approved amendments to the TCR Rider statute that expressly permit rider recovery for distribution facilities that have been certified by the Commission under Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425.

Specifically, Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7b allows utilities to seek recovery for certain transmission costs between rate cases through an “automatic annual adjustment”

mechanism or rider. Xcel Energy’s rider formed under this statute is known as the TCR Rider. In 2015, the Legislature amended Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425 to require reporting on distribution grid modernization efforts. At the same time, the Legislature also amended Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7(b) to expand the TCR Rider to include certain distribution grid investments. As amended, subdivision 7(b) allows the use of the TCR Rider for recovery of several types of distribution costs. Among these are “costs associated with investments in distribution facilities to modernize the utility’s grid that have been certified by the Commission under section 216B.2425.”<sup>20</sup> As a result, these 2015 amendments provide clear statutory authority for use of the TCR Rider to recovery costs for distribution grid modernization projects certified by the Commission.

Also related to cost recovery, the Department and OAG seek a more detailed demonstration of incremental costs of FLISR over distribution costs already in base rates – and all parties suggest specific cost recovery treatment for certain Company costs or cost reductions. These issues are best addressed in conjunction with the cost recovery petition we would submit after the Commission certifies the projects we have proposed. We note that we made a number of references to advanced grid project costs that were included in our present multiyear rate case that serve to offset the total TOU Pilot and FLISR project costs presented in our certification request. We also said we would detail the impacts in our cost recovery request that will follow Commission certification of the proposed projects.<sup>21</sup>

The record in this proceeding is intended to support certification consistent with the statute, and not cost recovery. Subsequent to Commission certification of the priority projects we propose, we will submit a cost recovery petition where we will have the burden to demonstrate there is no double-recovery of costs between base rates and the TCR Rider, and the mechanics of project cost recovery are established.

#### **E. Annual Grid Modernization Reports are Appropriate and Within the Commission’s Discretion**

Given the rapid pace of advanced grid technologies, we requested permission to file an additional Grid Modernization Report and certification request on November 1, 2018, and permission to submit annual reports and certifications through at least 2022. The OAG opposed our proposal, stating that such filings are contrary to the statutory language that authorizes only biennial reports, are inconsistent with the Company’s agreement to limit the use of riders, and should not be approved until the

---

<sup>20</sup> Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7b(b)(5).

<sup>21</sup> Xcel Energy Grid Modernization Report at pages 10, 13, 19, 23, and 34.

Commission develops grid modernization performance metrics. The Department suggests ratepayers may be harmed by allowing frequent rate increases through the TCR Rider, so recommends the Commission not allow the Company to accelerate the certification and cost recovery process of grid modernization projects unless they have a benefit/cost ratio above one.

1. *Annual Filings are not Prohibited by Statute*

The Grid Modernization Report and certification process is outlined in Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425. While this statute requires these reports to be submitted by “November 1 of each odd-numbered year” the statute does not prohibit more frequent filings such as the annual filings requested by the Company. The Commission recognized this in its June 28, 2016 Order in our 2015 Biennial Distribution Grid Modernization Report proceeding. In denying certification of the Belle Plaine project based on the record before it, the Commission said it “will allow Xcel to file a separate report and certification request for the project prior to filing its next biennial report under Minn. Stat. § 216B.2425, subd. 2.”<sup>22</sup>

Given the rapidly changing nature of distribution technologies, an annual filing will allow the Company to provide more timely information to the Commission about our grid modernization efforts. In addition, annual filings will allow the Company to advance additional grid modernization projects without the delay inherent in biannual reporting and certification. As noted in our initial filing, while we were not prepared to request certification of full AMI and FAN deployments in our most recent November 2017 report, we expect to propose these projects in 2018.

If the Commission does not grant our request for at least a November 1, 2018 filing, this will require our AMI proposal to wait until November 2019. Such a delay would only serve to postpone the implementation and expected benefits of these important and foundational grid modernization projects.

2. *Annual Filings will Allow Timely Reporting and Certification of Projects*

The OAG’s concern that annual Grid Modernization Reports expand the use of riders contrary to our commitments in our multiyear rate plan (MYRP) Settlement Agreement is without merit. Our request for annual filings will not require a new cost recovery rider, nor will it increase the number of projects that we propose for

---

<sup>22</sup> See ORDER CERTIFYING ADVANCED DISTRIBUTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (ADMS) PROJECT UNDER MINN. STAT. § 216B.2425 AND REQUIRING DISTRIBUTION STUDY, page 11, Docket No. E002/M-15-962 (June 28, 2016).

certification. Rather, our request will simply allow more timely reporting and certification of necessary distribution grid modernization projects. In addition, it bears noting that as part of the Settlement Agreement in our MYRP, we agreed only to forgo approval for any new riders during the MYRP.<sup>23</sup> The Settlement Agreement allows the Company the ability to continue to use existing riders, including the TCR Rider, that were in place at the time of the Settlement. In fact, the Settlement Agreement expressly notes that the Company’s use of the TCR Rider “will include Grid Modernization projects.”<sup>24</sup>

Further, these annual reports and any project certifications by the Commission will still require the Company to file a petition for TCR Rider recovery under Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7b. While Commission certification provides the Company with assurance that it can proceed with the project and seek recovery under the TCR Rider, as previously noted the Commission will still have the opportunity to review actual costs and expenditures as part of the Company’s subsequent TCR filings.

3. *Grid Modernization Investments Should Not Wait for Broad Development of Performance Metrics*

The OAG further urges the Commission to reject the Company’s request for annual grid modernization reports until the Commission adopts performance metrics to judge the performance of these projects. The OAG notes that the Commission is currently considering the adoption of performance metrics for the Company in Docket No. E002/CI-17-401 and that these metrics could be used to judge the benefits achieved from implementation of grid modernization projects. While we are engaged in the performance metric docket and recognize that there may be opportunities to adopt new or different performance metrics, it is not necessary to delay future grid modernization filings pending adoption any such metric.

To the extent that any new performance metric(s) are developed, these metrics will apply after a grid modernization project has been constructed, and would most likely be used to measure the benefits of implementation of the project. More specifically, the OAG acknowledges that these metrics will be targeted on the “operational performance” of grid modernization investments. As a result, these metrics will not alter how the Commission assesses or approves grid modernization projects in the first instance, and therefore it is not necessary to delay future certification filings until

---

<sup>23</sup> STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT, *In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota*, Docket No. E002/GR-15-826, at 7 and Attachment 3 (Aug. 16, 2016).

<sup>24</sup> *Id.* at Attachment 3.

any such metrics are approved by the Commission. Rather, such undue delay could serve to place Minnesota’s grid modernization at odds with the pace of prudent distribution system advancement across the industry.

Not only that, but it is questionable whether there will be a need to adopt new metrics in order to assess the effectiveness of grid modernization projects. There are numerous metrics currently in place, such as SAIDI and SAIFI for reliability, that can be used to evaluate the benefits and effectiveness of FLISR and perhaps other grid modernization projects.

#### **F. Third-Party Data Access Must be Balanced with Customer Privacy and Grid Security Interests**

Finally, we address CUB’s comments in favor of broader public access to grid and customer usage data. CUB observes that grid modernization allows much more granular data collection both on the performance of the utility’s distribution grid and on how customers use energy – and asserts that this data should be made available to the public and to stakeholders.

We take our responsibility to secure and protect our customers’ data and information about our grid very seriously. With respect to customer data, while we believe there is an appropriate balance between customer privacy and confidentiality and access to further public policy objectives, we believe *customer control* and *consent* are vital aspects of any privacy paradigm. Therefore, except in very limited circumstances, we will not release individual Customer Energy Usage Data (CEUD) to anyone other than the customer of record unless we receive written, explicit, informed consent from the customer. This aligns with decisions the Commission has made in Docket No. E,G999/CI-12-1344, where it explored a number of aspects of customer data privacy. In its January 19, 2017 Order, the Commission established a definition of CEUD,<sup>25</sup> and prohibited utilities from disclosing CEUD without the customer’s consent unless the utility has adequately protected the anonymity of the CEUD.<sup>26</sup> As part of this Order, the Commission also required utilities to submit a description of their CEUD release practices. Please see our customer data aggregation and release policies as submitted in our February 10, 2017 compliance filing in Docket No. E,G999/CI-12-

---

<sup>25</sup> “For purposes of this docket, the Commission defines *Customer Energy Use Data (CEUD)* as data collected from the utility customer meters that reflects the quantity, quality, or timing of customers’ natural gas or electric usage or electricity production.”

<sup>26</sup> See ORDER GOVERNING DISCLOSURE OF CUSTOMER ENERGY USE DATA TO THIRD PARTIES, REQUIRING FILING OF PRIVACY POLICIES AND COST DATA, AND SOLICITING COMMENTS, Docket No. E,G999/CI-12-1344 (January 19, 2017).

1344 for further details.

In terms of grid data, we believe private or confidential customer data and/or critical distribution information infrastructure information must be protected from public release. As we discussed in our September 21, 2017 Reply Comments in the Commission's grid modernization docket (Docket No. E999/CI-15-556), the issue of access and protection of distribution grid information is largely uncharted territory today. While the Commission has examined customer privacy and confidentiality of CEUD and customer Personally Identifiable Information (PII), it has not examined treatment of distribution grid information. At the national level, *transmission* grid information is guided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). These existing national regulatory, legal, and industry frameworks unfortunately provide little to no guidance with respect to critical infrastructure data protections and customer privacy and confidentiality considerations as it relates to distribution grid data. To-date for customer and grid data for which there is no specific guidance, we have considered a number of sources, including these, as advisory – and protected the information that we believe is sensitive and therefore non-public.

To the extent the Commission wishes to explore changes to the present treatment of CEUD and other customer information, and/or to forge requirements for distribution grid information, we are happy to participate. We believe these may be appropriate discussions for the broader grid modernization docket, but are not central to FLISR and the TOU Rate Pilot certifications, given that we will continue to protect customer and grid data in whatever manner the Commission and our customer obligations require.

## CONCLUSION

We appreciate the opportunity to respond to Parties' comments and parties' general support of certification for our proposed TOU Pilot. FLISR fits squarely within the statutory criteria for certification. It will modernize the system by implementing intelligent devices that automate certain system operations, increase system visibility to aid proactive system operations and planning, and significantly enhance reliability for customers. We respectfully request the Commission to approve our request, as supplemented by our Reply Comments, to certify FLISR, certify the TOU Pilot, and allow us to submit a Grid Modernization Report on November 1, 2018 and annually thereafter through at least 2022.

Dated: February 26, 2018

Northern States Power Company



- Not Public Document – Not For Public Disclosure  
 Public Document – Not Public Data Has Been Excised  
 Public Document

Xcel Energy

Docket No.: E002/M-17-776

Response To: Department of Commerce Information Request No. 1

Requestor: John Kundert

Date Received: January 5, 2018

---

Question:

Topic: Integrated Volt-VAr Optimization System

Reference(s): Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16A-0588E

Xcel Energy discussed the costs and benefits of Integrated Volt-VAr Optimization (IVVO) technology at length in this proceeding. What are the Company's regarding the installation of an IVVO system in Minnesota.

Response:

We understand the question to be asking whether we have plans to implement IVVO in Minnesota, and have responded accordingly. In summary, yes, we plan to use the IVVO application within our Advanced Distribution Management System (ADMS) in Minnesota – however, running in a different operational mode than in our Public Service of Colorado (PSCo) Operating Company affiliate's service area.

The concept of voltage/VAr management or control is essential to electrical utilities' ability to deliver power within appropriate voltage limits so that consumers' equipment operates properly – and to deliver power at an optimal power factor to minimize system losses. These concepts are affected by a variety of technical factors throughout the distribution network including: substation bus voltages; length of feeders; conductor sizing; type, size, and location of different loads (resistive, capacitive, inductive, or a combination of these); and the type, size, and location of distributed energy resources (photovoltaics, distributed wind, various storage technologies, etc.), among others.

The complexity and dynamic nature of these characteristics make the task of managing electrical distribution networks challenging. While voltage regulation and VAr regulation are often referenced in combination (i.e. Volt/VAr control), they are easier to understand if described as two separate, but interrelated concepts.

*Voltage Regulation.* Feeder voltage regulation refers to the management of voltages on a feeder with varying load conditions. Regardless of nominal operating voltage, a utility distribution system is designed to deliver power to consumers within a predefined voltage range. Under normal conditions, the service and utilization voltages must remain within ANSI standard C84.1-2011 limits, defined as Range A. On a 120V base, this service range is defined as 114–126V and utilization range is 110-126V. During high load conditions, the source voltage at the substation is at the higher end of this range and the service voltages at the end of the feeder are at the lower end of the range.

*VAR Regulation.* Nearly all power system loads require a combination of real power (watts) and reactive power (VARs). Real power must be supplied by a generator while reactive power can be supplied either by a generator with VAR capabilities, or a local VAR supply, traditionally a capacitor. Delivery of reactive power from a remote VAR supply results in additional feeder voltage drop and losses due to increased current flow, so utilities prefer to deliver reactive power from a local source. Since demand for reactive power is higher during heavy load conditions than light load conditions, VAR supply on a distribution feeder is regulated or controlled by switching capacitors on during periods of high demand and off during periods of low demand. As with voltage control, there are both feeder design considerations and operating considerations.

The ADMS that we are in the process of implementing is capable of running the IVVO application in several different operating modes: Voltage Control, Peak Reduction, VAR Control, and Conservation Voltage Reduction (CVR), which we explain below.

- *Voltage Control mode* functions to optimize voltage on the feeder around standard operating voltages – maintaining adequate service voltage for all customers. This mode is generally a secondary operating mode of IVVO, and only used to establish the voltage boundaries within which the other operating modes must stay within. As penetration of Distributed Energy Resources (DER) grows, Voltage Control will become more common as a primary control mode to manage the expanded range of distribution system voltage caused by DER. Traditionally, with only load on a feeder, the Voltage Control objective was to raise voltage at times of heavy load in order for voltage to remain within the acceptable range. With DER causing reverse power flow and raising voltages during times of light loading, voltage control schemes must now both raise and lower voltage.
- *Peak Reduction mode* serves to reduce load only during peak load events. It is a manually triggered mode that reduces system voltage to a targeted value to reduce load on the system for a short duration – typically one or two hours.

This peak reduction tool can be used in large operating regions, such as Minnesota as a whole, or tactically by feeder, substation, or other targeted area.

- *VAr Control mode* seeks to reduce system losses and save energy by optimizing power factor on each distribution feeder.
- *CVR mode* seeks energy savings through reduced operating voltages. CVR mode uses the Load Tap Changer (LTC) or Voltage Regulator inside the substation to lower voltage on the feeder. This lower operating voltage results in small energy savings for most customers on a feeder. In CVR mode, the system is often also run in VAr Control mode – hence the term, “Integrated Volt/VAr Optimization” or IVVO.

In PSCo, we will be using CVR as the primary operational mode, as is discussed in the PSCo docket, with VAr Control as the secondary mode. However, IVVO can be any combination of the four operating modes.

Since 2010, we have been doing VAr Control through our SmartVAR program in Minnesota, which has provided benefits to the grid and our customers.<sup>1</sup> SmartVAR is presently managed through a specific system and will ultimately be transitioned to our ADMS, where we will technically have the ability to implement other IVVO objectives on the Minnesota system. However, there are important considerations involved in determining IVVO application on the system – some of which are technical, and others are about maximizing value for customers.

While the preliminary analysis we have done on the Minnesota system shows that CVR mode may deliver energy savings comparable to the PSCo system, our analysis did not examine the breadth of CVR Factors that vary feeder by feeder; rather, it relied on a representative CVR Factor and a simple extrapolation of the Wilson Substation information to the entire Minnesota system. We know that the different types of voltage control are affected by a variety of factors throughout the distribution network. The following key differences in feeder design between Minnesota and Colorado have factored into our decision thus far to deploy IVVO differently in these two operating areas:

- *The system design in Colorado uses shorter feeders with larger conductor to support a denser load.* Larger conductor size has lower impedance, which means that the voltage drop across the wire is reduced – making the system more capable of CVR; higher load density on each feeder means that the net impact from IVVO on a

---

<sup>1</sup> See IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, FOR APPROVAL OF TWO PROPOSED ENERGY INNOVATION CORRIDOR PROJECTS IN THE CENTRAL CORRIDOR UTILITY ZONE AND DEFERRED ACCOUNTING TREATMENT FOR COSTS INCURRED AFTER JANUARY 1, 2010 (Docket No. E002/M-09-1488).

per-feeder basis can be greater. As a result, the PSCo feeder design is more amenable to CVR.

- *The standard substation bus voltage is different.* In PSCo, the standard bus voltage is 125V, which is at the very high end of the ANSI C84.1 standard for distribution voltage. This higher starting voltage allows for a greater range of voltage reduction to be done with IVVO, giving more opportunity for energy savings while maintaining adequate service quality to customers. In the NSP-Minnesota service area, average bus voltage is typically 123.5 volts. This, along with smaller wire size, reduces the potential impact of CVR for Minnesota.
- *AMI is a beneficial component of IVVO.* AMI meters used as ‘bellwether’ meters are the least cost method to provide voltage inputs to ADMS at key locations across the grid. For IVVO to be successfully and safely operated, voltage endpoints are necessary at ten end points on each feeder; without AMI, this data would need to be gathered in other ways. The preliminary Minnesota analysis we provide with our response to Department Information Request No. 3 shows the use of Voltage Sensors, which are approximately ten times the cost per unit than an AMI meter. Our PSCo implementation of IVVO relies on AMI as a critical part of the deployment to minimize costs and provide the voltage data.
- *Additional considerations.* Our sample set, using a simple average generated from the Wilson Substation pilot, may not be representative of the potentially wide range of CVR Factors existing on the system.<sup>2</sup> This study also did not take into account higher anticipated levels of DER, as we explained in CEO-55, attached as Attachment E to Department Information Request No. 3 in this docket. And, the analysis did not take into consideration the declining use per customer driven by organic and explicit conservation measures; declining customer use diminishes the potential benefits of IVVO.

For these reasons, we have not proposed IVVO at this time for Minnesota. As we have expressed over time, we are committed to implementing grid modernization at the speed of value for our customers. For the reasons we have discussed, we believe our customers will realize greater value from the Fault Location Isolation and Restoration (FLISR) project and the Time of Use Rate Pilot we have proposed – and continuing to reap the benefits of the present Minnesota IVVO – Voltage Control/SmartVAR functionality.

---

<sup>2</sup> CVR Factors on NSP-Minnesota feeders vary widely and can range from 0.4 to 1.5, depending on the type of load on the feeder. Commercial and industrial are on the lower end of this range and highly resistive loads such as old lighting are on the higher end. With the transition to LED lighting and other constant power devices, the CVR Factor is expected to decline year-over-year as customers make the transition to more energy efficient equipment.

Preparer: John D. Lee  
Title: Senior Director  
Department: Distribution Electric Engineering  
Telephone: (303) 571-3515  
Date: January 19, 2018

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Carl Cronin, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the foregoing document on the attached list of persons.

xx by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States mail at Minneapolis, Minnesota

xx electronic filing

**Docket Nos.        E002/M-17-776  
                          E002/M-17-777  
                          E002/M-15-962  
                          Xcel Energy's Miscellaneous Electric Service List**

Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of February 2018

/s/

---

Carl Cronin  
Regulatory Administrator

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street  Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd  Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Ryan	Barlow	Ryan.Barlow@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	445 Minnesota Street Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street North St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Jeanne	Cochran	Jeanne.Cochran@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	P.O. Box 64620  St. Paul, MN 55164-0620	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd.  St. Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Corey	Conover	corey.conover@minneapolismn.gov	Minneapolis City Attorney	350 S. Fifth Street City Hall, Room 210 Minneapolis, MN 554022453	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Joseph	Dammel	joseph.dammel@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Ian	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance	1313 5th St SE #303  Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280  Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLconsulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St  Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Michael	Hoppe	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue  St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776



First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Julia	Jazyuka	jjazyuka@energyfreedomcoalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East  Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street  St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.org	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South  Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln  St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W  Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Paula	Maccabee	Pmaccabee@justchangela.w.com	Just Change Law Offices	1961 Selby Ave  Saint Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Peter	Madsen	peter.madsen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Bremer Tower, Suite 1800 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Kavita	Maini	kmains@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd  Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E  St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalelectric.org	Legalelectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue  Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jeff	Oxley	jeff.oxley@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	600 North Robert Street  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206  St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Inga	Schuchard	ischuchard@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Minneapolis, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Zeviel	Simpser	zsimpser@briggs.com	Briggs and Morgan PA	2200 IDS Center80 South Eighth Street  Minneapolis, MN 554022157	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd  St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Cam	Winton	cwinton@mnychamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776
Patrick	Zomer	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-776_M-17-776

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street  Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd  Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Ryan	Barlow	Ryan.Barlow@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	445 Minnesota Street Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street North St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Jeanne	Cochran	Jeanne.Cochran@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	P.O. Box 64620  St. Paul, MN 55164-0620	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd.  St. Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Corey	Conover	corey.conover@minneapolismn.gov	Minneapolis City Attorney	350 S. Fifth Street City Hall, Room 210 Minneapolis, MN 554022453	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Joseph	Dammel	joseph.dammel@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Ian	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance	1313 5th St SE #303  Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280  Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLconsulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St  Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Michael	Hoppe	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue  St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Julia	Jazyuka	jjazyuka@energyfreedomcoalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East  Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street  St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.org	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South  Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln  St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Paula	Maccabee	Pmaccabee@justchangela w.com	Just Change Law Offices	1961 Selby Ave Saint Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Peter	Madsen	peter.madsen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Bremer Tower, Suite 1800 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Kavita	Maini	kmains@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Erica	McConnell	mconnell@smwlaw.com	Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP	396 Hayes St San Francisco, California 94102-4421	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777



First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Jeff	Oxley	jeff.oxley@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	600 North Robert Street St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Stephanie	Safdi	safdi@smwlaw.com	Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP	396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Inga	Schuchard	ischuchard@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Minneapolis, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Zeviel	Simpser	zsimpser@briggs.com	Briggs and Morgan PA	2200 IDS Center80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 554022157	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Cam	Winton	cwinton@mncchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777
Patrick	Zomer	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-777_M-17-777

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Allen	michael.allen@allenergysolar.com	All Energy Solar	721 W 26th st Suite 211  Minneapolis, Minnesota 55405	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
David	Amster Olzweski	david@mysunshare.com	SunShare, LLC	1774 Platte St  Denver, CO 80202	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Ellen	Anderson	ellena@umn.edu	325 Learning and Environmental Sciences	1954 Buford Ave  Saint Paul, MN 55108	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd  Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Donna	Attanasio	dattanasio@law.gwu.edu	George Washington University	2000 H Street NW  Washington, DC 20052	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
John	Bailey	bailey@ilsr.org	Institute For Local Self-Reliance	1313 5th St SE Ste 303  Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Kenneth	Baker	Ken.Baker@walmart.com	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	2001 SE 10th St.  Bentonville, AR 72716-5530	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Sara	Baldwin Auck	sarab@irecusa.org	Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Inc.	PO Box 1156  Latham, NY 12110	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Gail	Baranko	gail.baranko@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall7th Floor  Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Derek	Bertsch	derek.bertsch@mrenergy.com	Missouri River Energy Services	3724 West Avera Drive PO Box 88920 Sioux Falls, SD 57109-8920	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
William	Black	bblack@mmua.org	MMUA	Suite 400 3025 Harbor Lane North Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street North St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Kenneth	Bradley	kbradley1965@gmail.com		2837 Emerson Ave S Apt CW112  Minneapolis, MN 55408	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jon	Brekke	jbrekke@greenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard  Maple Grove, MN 553694718	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Sydney R.	Briggs	sbriggs@swce.coop	Steele-Waseca Cooperative Electric	2411 W. Bridge St PO Box 485 Owatonna, MN 55060-0485	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Mark B.	Bring	mbring@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Tony	Brunello	BADEMAIL- tbrunello@greentechleader ship.org	Greentech Leadership Group	426 17th St Ste 700  Oakland, CA 94612-2850	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	200 S 6th St Ste 4000  Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Michael J.	Bull	mbull@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 Third Ave N Ste 560  Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jessica	Burdette	jessica.burdette@state.mn. us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place East Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jason	Burwen	j.burwen@energystorage.o rg	Energy Storage Association	1155 15th St NW, Ste 500  Washington, DC 20005	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Douglas M.	Carnival	dmc@mcgrannshea.com	McGrann Shea Carnival Straughn & Lamb	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.	12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha, NE 68103-2047	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Kenneth A.	Colburn	kcolburn@symbioticstrategi es.com	Symbiotic Strategies, LLC	26 Winton Road  Meredith, NH 32535413	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174  Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Arthur	Crowell	Crowell.arthur@yahoo.com	A Work of Art Solar	14333 Orchard Rd.  Minnetonka, MN 55345	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Leigh	Currie	lcurrie@mncenter.org	Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E. Exchange St., Suite 206  St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Stacy	Dahl	sdahl@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.	1822 Mill Road PO Box 13200 Grand Forks, ND 58208-3200	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
David	Dahlberg	davedahlberg@nweco.com	Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Company	P.O. Box 9 104 South Pine Street Grantsburg, WI 548400009	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
James	Denniston	james.r.denniston@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	414 Nicollet Mall, Fifth Floor  Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Curt	Dieren	curt.dieren@dgr.com	L&O Power Cooperative	1302 S Union St  Rock Rapids, IA 51246	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Ian	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Brian	Draxten	bhdraxten@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	P.O. Box 496 215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 565380498	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Kristen	Eide Tollefson	healingsystems69@gmail.c om	R-CURE	28477 N Lake Ave  Frontenac, MN 55026-1044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Bob	Eleff	bob.eleff@house.mn	Regulated Industries Cmte	100 Rev Dr Martin Luther King Jr Blvd Room 600 St. Paul, MN 55155	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Betsy	Engelking	betsy@geronimoenergy.com	Geronimo Energy	7650 Edinborough Way Suite 725 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Oncu	Er	oncu.er@avantenergy.com	Avant Energy, Agent for MMPA	220 S. Sixth St. Ste. 1300  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
James C.	Erickson	jericksonkbc@gmail.com	Kelly Bay Consulting	17 Quechee St  Superior, WI 54880-4421	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self- Reliance	1313 5th St SE #303  Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280  Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
John	Fernandes	john.fernandes@res- americas.com	RES	11101 W. 120th Ave Suite 400 Broomfield, CO 80021	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Nathan	Franzen	nathan@geronimoenergy.com	Geronimo Energy	7650 Edinborough Way Suite 725 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Amy	Fredregill	Amy.S.Fredregill@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall  Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
John	Fuller	john.fuller@senate.mn	MN Senate	75 Rev Dr Martin Luther King Jr Blvd Room G-17 St. Paul, MN 55155	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Hal	Galvin	halgalvin@comcast.net	Provectus Energy Development llc	1936 Kenwood Parkway  Minneapolis, MN 55405	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Edward	Garvey	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	32 Lawton St  Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Bruce	Gerhardson	bgerhardson@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	PO Box 496 215 S Cascade St Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Allen	Gleckner	gleckner@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 St. Peter Street Ste 220 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Bryan	Gower	bgower@apx.com	APX, Inc.	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Timothy	Gulden	info@winonarenewableenergy.com	Winona Renewable Energy, LLC	1449 Ridgewood Dr  Winona, MN 55987	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Tony	Hainault	anthony.hainault@co.hennepin.mn.us	Hennepin County DES	701 4th Ave S Ste 700  Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Todd	Headlee	theadlee@dvigridsolutions.com	Dominion Voltage, Inc.	701 E. Cary Street  Richmond, VA 23219	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Duane	Hebert	duane.hebert@novelenergy.biz	Novel Energy Solutions	1628 2nd Ave SE  Rochester, MN 55904	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List



First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
John	Helmers	helmers.john@co.olmsted.mn.us	Olmsted County Waste to Energy	2122 Campus Drive SE  Rochester, MN 55904-4744	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jared	Hendricks	hendricksj@owatonnautilities.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	PO Box 800 208 S Walnut Ave Owatonna, MN 55060-2940	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnuutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Shane	Henriksen	shane.henriksen@enbridge.com	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	1409 Hammond Ave FL 2  Superior, WI 54880	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Michael	Hoppe	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue  St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jim	Horan	Jim@MREA.org	Minnesota Rural Electric Association	11640 73rd Ave N  Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Lori	Hoyum	lhoyum@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street  Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jan	Hubbard	jan.hubbard@comcast.net		7730 Mississippi Lane  Brooklyn Park, MN 55444	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Casey	Jacobson	cjacobson@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 East Interstate Avenue  Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
John S.	Jaffray	jjaffray@jirpower.com	JJR Power	350 Highway 7 Suite 236 Excelsior, MN 55331	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Nate	Jones	njones@hcpd.com	Heartland Consumers Power	PO Box 248  Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Michael	Kampmeyer	mkampmeyer@a-e-group.com	AEG Group, LLC	260 Salem Church Road  Sunfish Lake, Minnesota 55118	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.org	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South  Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
John	Kearney	jmkearney@MnSEIA.org	MnSEIA	2512 33rd Ave S  Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jennifer	Kefer	jennifer@dgardiner.com	Alliance for Industrial Efficiency	David Gardiner & Associates, LLC 2609 11th St N Arlington, VA 22201-2825	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Julie	Ketchum	N/A	Waste Management	20520 Keokuk Ave Ste 200  Lakeville, MN 55044	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Brad	Klein	bklein@elpc.org	Environmental Law & Policy Center	35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600 Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60601	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Madeleine	Klein	mklein@socoreenergy.com	SoCore Energy	225 W Hubbard Street Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60654	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln  St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Brian	Krambeer	bkrambeer@tec.coop	Tri-County Electric Cooperative	PO Box 626 31110 Cooperative Way Rushford, MN 55971	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jon	Kramer	sundialjon@gmail.com	Sundial Solar	3209 W 76th St  Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Michael	Krause	michaelkrause61@yahoo.com	Kandiyo Consulting, LLC	433 S 7th Street Suite 2025 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Matthew	Lacey	Mlacey@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard  Maple Grove, MN 553694718	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
James D.	Larson	james.larson@avantenergy.com	Avant Energy Services	220 S 6th St Ste 1300  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Joel	Larson	jl Larson@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.	1822 Mill Road Grand Forks, ND 58203	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Dean	Leischow	dean@sunrisenrg.com	Sunrise Energy Ventures	315 Manitoba Ave Wayzata, MN 55391	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Susan	Ludwig	sludwig@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Kavita	Maini	kmairi@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Samuel	Mason	smason@beltramelectric.com	Beltrami Electric Cooperative, Inc.	4111 Technology Dr. NW PO Box 488 Bemidji, MN 56619-0488	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Erica	McConnell	mcconnell@smwlaw.com	Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP	396 Hayes St San Francisco, California 94102-4421	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Dave	McNary	David.McNary@hennepin.us	Hennepin County DES	701 Fourth Ave S Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
John	McWilliams	jmm@dairy.net	Dairyland Power Cooperative	3200 East Ave SPO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54601-7227	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Thomas	Melone	Thomas.Melone@AllcoUS.com	Minnesota Go Solar LLC	222 South 9th Street Suite 1600 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55120	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Dalene	Monsebroten	dalene@mncable.net	Northern Municipal Power Agency	123 2nd St W  Thief River Falls, MN 56701	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Martin	Morud	mmorud@trunorthsolar.com	Tru North Solar	5115 45th Ave S  Minneapolis, MN 55417	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Michael	Murray	mmurray@missiondata.org	Mission:Data Coalition	1020 16th St Ste 20  Sacramento, CA 95814	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Ben	Nelson	benn@cmpasgroup.org	CMMPA	459 South Grove Street  Blue Earth, MN 56013	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560  Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Dale	Niezwaag	dniezwaag@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 East Interstate Avenue  Bismarck, ND 58503	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Rolf	Nordstrom	rnordstrom@gpisd.net	Great Plains Institute	2801 21ST AVE S STE 220  Minneapolis, MN 55407-1229	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351  Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jeff	O'Neill	jeff.oneill@ci.monticello.mn.us	City of Monticello	505 Walnut Street Suite 1 Monticello, Minnesota 55362	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Russell	Olson	rolson@hcpd.com	Heartland Consumers Power District	PO Box 248  Madison, SD 570420248	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Dan	Patry	dpatry@sunedison.com	SunEdison	600 Clipper Drive  Belmont, CA 94002	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jeffrey C	Paulson	jeff.jcplaw@comcast.net	Paulson Law Office, Ltd.	4445 W 77th Street Suite 224 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Mary Beth	Peranteau	mperanteau@wheelerlaw.com	Wheeler Van Sickle & Anderson SC	44 E. Mifflin Street, 10th Floor  Madison, WI 53703	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jennifer	Peterson	jjpeterson@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street  Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Hannah	Polikov	hpolikov@aee.net	Advanced Energy Economy Institute	1000 Vermont Ave, Third Floor  Washington, DC 20005	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David G.	Prazak	dprazak@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	P.O. Box 496 215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Gayle	Prest	gayle.prest@minneapolismn.gov	City of Mpls Sustainability	350 South 5th St, #315  Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Gregory	Randa	granda@lakecountrypower.com	Lake Country Power	2810 Elida Drive  Grand Rapids, MN 55744	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Mark	Rathbun	mrathbun@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Blvd  Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Michael	Reinertson	michael.reinertson@avantenergy.com	Avant Energy	220 S. Sixth St. Ste 1300  Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
John C.	Reinhardt		Laura A. Reinhardt	3552 26Th Avenue South  Minneapolis, MN 55406	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206  St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Michael	Riewer	MRiewer@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	PO Box 4496  Fergus Falls, MN 56538-0496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Craig	Rustad	crustad@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power	1822 Mill Road PO Box 13200 Grand Forks, ND 582083200	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Robert K.	Sahr	bsahr@eastriver.coop	East River Electric Power Cooperative	P.O. Box 227  Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Thomas	Scharff	thomas.scharff@versoco.com	Verso Corp	600 High Street  Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Larry L.	Schedin	Larry@LLSResources.com	LLS Resources, LLC	332 Minnesota St, Ste W1390  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Christopher	Schoenherr	cp.schoenherr@smmpa.org	SMMPA	500 First Ave SW  Rochester, MN 55902-3303	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Dean	Sedgwick	N/A	Itasca Power Company	PO Box 457  Bigfork, MN 56628-0457	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Maria	Seidler	maria.seidler@dom.com	Dominion Energy Technology	120 Tredegar Street  Richmond, Virginia 23219	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
William	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us		75 Rev Martin Luther King Jr Blvd 130 State Capitol St. Paul, MN 55155	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
David	Shaffer	shaff081@gmail.com	Minnesota Solar Energy Industries Project	1005 Fairmount Ave  Saint Paul, MN 55105	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Patricia	Sharkey	psharkey@environmentallawcounsel.com	Midwest Cogeneration Association.	180 N. LaSalle Street Suite 3700 Chicago, Illinois 60601	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List



First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Bria	Shea	bria.e.shea@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Doug	Shoemaker	dougs@mnRenewables.org	MRES	2928 5th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55408	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Mrg	Simon	mrgsimon@mrenergy.com	Missouri River Energy Services	3724 W. Avera Drive P.O. Box 88920 Sioux Falls, SD 571098920	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Anne	Smart	anne.smart@chargepoint.com	ChargePoint, Inc.	254 E Hacienda Ave Campbell, CA 95008	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Joshua	Smith	joshua.smith@sierraclub.org		85 Second St FL 2 San Francisco, California 94105	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Trevor	Smith	trevor.smith@avantenergy.com	Avant Energy, Inc.	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@ever-greenenergy.com	Ever Green Energy	1350 Landmark Towers 345 St. Peter St St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Beth H.	Soholt	bsoholt@windonthewires.org	Wind on the Wires	570 Asbury Street Suite 201 St. Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Sky	Stanfield	stanfield@smwlaw.com	Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger	396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tom	Stanton	tstanton@nrri.org	NRRI	1080 Carmack Road  Columbus, OH 43210	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Thomas P.	Sweeney III	tom.sweeney@easycleanenergy.com	Clean Energy Collective	P O Box 1828  Boulder, CO 80306-1828	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Steve	Thompson	stevet@cmpasgroup.org	Central Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	459 S Grove St  Blue Earth, MN 56013-2629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Stuart	Tommerdahl	stommerdahl@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 S Cascade St PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Pat	Treseler	pat.jcplaw@comcast.net	Paulson Law Office LTD	4445 W 77th Street Suite 224 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Lise	Trudeau	lise.trudeau@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place East Suite 500 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Karen	Turnboom	karen.turnboom@versocom.com	Verso Corporation	100 Central Avenue  Duluth, MN 55807	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Roger	Warehime	warehimer@owatonnautilities.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	208 South WalnutPO Box 800  Owatonna, MN 55060	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jenna	Warmuth	jwarmuth@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 55802-2093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Jason	Willett	jason.willett@metc.state.mn.us	Metropolitan Council	390 Robert St N  Saint Paul, MN 55101-1805	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Cam	Winton	cwinton@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Robyn	Woeste	robynwoeste@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 First St SE  Cedar Rapids, IA 52401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Thomas J.	Zaremba	TZaremba@wheelerlaw.com	WHEELER, VAN SICKLE & ANDERSON	44 E. Mifflin Street, 10th Floor  Madison, WI 53703	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List
Christopher	Zibart	czibart@atcllc.com	American Transmission Company LLC	W234 N2000 Ridgeview Pkwy Court  Waukesha, WI 53188-1022	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_15-962_Official Service List

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street  Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd  Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Ryan	Barlow	Ryan.Barlow@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	445 Minnesota Street Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
William A.	Blazar	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Suite 1500 400 Robert Street North St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Jeanne	Cochran	Jeanne.Cochran@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	P.O. Box 64620  St. Paul, MN 55164-0620	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd.  St. Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Corey	Conover	corey.conover@minneapolismn.gov	Minneapolis City Attorney	350 S. Fifth Street City Hall, Room 210 Minneapolis, MN 554022453	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Joseph	Dammel	joseph.dammel@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Ian	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self- Reliance	1313 5th St SE #303  Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280  Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLconsulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St  Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Michael	Hoppe	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue  St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Julia	Jazyuka	jjazyuka@energyfreedomcoalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East  Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street  St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.org	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South  Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln  St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W  Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Paula	Maccabee	Pmaccabee@justchangela.w.com	Just Change Law Offices	1961 Selby Ave  Saint Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Peter	Madsen	peter.madsen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Bremer Tower, Suite 1800 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Kavita	Maini	kmains@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd  Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E  St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalelectric.org	Legalelectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue  Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jeff	Oxley	jeff.oxley@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	600 North Robert Street  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206  St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750  St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Inga	Schuchard	ischuchard@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Minneapolis, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Zeviel	Simpser	zsimpser@briggs.com	Briggs and Morgan PA	2200 IDS Center80 South Eighth Street  Minneapolis, MN 554022157	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd  St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric



First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Cam	Winton	cwinton@mnychamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric
Patrick	Zomer	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	GEN_SL_Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec_Xcel Miscl Electric