



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Thursday, June 5, 2025

10:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2025-093](#)

**\*\* P6883/RV-24-408**

**Q-Link Wireless, LLC**

In the Matter of the Petition of the Minnesota Department of Commerce for Revocation of the Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Status of Q Link Wireless, LLC pursuant to Minn. R. 7812.1400, subp. 15.

Should the Commission grant the request of the Minnesota Department of Commerce to revoke Q Link Wireless, LLC's Lifeline ETC designation? (PUC: **Fournier**)

**Attachments:**

[Briefing Papers](#)

2. [Details 2024-110](#)

**PULLED**

**\*\* ~~IP7114/GS-23-414;~~**

**~~Iron Pine Solar Power, LLC~~**

**~~IP7114/TL-23-415~~**

In the Matter of the Application of Iron Pine Solar Power, LLC for a Site Permit for the Iron Pine Solar Project in Pine County, Minnesota;

In the Matter of the Application of Iron Pine Solar Power, LLC for a Route Permit for a 230 kV Transmission Line in Pine County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission adopt the ALJ's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation?
2. Should the Commission find that the environmental assessment and the record created at the public hearings adequately address the issues identified in the scoping decision?
3. Should the Commission issue a site and route permit for the 325-megawatt Iron Pine Solar Energy Generating System? (PUC: **Harvieux**)

**3. [Details 2025-055](#)****\* E017/M-24-404 Otter Tail Power Co.**

In the Matter of Otter Tail Power Co.'s Petition for Approval of the Solway and Abercrombie Solar Projects;

In the Matter of the Petition of Otter Tail Power Co. for Exemption from Certificate of Need for the 50 MW Solway Solar Project in Beltrami County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission approve Otter Tail Power's investments in the Solway and Abercrombie solar projects?
2. Should the Commission determine that the Projects qualify for application toward Otter Tail Power's Eligible Energy Technology Standard and Carbon-Free Standard obligations?
3. Should the Commission authorize future cost recovery of the Projects through the Renewable Resources Cost Recovery Rider, subject to Commission review and approval of specific costs to be presented in a future petition?
4. Should the Commission limit cost recovery to a capital cost cap? If so, how should the Commission set the cap?
5. Should the Commission authorize Otter Tail Power to charge its Minnesota ratepayers for North Dakota's share of the solar projects? (PUC: **Stalpes**)

**Attachments:**[Briefing Papers](#)[OTP Proposed Decision Options 6-4-25](#)[Sullivan Decision Options](#)

## ADJOURNMENT

\* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

\*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.