



August 1, 2014

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce

Docket No. P421/AM-14-550

Dear Dr. Haar:

Attached are the comments and recommendation of the Minnesota Department of Commerce (Department) in the following matter:

In the Matter of a Petition by Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC for Approval of Additions to the Non-Impaired Wire Center List

The Department is available to answer any questions the Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ BONNIE JOHNSON

BJ/lt Attachment



BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

COMMENTS OF THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DOCKET NO. P421/AM-15-550

I. BACKGROUND

Under Section 251 of the Telecom Act, Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs) have access to unbundled network elements (UNEs) from CenturyLink QC when they would be impaired without such access. A wire center is classified as "non-impaired" under the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC's) Triennial Review Order on Remand (TRRO)¹ when the wire center meets certain national benchmarks for business lines, fiber-fed collocations, or both. If a wire center is classified as "non-impaired" for a UNE, CenturyLink QC is no longer required to offer that UNE at TELRIC-based prices in that wire center.²

On June 30, 2014 CenturyLink QC submitted the above captioned filing, in which CenturyLink QC proposes to modify the classification of one wire center (Blaine) in Minnesota from Tier 3 to Tier 2. If approved, this reclassification would affect the transport UNEs that CenturyLink QC is required to offer at TELRIC rates. CenturyLink QC filed Trade Secret data supporting the reclassification proposal with its petition. August 1, 2014 is the deadline for presenting objections to the Minnesota Public Utility Commission (Commission).

II. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ANALYSIS

CenturyLink QC's requested reclassification involves business line counts rather than counts of fiber-fed collocations. The previous two petitions for approval of additions to the non-impaired wire center list were based on fiber-fed collocations³. The use of business line counts to support reclassification of a wire center involves data for many more CLECs than a

¹ FCC, In the Matter of Unbundled Access to Network Elements (WC Docket No. 04-313) and Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (CC Docket No. 01-338), Triennial Review Remand Order (TRRO), February 4, 2005.

² The requirements under the TRRO are described in the Rebuttal Testimony of Edward Fagerlund, *In the Matter of a Commission Investigation Identifying Wire Centers in which Qwest Corporation Must Offer High-Capacity Loop or Transport UNEs at Cost-Based Rates*, Docket No. P999/CI-06-685, pages 18-19 and Attachment 1 in Exhibit EF.

³ Docket No. P421/AM-09-564 and Docket No. P421/AM-10-640.

Docket No. P421/AM-14-550 Analyst assigned: Bonnie Johnson

Page 2

reclassification proceeding based on fiber-fed collocations as support.⁴ As was done in the previous CenturyLink QC reclassification petitions, the Department attempted to contact each of the CLECs⁵ that CenturyLink QC used to support its reclassification proposal. The Department asked each CLEC to review its own data for accuracy. The CLEC could obtain the data for its company by executing a copy of the 2009 Protective Order.⁶ Signing the protective agreement enabled the CLEC to see the breakdown of line count information for all CLECs and the total line count for the wire center, but the identities of the other CLECs were masked. The Department did provide a CLEC with its own data upon request. Several CLECs requested its company's data using one of the two methods.

The Department's analysis in this matter is dependent on CLECs review of its own data, and confirmation that CenturyLink QC's proposal is accurate with respect to the CLECs business line count. The Department received responses from several CLECs but did not receive responses from all CLECs.

III. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE RECOMMENDATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE 2014 RECLASSIFICATION PROPOSAL

Even though the Department was not able to verify each CLEC's business line count, the Department believes it did receive enough information to agree that the Blaine central office can have a Tier 2 designation. There were some difference in total business lines reported by CenturyLink QC and the CLEC validating the data, but such differences should be expected due to ongoing additions and disconnections of services. If a CLEC installed or disconnected that service around the December 2013 timeframe, CenturyLink QC and the CLEC may have a different accounting of that service. In addition, CenturyLink QC or a CLEC may have errors in the records used to count business lines.

Many CLECs communicated to the Department that they agreed with CenturyLink QC's data. Some CLECs indicated there was a discrepancy, however; no CLEC, that communicated its results to the Department, expressed concern with the discrepancy. The Department concluded that, even if all potential discrepancies reflected the lower number of access lines, CenturyLink would still be meet the business line count required for reclassification of the Blaine wire center to a Tier 2 wire center. Absent a CLEC filing comments about a significant discrepancy in its data that the Department is unaware of, the Department recommends approval of CenturyLink QC's petition.

⁴ For example, only three fiber-fed collocations are required, and need to be verified, to achieve a Tier 2 designation. When counting business lines, it is all CLEC's business lines in that wire center.

⁵ The Department was unable to obtain current contact information for 2 of the CLECs. The two CLECs had a total of only 6 QPP/QLSP business lines. Therefore the Department believes it does not impact the verification process or its recommendation for approval.

⁶ The Commission issued a standing protective order on June 2, 2009 in Docket No. P-421/AM-09-564.

Docket No. P421/AM-14-550 Analyst assigned: Bonnie Johnson

Page 3

IV. COMMISSION ALTERNATIVES:

- 1. Approve CenturyLink's petition requesting to reclassify the Blaine wire center from a Tier 3 to a Tier 2 wire center.
- 2. Deny CenturyLink's petition requesting to reclassify the Blaine wire center from a Tier 3 to a Tier 2 wire center.
- 3. Take other action.

V. RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends alternative 1:

Approve CenturyLink's petition requesting to reclassify the Blaine wire center from a Tier 3 to a Tier 2 wire center.

/lt

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Ferguson, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, certified mail, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Minnesota Department of Commerce Comments

Docket No. P421/AM-14-550

Dated this 1st day of August 2014

/s/Sharon Ferguson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Thomas	Bailey	tbailey@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Linda	Chavez	linda.chavez@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Douglas	Denney	douglas.denney@integratel ecom.com	Integra Telecom	1201 Lloyd Blvd, Suite 500 Portland, OR 97232	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Burl W.	Haar	burl.haar@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Kim	Isaacs	kdisaacs@integratelecom.c om	Integra Telecom, Inc.	6160 Golden Hills Dr. Golden Valley, MN 55416	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Gregory R.	Merz	gregory.merz@gpmlaw.co m	Gray, Plant, Mooty	80 S 8th St Ste 500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-5383	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Katherine	Mudge	katherine.mudge@megapa th.com	MegaPath Corporation	1835-B Kramer Ln Ste 100 Austin, TX 78758	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Jason	Торр	jason.topp@centurylink.co m	CenturyLink	200 S 5th St Ste 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550
Kim	Wagner	Kim.Wagner@integrateleco m.com	Integra Telecom	6160 Golden Hills Drive Golden Valley, MN 55110	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-550_AM-14- 550