



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

PUC Agenda Meeting

Thursday, June 8, 2023

10:00 AM

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2021-095](#)

* **E002/M-20-86**

Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power, doing business as Xcel Energy, for Approval of General Time-Of-Use Service Tariff.

(PUC: Strauss; DOC: Watkins)

2. [Details 2023-078](#)

PULLED

** **P5024/M-22-116**

Global Tel*Link Corporation d/b/a ViaPath Technologies

In the Matter of the Global Tel*Link Revised Tariff No. 2 filing cancels and replaces, in its entirety, the current tariff on file with the Commission, Minnesota Tariff No. 1, issued by Global Tel*Link Corporation d/b/a ViaPath Technologies.

Should the Commission approve GTL's December 30, 2022, revised and updated tariff as written? (PUC: **Fournier**)

3. [Details 2022-072](#)

** **E002/M-21-695;**

Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

E002/M-13-867

In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Tariff Revisions Updating Community Solar Garden Tariff Providing Additional Customer Protections in Subscription Eligibility;

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, D/B/A Xcel Energy, for Approval of its Proposed Community Solar Garden Program.

1. Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's November 11, 2022, compliance filing?
2. Should the Commission modify Xcel Energy's Standard Contract for Solar*Rewards Community tariff?
3. Should the Commission modify Xcel Energy's Solar*Rewards Community tariff?
4. Should the Commission modify Xcel Energy's Low-Income Energy Discount Rider tariff?
5. Should the Commission reopen Order Point 2B? (PUC: **Duran, McShane**)

4. [Details 2023-077](#)**** IP7109/PPL-23-109 Magellan Pipeline Company, L.P.**

In the Matter of the Application of Magellan Pipeline Company, L.P. for a Route Permit for the Pipestone Reroute Project in Pipestone, County, Minnesota.

1. Does the Route Permit application contain the information required under Minn. R. 7852.2100 to 7852.3100?
2. Should the Commission accept the application as complete to initiate the review process?
3. Are there any contested issues of fact with respect to the representations made in the route permit application?
4. Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter?
5. Should the Commission delegate authority to the Applicant to initiate consultation with the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), as provided for in the proposed Delegation of Authority, relative to the Commission's statutory responsibilities under Minn. Stat. § 138.665? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

ADJOURNMENT

*** One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.**

**** Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

***** Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.**

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.