

414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

October 31, 2008

-ELECTRONIC FILING-

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101

Re:	PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGES IN CONTRACT DEMAND ENTITLEMENTS
	DOCKET NO. G002/M-08

Dear Dr. Haar:

Enclosed is the public Petition for Approval of Changes in Contract Demand Entitlements of Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation ("Xcel Energy or the "Company"), for approval of a change in Contract Demand Entitlements pursuant to Minn. Rule 7825.2910, Subd. 2. Copies of the non-public version are being provided separately.

Portions of our filing contain trade secret information as defined under Minn. Stat. § 13.37. As such, this data is protected from public disclosure and has been marked accordingly. Xcel Energy makes extensive efforts to maintain the secrecy of this information. This information is not available outside the Company except to other parties involved in contracts and to regulatory agencies under the confidentiality provisions of state or federal law, as evidenced by the non-disclosure provisions in the contracts. Xcel Energy also provides this information to state regulatory agencies in the Annual Automatic Adjustment of Charges Reports and in the monthly purchased gas adjustment ("PGA") filings in the confidential trade secret versions of these reports.

The supply information has economic value to Xcel Energy, its customers, suppliers, and competitors in at least three ways. If suppliers know the terms of Xcel Energy's supply and transportation contracts, they may be able to use this knowledge to fashion bids to Xcel Energy. Suppliers will be reluctant to offer special favorable terms to Xcel Energy if they know other competitors or customers will gain knowledge of the terms and demand similar terms in the future. Competitors of Xcel Energy such as

other LDCs also purchase their services. These competitors may be able to leverage knowledge of Xcel Energy's costs to gain similar terms or may offer slightly better prices to suppliers, denying Xcel Energy's access to this gas or other services.

Any of these results would harm Xcel Energy and it's natural gas customers. Because Xcel Energy competes for supplies, transportation, storage, and other services in the wholesale market, disclosure would directly harm Xcel Energy by making its delivered supply cost less competitive. To the extent that Xcel Energy supply costs rise, Xcel Energy's regulated sales customers would have to pay higher natural gas rates. This result would not serve the public interest.

Copies of this filing have been served on the Office of the Attorney General – Residential Utilities Division and a summary of the filing has been served on the parties on the attached service lists. Please call me at (612) 330-6089 if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/

SCOTT SCHEFFER
REGULATORY CASE SPECIALIST

Enclosures

c: Service Lists

STATE OF MINNESOTA BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

David C. Boyd	Chair
J. Dennis O'Brien	Commissioner
Thomas Pugh	Commissioner
Phyllis Reha	Commissioner
Betsy Wergin	Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGES IN CONTRACT DEMAND ENTITLEMENTS

DOCKET NO. G002/M-08-____

PETITION

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7 and Minn. R. Rule 7825.2910, subp. 2, Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation ("Xcel Energy" or the "Company"), submits to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") this Petition for approval of a Change in Contract Demand Entitlements ("Petition"). Xcel Energy requests approval to implement our 2008-2009 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2008, for customers served with natural gas in Minnesota state.

I. Summary of Filing

A one-paragraph summary of the filing accompanies this Petition pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7829.1300, subp. 1.

II. Service on Other Parties

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 2, Xcel Energy has served a copy of this Petition on the Office of the Attorney General-Residential Utilities Division. Pursuant to Minn. R. 7825.2910, subp. 2, Xcel Energy has also served a summary of this Petition on the interveners in the two most recent (2006 and 2004) general rate case filings for the Company's natural gas utility operation. Also, the summary has been served on all parties on Xcel Energy's miscellaneous gas service list.

III. General Filing Information

Pursuant to Minn. R. 7829.1300, subp. 3, Xcel Energy provides the following required information.

A. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of Utility

Northern States Power Company 414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401 (612) 330-5500

B. Name, Address, and Telephone Number of Utility Attorney

James P. Johnson Assistant General Counsel Xcel Energy Services Inc. 414 Nicollet Mall — 5th Floor Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401 (612) 215-4592

C. Date of Filing and Date Modified Rates Take Effect

Xcel Energy is submitting this filing on October 31, 2008. The Company requests Commission approval to implement the rate impact of this filing in our purchase gas adjustment ("PGA") effective with November 1, 2008 usage. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7 and our Purchase Gas Adjustment tariff (Minnesota Gas Rate Book sheet number 5-40, revision 2; sheet number 5-41, revision 3; and sheet number 5-42, revision 2) Xcel Energy has provisionally placed the PGA changes into effect on November 1, 2008, subject to later Commission approval.

D. Statute Controlling Schedule for Processing the Filing

The applicable statute is Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7. This statute does not state a specific timeframe for Commission action. The applicable rules are Minn. R. 7825.2910, subp. 2, 7829.1300, 7929.1400 and 7825.2910. Under Minn. R. 7829.0100, subp. 11, the Commission treats all filings that do not fall into a specific category as Miscellaneous Tariff Filings. Minn. R. 7829.1400, subp. 1, permits comments in response to a miscellaneous filing within 30 days of filing, with reply comments 10 days thereafter.

E. Utility Employee Responsible for Filing

Allen D. Krug Director, Regulatory Administration Xcel Energy Services Inc. 414 Nicollet Mall — 7th Floor Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401 (612) 330-6270

IV. <u>Description and Purpose of Filing</u>

This filing seeks Commission approval to allow the Company to implement, through the PGA, changes in our interstate pipeline transportation, storage entitlements, and other demand-related contracts for the upcoming year. Updating our natural gas transportation, storage entitlements, and supply contracts on an annual basis is important to ensuring the Company has access to sufficient capacity to cover the anticipated peak demand of our natural gas customers. To determine the amount required, we consider our forecast of customer needs under Design Day ("DD") conditions. By comparing that anticipated need to our current supply arrangements, we can determine what incremental additions are needed to ensure we can meet our growing customer needs under the most extreme conditions at reasonable cost.

Pursuant to Minn. Rule 7825.2910, Subp. 2, and prior Commission practice, we will provisionally implement the PGA rate changes associated with this filing on November 1, 2008, and respectfully request Commission approval of the revised entitlements effective on November 1, 2008. We list the changes reflected in this filing below.

A. Change in Design Day

Our filing reflects a change in our DD forecast from the 2007-2008 heating season, as described in **Attachment 1**.

B. Change in Resources to meet Design Day

Reflected in this filing are changes in our resources used to meet our DD customer requirements, including entitlements on our pipeline and storage supplier systems: Northern Natural Gas Company ("Northern"), Viking Gas Transmission Company ("Viking"), Great Lakes Transmission Company ("Great Lakes"), ANR Pipeline Company ("ANR"), and Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company ("WBI"). Depending on the service, these changes take effect at various times during the heating season.

Attachment 1 and **Attachment 2** provide background information regarding each of these proposed changes. Specifically, **Attachment 1** contains the following documentation required by Minn. R. 7825.2910, Subp. 2:

- a description of the factors contributing to the need for changing demand;
- the Company's DD demand by customer class and the change in DD demand, if any, necessitating the demand revision;
- a summary of the levels of winter versus summer usage for all customer classes; and
- a description of DD gas supply from all sources under the new level, allocation, or form of demand.

The information provided in **Attachment 2** is in response to the October 1, 1993 letter from the OES, and outlines the changes in the Company's Energy Firm DD Requirements, daily pipeline entitlement, and pipeline billing units from the 2007-2008 entitlement levels pending Commission approval in Docket No. G002/M-07-1395.

C. Change in Jurisdictional Allocations

The changes in the DD forecast alter the allocation of entitlements between the Minnesota and North Dakota retail natural gas jurisdictions. This filing reflects this reallocation.

D. Change in Supply Reservation Fees

This filing also reflects updated costs for firm gas supply reservation fees.

E. Heating Season Plan for Use of Financial Instruments

Attachment 3 provides information in response to the reporting requirements established in Docket No. G002/M-08-46 (Order dated May 27, 2008) regarding our use of financial instruments to limit commodity price volatility. The attachment shows a summary of hedge transactions for the 2008-2009 heating season and how each instrument relates to the \$32 million cap on such costs.

F. Classification and Billing of Demand Costs

In the Company's 2007 Contract Demand Entitlement filing, Docket No. G002/M-07-1395, we included a proposal to assign some demand costs to interruptible customers. In its Comments dated October 7, 2008 in that docket, the OES recommended approval of the proposal. However, the Commission has not yet acted on our 2007 filing. We again include the proposal, updated for its current effect on prices by customer class, as **Attachment 4.**

Xcel Energy has endeavored to provide all requested information, and has taken steps to ensure the filing's accuracy so that this Petition contains the necessary information for approval of the changes in Contract Demand Entitlements. See List of Attachments below.

Attachment 1 – Filing Requirements Pursuant to Minn. Rule 7825.2910, Subp. 2

<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Title</u>
1	Derivation of Minnesota Jurisdiction Allocation Factor
2	Demand Cost of Gas Impact
3, page 1	Summary of Design Day Demand by Customer Class
3, page 2	Derivation of Actual Peak Day Use Per Customer
4	Historical Sales – Seasonal Usage
5	Firm Supply Entitlements

Attachment 2 – Information Provided in Response to the OES Letter Dated October 1, 1993

,	
<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Title</u>
1, page 1	Demand Profile
1, page 2	Changes to Contract Entitlements
2, page 1	Rate Impact
2, page 2	Derivation of Current PGA Costs

Attachment 3 – Information Provided in Response to Report Requirements in Docket No. G002/M-03-1627 Regarding Use of Financial Instruments to Limit Price Volatility

<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Title</u>
1	Summary of Hedge Transactions

Attachment 4 – Information Provided in Response to OES Recommendation in Docket No. G002/M-07-1395 to Allocate Some Demand Costs to Interruptible Customers

<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Title</u>
1	Calculation of Demand Costs to be Allocated as Commodity
	Costs
2	Derivation of Current PGA Costs with Some Costs Moved to
	Commodity Allocation

Xcel Energy respectfully requests Commission approval of the 2008-2009 Heating Season Supply Plan, which enables continued reliable and competitive service for our natural gas customers in Minnesota, effective November 1, 2008, and approval to reflect the costs associated with the revised entitlements in rates through the PGA effective with November cycle billings.

V. Effect of Change upon Xcel Energy Revenue

As calculated in Trade Secret Attachment 1, Schedule 2, the effect of the proposed changes in demand cost upon Xcel Energy's Minnesota state annual revenue is an increase of [TRADE SECRET BEGINS TRADE SECRET ENDS] effective November 1, 2008. The cost change will automatically be reflected in rates through the operation of the Company's PGA clause. The demand rate calculation is shown in Attachment 2, Schedule 2, Page 2 of 2.

VI. <u>Miscellaneous Information</u>

Pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7829.0700, Xcel Energy requests that the following persons be placed on the Commission's official service list for this matter:

James P. Johnson	SaGonna Thompson
Assistant General Counsel	Records Specialist
Xcel Energy Services Inc.	Xcel Energy
414 Nicollet Mall — 5 th Floor	414 Nicollet Mall — 7th Floor
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Xcel Energy respectfully requests Commission approval of our 2008-2009 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2008, and approval to implement the retail rate impact of this filing in our PGA effective with November 1, 2008 usage. The Company will provisionally reflect the change in entitlement costs associated with the revised contract demand entitlements in the Company's November PGA, subject to later Commission approval.

Date	d: October 31, 2008
	hern States Power Company, nnesota corporation
By:	/s/
	Amy Liberkowski Manager, Pricing and Planning

STATE OF MINNESOTA BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

David C. Boyd	Chair
J. Dennis O'Brien	Commissioner
Thomas Pugh	Commissioner
Phyllis Reha	Commissioner
Betsy Wergin	Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGE IN CONTRACT DEMAND ENTITLEMENTS

DOCKET NO. G002/M-08-____

SUMMARY

SUMMARY OF FILING

Please take notice that on October 31, 2008, Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation, filed a Request for Change in Contract Demand Entitlements pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7825.2910, Subp. 2. Xcel Energy requests Commission approval to implement its 2008-2009 Heating Season Supply Plan effective November 1, 2008. The costs related to the entitlement changes will be provisionally reflected in retail gas rates through the Purchased Gas Adjustment effective November 1, 2008, subject to later Commission approval.

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 1 Page 1 of 8

ATTACHMENT 1

Northern States Power Company, A Minnesota corporation

Filing Upon Change in Demand Filing Requirements Pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7825.2910, subp. 2

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 1 Page 2 of 8

Northern States Power Company, A Minnesota corporation

Filing Requirements Pursuant to Minnesota Rule 7825.2910, subp. 2 Filing Upon Change in Demand

A. A description of the factors contributing to the need for change in demand:

As discussed in our Petition, the factors contributing to the need for a change in demand include:

- Increase in DD requirements,
- Resources required to meet the DD and provide an adequate reserve margin,
- Changes in Jurisdictional Allocations, and
- Changes in Supply Reservation Fees.

Each of these factors is discussed below.

Change in Design Day

Xcel Energy's objective for calculating DD customer demand is to forecast anticipated demand at design temperatures accurately so adequate firm supply resources can be planned for and available if DD weather occurs. We recognize that customer response to temperature is dynamic, particularly if we experience severely cold seasonal temperatures. Therefore, we continu to calculate DD using both Actual Peak Use Per Customer Design Day ("UPC DD") and Average Monthly Design Day ("Avg. Monthly DD") methods and considers the results when predicting future DD needs.

In the Company's 2004-2005 Contract Demand Entitlements filing, Docket No. G002/M-05-1813, the Company filed to add a second methodology for calculating our DD. Prior to this docket, we utilized a single methodology, which utilized a linear regression calculation. In the 2004-2005 Contract Demand Entitlements filing, the Company filed to include a second methodology, UPC DD, to ensure that the DD is adequately and accurately estimated.

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 1 Page 3 of 8

We project our forecasted firm customer count in Minnesota state to decrease by 2,651 customers (from 431,503 to 428,852) between the 2007-2008 and the 2008-2009 heating season forecasts. Although our current customer count forecast does reflect an increase over actual customers, the reduction reflects that actual firm customer counts were lower than our forecast for the 2007-2008 heating season. Despite the forecasted reduction in customers, we are estimating an increase in DD requirements in Minnesota state of 1,288 Dekatherms ("Dth") (from 683,717 to 685,005) utilizing the UPC DD method as detailed on **Attachment 1, Schedule 3, Page 1 of 2**. While these results seem counterintuitive, we realignedour customer base within the DD demand areas used to calculate the peak-day forecast. This was done in an effort to better align demand with the deliverable capacity used to serve each area of our natural gas service territory.

We believe these changes result in a better peak-day calculation than in the 2007 CD Entitlement filing. Demands are now more aligned with the deliverable capacity that serves each area, rather than allocating demand based solely on county location. This year's regressions also had higher or virtually unchanged R-square coefficients that make them very consistent with past year's models and a good fit to the underlying trends of the new demand areas.

Regression results showed Minnesota areas have a higher percentage of total DD usage than last year, 89% compared to 88%. This is mainly driven by the three areas that had the most customer growth as a result of the changes noted above: Willmar, Paynesville, and Metro East.

DD customer counts for the Willmar and Paynesville areas were 8,590 customers higher than last year including residential and commercial sectors. Most of this gain is attributed to the reallocation of customers from the Watkins area. Both of these areas also had higher weather-related and R-square regression coefficients than last year. Even though most of the customer count changes were offset, the stronger weather-related coefficients increased the peak-day usage in these areas 3,509 Dth over last year's total.

Most of the customer gain in Metro East was in the residential sector, which also had a higher weather-related regression coefficient. The R-square coefficient remained virtually unchanged. Metro East gained customers from Metro West and VGT-Chisago areas. While total customer count forecasts for this area were 2,327 lower than last year, peak-day usage was 1,380 Dth higher, driven

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 1 Page 4 of 8

predominately by the stronger Metro East weather-related residential coefficient. In total, Minnesota customer counts were 2,651 less than last year's forecast; however, peak-day usage increased 1,288 Dth.

Conversely, lower weather-related regression coefficients led to the decline in peak-day usage from last year in North Dakota. The R-square coefficients remained virtually unchanged. North Dakota customer counts were 1,286 higher than last year, although peak-day usage decreased 4,573 Dth.

The Avg. Monthly DD was also utilized to develop the allocations by state and by service region as shown on **Attachment 1, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 4**. The Avg. Monthly DD calculation is based on the linear regression, which uses March 2005 – December 2007 data as shown on **Attachment 1, Schedule 1, Pages 2 - 4**. Xcel Energy was only able to use 34 months of data instead of the usual 60 months of data because of the change in customer groups. However, in all but a few regions, the regression statistics were very strong with R-squared values in excess of 95%. The regions with R-squared values below 95% were those with lower customer counts. In all, R-squared values were 84% or higher. This method captures the relationship of DD between the states and service regions and incorporates non-electronic pipeline measurements that are estimated in the Actual Peak UPC DD.

The actual use per firm customer data contains the daily total usage for all the firm customers that do not have individual actual peak day information. As described in **Attachment 1, Schedule 3, Page 2 of 2,** the peak day actual use per firm customer remains the same at 1.57393 Dth. For non demand-billed customers, the projected DD is calculated as number of customers multiplied by peak day actual use per customer to yield the Projected DD for these Minnesota state customers of 665,212 Dth. The Small and Large Demand Billed contracted customer Billing Demand of 19,793 Dth and is added to the DD estimate for the Residential, Small Commercial, and Large Commercial classes to determine the total Minnesota state DD Projection of 685,005 Dth as shown on **Attachment 1, Schedule 3, Page 1 of 2**.

We continue to maintain and compare both methodologies. The actual peak days experienced by the Company under non-DD conditions were compared with both the UPC DD and the Avg. Monthly DD to ensure adequate firm resources are available to meet the varied demand requirements of our customers. If cold temperatures occur, then the actual use per customer of 1.57393 Dth, as shown on **Attachment 1, Schedule 3, Page 2 of 2,** would be adjusted accordingly.

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 1 Page 5 of 8

Likewise, if cold temperatures are not experienced, the actual use per customer of 1.57393 Dth would be maintained (assuming no operating experience contrary to the conditions observed on January 29, 2004). In that case, the UPC DD would be adjusted for updated Residential, Small Commercial, and Large Commercial customer counts and any changes to the contracted Billing Demand for the Small and Large Demand Billed customers.

Change in Resources to Meet Design Day

Attachment 2, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 2 details the demand entitlement changes to meet DD for the Xcel Energy Minnesota company 2008-2009 Heating Season Gas Resource Plan compared to the 2007-08 plan filed in Docket No. G002/M-07-1395. Attachment 1, Schedule 2 details the demand cost component changes for the 2008-2009 heating season.

Change in Viking Gas entitlements (effective November 1, 2008)

Effective November 1, 2008, Xcel Energy increased firm transportation capacity entitlements on Viking by 15,209 Dth/Day under Rate Schedule FT-A to meet system growth.

As a result of an expiring contract on Viking, Xcel Energy turned back backhaul capacity totaling 22,159 Dth/day on Viking from Chisago, the interconnect between Northern and Viking. In previous years, gas was delivered to Chisago from Northern and then the gas was backhauled on Viking to our town border stations along Viking. However, since the Northern capacity that delivered gas to Chisago was turned back to Northern, we no longer has a use for the backhaul contracts on Viking. Therefore, this backhaul agreement was not renewed and was turned back to Viking.

In addition, Xcel Energy entered into a Precedent Agreement with Viking dated May 15, 2008 to add 37,668 Dth/day of firm transportation from Marshfield, the interconnect between Viking and ANR, with deliveries to Fargo, ND; Moorhead, MN; and Dilworth, MN. During the 2007-2008 heating season, average daily temperatures were below –10 degrees Fahrenheit for a total of 7 days with the coldest average daily temperature of –19 degrees Fahrenheit occurring on February 20, 2008. During that time, Viking experienced pressure drops during certain peak hours on the 18-mile, 8-inch Fargo lateral which serves the communities of Dilworth, MN; Moorhead, MN; and Fargo, ND. Had temperatures reached DD

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 1 Page 6 of 8

temperatures of –33 degree Fahrenheit, the Fargo lateral would not have been sized adequately to meet the peak hourly load requirements. When evaluating the Viking system in total on a DD, we had adequate firm capacity. However, when looking at the Fargo lateral specifically, on both a daily and hourly basis, there was a capacity shortfall. Therefore after evaluating multiple options, we entered into a cost-based Precedent Agreement with Viking, which will deliver an additional 37,668 Dth/day of firm gas to the affected towns. The project is anticipated to go into service on January 1, 2009. Since the capacity will not be available on November 1, 2008, we have only included 10 months of capacity in the 2008-2009 annual demand expense calculations. We are not proposing a unique allocator for the additional capacity created by the Fargo lateral project. Instead, we are proposing to utilize the standard Minnesota/North Dakota allocation methodology.

The Fargo lateral project will replace 9 miles of existing 8-inch pipe with 12-inch pipe and has a projected cost estimated at **[TRADE SECRET BEGINS**]

TRADE SECRET ENDS]. The increased capacity entitlements on Viking are expected to fund the project cost over the term of the service agreement. In the Precedent Agreement there is a formula where in the event the project exceeds the estimated cost, we will compensate Viking for those additional costs. If project events cause a change in capacity entitlement costs, we will amend this petition.

Change in Jurisdictional Allocations

1. Change in Minnesota Jurisdiction Allocation Factor

The DD allocation factor increased slightly for the Minnesota jurisdiction from 88.79% to 89.34%. As in previous years, we calculate the allocation factor by dividing the DD forecasted demand for Minnesota state by the DD demand for the Company. The Minnesota state, North Dakota state, and Company totals are provided on **Attachment 1, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 4**. The traditional method of Avg. Monthly DD was used to update the allocation factors, since this approach accurately estimates the relationship of DD between the states and regional jurisdictions and incorporates accurately the monthly non-electronic pipeline measurements.

2. Change in Minnesota Grand Forks Area Jurisdictional Allocation Factor

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 1 Page 7 of 8

The DD allocation factor for East Grand Forks, Minnesota decreased from 14.80% to 14.37%. This decrease is the result of a decrease in DD demand for East Grand Forks, Minnesota relative to the change in DD demand for Grand Forks, North Dakota. The allocation factor is calculated by dividing the DD demand for the city of East Grand Forks, Minnesota by the DD demand total for the Grand Forks area (Grand Forks and East Grand Forks). This allocation factor is used to allocate the costs of the incremental capacity contracted on Viking several years ago related to the Grand Forks area transmission-looping project. Minnesota state, North Dakota state, and Company totals are provided on **Attachment 1, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 4**. The traditional method of Avg. Monthly DD was also used to update the Minnesota Grand Forks Area Jurisdictional Allocation Factor.

3. Change In Minnesota Fargo Area Jurisdictional Allocation Factor

The DD allocation factor decreased for Moorhead/Dilworth, Minnesota from 21.75% to 21.58%. The allocation factor is calculated by dividing the DD demand for Moorhead/Dilworth, Minnesota by the total DD demand for Fargo, North Dakota and Moorhead/Dilworth, Minnesota. This allocation factor is used to allocate the costs of the incremental capacity on Viking related to a looping project completed in this area several years ago. Minnesota state, North Dakota state, and Company totals are provided on **Attachment 1, Schedule 1, Page 1 of 4**. The traditional method of Avg. Monthly DD was also used to update the Minnesota Moorhead/Dilworth Area Jurisdictional Allocation Factor.

Change in Supplier Reservation Fees

The total change in existing supplier reservation charges for Minnesota state is [TRADE SECRET BEGINS TRADE SECRET ENDS].

Attachment 1, Schedule 2 lists the changes in Supply Entitlements. Our producer demand expense is attributable to the acquisition of two Emerson peaking supply contracts and two Viking city gate peaking contracts that was done in lieu of acquiring additional annual or heating season interstate pipeline firm transportation service.

B. The Utility's DD demand by customer class and the change in DD demand, if any, necessitating the demand revision:

Docket No. G002/M-08-___ Attachment 1 Page 8 of 8

The DD demand and change in DD demand by class are shown on **Attachment 1, Schedule 3**.

Xcel Energy proposes to increase our capacity reserve margin from 5.52% in November 2007 to 7.2% in November 2008, as described in **Attachment 2**, **Schedule 1, Page 2 of 2**. We believe this reserve margin is appropriate, given the need to balance the uncertainty of (a) the likelihood of experiencing DD conditions (the most recent extreme cold period occurred in late January to early February 1996), (b) actual consumer demand during DD conditions (given the recent decline in use per customer described in Docket Nos. G002/GR-04-1511 and G002/GR-06-1429), and (c) the need to protect against the potential loss of a source of firm gas supply.

We add firm resources to meet projected firm customer demand and plans to maintain a reserve margin as close as practicable to either the capability of the largest pump at Wescott used to vaporize LNG or to the capability of either of the St. Paul metro propane-air peak shaving plants. Capacity decisions are based on projected demand, and the most economic method of adding capacity often involves adding increments that do not precisely match expected changes in demand. The reserve margin ensures reliability for our firm natural gas customers in Minnesota. The proposed 2008-2009 heating season DD reserve margin for Minnesota state is 49,071 Dth/day or 7.2%.

C. A summary of the levels of winter versus summer usage for all customer classes:

The summary of winter and summer sales by class is included on **Attachment 1**, **Schedule 4**.

D. A description of DD gas supply from all sources under the new level allocation, or form of demand:

Our firm supply entitlements are shown on **Attachment 1, Schedule 5.**

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation **DERIVATION OF MINNESOTA JURISDICTION ALLOCATION FACTOR** 2008-2009 Heating Season

Service Region (1)	Projected Jan 2009 Firm Res & Comm'l Customers (2)	by Smal Demar	ed Demand l & Large nd Billed Customers (3b)	Load Variation (Dth/Degree) (4)	Degree per Design Day (5)	Monthly Base Use (Dth) (6)	Unacc. Factor (7)	Res & Comm'l Design Day (Dth) (8)	Total Design Day (Dth) (9)	Jurisdictional Allocation Factors (10)
1 FERRO E LOTE	202 504	77.0	44 440	0.0143087	01	2.0874055	1.009	482,998	494,441	
METRO EAST	303,581 127	73	11,443 0	0.0143087	91 91	1.4461490	1.009	462,996	207	
METRO WEST		0 9		0.0149483	91 91	2.3424329	1.009	22,736	24,806	
MAINLINE WELCOME	14,714	0	2,070	0.0142403	88	1.3585562	1.009	2,438	2,438	
MAINLINE-WELCOME	2,151		0 90	0.0103903	88	1.3644507	1.009	2,436 11,536	11,626	
WILLMAR	9,508	1 22		0.0113700	94	1.9739024	1.009	81,775	84,380	
PAYNESVILLE	53,129	0	2,605 0	0.0134142	9 4 91	1.3932504	1.009	3,317	3,317	
CHISAGO	2,945		252	0.0101629	91	1.7759884	1.009	8,032	8,284	
WATKINS	6,783	1 11		0.0102337	94 88	1.1898910	1.009	22,985	24,513	
TOMAH	15,223		1,528 833	0.0143308	88	2.0153276	1.009	10,735	11,568	
RED WING	7,574	5				1.0149836	1.009	•	•	14.37%
GRAND FORKS MN	2,795	1	63	0.0128680	98			4,200	4,264	21.58%
FARGO MN	10,196	1	909	0.0119170	98	1.1143787	1.009	14,253	15,162	21.3670
MN State	428,727	125	19,793					665,212	685,005	89.34%
GRAND FORKS ND	14.052	0	0	0.0150575	98	1.8522329	1.009	25,414	25,414	85,63%
	14,253	0	0				1.009	•	55,088	78.42%
FARGO ND	30,682	0	0	0.0150616	98	2.1664401		55,088	•	70.4270
WBI ND	940	0	0	0.0117191	98	0.6120009	1.009	1,275	1,275	
ND State	45,875	0	0					81,777	81,777	10.66%
TOTAL	474,602	125	19,793					746,989	766,782	100.00%

⁽¹⁾ Regional areas of the company.

⁽²⁾ Estimated firm customers.

⁽³a) Firm Large and Small Commercial Demand Billed customers.

⁽³b) Firm contracted Design Day entitlement for Large and Small Commercial Demand Billed customers.

⁽⁴⁾ Temperature dependent usage as determined by linear regression based on using 34 months Feb. 2005 to Dec. 2007

⁽⁵⁾ Degree Days for a Design Day in that region.

⁽⁶⁾ Monthly base usage determined by linear regression based on using the same 34 months as in (4).

⁽⁷⁾ Factor to correct for unaccounted gas usage.

⁽⁸⁾ Estimated Design Day Demand for Firm Residential & Commercial Customers.

⁽⁹⁾ Estimated Total Design Day for Firm Residential, Commercial, and Demand Billed Customers.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Jurisdictional allocation factors based on percent of Total Company Design Day Demand.

Division/Region	Jan 2009 Cust (2) 282,831 20,749 73 303,654 120 7 0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	O.01058745 O.06508317 Contract Demand O.01240097 O.0576291 Contract Demand O.01494830 O.093617396 Contract Demand O.0142463 O.09363371 Contract Demand O.0142463 O.09363371 Contract Demand O.015903 O.093633 O.0936433 O.0936433 O.0936525651 Contract Demand		1.45214948 10.75378195 	-	0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090		Load	y (Dth) 200 Day Base 13,510 7,340 20,850 4 2 6 662 473 1,135	288,581 131,402 11,443 431,426 141 40 0 180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	2008 Design Day 279,001 128,777 11,748 419,526 1,779 506 0 2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	Mcf Difference % Diff. 9,580 2,625 (305) 11,901 2.8% (467) 0 (2,105) -92.1% (557) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 350	Gross-up to UPC DD Method 43,299 19,716 0 63,015 21 6 0 27 1,837 1,130 0 2,966 266 53	Peak Day UPC DD Totals 331,880 151,118 11,443 424,441 162 45 0 207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806 2,035 403
METRO EAST Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand METRO WEST Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILLINE WILLIAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	282,831 20,749 73 303,654 120 7 0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.01058745 0.06508317 Contract Demand 0.01430870 0.01240097 0.0576291 Contract Demand 0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91	1.45214948 10.75378195	0.9782 0.9651 0.8429 0.9630 0.9267	0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090	1,172 - 3,746 1 0 - 2 109 67 - 176 16 3	122,890 395,387 135 37 172 11,470 6,988 18,459	7,340 20,850 4 2 6 662 473 1,135	131,402 11,443 431,426 141 40 0 180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	128,777 11,748 419,526 1,779 506 0 2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	2,625 (305) 11,901 2.8% (1,638) (467) 0 (2,105) 92.1% (657) 174 (2,881) 11.7% 1,770 350	19,716 0 63,015 21 6 0 27 1,837 1,130 0 2,966	151,118 11,443 494,441 162 45 0 207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand METRO WEST Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	20,749 73 303,654 120 7 0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.06508317 Contract Demand 0.01430870 0.01240097 0.0576291 Contract Demand 0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	91 91 91 	10.75378195	0.9782 0.9651 0.8429 0.9630 0.9267	0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090	1,172 - 3,746 1 0 - 2 109 67 - 176 16 3	122,890 395,387 135 37 172 11,470 6,988 18,459	7,340 20,850 4 2 6 662 473 1,135	131,402 11,443 431,426 141 40 0 180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	128,777 11,748 419,526 1,779 506 0 2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	2,625 (305) 11,901 2.8% (1,638) (467) 0 (2,105) 92.1% (657) 174 (2,881) 11.7% 1,770 350	19,716 0 63,015 21 6 0 27 1,837 1,130 0 2,966	151,118 11,443 494,441 162 45 0 207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806
Contract Demand METRO WEST Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	20,749 73 303,654 120 7 0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.01430870 0.01240097 0.0576291 Contract Demand 0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	91 91 	2.08740552 1.09308985 7.36166648 1.44614898 1.51212733 10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9651 0.8429 0.9630 0.9267	0.0090 0.0090 	3,746 1 0 0 2 109 67 176	135 377 172 11,470 6,988 18,459	20,850 4 2 2 6 6 662 473 1,135	11,443 431,426 141 40 0 180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	11,748 419,526 1,779 506 0 2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	(305) 11,901 2.8% (1,638) (467) 0 (2,105) 92.1% (2,398) (657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	0 63,015 21 6 0 27 1,837 1,130 0 2,966	11,443 494,441 162 45 0 207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806 2,035 403
METRO WEST Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	303,654 120 7 0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.01430870 0.01240097 0.0576291 Contract Demand 0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	91 91 	2.08740552 1.09308985 7.36166648 1.44614898 1.51212733 10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9630 0.9267	0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 	3,746 1 0 2 109 67 176	395,387 135 37 172 11,470 6,988 18,459	20,850 4 2 2 6 6 662 473 1,135	141 40 0 180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	419,526 1,779 506 0 2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	11,901 2.8% (1,638) (467) 0 (2,105) -92.1% (2,398) (657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	63,015 21 6 0 27 1,837 1,130 0 2,966 266 53	494,441 162 45 0 207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806 2,035 403
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	120 7 0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.01240097 0.0576291 Contract Demand 0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 88 	1.09308985 7.36166648 1.44614898 1.51212733 10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9630 0.9267	0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090	1 0	135 37 172 11,470 6,988 18,459	4 2 6 662 473 1,135	141 40 0 180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	1,779 506 0 2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	2.8% (1,638) (467) 0 (2,105) -92.1% (2,398) (657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	21 6 0 27 1,837 1,130 0 2,966	162 45 0 207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806 2,035 403
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	7 0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.0576291 Contract Demand 0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 88 	7.36166648 1.44614898 1.51212733 10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9630 0.9267	0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090	109 67 176	37 172 11,470 6,988 18,459	662 473 1,135	180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	(1,638) (467) 0 (2,105) -92.1% (2,398) (657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	1,837 1,130 0 2,966	45 0 207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806 2,035 403
Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	7 0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.0576291 Contract Demand 0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 88 	7.36166648 1.44614898 1.51212733 10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9630 0.9267	0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090	109 67 176	37 172 11,470 6,988 18,459	662 473 1,135	180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	(2,105) -92.1% (2,398) (657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	1,837 1,130 0 2,966	45 0 207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806 2,035 403
MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	0 127 13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 88 88 88 	1.44614898 1.51212733 10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9630 0.9267 0.9685	0.0090 0.0090 	109 67 - 176	172 11,470 6,988 18,459	6 662 473 - 1,135	180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	(2,105) -92.1% (2,398) (657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	1,837 1,130 0 2,966	207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806 2,035 403
MAINLINE Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1	0.01494830 0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 88 	1.44614898 1.51212733 10.16948567 	0.9267	0.0090 0.0090 0.0090 0.0090	109 67 176	11,470 6,988 18,459	662 473 1,135	180 12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	2,285 14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721	(2,105) -92.1% (2,398) (657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	1,837 1,130 0 2,966	207 14,078 8,658 2,070 24,806 2,035 403
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	13,301 1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.0097997 0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 	1.51212733 10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9267	0.0090	109 67 176	11,470 6,988 18,459	662 473 1,135	12,241 7,529 2,070 21,840	14,639 8,186 1,896 24,721 0	(2,398) (657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	1,837 1,130 0 2,966 266 53	14,078 8,658 2,070
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 	10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9267	0.0090	67 - 176	6,988 18,459 1,693	473 1,135	7,529 2,070 21,840	8,186 1,896 24,721 0 0	(657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	2,966 2,966 266 53	24,806 2,035 403
Total Commercial Contract Demand MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	1,414 9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.05617396 Contract Demand 0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 	10.16948567 2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152	0.9267	0.0090	67 - 176	6,988 18,459 1,693	473 1,135	7,529 2,070 21,840	8,186 1,896 24,721 0 0	(657) 174 (2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	2,966 2,966 266 53	24,806 2,035 403
MAINLINE-WELCOM Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	9 14,724 2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.0142463 0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88 88 	2.342432853 0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152		0.0090	176 16 3	18,459 1,693	1,135 61	21,840 1,770	24,721 0 0	(2,881) -11.7% 1,770 350	2,966 266 53	24,806 2,035 403
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	 	0.917242134 8.513081649 1.358556152		0.0090	16 3	1,693	61	1,770	0	-11.7% 1,770 350	266 53	2,035 403
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	2,026 125 0 2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.0094935 0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	 	8.513081649 1.358556152		0.0090	3			-	0	1,770 350	53	403
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	2,151 8,771 737 1	0.028371 Contract Demand 0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	 	8.513081649 1.358556152		0.0090	3			-	0	350	53	403
Contract Demand WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88	1.358556152	0.8738			312	35	350				
WILMAR Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	2,151 8,771 737 1 9,509	0.0105903 0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand		1.358556152							n	_		
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	8,771 737 1 9,509	0.00936433 0.03525651 Contract Demand	88							0		0	0	
Total Residential Total Commercial Contract Demand	737 1 9,509	0.03525651 Contract Demand	88	1.04412001			19	2,005	96	2,120	0	2,120	318	2,438
Total Commercial Contract Demand	737 1 9,509	0.03525651 Contract Demand	88	1.04/112001										
Contract Demand	9,509	Contract Demand			0.9774 0.9787	0.0090 0.0090	68 22	7,228	301 126	7,597 2,434	2,342 859	5,255 1,575	1,140 365	8,737 2,799
DAVNIESVILLE		0.01137		5.17873498	0.9787	0.0090		2,287		90	0	90	0	90
DAVNIESVILLE				1.36445065		***************************************	89	9,515	427	10,120	3,201	6,919	1,505	11,626
		0.01137		1.50415005			0,	,,010	.2.		0,201	216.1%	-,	,
		0.00928617	94	1.12565794	0.9839	0.0090	391	41,647	1,767	43,804	41,308	2,496	6,572	50,376
Total Residential Total Commercial	47,711 5,418	0.04981832		9.45104334	0.9810	0.0090	244	25,374	1,685	27,302	25,822	1,480	4,096	31,399
Contract Demand		Contract Demand				-		-		2,605	2,609	(4)	0	2,605
	53,151	0.0134142		1.973902422			634	67,021	3,451	73,711	69,739	3,971	10,669	84,380
VGT-CHISAGO												5.7%		
Total Residential	2,804	0.00885268		1.29177875	0.9826	0.0090	21	2,259	119	2,399	10,978	(8,579)	360	2,759
Total Commercial Contract Demand	141 0	0.0361776 Contract Demand	91	3.40804941	0.8562	0.0090	4	465	16 	485 0	4,648 224	(4,163) (224)	73 0	558 0
Contract Demand	•••••													
	2,945	0.0101629		1.393250395			26	2,724	135	2,884	15,850	(12,966) -81.8%	433	3,317
WATKINS	(E10	0.0087259	94	1.3545966	0.9782	0.0090	51	5,371	292	5,714	12,097	(6,384)	857	6,571
Total Residential Total Commercial	6,548 235	0.05231402		13.53205617	0.9762	0.0090	11	1,155	105	1,271	3,727	(2,456)	191	1,461
Contract Demand	1	Contract Demand								252	90	162	0	252
	6,784	0.0102337		1.775988387			62	6,526	396	7,236	15,914	(8,678)	1,048	8,284
TOMAH												-54.5%		
Total Residential	13,639	0.0099768	88	0.61131864	0.9754	0.0090	110	11,974	274	12,359	12,269	90	1,854	14,213
Total Commercial	1,584	0.051914		6.17899866	0.9606	0.0090	68	7,238	322	7,628	7,612	15	1,144	8,772
Contract Demand	11	Contract Demand								1,528	1,509	19		1,528
	15,234	0.0143308		1.189891015			178	19,212	596	21,514	21,390	124 0.6%	2,999	24,513
RED WING														
Total Residential Total Commercial	6,808	0.00946615 0.04566997			0.9737 0.9248	0.0090 0.0090	54 30	5,672 3,078	277 225	6,002 3,332	5,923 3,809	79 (477)	901 500	6,903 3,832
Contract Demand	766 5	Contract Demand		8.9304937	0.9240	0.0090		<i>3,076</i>		833	2,074	(1,241)	0	833
	7,579	0.0131175		2.015327625			83	8,749	502	10,167	11,807	(1,639)	1,401	11,568
OR AND PORTES AS:	1,517							20.00				-13.9%	,	•
GRAND FORKS MN Total Residential	2,501	0.00901475	98	0.35798832	0.9704	0.0090	20	2,210	29	2,259	2,510	(251)	339	2,598
Total Commercial	294	0.04566997	98		0.9658	0.0090	12	1,317	64	1,393	1,572	(179)	209	1,602
Contract Demand	1	Contract Demand	-							63	63	0	0	63
	2,796	0.012868		1.01498362			33	3,526	93	3,716	4,145	(429) -10.4%	548	4,264
FARGO MN								_					,	
Total Residential	9,154	0.00817624	98 98		0.9650		67 44	7,335 4,574	105 269	7,507 4,887	8,382 5,443	(876) (556)	1,126 733	8,633 5,620
Total Commercial Contract Demand	1,042 1	0.0447946 Contract Demand		7.85431124	0.9569	0.0090		4,574	209	909	725	184	0	909
***************************************	10,197	0.011917	, ,	1.114378668			111	11,909	374	13,302	14,551	(1,248)	1,860	15,162
MN COMPANY		-1044741								•		-8.6%		
Total Residential	396,214									390,373	391,229	(856)	58,572	448,944
Total Commercial	32,513									188,052	190,962	(2,910)	28,216 0	216,268 19,793
Contract Demand	125									19,793	20,938	(1,145)		
	428,852									598,218	603,129	(4,911) -0.8%	86,787	685,005

	Projected Firm	Load Variation	DD/	Monthly Base	R-Square	Lost & Unacc.		Design I	ay (Dth) 20	09	2008	Mcf	Gross-up to	Peak Day
Division/Region	Jan 2009 Cust	(Dth/Deg)	Design Day	Use (Dth)		Factor	Unacc.	Load	Day		Design	Difference	UPC DD	UPC DD
(1)	(2)	(3) X Variable 1	(4)	(5) Intercept		(6)	Volume	Variation	Base	Total	Day	% Diff.	Method	Totals
GRAND FORKS ND														
Total Residential	12,416	0.0087964	98	0.52585477	0.9837	0,0090	98	3 10,703	215	11,016	11,894	(878)	1,653	12,669
Total Commercial	1,837		98	10.81550826	0.9735	0.0090	99			11,082	11,932	(850)	1,663	12,745
Contract Demand	0	Contract Demand						-		0	0	0	0	0
	14,253	0.0150575		1.852232927			197	21,032	668	22,098	23,826	(1,729)	3,316	25,414
FARGO ND														
Total Residential	25,995							,		22,244	23,851	(1,607)	3,338	25,582
Total Commercial	4,687		98	10.72928312	0.9780		229	23,774	-	25,657	28,173	(2,516)	3,850	29,506
Contract Demand	-	Contract Demand								0	0	0	0	0
	30,682	0.01506		2.166440111			427	45,287	2,187	47,901	52,024	(4,123) -7.9%	7,187	55,088
WBI ND														
Total Residential	811				0.9420	0.0090				707	0	707	106	813
Total Commercial	129			0.740818305	0.9236	0.0090	4	395	3	402	0	402	60	462
Contract Demand	0	Contract Demand								0	0	0	0	0
	940	0.0117191		0.612000879			10	1,080	19	1,109	0	1,109	166	1,275
ND COMPANY					**						·			
Total Residential	39,222									33,968	35,746	(1,778)	5,097	39,064
Total Commercial	6,653									37,140	40,105	(2,965)	5,573	42,712
Contract Demand	0									0	0	0	0	0
	45,875					***************************************				71,108	75,851	(4,743)	10,669	81,777
Grand Total												-0.370		
Total Residential	435,436									424,340	426,975	(2,634)	63,668	488,009
Total Commercial	39,166									225,192	231,067	(5,875)	33,788	258,980
Contract Demand	125									19,793	20,938	(1,145)		19,793
	474,727			***************************************						669,325	678,980	(9,655)	97,457	766,782
	· · · · · ·											-1.4%		

CUSTOMERS BY AREA	(EXCLUDING DEMAND BILLED	'n

Area	2009 FORECAST	2008 FORECAST	Difference	%Diff
METRO EAST	303,581	295,307	8,274	2.8%
METRO WEST	127	2,068	-1,941	-93.9%
MAINLINE	14,714	17,771	-3,057	-17.2%
MAINLINE-WELCOME	2,151	NA	NA	NA
WILMAR	9,508	3,117	6,391	205.0%
PAYNESVILLE	53,129	50,930	2,199	4.300%
VGT-CHISAGO	2,945	11,602	-8,656	-74.60%
WATKINS	6,783	14,568	-7,785	-53.40%
TOMAH	15,223	15,317	-94	-0.60%
RED WING	7,574	7622	-47	-0.60%
GRAND FORKS MN	2,795	2,813	-17	-0.6%
FARGO MN	10,196	10,259	-63	-0.6%
MN COMPANY	428,727	431,373	-2,646	-0.6%
GRAND FORKS ND	14,253	13,854	399	2.9%
FARGO ND	30,682	30,735	-53	-0.2%
WBI ND	940	NA	NA	NA
ND COMPANY	45,875	44,589	346	0.8%
TOTAL NSP MN	474,602	475,962	(1,360)	-0.3%

(Customer #s		
	MN	ND	
Res	396,214	39,222	435,43
Com	32,513	6,653	39,16
Ind	125	0	12.
_	428,852	45,875	474,72

Design Day Use By Customer Class					
	MN	<u>ND</u>			
Res	448,945	39,064	488,009		
Com	216,268	42,712	258,980		
Ind	19,793	0	19,793		
	685,005	81,777	766,782		

DESIGN DAY MMBTU DEMAND BY AREA Area 2009 FORECAST

DESIGN DAY MIMBIU	DEMAND DI AKEA			
<u>Area</u>	2009 FORECAST	2008 FORECAST	Difference	%Diff
METRO EAST	494,441	475,971	18,470	3.90%
METRO WEST	207	2,601	-2,394	-92.00%
MAINLINE	24,806	27,881	-3,075	-11.00%
MAINLINE-WELCOME	2,438	NA	2,438	NA
WILMAR	11,626	3645	7,981	219.00%
PAYNESVILLE	84,380	79032	5,348	6.80%
VGT-CHISAGO	3,317	18013	-14,696	-81.60%
WATKINS	8,284	18,104	-9,820	-54.20%
TOMAH	24,513	24,142	371	1.50%
RED WING	11,568	13,154	-1,586	-12.10%
GRAND FORKS MN	4,264	4,710	-446	-9.50%
FARGO MN	15,162	16,464	-1,302	-7.90%
MN COMPANY	685,005	683,717	1,288	0.20%
GRAND FORKS ND	25,414	27,125	-1,711	-6.30%
FARGO ND	55,088	59,226	-4,138	-7.00%
WBI ND	1,275	NA	1,275	NA
ND COMPANY	81,777	86,350	-4,574	-5.30%
TOTAL NSP MN	766,782	770,067	-3,285	-0.40%

MN/	ND	Allocation Factors
2000	חח	2008 DD

2009 DD	2008 DD	
0.8934	0.8879	MN State Allocation
0.1066	0.1121	ND State Allocation
1.0000	1.0000	

NNG SYSTEM

11100101011	2009 FORECAST	2008 FORECAST	Difference	%Diff
METRO EAST	494,441	475,971	18,470	3.90%
METRO WEST	207	2,601	-2,394	-92.00%
MAINLINE	24,806	27,881	-3,075	-11.00%
MAINLINE-WELCOME	2,438	NA	NA	NA
WILMAR	11,626	3,645	7,981	219.00%
PAYNESVILLE	84,380	79,032	5,348	6.80%
VGT-CHISAGO	3,317	18,013	-14,696	-81.60%
WATKINS	8,284	18,104	-9,820	-54.20%
TOMAH	24,513	24,142	371	1.50%
RED WING	11,568	13,154	-1,586	-12.10%
NNG SUBTOTAL	665,579	662,543	3,037	0.50%

Fargo / Grand Forks Allocation Factors 2009 DD 2008 DD

- 4	2009 DD	2006 DD	
			Grand Forks Demand Allocator
	0.1437	0.148	MN Grand Forks Demand Allocato
	0.8563	0.852	ND Grand Forks Demand Allocator
	1.0000	1.0000	
			Fargo Demand Allocation
	0.2158	0.2175	MN Fargo Demand Allocator
	0.7842	0.7825	ND Fargo Demand Allocator
	1.00000	1.00000	

VGT SYSTEM

Docket No. G002/M-08-___

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation **DEMAND COST OF GAS IMPACT - NOVEMBER 2008**

Attachment 1 Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1

CHANGE IN CONTRACT DEMAND ENTITLEMENTS

Current							
	Volume	M	Ionthly	No. of		Total	
Contract Demand Entitlement Changes	Dth/Day Demand Rate		and Rates	Months		Annual Cost	
VGT FT-A (Jan - Dec) ¹	(5,913)	\$	3.4671	12	\$	(246,011.55)	
VGT FT-A (Nov - Mar) ¹	(16,246)	\$	3.4671	5	\$	(281,632.53)	
VGT FT-A (Jan - Dec) ¹	(300)	\$	3.7671	12	\$	(13,561.56)	
VGT FT-A (Jan - Dec) ¹	37,668	\$	4.5871	10	\$	1,727,868.83	

Total for Change in Pipeline Entitlement

\$ 1,186,663.19 [TRADE SECRET BEGINS

Change in Supplier Reservation Fees

Total MN & ND Demand Cost Adjustment

Minnesota Allocation Factor (MN/ND Allocated Demand)

MN only Demand Cost Adjustment due to MN/ND Allocated Demand

TRADE SECRET ENDS]

¹VGT First Revised Volume No. 1, Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 5, Effective January 1, 2006

Attachment 1 Schedule 3 Page 1 of 2

DESIGN DAY CALCULATION

	Jan-2009 Budget	2009 MMBtu	2008 MMBtu	MMBtu
State of Minnesota	Customer	Design Day ¹	Design Day ¹	Change
Residential	396,214	448,944	445,383	3,561
Commercial	32,513	216,268	217,396	(1,128)
Demand Billed	125	19,793	20,938	(1,145)
State of Minnesota Total	428,852	685,005	683,717	1,288
State of North Dakota Total	45,875	81,777	86,350	(4,573)
Total Xcel Energy - Gas Utility Operations	474,727	766,782	770,067	(3,285)

¹ 91 Heating Degree Days for Design Day

DESIGN DAY ESTIMATE FROM ACTUAL USE PER CUSTOMER

	Jan-2009 Budget	Jan-2008 Budget	
Minnesota Company	Customer	Customer	Change
Residential	435,436	436,825	(1,389)
Commercial	39,166	39,137	29
TOTAL	474,602	475,962	(1,360)
Peak Day Use/Cust ²	1.57393	1.57393	
Peak Day Res. & Comm. MMBtus	746,989	749,129	
Demand Billed Customers	125	130	
Contracted Billing Demand of Demand Billed Customers	19,793	20,938	
Projected Design Day (Dth)	766,782	770,067	(3,285)

² Determined from Peak Day usage at an average temperature of -15 degrees Fahrenheit on Thursday, Jan. 29, 2004

ENTITLEMENT ESTIMATE PER CUSTOMER

	Jan-2009	Jan-2008
	Budget	Budget
Reserve Margin	54,884	42,531
Total Available Capacity	821,666	812,598
Entitlement per Customer	1.7308	1.7068

Docket No. G002/M-08-___

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation DERIVATION OF ACTUAL PEAK DAY USE PER CUSTOMER

Design Day: Heating Season 2008-2009

Attachment 1
Schedule 3
Page 2 of 2

	<u>Description</u>	<u>Values</u>	<u>Units</u>	Equation
(1)	Date of Peak Day	January 29, 2004		
(2)	Day of the Week	Thursday		
(3)	Total Throughput including Peakshaving	648,400	Dth	
(4)	Actual Large and Small Comm'l Demand Billed Usage	(13,863)	Dth	
(5)	Total Throughput including Peakshaving less Demand Billed	634,537	Dth	(5) = (3) - (4)
(6)	Interruptible Customers Status	All Curtailed		
(7)	Average Actual Gas Day Temperature	-15	Deg F	
(8)	Heating Degree Days (HDD) 65 degree base	80	HDDs	(8) = 65 - (7)
		[TRADE SECRET	BEGINS	
(9)	Limited Firm/Standby Dth Demand on system		Dth	
(10)	Total Firm Throughput less Ltd F/Stdby & Demand Billed Customers		Dth	(10) = (5) + (9)
(11)	2004 Non-HDD Sensitive Base Dth ¹		Dth	
(12)	Total HDD sensitive Firm throughput		Dth	(12) = (10) + (11)
(13)	Actual Peak Day Dth/HDD		Dth/HDD	(13) = (12) / (8)
		TRADE SECRET	ENDS]	
(14)	Base + (Actual Dth/HDD * 91 HDDs)	695,134	Dth	$(14) = -(11) + [(13) \times 91 \text{ HDDs}]$
(15)	Base + (Actual Dth/HDD * 91 HDDs) + Actual Demand Billed Usage	708,997		(15) = (14) + -(4)
(16)	Average Monthly Projected 2004 Design Day ¹	677,930	Dth	
(17)	Actual Peak Day UPC vs. Avg Monthly Design Day	(31,067)	Dth	(17) = (16) - (15)
(18)	Average Monthly 2004 Design Day Reserve Margin ¹	44,733	Dth	
(19)	Actual 2004 Reserve Margin based on Peak Actuals	13,666	Dth	(19) = (18) + (17)
(20)	January 2004 Projected Firm Residential & Comm'l Customers ¹	441,656	Customers	
(21)	Peak Day Actual Use Per Residential & Comm'l Firm Customer	1.57393	Dth/customer	(21) = (14) / (20)

¹As described in Company's 2003 - 2004 Contract Demand Filing

Attachment 1 Schedule 4 Page 1 of 1

Customer Class

Customer Class	Jul-2007	Aug-2007	Sep-2007	Oct-2007	Nov-2007	Dec-2007	Jan-2008	Feb-2008	Mar-2008	Apr-2008	May-2008	Jun-2008	Total	Winter	Summer
Residential	714,633	743,586	660,216	1,076,329	2,240,295	5,169,088	7,026,831	6,880,744	5,694,418	3,905,081	2,129,317	1,063,780	37,304,319	27,011,376	10,292,943
Residential - FMPP (actual usage less	714,055	7 15,500	000,210	1,070,020	_,0,	5,205,000	.,020,000	0,000,	0,00 1,100	-,,	_,,	-,,		,,	,,
cancellations)	12,503	(34,234)	10,421	16,180	60,899	(3,343)	<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>	Ω	Ω	Ω	Ω	62,442	57,572	4,871
Total Residential	727,137	709,352	670,637	1,092,509	2,301,193	5,165,746	7,026,847	6,880,744	5,694,418	3,905,081	2,129,317	1,063,780	37,366,762	27,068,948	10,297,814
	-														
Interdepartmental	6	5	4	53	562	1,331	2,108	1,754	1,760	1,013	696	1,690	10,980	7,515	3,466
Small Commercial Firm	163,486	146,095	152,868	220,058	501,033	1,032,348	1,639,557	1,629,476	1,394,135	966,950	488,922	248,096	8,583,024	6,196,548	2,386,476
Small Comm. Firm - FMPP (actual usage															
less cancellations)	77	626	(853)	(469)	2,580	37	10	0	0	0	0	0	2,008	2,627	(619)
Large Commercial Firm	253,597	203,637	235,021	<u>345,439</u>	612,703	<u>1,295,158</u>	<u>1,908,366</u>	<u>1,814,207</u>	<u>1,584,139</u>	1,229,451	<u>671,320</u>	<u>381,103</u>	<u>10,534,140</u>	<u>7,214,573</u>	<u>3,319,567</u>
Commercial Firm	417,166	350,363	387,040	565,081	1,116,878	2,328,873	3,550,040	3,445,436	2,980,035	2,197,414	1,160,938	630,889	19,130,153	13,421,263	5,708,890
Small Commercial Demand Billed	9,772	10,145	8,695	12,361	10,733	20,091	16,878	15,257	20,876	17,619	12,848	12,742			
Large Commercial Demand Billed	131,391	129,714	180,055	12,361	180,777	255,477	333,762	285,709	317,226	272,098	184,155	150,513	2,548,352	1,372,950	1,175,401
Large Demand Billed - Generation	4,970	7,490	1,757	1,538	1,390	233,477 1,721	2,116	283,709 1,546	1,383	1,958	1,800	1,303	28,973	8,156	20,816
Commercial Demand Billed	146,134	147,349	190,506	141,374	192,899	277,289	352,756	302,512	339,485	291,675	198,802	164,558	2,745,342	1,464,942	1,280,399
Commercial Demand Billed	140,134	147,549	190,300	141,574	172,077	2112	332,730	J02,J12	337,403	271,075	170,002	104,556	ii,175,57ii	1,707,272	1,000,000
Total Commercial Firm	563,299	497,712	577,546	706,455	1,309,777	2,606,163	3,902,796	3,747,949	3,319,520	2,489,089	1,359,740	795,446	21,875,494	14,886,205	6,989,289
Total Firm	1,290,436	1,207,064	1,248,184	1,798,964	3,610,970	7,771,908	10,929,643	10,628,693	9,013,938	6,394,170	3,489,058	1,859,226	59,242,256	41,955,153	17,287,103
	00.500	70.004	04.554	446646	240.004	450.004	5.65.400	460.054	100 770	404.040	040.070	405.050	2 2 4 0 4 0 4	0.100.274	1.160.100
Small Interruptible	92,583	79,224	81,556 674,749	116,616	210,904	459,224 787,346	565,422 890,027	462,054 714,252	482,770 783,943	406,910 872,942	249,878 653,393	135,353 456,672	3,342,494 7,965,953	2,180,374 3,908,714	1,162,120 4,057,238
Medium Interruptible	364,915 174,794	441,306	281,439	593,261 329,469	733,147 372,276	421,879	413,699	368,628	325,845	243,126	156,998	106,460	3,360,278	1,902,326	1,457,952
Large Interruptible	310,113	165,665 207,881	152,628	68,451	139,165	183,043	63,086	52,186	155,078	38,633	130,998 19,947	54,532	3,360,278 1,444,741	592,558	852,183
Med. & Lg. Interruptible - Generation Total Interruptible	942,405	894,076	1,190,372	1,107,797	1,455,492	1,851,491	1,932,235	1,597,120	1,747,636	1,561,611	1,080,216	753,017	16,113,466	8,583,972	7,529,493
10izi interrupuble	772,703	077,070	1,170,372	1,107,777	1,755,752	1,051,471	1,752,255	1,007,120	1,717,050	1,501,011	1,000,210	155,011	10,115,100	0,303,772	1,020,470
Total Firm and Interruptible	2,232,841	2,101,141	2,438,556	2,906,761	5,066,462	9,623,399	12,861,877	12,225,813	10,761,574	7,955,781	4,569,273	2,612,243	75,355,722	50,539,126	24,816,596
Firm Transportation	16,228	9,606	26,724	20,843	23,338	26,490	29,108	24,255	24,265	21,175	18,440	18,166	258,638	127,456	131,182
Interruptible Transportation	24,456	27,872	29,016	30,470	42,655	53,609	50,025	20,888	72,394	38,190	43,643	31,269	464,487	239,571	224,916
Negotiated Transporation	354,698	192,382	432,672	403,601	597,746	621,622	553,229	496,095	428,867	376,377	456,110	319,864	5,233,263	2,697,559	2,535,704
Interdepartmental Transport - Generation	325,868	321,521	135,424	32,650	48,950	Ω	39	131,552	173,665	351,345	1,297,941	343,687	3,162,641	354,205	2,808,436
Total Transportation	721,251	551,381	623,836	487,564	712,689	701,721	632,401	672,790	699,191	787,087	1,816,134	712,986	9,119,030	3,418,792	5,700,238
Total Customer Sales	2,954,092	2,652,522	3,062,392	3,394,325	5,779,151	10,325,120	13,494,278	12,898,603	11,460,765	8,742,868	6,385,407	3,325,229	84,474,751	53,957,917	30,516,834
1															
Monthly Heating Degree Days	0	12	115	363	915	1,487	1,603	1,431	1,130	630	264	15	7,964	6,566	1,398

Docket No. G002/M-08-____

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation

FIRM SUPPLY ENTITLEMENTS

Attachment 1
Schedule 5
Page 1 of 1

	Current	Proposed	Proposed
	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity
	Effective	Effective	Change
	11/1/2007	11/1/2008	11/1/2008
Firm Supplies (1)	Dth/Day	Dth/Day	Dth/Day

A. Upstream Supply

[TRADE SECRET BEGINS

ANR Firm 3rd Party (2) ANRP Storage (2) ANR Storage Company (3) GLGT Firm 3rd Party (3)

B. Minnesota Company Delivered Supply

WBI Firm 3rd Party
VGT Firm 3rd Party
NNG Firm 3rd Party
NNG FDD Storage
LP Peak Shaving

TRADE SECRET ENDS]

TVIVO I'DD blotage			
LP Peak Shaving	94,300	90,000	(4,300)
LNG Peak Shaving	156,000	156,000	_
TOTAL	812,598	821,666	9,068

C. Minnesota State Delivered Supply

State of MN Allocators	88.79%	89.34%	
TOTAL	721,506	734,076	12,570

- (1) Contracts are available for inspection upon request
- (2) ANR feeds VGT.
- (3) GLGT feeds NNG or VGT

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 2 Page 1 of 2

ATTACHMENT 2

Northern States Power Company, A Minnesota corporation

Proposal for Entitlement Changes

Information provided in response to the Office of Energy Security letter dated October 1, 1993

PROPOSAL FOR ENTITLEMENT CHANGE OES Format dated October 1, 1993

1 Provide a peak-day/design-day study by class for the twelve months ending one year from the proposed implementation date of the change(s):

See Attachment 1, Schedule 3.

2 Provide Heating Degree Day ("HDD") data for the most recent twelve month period ending March 31 or September 30. This should include HDD, use per firm customer, and the peak season and off-peak HDD used for calculating the Company's design days:

See Attachment 1, Schedule 1, and Attachment 1, Schedule 4.

3 Historical and Projected Design-Day and Peak Demand Requirements:

Minnesota State

			Total Entitlement	Peak		
	Number	Design Day	plus Storage plus	Day	Heating	
	of Firm	Requirement	Peak Shaving3	Sendout	Degree	Actual
Heating Season1	Customers2	(Dth)	(Dth)	(Dth)	Days	Peak Pay
-1			4	-5	-6	
Proposed: 2008/2009	428,727	685,005	734,076	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
2007/2008	431,373	683,717	725,975	585,874	72	1/29/2008
2006/2007	424,286	677,733	696,257	568,963	67	2/2/2007
2005/2006	421,570	670,846	691,689	537,660	63	12/5/2005
2004/2005	410,986	649,655	675,120	537,374	60	1/5/2005
2003/2004	401,633	603,468	643,315	561,250	80	1/29/2004
2002/2003	395,807	607,856	642,275	534,385	64.8	1/20/2003

- 1 Per Annual Financial Reports.
- 2 Provide data and calculations for projected number of firm customers by class and in total corresponding to the design day requirement.
- 3 <u>Total entitlement for Minnesota is calculated from the Proposed January 1 Entitlement.</u> See Attachment 1, Schedule 3.
- 4 Demand Profile:

See Attachment 2, Schedule 1.

5 Rate Impact:

See Attachment 2, Schedule 2.

Docket No. G002/M-08-_

Attachment 2 Schedule 1 Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation COMPANY DEMAND PROFILE 2008-2009 Heating Season Page 1 of 2

2008-2009 Heating Se	ason							Page 1 of 2
		Current	Proposed	Proposed	_			
		Amount	Change	Amount	Contract		% of	
	Type of Capacity or	Dth or	Dth or	Dth or	Length and	Change	Peak Day	
Contract No.	Entitlement	MMBtu	MMBtu	MMBtu	Expiration Date	Description	Entitlement	
	Capacity Entitlements							
112183	NNG TF12 BASE (Max)	134,235	0	134,235	10 yrs - 10/31/17		16.34%	
112182	NNG TF12 BASE (Disc.)	3,624	0	3,624	10 yrs - 10/31/17		0.44%	
112182	NNG TF12 VARIABLE (Disc.)	60,785	0	60,785	10 yrs - 10/31/17		7.40%	
	` '							
112183	NNG TF5 (Max)	63,443	0	63,443	10 yrs - 10/31/17		7.72%	
112182	NNG TF5 (Disc.)	28,571	0	28,571	10 yrs - 10/31/17		3.48%	
	111.0 110 (21.0)		•	,	///			
111739	NNG TFX (Nov-Mar)	38,584	0	38,584	2 yrs - 10/31/09		4.70%	
111757	Tito III (Tormai)	30,304	v	30,301	2)10 10/01/07		11.070	
112185	TFX (Disc.)	52,526	0	52,526	10 yrs - 10/31/17		6.39%	
112186	TFX (Max)	52,025	0	52,025	10 yrs - 10/31/17		6.33%	
			0				Summer Only	
112186	TFX 2 (Max)	5,800		5,800	10 yrs - 10/31/17			
112186	TFX 5 (Max)	29,428	0	29,428	10 yrs - 10/31/17		Summer Only	
112184	TFX (Disc.)	25,000	. 0	25,000	10 yrs - 10/31/17		3.04%	
		[TRADE SECRE	T BEGINS					
	VGT to ANR Marshfield (1)					•		
	VGT to NNG Pierz NNG (2)							TRADE SECRET ENDS]
AF0044	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	29,002	0	29,002	5 yrs - 10/31/13		3.53%	
AF0054	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	5,913	(5,913)	0	15 yrs - 10/31/08	Contract Expired		
AF0044	VGT FT-A (Nov-Mar)	4,239	0	4,239	5 yrs - 10/31/13		0.52%	
AF0054	VGT FT-A (Nov-Mar)	16,246	(16,246)	0	15 yrs - 10/31/08	Contract Expired		
AF0054	Capacity Release	(22,159)	22,159	0		Contract Expired		
AF0055	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	300	(300)	0	4 yrs - 10/31/08	Contract Expired		
AF0055	VGT FT-A (Nov-Mar)	300	(300)	0	4 yrs - 10/31/08	Contract Expired		
AF0055	Capacity Release	(600)	600	0	. 7	Contract Expired		
AF0036	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	5,000	0	5,000	15 yrs - 10/31/11		0.61%	
AF0036	VGT FT-A (Nov-Mar)	16,105	0	16,105	15 yrs - 10/31/11		1.96%	
AF0036	Capacity Release	(1,105)	1,105	0	15 yts - 10/51/11		1.5070	
AF0103			0	5,000	15 yrs - 10/31/14		Summer Only	
	VGT FT-A (Apr-Oct)	5,000	0	10,000	15 yrs - 10/31/14		1.22%	
AF0103	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	10,000						
AF0035	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	5,450	0	5,450	10 yrs - 10/31/10		0.66%	
AF0035	VGT FT-A (Nov-Mar)	6,550	0	6,550	10 yrs - 10/31/10		0.80%	
AF0035	Capacity Release	(12,000)	0	(12,000)			-1.46%	
AF0037	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	15,600	0	15,600	4/30/2014		1.90%	
RF0169	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	300	(300)	0	2 yrs - 5/31/08	Contract Expired	0.00%	
AF0116	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	1,903	0	1,903	5 yrs - 4/30/11		0.23%	
New Fargo Lateral	VGT FT-A 12 Mos.	0	37,668	37,668	8 yrs - 12/31/17		4.58%	
	WBI X-13	8,000	0	8,000	20 yrs - 10/31/12		0.97%	
	WBI FT-1	461	0	461	20 yrs - 07/01/13		0.06%	
	City Gate Deliveries	24,000	10,000	34,000	10 yrs - 10/31/17	Included in Supply Entitlement below	4.14%	
	•				,			
	LP Peak Shaving	94,300	(4,300)	90,000		Grand Forks LPG not operational	10.95%	
	LNG Peak Shaving	156,000	v o	156,000		•	18.99%	
	Total Design Day Capacity	812,598		821,666			100.00%	
	Heating Season Total	812,598		821,666				
				351,956				
	Non-Heating Season Total	320,801		331,930				
	Miscellaneous Entitlements with R	eservation Fees						
	Additional Pipeline Entitlements							
	ANR FT-106209 12 Mos. (1)	4,829		4,829	7 yrs - 03/31/15			
	ANR FT-106211 (Summer) (1)	4,921	0	4,921	7 yrs - 03/31/15			
	ANR FT-106211 (Winter) (1)	15,171		15,171	7 yrs - 03/31/15			
	GLT FT-043 (2)	3,799		3,799	16 yrs - 03/31/10			
	GLT FT-142 (Nov-Apr) (2)	15,195		15,195	17 yr - 04/30/11			
	GLT FT-6187 (2)	960		960	7 month 10/31/09			
	**							
	NNG SMS (3)	30,650		30,650	15 yrs - 10/31/17	Error of 150 Dth		
	1110 0110 (5)	50,050		50,050	20 120 20,02,27			
	VGT OBA (3)	7,400		7,400	14 yrs - 10/31/09			
	VG1 OBA (5)	7,400		7,400	14 yis - 10/31/09			
	0 1 5 11							
	Supply Entitlements (4)							
	[TRADE SECRET BEGINS							
						TRADE SECRET ENDS]		
	Storage Entitlements							
	ANR Pipeline Storage (.953 Bcf)	15,250		15,250	16 yrs - 3/31/08			
	ANR Storage (.994 Bcf)	15,297		15,297	7 yrs - 3/31/14			
	FDD Service (8.085Bcf)	140,230		140,230	4 yrs - 5/31/07 (1.4 B	ocf expires 5/31/08)		
	FDD Service (1.875Bcf)	32,518	(32,518)	0	12 yrs - 5/31/17			
	FDD Service (4.5Bcf)	78,050	(-2,010)	78,050	15 yrs - 5/31/27			
	LDD Service (4.3Der)	10,000		70,000	13 910 - 3/31/21			

Not included in total peak deliverability – feeds VGT (capacity not additive)
 Not included in total peak deliverability – feeds NNG (capacity not additive).
 Not included in total peak deliverability – entitlement delivered by or associated with TF or FT-A service.
 Supply contracts containing reservation fees.

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation

Attachment 2

CHANGES TO CONTRACT ENTITLEMENTS AS OF NOVEMBER 1, 2008

Schedule 1 Page 2 of 2

	Current Amount <u>Dth</u>	Proposed Change <u>Dth</u>	Proposed Amount <u>Dth</u>
Total MN Company Available Capacity:			
Heating Season Non-Heating Season	812,598 320,801	9,068 31,155	821,666 351,956
Heating Season			
Forecasted Design Day	770,067	(3,285)	766,782
Non-Heating Season Forecasted Design Day	N/A	N/A	N/A
Heating Season Capacity			
Reserve/(Shortage)	42,531	12,353	54,884
Non-Heating Season Capacity Reserve/(Shortage)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Heating Season Capacity Reserve/(Shortage) Margin %	5.5%	1.6%	7.2%
Total MN State Available Capacity:			
State of MN Allocation Factor	88.79%	0.55%	89.34%
State of MN Heating Season Capacity	721,506	12,570	734,076
State of MN Design Day Demand	683,717	1,288	685,005
State of MN Heating Season Capacity Reserve/(Shortage)	37,789	11,282	49,071
State of MN Heating Season Capacity Reserve/(Shortage) Margin %	5.5%	1.6%	7.2%

⁽¹⁾ Entitlement changes for November are included in Available Capacity.

Please reference Attachment 1 Schedule 5 for the detail on supply entitlement changes.

MINNESOTA STATE RATE IMPACT

Please use the following table to illustrate the financial effects of the poposed change, based on the most recent Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA), the first PGA which implemented the most recently approved demand change and the last rate case for residential customers and all firm customers. If interruptible customers are affected, please identify the rate impact in the same format as specified bleow.

Date to implement proposed change: November 1, 2008

Docket No. of most recently approved demand change: G002/M-06-1454

Date of last rate case: November 9, 2006, 2007 Test Year Docket No. of last rate case: G002/GR-06-1429

			RESIDENTIAL FI	RM					
		Last Approved		Current PGA	Current PGA				
	2007 Rate Case	Demand	Last Month PGA:	without Adjustment:	with Adjustment:	Change From Last	Change From Last		
	Base Cost of Gas	Adjustment:	October 2008	November 2008	November 2008	Rate Case	Approved Demand	Change From	Change From
All Cost \$/Dth	(7)	November 2006	(8)	(8)	(8)	Base Cost	Adjustment	Last Month PGA	Current PGA
Commodity Cost of Gas (WACOG) (1)	\$7.2073	\$7.0824	\$5.1953	\$6.7096	\$6.7096	-6.9%	-5.3%	29.1%	0.0%
Demand Cost of Gas -Summer (4)	\$0.6030	\$0.6608	\$0.3548	\$0.3850	\$0.3880	-35.7%	-41.3%	9.4%	0.8%
Demand Cost of Gas - Winter (4, 5)	\$1.1856	\$1.2166	\$0.9494	\$0.9847	\$0.9925	-16.3%	-18.4%	4.5%	0.8%
Total Cost of Gas - Summer (2)	\$7.8103	\$7.7432	\$5.5501	\$7.0946	\$7.0976	-9.1%	-8.3%	27.9%	0.0%
Total Cost of Gas - Winter (2)	\$8.3929	\$8.2990	\$6.1447	\$7.6943	\$7.7021	-8.2%	-7.2%	25.3%	0.1%
Average Annual Total Usage (6)	35,410,972	36,533,488	35,410,972	35,410,972	35,410,972	0.0%	-3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Average Annual Total Cost of Gas (2)	\$292,314,298	\$298,381,973	\$212,602,704	\$267,432,770	\$267,668,717	-8.4%	-10.3%	25.9%	0.1%

			ALL FIRM CUSTO	OMERS (3)					
		Last Approved		Current PGA	Current PGA				
	2007 Rate Case	Demand	Last Month PGA:	without Adjustment:	with Adjustment:	Change From Last	Change From Last		
	Base Cost of Gas	Adjustment:	October 2008	November 2008	November 2008	Rate Case	Approved Demand	Change From	Change From
All Cost \$/Dth	(7)	November 2006	(8)	(8)	(8)	Base Cost	Adjustment	Last Month PGA	Current PGA
Commodity Cost of Gas (WACOG) (1)	\$7.1744	\$7.0824	\$5.1953	\$6.7096	\$6.7096	-6.5%	-5.3%	29.1%	0.0%
Demand Cost of Gas -Summer (4)	\$0.6030	\$0.6608	\$0.3548	\$0.3850	\$0.3880	-35.7%	-41.3%	9.4%	0.8%
Demand Cost of Gas - Winter (4, 5)	\$1.1856	\$1.2166	\$0.9494	\$0.9847	\$0.9925	-16.3%	-18.4%	4.5%	0.8%
Total Cost of Gas - Summer (2)	\$7.7774	\$7.7432	\$5.5501	\$7.0946	\$7.0976	-8.7%	-8.3%	27.9%	0.0%
Total Cost of Gas - Winter (2)	\$8.3600	\$8.2990	\$6.1447	\$7.6943	\$7.7021	-7.9%	-7.2%	25.3%	0.1%
Average Annual Total Usage	53,437,474	55,131,424	53,437,474	53,437,474	53,437,474	0.0%	-3.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Average Annual Total Cost of Gas (2)	\$439,038,540	\$449,958,270	\$320,499,930	\$403,239,246	\$403,592,629	-8.1%	-10.3%	25.9%	0.1%

- (1) Commodity costs include Peakshaving.
- (2) Total cost of gas excludes distribution margin
- (3) Excludes Demand Billed Customers firm sales.
- (4) Rate for Rate Case is a weighted average firm rate since each class has a unique cost of gas.
- (5) Not applicable during the summer months
- (6) Residential Total Usage for October and November colums were imputed by taking the Residental % of usage in the 2004 Rate Case usage multiplied by the annual usage filed in the PGA for specific months.
- (7) As in the compliance filing
- (8) Does not include the monthly demand true-up surcharge(credit)

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation

DERIVATION OF CURRENT PGA COSTS

November 2008 - Projected Costs (Actual prices will be determined Nov.1, 2008)*

Attachment 2
Schedule 2
Page 2 of 2

Dem	and Cost (Res, Sm & Lg Commercial Firm)	Annual Cost	Winter Cost	<u>Total</u>
1.	MN & ND Total Demand	\$23,800,319	\$27,937,952	
2.	x Minnesota Design Day Ratio (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>89.34%</u>	<u>89.34%</u>	
3.	Annual System Demand Allocation to MN	\$21,263,205	\$24,959,767	
4.	Grand Forks Total Demand	\$275,226	\$369,376	
5.	x Minnesota Allocator (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>14.37%</u>	<u>14.37%</u>	
6.	Annual Grand Forks Demand Allocation to MN	\$39,550	\$53,079	
7.	Fargo Base Total Demand	\$226,748	\$113,548	
8.	x Minnesota Allocator (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>21.58%</u>	<u>21.58%</u>	
9.	Annual Fargo Demand Allocation to MN	\$48,932	\$24,504	
10.	Minnesota Total Demand (3 + 6 + 9)	\$21,351,687	\$25,037,350	
11.	MN State Design Day (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	685,005	685,005	
12.	- Small & Large Demand Billed Dth (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>19,763</u>	<u>19,763</u>	
13.	Non-Demand Billed Design Day Dth (11-12)	665,242	665,242	
14.	Non-Demand Billed Allocation (10 x 13 / 11)	\$20,735,672	\$24,315,000	
15.	Demand Billed Cost Allocation (10-14)	\$616,015	\$722,350	
16.	MN Annual / Seasonal Firm Therm Sales (2007 Rate Case)	534,374,742	402,230,147	
17.	Demand Unit Cost \$/Therm (14 / 16)	\$0.03880	\$0.06045	\$0.09925
18.	Demand Cost True-up - Residential, Oct-May			\$0.00000
19.	Demand Cost True-up - Commercial, Oct-May			\$0.00000
20.	Total Demand Rate - Residential (17 +18)			\$0.09925
21.	Total Demand Rate -Commercial (17 + 19)			\$0.09925
Dem	and Cost (Demand Billed)			
22.	Cost Allocated to Demand Billed (15)	\$616,015	\$722,350	\$1,338,365
23.	/ Annual Contract Billing Demand (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	π γ	ıı · ————	2,371,560
24.	Monthly Commercial Demand Billed Demand Rate			\$0.56434
211	Tronting Commercial 2 Strains 22200 2 Strains 2 1800			
	modity Costs			Monthly Cost
25.	NNG Annual/Best Effort/Viking/WBI/Xcel Energy Pk Shv			\$58,456,646
26.	x MN Portion of Monthly Retail Sales			88.34%
27.	MN Portion of Monthly Commodity Costs			\$51,640,601
28.	MN Budgeted Calendar Month Retail Therm Sales			76,964,698
29.	Commodity Unit Cost \$/Therm (27 / 28)			\$0.67096
Tota	ıl Gas Cost per Therm			
30.	Residential (20 + 29)			\$0.77021
31.	Small & Large Commercial (21 +29)	•		\$0.77021
32.	Small & Large Demand Billed - Demand (24)			\$0.56434
33.	Small & Large Demand Billed - Commodity; All Interruptible (29)			\$0.67096
	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

^{*}Commodity costs are projected and for illustrative purposed only.

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 3

ATTACHMENT 3

Northern States Power Company, A Minnesota corporation

Information provided in response to reporting requirements in Docket No. G002/M-03-1627 (order dated January 23, 2004) Regarding use of financial instruments to limit price volatility.

28

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation SUMMARY OF COMPANY HEDGE TRANSACTIONS

2008-2009 Heating Season

							Monthly Volumes (Dth)					L	
Transaction	Hedge		Premium	Call Strike	Daily Vol							Total Volume	
Date	Instrument	Counterparty	(\$/Dth)	Price	(Dth)	Basis Point	November	December	January	February	March	(Dth)	Total Dollars
TTPADE SECR	ET RECINS												

Totals

Actual Hedge Activity

TRADE SECRET ENDS]

Docket No. G002/M-08-____

Attachment 3

Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 4 Page 1 of 4

ATTACHMENT 4

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota Corporation Gas Operations

Information provided in response to the OES Recommendation in Docket No. G0002/M-07-1395 to allocate some demand costs to interruptible customers.

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota Corporation Gas Operations

Information provided in response to the OES Recommendation in Docket No. G0002/M-07-1395 to allocate some demand costs to interruptible customers.

In the OES Comments dated October 7, 2008 regarding the Company's 2007-2008 Contract Demand Entitlement filing, Docket No. G002/M-07-1395, the OES recommended that the Commission approve our proposal to allocate some demand costs to interruptible customers, with an effective date of November 1, 2008. Commission action in that docket is pending. The Company has updated the proposal to reflect the impact of the 2008-09 heating season portfolio proposed in this filing.

Timing

As the pertinent demand contracts are long-term contracts and unlikely to change during the year, we propose to review and update, as needed, the calculation of costs annually in the Contract Demand Entitlement filing. If a contract amount changes during the year, we will file a supplement to the Contract Demand Entitlement filing.

The Company proposes to implement the change in demand cost allocation prospectively from the date of Commission action. We will include this revised demand cost allocation in the first PGA feasible after receiving a Commission order.

Mechanics of Proposal

As explained in Docket No. G002/M-07-1395, we propose to assign using commodity allocators a portion of the costs for storage capacity demand charges and pipeline balancing charges. This will result in interruptible sales customers paying for some demand charges. Attachment 4, Schedule 1 illustrates how we propose to calculate the amount of these demand costs to be included and allocated as commodity costs. Attachment 4, Schedule 2 illustrates how the costs will be treated in the PGA.

Storage Capacity Demand

Attachment 4, Schedule 1 – Calculation of Demand Costs to Be Allocated as Commodity Costs

Column A – "Winter Cost" is the annual cost of storage capacity demand charges for all storage facilities including Northern's Firm Deferred Delivery ("FDD"), ANR Storage Company, and ANR Pipeline Company storage. These charges only occur in the winter season.

Column B – "Total Winter Sales (Dth)" is the budgeted heating season (November through March) sales for all firm and interruptible sales (non-transportation) customers in dekatherms.

Column C – "Cost per Dth" is the cost per dekatherm to be paid for all gas commodity sales (firm and interruptible) during the heating season. Column C is calculated by dividing Column A by Column B.

Column D – "Total Interruptible Winter Sales (Dth)" is the budgeted heating season sales for interruptible sales customers in dekatherms.

Column E – "Total Winter Cost to Be Allocated as Commodity" is the amount that will be used in the PGA. Column E is calculated by multiplying Column C by Column D.

Attachment 4, Schedule 2 – Derivation of Current PGA Costs

Line 2, Winter Costs – "Less Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity" is the amount from Column E on Schedule 1. The calculated storage capacity demand costs will be subtracted from the total demand costs.

Line 29 – "Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity – Winter" is the amount from Column E divided by five (for the five months in the heating season). The monthly amount of calculated storage capacity demand costs will be added to the total commodity costs. Line 29 will be \$0 in the non-winter months (April through October).

Pipeline Balancing

Attachment 4, Schedule 1 – Calculation of Demand Costs to Be Allocated as Commodity Costs

Column A – "Annual Cost" is the annual cost of pipeline balancing services.

Docket No. G002/M-08-____ Attachment 4 Page 4 of 4

Column B – "Total Annual Sales (Dth)" is the budgeted annual sales for all firm and interruptible sales (non-transportation) customers in dekatherms.

Column C – "Cost per Dth" is the cost per dekatherm to be paid for on all gas commodity sales (firm and interruptible) during the year. Column C is calculated by dividing Column A by Column B.

Column D – "Total Interruptible Annual Sales (Dth)" is the budgeted annual sales for interruptible sales customers in dekatherms.

Column E – "Total Annual Cost to Be Allocated as Commodity" is amounted that will be used in the PGA. Column E is calculated by multiplying Column C by Column D.

Attachment 4, Schedule 2 – Derivation of Current PGA Costs

Line 2, Annual Costs – "Less Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity" is the amount from Column E on Schedule 1. The calculated pipeline balancing costs will be subtracted from the total demand costs.

Line 28 – "Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity – Annual" is the amount from Column E divided by twelve. The monthly amount of calculated pipeline balancing costs will be added to the total commodity costs.

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation

CALCULATION OF COMPANY DEMAND COSTS TO BE ALLOCATED AS COMMODITY COSTS

Attachment 4

Schedule 1

Page 1 of 1

${f A}$	В	C	D	${f E}$
		A/B		C * D

1. Storage Capacity Demand Charges

				<u>Total</u>	Total Winter Cost		
		Total Winter		<u>Interruptible</u>	to Be Allocated	12-Month	Winter-Month
	Winter Cost*	Sales (Dth)	Cost per Dth	Winter Sales (Dth)	as Commodity	Cost	· Cost
		, ,	_				
NNG:FDD	\$4,489,060.58	55,931,674	\$0.0803	8,696,256	\$697,959.09		\$697,959.09
ANR	\$378,201.60	55,931,674	\$0.0068	8,696,256	\$58,802.78		\$58,802.78
ANRS	\$292,206.3 <u>5</u>	55,931,674	<u>\$0.0052</u>	8,696,256	<u>\$45,432.24</u>		\$45,432.24
	\$5,159,468.53		\$0.0922		\$802,194.12		\$802,194.12
2. Pipeline Bala	ncing Charges						
_				<u>Total</u>	Total Annual Cost		
		Total Annual		<u>Interruptible</u>	to Be Allocated		
	Annual Cost	Sales (Dth)	Cost per Dth	Annual Sales (Dth)	as Commodity		
NNG:SMS	\$801,804.00	81,791,996	\$0.0098	17,886,590	\$175,341.60	\$175,341.60	
VGT:OBA	\$88,800.00	81,791,996	\$0.0011	17,886,590	\$19,419.13	\$19,419.13	
	\$890,604.00		\$0.0109		\$194,760.73	\$194,760.73	

^{*}Storage Capacity Demand Charges only occur in the Winter

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation

DERIVATION OF CURRENT PGA COSTS

November 2008 - Projected Costs (Actual prices will be determined Nov.1, 2008)*

Proposal -- with some demand costs moved to commodity allocation

Attachment 4 Schedule 2

Page 1 of 1

Dem	nand Cost (Res, Sm & Lg Commercial Firm)	Annual Cost	Winter Cost	<u>Total</u>
1.	MN & ND Total Demand	\$23,800,319	\$27,937,952	
2.	Less Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity	<u>\$194,761</u>	<u>\$802,194</u>	
3.	MN & ND Total Demand Adjusted	\$23,605,558	\$27,135,758	
4.	x Minnesota Design Day Ratio (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>89.34%</u>	<u>89.34%</u>	
5.	Annual System Demand Allocation to MN	\$21,089,206	\$24,243,086	
6.	Grand Forks Total Demand	\$275,226	\$369,376	
7.	x Minnesota Allocator (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>14.37%</u>	<u>14.37%</u>	
8.	Annual Grand Forks Demand Allocation to MN	\$39,550	\$53,079	
9.	Fargo Base Total Demand	\$226,748	\$113,548	
10.	x Minnesota Allocator (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>21.58%</u>	<u>21.58%</u>	
11.	Annual Fargo Demand Allocation to MN	\$48,932	\$24,504	
12.	Minnesota Total Demand (5 + 8 + 11)	\$21,177,688	\$24,320,669	
13.	MN State Design Day (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	685,005	685,005	
14.	- Small & Large Demand Billed Dth (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)	<u>19,763</u>	<u>19,763</u>	
15.	Non-Demand Billed Design Day Dth (13-14)	665,242	665,242	
16.	Non-Demand Billed Allocation (12 x 15 / 13)	\$20,566,693	\$23,618,996	
17.	Demand Billed Cost Allocation (12-16)	\$610,995	\$701,673	
18.	MN Annual / Seasonal Firm Therm Sales (2007 Rate Case)	534,374,742	402,230,147	
19.	Demand Unit Cost \$/Therm (16 / 18)	\$0.03849	\$0.05872	\$0.09721
20.	Demand Cost True-up - Residential, Oct-May			\$0.00000
21.	Demand Cost True-up - Commercial, Oct-May			\$0.00000
22.	Total Demnd Rate - Residential (19 +20)			\$0.09721
23.	Total Demnd Rate -Commercial (19 + 21)			\$0.09721
Den	nand Cost (Demand Billed)			
24.	Cost Allocated to Demand Billed (17)	\$610,995	\$701,673	\$1,312,668
25.	/ Annual Contract Billing Demand (2008 Demand Entitlement Filing)			2,371,560
26.	Monthly Commercial Demand Billed Demand Rate			\$0.55350
Com	umodity Costs			Monthly Cost
27.	NNG Annual/Best Effort/Viking/WBI/Xcel Energy Pk Shv			\$58,456,646
28.	Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity - Annual (Line 2-Annual / 12-months)			\$16,230
29.	Demand Charge Allocation to Commodity - Winter (Line 2-Winter / 5-months), No	vember-March		\$160,439
30.	Total Monthly Commodity Costs			\$58,633,315
31.	x MN Portion of Monthly Retail Sales			88.34%
32.	MN Portion of Monthly Commodity Costs			\$51,796,671
33.	MN Budgeted Calendar Month Retail Therm Sales			76,964,698
34.	Commodity Unit Cost \$/Therm (32 / 33)			\$0.67299
Tota	al Gas Cost per Therm			
35.	Residential (22 + 34)			\$0.77020
36.	Small & Large Commercial (23 + 34)			\$0.77020
37.	Small & Large Demand Billed - Demand (26)			\$0.55350
38.	Small & Large Demand Billed - Commodity; All Interruptible (34)			\$0.67299
201				,

^{*}Commodity costs are projected and for illustrative purposed only.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, John Clay, here on the attached l	eby certify that I have this day served copies of the foregoing document ist of persons.
<u>XX</u>	by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States mail at Minneapolis, Minnesota
<u>xx</u>	electronic filing
DOCKET NO. G	002/M-08
Dated this 31st d	lay of October 2008
/s/	
John Cla	ny .

Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

Miscellaneous Gas Service List

9-25-2008

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 East Seventh Place, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

Julia E. Anderson Minnesota Office of the Attorney General 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota St St Paul, MN 55101-2131

Ronald M. Giteck Office of Attorney General Residential Utilities Division 445 Minnesota Street, 900 Bremer Tower St Paul, MN 55101

Kathleen D. Sheehy Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings PO Box 64620 St Paul, MN 55164-0620

John Moir City of Minneapolis City Hall, Room 301M 350 South 5th Street Minneapolis, MN 55415-1376

Chris Duffrin Energy CENTS Coalition 823 East Seventh St St Paul, MN 55106 Sharon Ferguson Docket Coordinator Minnesota Office of Energy Security 85 7th Place East, Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101-2198

John Lindell Office of the Attorney General - RUD 900 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 900 St. Paul, MN 55101

Karen Finstad Hammel Office of The Attorney General 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131

Roger Boehner 6511 Humbolt Ave. No. #210 Brooklyn Center, MN 55430

Jeffrey A. Daugherty Director, Regulatory Services CenterPoint Energy Minnegasco PO Box 59038 800 LaSalle Avenue, Flr 11 Minneapolis, MN 55459-0038

Lloyd W. Grooms Winthrop & Weinstine 225 South Sixth St, Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4629 Todd J. Guerrero Lindquist & Vennum, P.L.L.P. 4200 IDS Center Minneapolis, MN 55402 Sandra L. Hofstetter 1140 Mary Hill Circle Hartland, WI 53029-8009

Richard J. Johnson Moss & Barnett 4800 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh St Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129 Mike Krikava Briggs & Morgan 2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Robert S. Lee Mackall Crounse & Moore Law Offices 1400 AT&T Tower 901 Marquette Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-2859 David W Niles Avant Energy Services Suite 300 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Joseph V. Plumbo Business Manager Local Union 23, IBEW 932 Payne Avenue St Paul, MN 55130 Mike Sarafolean Gerdau AmeriSteel US Inc. 4221 West Boy Scout Boulevard, Suite 600 Tampa, FL 33607

Richard J. Savelkoul, Esq. Felhaber, Larson, Fenlon & Vogt, P.A. 444 Cedar St, Suite 2100 St Paul, MN 55101-2136 Kenneth Smith District Energy St Paul, Inc. 76 West Kellogg Blvd. St Paul, MN 55102-1611

Lon Stanton ENRON-Northern Natural Gas 1600 W. 82nd Street, Suite 210 Minneapolis, MN 55431 James M. Strommen, Esq. Kennedy & Graven 470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

James R. Talcott Northern Natural Gas Company 1111 South 103rd Street Omaha, NE 68124 Lisa Veith City of St Paul 400 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St Paul, MN 55102-1616 Megan Hertzler Asst General Counsel Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 5th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993

SaGonna Thompson Records Analyst Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 James P. Johnson Asst General Counsel Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 5th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 Xcel Energy: In the Matter of Xcel Energy Gas

Rate Case

OAH Docket No. 15-2500-17791–2 MPUC Docket No. G002/GR-06-1429 2-12-2008

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

Steven H. Alpert Minnesota Office of the Attorney General 1100 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131

Ronald M. Giteck Office of Attorney General Residential Utilities Division 900 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101

John Lindell Office of the Attorney General - RUD 900 Bremer Tower St. Paul, MN 55101-2130

Christopher Anderson Minnesota Power 30 West Superior St Duluth, MN 55802-2093

Tim Barth Marathon Petroleum Company PO Box 3128 Houston, TX 77253 Sharon Ferguson MN Office of Energy Security 85 Seventh Place East, Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101-2198

Julia E. Anderson Minnesota Office of the Attorney General 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131

Karen Finstad Hammel Office of Attorney General 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101

Valerie M. Means Minnesota Office of the Attorney General 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101

John Bailey Institute for Local Self-Reliance 1313 – Fifth Street NE Minneapolis, MN 55414

James J Bertrand Leonard, Street & Deinard 150 South Fifth St, Ste 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402 William A Blazar Minnesota Chamber of Commerce 400 N Robert Street, Suite 1500 St. Paul, MN 55101

Bob Bridges International Paper Company 100 East Sartell Street Sartell, MN 56377

Robert S Carney, Jr. 4232 Colfax Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55409

Jeffrey A. Daugherty Director, Regulatory Services CenterPoint Energy Minnegasco PO Box 59038 800 LaSalle Avenue, Floor 11 Minneapolis, MN 55459-0038

Chris Duffrin Energy CENTS 823 East Seventh St St Paul, MN 55106

Brian Elliott Clean Water Action Alliance 326 Hennepin Avenue E Minneapolis, MN 55414

Paula Erdmann Tri-County Action Programs, Inc. 700 West St Germain St St Cloud, MN 56301 Michael J. Bradley Moss & Barnett 4800 Wells Fargo Center 90 South 7th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

Bill Bullard South Dakota Public Utilities commission Capitol Building Pierre, SD 57501-5070

George Crocker North American Water Office PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Leslie Davis Earth Protector Inc PO Box 11688 Minneapolis, MN 55411-0688

Janet Shaddix Elling Shaddix and Associates 9100 West Bloomington Freeway Suite 122 Bloomington, MN 55431

Ron Elwood Legal Services Advocacy Project Suite 101 Midtown Commons 2324 University Avenue St Paul, MN 55114

James Erickson Minnesota Power 30 West Superior St Duluth, MN 55802 Executive Director St Paul Urban League 401 Selby Avenue St Paul, MN 55102

Elizabeth Goodpaster MN Center for Environmental Advocacy 26 East Exchange St, #206 St Paul, MN 55101

Lloyd W. Grooms Winthrop & Weinstine 225 South Sixth St., Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-4629

Annette Henkel Minnesota Utility Investors 400 Robert St North, Ste 208 St Paul, MN 55101-2015

Sandra L. Hofstetter 1140 Mary Hill Circle Hartland, WI 53029-8009

Michael C. Krikava Briggs & Morgan, P.A. 2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th St. Minneapolis, MN 55402

Mike McClone Heat Share Salvation Army 1031 Payne Avenue St Paul, MN 55101-3840 Mike Franklin Minnesota Chamber of Commerce 400 N Robert Street, Suite 1500 St. Paul, MN 55101

William Grant Izaak Walton League, Midwest Office 1619 Dayton Avenue, Ste 202 St Paul, MN 55104-6206

Todd J. Guerrero Lindquist & Vennum, PLLP 4200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Beverly Heydinger Office of Administrative Hearings PO Box 64620 St Paul, MN 55164-0620

Richard J. Johnson Moss & Barnett 4800 Wells Fargo Center 90 South 7th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

Robert S. Lee Mackall Crounse & Moore Law Offices 1400 AT& T Tower 901 Marquette Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-2859

Peter G. Mikhail Kennedy & Graven, Chartered 470 US Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth St Minneapolis, MN 55402 John Moir City of Minneapolis City Hall, Room 301M 350 South 5th Street Minneapolis, MN 55415-1376

Joseph V. Plumbo Business Manager Local Union 23, IBEW 932 Payne Avenue St Paul, MN 55101

Gary Satterfield Marathon Petroleum Company, LLC P O Box 3128 Houston, TX 77253

Kenneth W. Smith, PE District Energy St. Paul, Inc. 76 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102-1611

James M. Strommen, Esq. Kennedy & Graven 470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South 6th St Minneapolis, MN 55402

Lisa Veith City of St. Paul 400 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102-1616

Catarina Zuber Avant Energy Services 200 South 6th St, Suite 300 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Andrew Moratzka Mackall, Crounse and Moore 1400 AT&T Tower 901 Marquette Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402

Michael J. Sarafolean Gerdau AmeriSteel US, Inc. 4221 West Boy Scout Boulevard, Ste 600 Tampa, FL 33607

Richard J. Savelkoul, Esq. Felhaber, Larson, Fenlon & Vogt, P.A. 444 Cedar St, Suite 2100 St Paul, MN 55101-2136

Lon Stanton Northern Natural Gas Company 1600 West 82nd St Minneapolis, MN 55431-1480

James R. Talcott Northern Natural Gas Company 1111 South 103rd St Omaha, NE 68124

Keith Weigel AARP 30 East Seventh St, Ste 1200 St Paul, MN 55101

Megan J. Hertzler Assistant General Counsel Xcel Energy Services Inc. 414 Nicollet Mall - 5th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 James P. Johnson Asst General Counsel Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 5th Fl Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 SaGonna Thompson Records Analyst Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 7th Fl Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 In the Matter of Xcel Energy's Application for Approval of General Gas Rates

PUC Docket No. G002/GR-04-1511 OAH Docket. No. 3-2500-16292-4 3/7/2008

Burl W. Haar Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 East Seventh Place, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

Julia Anderson MN Office of the Attorney General 1400 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota St St Paul, MN 55101-2131

Karen Finstad Hammel Office of The Attorney General 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1400 St Paul, MN 55101-2131

Kathleen D. Sheehy Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings PO Box 64620 St Paul, MN 55164-0620

Michael J Bradley Moss & Barnett 4800 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh St Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

Richard J. Johnson Moss & Barnett 4800 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh St Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129 Sharon Ferguson Docket Coordinator MN Office of Energy Security 85 7th Place East, Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101-2198

Ronald M. Giteck Office of Attorney General Residential Utilities Division 445 Minnesota Street, 900 Bremer St Paul, MN 55101

John Lindell Office of the Attorney General -RUD 900 Bremer Tower 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 900 St. Paul, MN 55101

William A. Blazar MN Chamber of Commerce 400 Robert St N, Ste 1500 St Paul, MN 55101

Mike Franklin MN Chamber of Commerce 400 Robert St N, Ste 1500 St Paul, MN 55101

Robert S. Lee Mackall Crounse & Moore Law Offices 1400 AT&T Tower 901 Marquette Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402-2859 Joseph V. Plumbo Business Manager Local Union 23, IBEW 932 Payne Avenue St Paul, MN 55101

Richard J. Savelkoul, Esq. Felhaber, Larson, Fenlon & Vogt, P.A. 444 Cedar St, Suite 2100 St Paul, MN 55101-2136

James R. Talcott Northern Natural Gas Company 1111 South 103rd Street Omaha, NE 68124

Megan Hertzler Asst General Counsel Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 5th Fl Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993 Mike Sarafolean Gerdau AmeriSteel US,Inc 4221 W Boy Scout Blvd, Ste 600 Tampa, FL 33607

James M. Strommen, Esq. Kennedy & Graven, Chartered 470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Catarina Zuber Avant Energy Services Suite 300 200 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

SaGonna Thompson Records Analyst Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall - 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401-1993