

From: [Brian Lawburgh](#)
To: [Bruce, Charley \(PUC\)](#); [Peterson, Chad T](#)
Subject: Re: Turbine T-101 Clarification
Date: Thursday, August 22, 2019 9:33:11 AM

Hello Charley, Chad,

I wanted to make sure that I communicated that Chad and his team have been working very diligently to support this situation. He has done a terrific job and I wanted to ensure my statement didn't sound disrespectful or that this misinterpretation was due to a lack of diligence on Xcel's part. I just wanted to clarify the content of what we discussed. Thank you both for your time.

Respectfully,
Brian Lawburgh

On Thu, Aug 22, 2019 at 9:21 AM Brian Lawburgh <triplefarmbarn@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello Charley, Chad,

I realize that the extension for comments is closed, however I was reviewing the letter from NSP and Xcel and wanted to clarify what I communicated to the owner who would lose T-101 and Chad Peterson. I stated that turbine T-101 would have to shift south so that it was at least the distance away from my venue as turbine T-102 is. This tower would have to be relocated outside of the blue circle on the attached map. It looks like the minimum shift would have to be closer to 700 ft south, NOT 200 to 400 ft. This should have been communicated more clearly.

Thank You,

Best Regards,

Brian Lawburgh