



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Meeting Agenda

121 7th Place East
Suite 350
Saint Paul, MN
55101-2147

Thursday, July 9, 2015

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DELIBERATION ITEMS

DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2015-164](#)

- * **P407/AR-15-388** **Citizens Telecommunications Company of Minnesota, LLC**
In the Matter of a Petition by Citizens Telecommunications Company of Minnesota, LLC's
Proposal to Adopt an Existing Alternative Form of Regulation Plan (AFOR)

What procedures shall the Commission adopt to review Citizen's petition? (PUC: **McCarthy**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

2. [Details 2015-163](#)

- E017/M-15-279** **Otter Tail Power Company**
In the Matter of Otter Tail Power Company - 2014 CIP Tracker Account, DSM Financial
Incentive, and Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: Kundert)

Attachments: [DOC Comments 5-28-15](#)
[OTP Reply Comments 6-9-15](#)

3. [Details 2015-162](#)

- * **G011/M-15-441** **Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation**
In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of a New
Area Surcharge for the Detroit Lakes - Long Lake Project.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation's request for a
New Area Surcharge for the Detroit Lakes - Long Lake Project? (PUC: **Brill**)

Attachments: [Briefing Papers](#)

4. [Details 2013-297](#)

- ** **E002/CI-13-754** **Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**
In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into Xcel Energy's Monticello Life-Cycle
Management/Extended Power Uprate Project and Request for Recovery of Cost Overruns.

Should the Commission reconsider and/or clarify its May 8, 2015 Order Finding Imprudence,
Denying Return on Cost Overruns, and Establishing LCM/EPU Allocation for Ratemaking
Purposes? (PUC: **Alonso**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments:

[Briefing Papers](#)

5. [Details 2013-363](#)

**** E002/GR-13-868**

Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota.

Should the Commission grant the petitions for reconsideration of its May 8, 2015 Order as requested by Xcel Energy, the Department of Commerce and the Office of the Attorney General?

Should the Commission clarify how Xcel should treat Sherco 3 insurance proceeds for ratemaking purposes?

Should the Commission clarify how Xcel should treat the proceeds from the settlement of the Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Energy, Inc. lawsuit for ratemaking purposes?

Should the Commission clarify the in-service date of the Monticello EPU project?

Should the Commission clarify whether the 2015 cost of capital applies to the total rate base?

Should the Commission make corrections to its May 8, 2015 Order's financial schedules?

Should the Commission accept, modify or reject Xcel's revised class cost of service study (CCOSS) and proposed class revenue apportionment?

Should the Commission accept, modify or reject Xcel's proposed method for making interim rate refunds?

Should the Commission authorize the use of the prime rate or an alternative for Xcel's interim rate refund plan? (PUC: **Harding, Alonso, Krishnan, Twite, Bahn**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

Attachments:

[Briefing Papers, Vol I of V - Introduction & Interim Rate Refund Plan](#)

[Briefing Papers, Vol II of V - Financial Issues](#)

[Briefing Papers, Vol III of V - Class Cost of Service Study PUBLIC](#)

[Briefing Papers, Vol IV of V - Class Revenue Apportionment](#)

[Briefing Papers, Vol V of V - Revenue Decoupling](#)

[Deliberation Outline](#)

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply) Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets