

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Katie J. Sieben  
Hwikwon Ham  
Audrey C. Partridge  
Joseph K. Sullivan  
John A. Tuma

Chair  
Commissioner  
Commissioner  
Commissioner  
Commissioner

In the Matter of the Application for a Certificate  
of Need for the PowerOn Midwest 765 kV and  
345 kV High Voltage Transmission Line Project

SERVICE DATE: June 9, 2026

DOCKET NO. E-002,ET-2,ET-6675/CN-  
25-117

The above-entitled matter has been considered by the Commission and the following disposition made:

**Approved the proposed Environmental Report scoping decision as attached.**

**This decision is issued by the Commission's consent calendar subcommittee, under a delegation of authority granted under Minn. Stat. § 216A.03, subd. 8 (a). Unless a party, a participant, or a Commissioner files an objection to this decision within ten days of receiving it, it will become the Order of the full Commission under Minn. Stat. § 216A.03, subd. 8 (b).**



BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

*Sasha Bergman*  
for

Sasha Bergman  
Executive Secretary

To request this document in another format such as large print or audio, call 651.296.0406 (voice). Persons with a hearing or speech impairment may call using their preferred Telecommunications Relay Service or email [consumer.puc@state.mn.us](mailto:consumer.puc@state.mn.us) for assistance.

## ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT SCOPING DECISION

### In the Matter of the Application for a Certificate of Need for the PowerOn Midwest 765 kV and 345 kV High Voltage Transmission Line Project

PUC Docket No. E002, ET2, ET6675/CN-25-117

---

The above matter has come before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) for a decision on the scope of the environmental report (ER) to be prepared for the certificate of need application for the PowerOn Midwest Project. Under Minn. R. parts 7849.1000 to 7849.2100, the ER will identify and evaluate the human and environmental impacts associated with the size, type, timing, system configuration, and voltage of the proposed project; address applicable system alternatives; evaluate the feasibility and availability of those alternatives; and discuss potential mitigative measures for anticipated adverse impacts.

### I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

On February 3, 2026, Great River Energy, ITC Midwest LLC, and Xcel Energy (collectively, the Applicants) filed a certificate of need application for the PowerOn Midwest Project, which consists of new 765-kilovolt (kV) and 345-kV high-voltage transmission lines across southern Minnesota as part of a broader proposed 3,600-mile regional transmission expansion in the Midwest.

In Minnesota, the PowerOn Midwest Project includes the following components:

- Approximately 271 miles of new single-circuit 765-kV high-voltage transmission line between:
  - the South Dakota/Minnesota border and the Lakefield Junction Substation (Jackson County) (approximately 92 miles);
  - the Lakefield Junction Substation and the Minnesota/Iowa border (approximately 18 miles);
  - the Lakefield Junction Substation and the Pleasant Valley Substation (Mower County) (approximately 130 miles); and
  - the Pleasant Valley Substation and the North Rochester Substation (Goodhue County) (approximately 31 miles).
- Approximately 31 miles of new 345-kV circuit between the Pleasant Valley Substation and the North Rochester Substation, accomplished by replacing existing single-circuit structures with new double-circuit-capable structures.
- A second 345-kV circuit (approximately 38 miles) between the North Rochester Substation and the Hampton Substation (Dakota County), using the existing double-circuit-capable structures.

- Expansions and upgrades at the Lakefield Junction, Pleasant Valley, North Rochester, and Hampton substations, including 345-kV transmission line connections between select existing and expanded substations.

## II. PROJECT PURPOSE

As described in the certificate of need application, the project is part of the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) Long Range Transmission Planning (LRTP) Tranche 2.1 portfolio, approved under the MISO Transmission Expansion Plan 2024 (MTEP24). MISO and the Applicants have identified a need for up to 10,000 megawatts of additional transmission capacity in Minnesota. According to MISO and the Applicants, the project is intended to:

- address projected reliability overloads and support compliance with national electric reliability standards;
- improve the efficiency of the transmission system in meeting current and future state and regional reliability needs;
- provide transmission capacity to serve forecasted residential, commercial, and industrial demand, thereby supporting long-term growth in Minnesota and the broader Midwest region; and
- support the replacement of aging or cost-inefficient generation with new generation resources, including carbon-free resources, while maintaining system reliability.

## III. REGULATORY BACKGROUND

### Certificate of Need

Minn. Stat. § 216B.243, subd. 2, provides that no large energy facility shall be sited or constructed in Minnesota without a certificate of need issued by the Commission. The proposed PowerOn Midwest Project meets the definition of a large energy facility because it includes high-voltage transmission lines with a capacity of 300 kV or more and lengths in Minnesota of more than one mile.

In accordance with Minn. R. 7849.0120, certificate of need review focuses on four basic questions: (1) whether the project is needed to maintain an adequate, reliable, and efficient energy supply; (2) whether there is a more reasonable and prudent alternative; (3) whether the project's benefits justify its potential impacts on people, communities, and the environment; and (4) whether the project can be designed, built, and operated consistent with applicable state, federal, and local requirements.

### Environmental Review

Commission staff will prepare an ER for the certificate of need application consistent with Minn. R. parts 7849.1200 and 7849.1500. The ER will address the human and environmental impacts of the proposed project associated with its size, type, timing, system configuration, and voltage; evaluate alternatives to the project and their feasibility and availability; discuss potential mitigation measures; identify required permits; and address other matters identified in this scoping decision.

The ER is the only state environmental review document required for the certificate of need proceeding. It is not a route permit environmental review document and will not determine the final route of any transmission line segment. If the project receives certificate of need approval, the proposed transmission facilities and associated substation modifications would require separate route permit approvals. Those later proceedings would provide a more detailed, site-specific review of route alternatives, alignment choices, and associated mitigation measures.

## **IV. Scoping Process**

Scoping is the first step in the environmental review process. The purpose of scoping is to gather public input on the impacts, alternatives, and mitigation measures that should be evaluated in the ER and to focus the ER on the issues most relevant to the Commission's certificate of need decision.

### **Public Meetings and Written Comment Period**

Between April 21 and 29, 2026, ten in-person public information and environmental report scoping meetings were held in the cities of Tracy, Pipestone, Worthington, Windom, Fairmont, Wells, Albert Lea, Austin, Kasson, and Zumbrota, with estimated attendance ranging from approximately 50 to 100 people per meeting. An online meeting was also held via WebEx on April 30, 2026, with approximately 98 attendees. A written comment period was open from April 6, 2026, through May 20, 2026.

### **Summary of Scoping Comments**

Verbal comments from the public information and environmental report scoping meetings and written comments are summarized below. Verbal and written comments were generally consistent. The comments are organized below into three categories: issues, alternatives, and process or merits comments. This summary is intended to identify and organize the main topics raised in the comments that are relevant to the scope of the ER. It does not limit the scope language or determine the merits of any comment.

### **Issues Raised**

Commenters identified several recurring issue areas for evaluation in the ER. Commenters requested that the ER evaluate a range of potential human, environmental, agricultural, and community impacts, as well as project cost, benefit, and fairness issues associated with the proposed project, including:

- Landowner and agricultural impacts, including easements, eminent domain, compensation, construction-related property damage, soil compaction, crop loss, drainage tile, access, aerial spraying, feedlots, manure-dragline operations, and future land-use restrictions.
- Health and safety concerns, including electric and magnetic fields, stray voltage, induced voltage, livestock impacts, noise, radio or communications interference, security risks, and general safety concerns associated with living or working near 765-kV and 345-kV transmission facilities.

- Visual and rural quality-of-life impacts, including structure height, viewshed effects, setbacks, impacts to homes and farmsteads, and the effect of large transmission facilities on rural character.
- Natural-resource impacts, including wildlife, birds, pollinator habitat, rusty patched bumble bee habitat, wetlands, surface waters, bridge and culvert crossings, wildlife management areas, and other sensitive environmental resources.
- Land-use and local infrastructure impacts, including compatibility with existing agricultural operations; local road use; aviation safety and private airstrips; cumulative effects of nearby large-load, battery-storage, substation, and transmission infrastructure; and use of existing highway, railroad, utility, or other infrastructure corridors, including opportunities for co-location or paralleling existing rights-of-way.
- Cultural resource, Tribal resource, and community impacts, including Tribal consultation and cultural, historical, and archaeological resources; Hutterite community and cultural-resource considerations near Pleasant Valley; and potential impacts to community, agritourism, and equine-therapy operations.
- Costs, benefits, and fairness, including project costs, cost allocation, the distribution of project benefits, whether local communities would bear disproportionate impacts, and whether the project would provide identifiable local reliability or other benefits.

### **Alternatives Identified**

Commenters also requested that the ER evaluate alternatives that could avoid, reduce, delay, or modify the need for the proposed transmission facilities. Alternatives raised during scoping included:

- The no-build alternative.
- Partial-build or delayed-build alternatives, including alternatives related to the Pleasant Valley-to-North Rochester 765-kV segment.
- High-voltage direct-current alternatives (HVDC), including 525-kV HVDC and underground HVDC.
- Underground construction for all or portions of the proposed 765-kV and 345-kV facilities where technically feasible.
- Lower-voltage or multiple 345-kV alternatives and other transmission-system configurations that could reduce the need for new overhead 765-kV facilities.
- Use or upgrade of existing transmission facilities, including reconfiguration of existing facilities and use of existing utility corridors.
- Demand-side or non-transmission alternatives, including conservation, load-management programs, distributed resources, energy storage such as batteries, and other non-wires alternatives that could avoid or defer new transmission facilities.
- Purchased power or generation alternatives, including renewable generation or other generation resources.

### **Comments Related to Process or Merits**

Several comments also addressed matters that may be relevant to the broader certificate of need proceeding but are not treated as separate ER scope items unless they relate to impacts, alternatives, mitigation, feasibility, or availability. These comments included:

- Application and need objections, including arguments that the Applicants have not demonstrated need for the project or that the application should include additional information before the Commission makes a need determination.
- MISO planning and regional-benefit concerns, including comments on regional transmission planning assumptions, project benefits, power-flow assumptions, line losses, and whether benefits would accrue locally or primarily elsewhere in the regional system.
- Cost allocation and rate-recovery concerns, including comments on who would pay for the project, how costs would be allocated, and whether Minnesota ratepayers or affected communities would receive benefits proportionate to the impacts.
- Procedural requests or objections, including requests related to notice, application completeness, contested-case procedures, discovery, stays, consolidation with related or adjacent dockets, and timing of route-permit applications.
- Route-specific preferences or objections, including requests to use or avoid particular roads, rail lines, farms, homes, substations, or corridors. These comments may inform general co-location or existing-right-of-way discussion in the ER, but specific route alignments would be addressed in any later route-permit proceeding.
- General support for long-term transmission planning, including comments supporting stronger regional transmission planning or grid reliability while requesting clearer information on local need, local impacts, and landowner protections.

The ER scope incorporates comments in these categories to the extent they identify potential impacts, alternatives, mitigation measures, or issues relevant to the Commission's certificate of need decision. Comments directed primarily at the ultimate merits of the certificate of need application or at future route-specific decisions may remain relevant to the broader record but are not incorporated into the ER scope.

## **V. MATTERS TO BE ADDRESSED**

The ER will describe the project and the human and environmental resources of the project area. It will provide information on the potential impacts of the proposed project and identified alternatives, as relevant to the Commission's certificate of need decision; analyze project-specific impacts; discuss reasonable mitigation measures; address the feasibility and availability of the alternatives considered; and identify permits or approvals that may be required from other governmental entities. This outline identifies the topics to be addressed in the ER but does not prescribe the final structure or organization of the document.

## General Description of the Project

- Project description and associated facilities, including proposed transmission line voltages, approximate lengths, substations, system connections, structure types, right-of-way needs, and the general construction, operation, and maintenance activities associated with a project of this type.
- Project purpose and need, including how the project would fit into the regional transmission system; how it relates to MISO LRTP Tranche 2.1, regional reliability, deliverability, and access benefits; and the extent to which data centers or other large loads are part of the Applicants' stated need, MISO planning assumptions, forecasted demand, or otherwise relevant to the Commission's certificate-of-need decision.
- Project benefits, total cost, cost allocation, and project schedule.

## Regulatory Framework

- Description of the certificate of need review process, including applicable statutes, rules, procedural requirements, hearing process, and criteria relevant to the Commission's decision.
- Relationship between this certificate of need proceeding and potential future route permit proceedings.
- Discussion of federal, state, and local permits, approvals, and coordination that may be required for the proposed project or alternatives, recognizing that specific permit conditions may be addressed in later route-permit.

## Alternatives to the Project

For each alternative considered, the ER will address the potential impacts, applicable mitigation measures, the feasibility and availability of that alternative, and whether the alternative would meet the stated need for the proposed project.

The ER will evaluate the following alternatives, to the extent sufficient information is available for certificate of need review:

- No-build alternative.
- Demand-side and non-transmission alternatives, including conservation, energy efficiency, load-management programs that reduce or shift peak demand, demand response, and distributed energy resources located closer to customer demand that could avoid, reduce, or defer the need for new transmission facilities.
- Energy storage alternatives, including battery storage and other storage resources, alone or in combination with transmission, generation, load-management, demand-response, or grid-enhancing technologies.
- Generation alternatives, including local generation, renewable generation, other generation resources, or combinations of generation resources, to the extent they could reasonably meet, reduce, or defer the stated transmission need.
- Purchased-power alternatives, to the extent relevant to the asserted transmission need and the ability to deliver power over the existing or modified transmission system.

- Transmission alternatives, including:
  - use or upgrade of existing transmission facilities;
  - rebuilding, reconductoring, double-circuiting, or other existing-system upgrades where relevant to the stated need;
  - lower-voltage alternatives, including multiple 345-kV alternatives or use of existing lower-voltage transmission facilities where technically and practically relevant;
  - different conductor, structure, voltage, or facility configurations;
  - partial-build or delayed-build alternatives for the Pleasant Valley-to-North Rochester 765-kV segment;
  - HVDC alternatives, including 525-kV HVDC and underground HVDC; and
  - underground construction of all or portions of the proposed 765-kV and 345-kV facilities to the extent technically feasible.

### **Affected Environment, Potential Impacts, and Mitigative Measures**

The ER will evaluate the topics listed below by describing existing conditions in the project area; identifying the types of impacts that could result from construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed facilities and alternatives; discussing whether impacts may vary based on facility type, voltage, structure design, right-of-way needs, system configuration, or landscape setting; and identifying reasonable mitigation measures that could avoid, minimize, or address those impacts. The analysis will be conducted at a level appropriate for the certificate of need proceeding and will not determine final route alignments, structure locations, or site-specific permit conditions. This outline is not intended to serve as a table of contents for the document itself.

If relevant information cannot be obtained within timelines prescribed by statute and rule, the cost of obtaining such information is excessive, or the means to obtain it is unknown, the ER will include a statement that such information is incomplete or unavailable and will explain the relevance of the information in evaluating potential impacts or alternatives.

#### **Human Settlement**

- Potential impacts on residences, schools, businesses, public facilities, community development, and rural residential character.
- Potential noise, radio or communications interference, aesthetics, viewshed effects, lighting or marker requirements, and property-value considerations, including how those potential effects may vary by voltage, structure type, proximity to sensitive areas, and landscape setting.
- Potential effects on public services, transportation, aviation/private airstrips, oversize or overweight loads, bridge and culvert crossings, and construction-related disturbance.

#### **Land based Economies, Landowner, and Representative Landscape Impacts**

- Potential direct impacts on agricultural operations, including crop production, livestock, feedlots and animal agriculture, irrigation, drainage tile, field access, GPS-guided farm equipment, farm equipment clearance, soil compaction, construction-related damage, and specialized operations such as equine therapy facilities and agritourism operations.

- Potential impacts on recreation, managed lands, hunting, forestry, mining, and local or regional economic activity, including effects of construction activities, right-of-way restrictions, visual changes, or long-term facility operation on existing or planned land uses.
- Potential landowner impacts associated with right-of-way needs, easements, future land-use restrictions, building limitations, restoration, decommissioning obligations, long-term operation and maintenance, and the incremental effects of adding new facilities parallel and adjacent to existing transmission lines.
- Potential effects of facility characteristics, including voltage, structure type, structure height, span length, right-of-way width, and substation footprint, on land use patterns, rural landscape character, and visual conditions in representative southern Minnesota landscapes. This discussion will include available viewshed examples or visual information for lattice structures, tubular steel monopoles, H-frame structures, and other relevant designs where useful for the certificate-of-need decision.
- Potential effects of structure placement and line configuration on agricultural field layout and specialized field practices, including diagonal crossings, contour farming, field fragmentation, aerial application, manure-dragline use, and opportunities to avoid or minimize impacts by following field lines, roads, property lines, or existing infrastructure corridors where relevant to need-stage alternatives review.

### **Natural Environment**

- Potential effects on soils, geology, groundwater, surface water, wetlands, floodplains, drainage systems, and water quality, including how construction, access, grading, structure placement, and right-of-way maintenance could affect these resources.
- Potential effects on native plant communities, pollinator habitat, honeybees, the rusty patched bumble bee and other protected or sensitive species, wildlife, habitat connectivity, migratory birds, eagles, wildlife management areas, parks, recreation areas, and state or federally protected resources.
- Potential effects on forest, prairie, riparian, wetland, shoreland, river, and other sensitive or unique resources, including the extent to which the proposed project or alternatives may avoid, minimize, or reduce impacts to those resources.
- Potential climate-related considerations, fire risk, unavoidable adverse impacts, and irreversible or irretrievable commitments of resources, to the extent relevant to the certificate of need decision.

### **Cultural, Historical, and Tribal Resources**

- Potential effects on archaeological, historic, and cultural resources, including Tribal resources and interests (e.g., Prairie Island Indian Community's Elk Run property), to the extent information is available for need-stage review.
- Potential effects on local cultural resources, including any associated cultural resource considerations near the Pleasant Valley Substation (e.g., Mower County Hutterite Community), to the extent information is available for need-stage review.

- Coordination needs with Tribal governments and resource agencies, including whether additional consultation or information may be needed, while recognizing that more detailed site-specific review will occur during future route permit proceedings.

### **Public Health and Safety**

- Potential public health and safety effects related to electric and magnetic fields, stray voltage, induced voltage, line clearances, structure and line safety, applicable codes and standards, and combined electrical or operational effects where new lines would be parallel and adjacent to existing transmission lines.
- Potential construction, operation, and maintenance hazards, including effects on public access, emergency response, and reasonable mitigation measures.
- Potential security considerations, including physical security, cyber-related concerns, and emergency preparedness, at a level relevant to certificate of need stage review and without disclosing sensitive security details.

### **Existing Infrastructure, Highway Right-of-way, and Co-location Considerations**

- Potential use of highway rights-of-way, co-location, and existing highway, rail, utility, or other infrastructure corridors, alone or in combination with alternatives identified in this scope, where relevant to the project's size, type, system configuration, voltage, feasibility, availability, or broad human and environmental impacts.
- Compatibility with existing and proposed wind and solar facilities, including general clearance, safety, and routing considerations, where relevant to the proposed project and certificate of need-stage review.
- Discussion of how the Applicants propose to analyze paralleling highway rights-of-way under Minn. Stat. § 216I.05, subd. 11(e), and their coordination with MnDOT under Minn. Stat. § 161.45 and the NextGen Highways Act. This discussion will be limited to certificate of need-stage considerations and will not determine specific route alignments.

### **Cumulative Impacts and Phased or Connected Actions**

- Discussion of cumulative impacts and phased or connected actions to the extent relevant to the Commission's certificate of need decision, including whether the proposed project, associated facilities, related regional transmission projects, or reasonably foreseeable future infrastructure could result in combined human, environmental, agricultural, or land-use impacts.

## **VI. ISSUES OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE ER**

The ER will not address the following topics:

- Selection of specific routes, alignments, route segments, pole locations, or other site-specific route permit or construction details. The ER will discuss general co-location, existing-right-of-way, and corridor use concepts where relevant to the certificate of need decision, but it will not select or compare specific routes.
- Any alternatives to the project not specifically identified for study in this scoping decision.


- Parcel-specific impacts, easement negotiations, individual landowner agreements, or the amount and manner of landowner compensation.
- Final engineering details that are not necessary to evaluate the project's size, type, timing, system configuration, voltage, feasibility, availability, or broad human and environmental impacts at the certificate of need stage.
- Alternatives with different endpoints than those identified for the proposed project, except where such endpoints are consistent with Minn. Stat. § 216B.243, subd. 3(6).
- Environmental impacts uniquely associated with other future infrastructure projects not proposed in this application, except at a general level necessary to understand the project's asserted purpose and need, alternatives, large-load assumptions, reasonably foreseeable connected or cumulative impacts, or potential induced development relevant to the certificate of need decision.

## VII. SCHEDULE

The ER is anticipated to be completed and made available for public review in [September 2026](#). Public hearings will be noticed and held in the project area after issuance of the ER. Comments on the ER may be submitted into the hearing record.

Signed this 9th day of June, 2026

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION



for

---

Sasha Bergman, Executive Secretary

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Hannah Olson, hereby certify that I have this day, served a true and correct copy of the following document to all persons at the addresses indicated below or on the attached list by electronic filing, electronic mail, courier, interoffice mail or by depositing the same enveloped with postage paid in the United States mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

### **Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ORDER**

Docket Number E-002,ET-2,ET-6675/CN-25-117  
Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2026

/s/ Hannah Olson

#	First Name	Last Name	Email	Organization	Agency	Address	Delivery Method	Alternate Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
1	Lisa	Agrimonti	lagrimonti@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.		60 South Sixth Street Suite 1500 Minneapolis MN, 55402-4400 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
2	Michael	Ahern	ahern.michael@dorsey.com	Dorsey & Whitney, LLP		50 S 6th St Ste 1500 Minneapolis MN, 55402-1498 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
3	Kristine	Anderson	kanderson@greatermngas.com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.		1900 Cardinal Lane PO Box 798 Faribault MN, 55021 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
4	Katherine	Arnold	katherine.arnold@ag.state.mn.us		Office of the Attorney General - Department of Commerce	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul MN, 55101 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
5	Sasha	Bergman	sasha.bergman@state.mn.us		Public Utilities Commission	121 7th PI E Ste 350 St. Paul MN, 55101 United States	Electronic Service		Yes	25-117 Official CC Service List
6	Matthew	Brodin	mbrodin@allete.com	Minnesota Power		30 West Superior Street Duluth MN, 55802 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
7	Mike	Bull	mike.bull@state.mn.us		Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul MN, 55101 United States	Electronic Service		Yes	25-117 Official CC Service List
8	James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us		Office of the Attorney General - Residential Utilities Division	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul MN, 55101 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
9	Cody	Chilson	cchilson@greatermngas.com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. & Greater MN Transmission, LLC		1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault MN, 55021 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
10	Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.		12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha NE, 68103-2047 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
11	John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP		871 Tuxedo Blvd. St. Louis MO, 63119-2044 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
12	Generic	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us		Office of the Attorney General - Department of Commerce	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul MN, 55101 United States	Electronic Service		Yes	25-117 Official CC Service List

#	First Name	Last Name	Email	Organization	Agency	Address	Delivery Method	Alternate Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
13	Riley	Conlin	riley.conlin@xcelenergy.com	Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy-Elec		414 Nicollet Mall, 401 8th Floor Minneapolis MN, 55401 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
14	Brandon	Crawford	brandonc@cubminnesota.org	Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota		332 Minnesota St Ste W1360 St. Paul MN, 55101 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
15	Hillary	Creurer	hcreurer@allete.com	Minnesota Power		30 W Superior St Duluth MN, 55802 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
16	George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office		5093 Keats Avenue Lake Elmo MN, 55042 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
17	Lisa	Crum	lisa.crum@crumenergylaw.com	Crum Energy Law		302 East Howard Street, Suite 122 Hibbing MN, 55746 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
18	Ian M.	Dobson	ian.m.dobson@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy		414 Nicollet Mall, 401-8 Minneapolis MN, 55401 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
19	John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance		2720 E. 22nd St Institute for Local Self-Reliance Minneapolis MN, 55406 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
20	Eric	Fehlhaber	efehlhaber@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association		4300 220th St W Farmington MN, 55024 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
21	Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us		Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul MN, 55101-2198 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
22	Ryan	Fisher	rfisher@itctransco.com	ITC Midwest LLC		3165 Edgewood Parkway SW Cedar Rapids IA, 52404 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
23	Daryll	Fuentes	energy@usg.com	USG Corporation		550 W Adams St Chicago IL, 60661 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
24	Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.com	Kutak Rock LLP		Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Street Minneapolis MN, 55402-1425 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
25	Adam	Heinen	aheinen@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association		4300 220th St W Farmington	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC

#	First Name	Last Name	Email	Organization	Agency	Address	Delivery Method	Alternate Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
						MN, 55024 United States				Service List
26	Abigail	Hencheck	ahencheck@mncenter.org	Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy		1919 University Ave W Suite 515 St. Paul MN, 55104 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
27	Annete	Henkel	mui@mnuutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors		413 Wacouta Street #230 St. Paul MN, 55101 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
28	Valerie	Herring	vherring@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP		2200 IDS Center 80 S. Eighth Street Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
29	Corey	Hintz	chintz@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association		4300 220th Street Farmington MN, 55024-9583 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
30	Michael	Hoppe	lu23@ibew23.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.		445 Etna Street Ste. 61 St. Paul MN, 55106 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
31	Frank	Hornstein	frank.hornstein@minneapolismn.gov	City of Minneapolis		350 South 5th Street Minneapolis MN, 55415 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
32	Lori	Hoyum	lhoyum@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power		30 West Superior Street Duluth MN, 55802 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
33	Amrit	Hundal	amrit.hundal@ag.state.mn.us		Office of the Attorney General - Department of Commerce		Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
34	Travis	Jacobson	travis.jacobson@mdu.com	Great Plains Natural Gas Company		400 N 4th St Bismarck ND, 58501 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
35	Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law		2950 Yellowtail Ave. Marathon FL, 33050 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
36	Richard	Johnson	rickjohnson@cozen.com	Cozen O'Connor		150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
37	Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sjphillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP		33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List

#	First Name	Last Name	Email	Organization	Agency	Address	Delivery Method	Alternate Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
38	Breann	Jurek	bjurek@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson & Byron PA		60 S Sixth St Ste 1500 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
39	Nick	Kaneski	nick.kaneski@enbridge.com	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.		11 East Superior St Ste 125 Duluth MN, 55802 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
40	Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP		2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
41	Nicolle	Kupser	nkupser@greatermngas.com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.		1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault MN, 55021 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
42	James D.	Larson	james.larson@avantenergy.com	Avant Energy Services		220 S 6th St Ste 1300 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
43	Dan	Leshner	dlesher@greenergy.com	Great River Energy		12300 Elm Creek Blvd Maple Grove MN, 55369 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
44	Eric	Lipman	eric.lipman@state.mn.us		Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul MN, 55164-0620 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
45	Jody	Londo	jody.l.londo@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy		414 Nicollet Mall 7th Floor Minneapolis MN, 55401-1993 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
46	Susan	Ludwig	sludwig@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power		30 West Superior Street Duluth MN, 55802 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
47	Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting, LLC		961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc WI, 53066 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
48	Christine	Marquis	regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy		414 Nicollet Mall MN1180-07-MCA Minneapolis MN, 55401 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
49	Joseph	Meyer	joseph.c.meyer@state.mn.us		Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul MN, 55164 United States	Electronic Service		Yes	25-117 Official CC Service List
50	Max	Meyer	mmeyer@misoenergy.org	Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.		2985 Ames Crossing Road Eagan MN, 55121 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List

#	First Name	Last Name	Email	Organization	Agency	Address	Delivery Method	Alternate Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
51	Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP		33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
52	Will	Mulhern	mulhern@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy			Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
53	David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency		220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
54	Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company		200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids IA, 52406-0351 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
55	Matthew	Olsen	molsen@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company		215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls MN, 56537 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
56	Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalelectric - Overland Law Office		1110 West Avenue Red Wing MN, 55066 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
57	Greg	Palmer	gpalmer@greatermngas.com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.		1900 Cardinal Ln PO Box 798 Faribault MN, 55021 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
58	Jennifer	Peterson	jjpeterson@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power		30 West Superior Street Duluth MN, 55802 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
59	Catherine	Phillips	catherine.phillips@wecenergygroup.com	Minnesota Energy Resources		231 West Michigan St Milwaukee WI, 53203 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
60	Kevin	Pranis	kpranis@liunagroc.com	Laborers' District Council of MN and ND		81 E Little Canada Road St. Paul MN, 55117 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
61	Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us		Office of the Attorney General - Residential Utilities Division	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul MN, 55101-2131 United States	Electronic Service		Yes	25-117 Official CC Service List
62	Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy		26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul MN, 55101-1667 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
63	Jennifer	Rhuppiah	jrhuppiah@itctransco.com	ITC Midwest LLC		Suite 230 Des Moines IA, 50309 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC

#	First Name	Last Name	Email	Organization	Agency	Address	Delivery Method	Alternate Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
										Service List
64	Susan	Romans	sromans@allete.com	Minnesota Power		30 West Superior Street Legal Dept Duulth MN, 55802 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
65	Elizabeth	Schmiesing	eschmiesing@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.		225 South Sixth Street Suite 3500 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
66	Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.com	Shaddix And Associates		7400 Lyndale Ave S Ste 190 Richfield MN, 55423 United States	Electronic Service		Yes	25-117 Official CC Service List
67	Bria	Shea	bria.e.shea@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy		414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis MN, 55401 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
68	Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.		76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul MN, 55102 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
69	Peggy	Sorum	peggy.sorum@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy		505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
70	Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	STINSON LLP		50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
71	Kristin	Stastny	kstastny@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP		2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
72	Cary	Stephenson	cstephenson@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company		215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls MN, 56537 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
73	Dusky	Terry	dterry@itctransco.com	ITC Midwest LLC			Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
74	Kodi	Verhalen	kverhalen@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP		80 S 8th St Ste 2200 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
75	Amelia	Vohs	avohs@mncenter.org	Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy		1919 University Avenue West Suite 515 St. Paul MN, 55104 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
76	Leonard	Wabasha	leonard.wabasha@shakopeedakota.org	Shakopee Mdewakanton		2300 Tiwahe Circle Shakopee	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC

#	First Name	Last Name	Email	Organization	Agency	Address	Delivery Method	Alternate Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
				Sioux Community		MN, 55379 United States				Service List
77	Haley	Waller Pitts	hwallerpitts@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.		60 S Sixth St Ste 1500 Minneapolis MN, 55402-4400 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
78	Elizabeth	Wheeler	ewheeler@cleangridalliance.org	Clean Grid Alliance		570 Asbury Street Suite 201 St. Paul MN, 55104 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
79	Leanna	Whipple	lwhipple@itctransco.com	ITC Midwest LLC		100 East Grand Avenue, Suite 230 Des Moines IA, 50309 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
80	Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine		225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
81	Patrick	Woolsey	patrick.woolsey@sierraclub.org	Sierra Club		2101 Webster Street Suite 1300 Oakland CA, 94612 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
82	Kurt	Zimmerman	kwz@ibew160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW		2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village MN, 55418-3238 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List
83	Patrick	Zomer	pzomer@cozen.com	Cozen O'Connor		150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis MN, 55402 United States	Electronic Service		No	25-117 Official CC Service List