



# Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

## PUC Agenda Meeting

---

Thursday, January 15, 2026

10:00 AM

---

### INTRODUCTION

### DECISION ITEMS

1. [Details 2025-274](#)

\*\*\*E-999/CI-24-352 **All Electric Utilities**

In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into a Fuel Life-Cycle Analysis Framework for Utility Compliance with Minnesota's Carbon-Free Standard.

Should the Commission adopt a fuel life-cycle analysis framework as part of its responsibility to set criteria and standards for evaluating utility compliance with Minnesota's Carbon-Free Standard? (PUC: **Winner**)

**Attachments:** [Briefing Papers](#)

### The following items will not be heard before 1:00PM

2. [Details 2025-020](#)

\* E015/GS-24-425; **Minnesota Power**  
E015/TL-24-426

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Minnesota Power for a Site and Route Permit for the 85-megawatt Boswell Solar Project and Associated 2.45-mile, 230-kilovolt Transmission Line in Itasca County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendation?
2. Should the Commission determine that the environmental assessment and the record created at the public hearing adequately address the issues identified in the scoping decision?
3. Should the Commission grant a site permit for the up-to-85-megawatt Boswell Solar Project in Itasca County?
4. Should the Commission grant a route permit for the 2.45-mile, 230-kilovolt transmission line associated with the Boswell Solar Project in Itasca County? (PUC: **Ek**)

**3. Details 2018-116**

\* **E015/M-12-1359**      **Minnesota Power**

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's request for modification to its Service Extension Tariff.

Should Minnesota Power's request for approval to modify its service Extension Tariff be granted? (PUC: **Ubani**)

**4. Details 2025-275**

\*\* **E002,015,017/CI-24-288**      **Northern States Power Co. d/b/a Xcel Energy;**  
**Minnesota Power;**  
**Otter Tail Power Co.**

In the Matter of Establishing Tariffs for Distribution System Costs Sharing for Interconnection in Constrained Areas.

What generic standards should the Commission adopt for the Distribution System Reactive Upgrades Process (DSRUP)? (PUC: **Duran**)

**ADJOURNMENT**

\* One star indicates that an agenda item is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate that an agenda item is disputed and there may be legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

\*\*\* Three stars indicate a complex or lengthy disputed agenda item that may have significant legal, procedural, or policy issues to be resolved.

Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets.