

Revised Decision Options: Docket 13-1165

A. Department Recommendations

- 1) Accept MMPA's plan as filed for planning purposes, with the following conditions:
 - a) continue working with all interested parties on an alternate, statistically valid methodology to project the utility peak demand coincident with MISO's peak;
 - b) increase its estimate of its accredited resources by taking into account a reasonable estimate of the accredited capacity of wind resource and by historical EFORD rates continue for its FEP and MRS generation facilities for at least through 2018;
 - c) participate in the dialogue with the Commission concerning whether Minnesota utilities should plan for the MISO CP or NCP;
 - d) Include the following in its future supply-side modeling:
 - i. analyze a range of possible fuel and capital costs, along with a range of environmental costs;
 - ii. analyze what capacity additions best meet its needs considering the resources currently in its portfolio;
 - e) continue to strive for annual energy savings of 1.5 percent. *(Staff note: Decision Option A.1 has been renumbered to be Option 1 (a) through (e) rather than Options A1-A5. No substantive changes have been made.)*
- 2) Accept MMPA's plan as filed for planning purposes.
- 3) Reject MMPA's plan.

B. Deadline for MMPA's next resource plan

- 1) Deny MMPA's extension request.
- 2) Approve MMPA's extension request and vary Minn. Rule 7843.0300 to require its next IRP due by August 1, 2017.
- 3) Approve MMPA's extension request and vary Minn. Rule 7843.0300 to require its next IRP due by August 1, 2017, conditioned upon MMPA filing the following ~~compliance filings~~ status reports by August 1, 2016:
 - a) ~~MMPA shall file a status update on~~ Its demand side management efforts ~~by August 1, 2016~~;
 - b) ~~MMPA shall file a status update on~~ Its distributed generation efforts ~~by August 1, 2016~~;
 - c) ~~MMPA shall file a status update on~~ The effect of federal environmental regulations ~~by August 1, 2016~~.