



**Minnesota Public Utilities  
Commission  
Decisions  
PUC Agenda Meeting**

121 7th Place East  
Suite 350  
Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

---

Thursday, February 9, 2017

9:30 AM

Large Hearing Room

---

**INTRODUCTION**

**ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS**

**DELIBERATION ITEMS**

**DECISION ITEMS**

1.                   \* **IP6961/WS-16-686**                   **Blazing Star Wind Farm, LLC**  
In the Matter of the Application of Blazing Star Wind Farm, LLC for a Site Permit for the up to 200 Megawatt Blazing Star Wind Project in Lincoln County, Minnesota.
  - Should the Commission issue a preliminary draft site permit for the Blazing Star Wind Project (PUC: **EK**)**Issued draft permit with modifications.**
  
2.                   \* **E015/M-12-233**                   **Minnesota Power**  
In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Supplemental Filing for its Temporary Rider for Residential Time-of-Day Rate for Participants of the Smart Grid Advanced Metering Infrastructure Pilot Project.  
  
Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's rate adjustment and tariff amendments to its Residential Service Time-of-Day (TOD) Critical Peak Pricing (CPP) Pilot Project, as proposed in the Company's March 25, 2016 compliance report and April 18, 2016 supplement?  
Should the Commission accept Minnesota Power's March 25, 2016 compliance report as complete? (PUC: **Stalpes**)  
  
**Approved petition with modifications; accepted compliance report; required filings.**
  
3.                   \*\* **E015/M-14-962**                   **Minnesota Power**  
In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2015 Renewable Resources Rider and Factor.  
  
Should the Commission grant the petition for reconsideration of its

December 20, 2016 Order Determining Treatment of North Dakota Investment Tax Credits for Bison Wind Projects as requested by Minnesota Power? (PUC: **Morrissey, Bender**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

**Denied petition for reconsideration; granted reconsideration for further proceedings.**

4.

***PULLED***

**\*\* ~~G002/GP-16-656~~ Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for a Route Permit for the Black Dog Natural Gas Pipeline Project in Burnsville, Minnesota.

Should the Commission grant the partial exemption? Should the Commission issue a route permit with conditions? (PUC: **DeBleeckere**)

5.

**\*\* PL9/CN-14-916; Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership  
PL9/PPL-15-137**

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the Line 3 Pipeline Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border;

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Route Permit for the Line 3 Pipeline Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border.

Should the Commission reconsider its November 30, 2016 Order Denying Motions, Approving Scoping Decision as Modified, and Requiring Expanded Notice? (PUC: Ek)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration **with or without** a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.

**Denied petitions for reconsideration.**

## **ADJOURNMENT**

**\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.**

**\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)**

**Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets**