

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Meeting Agenda - Final

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Thursday, March 6, 2014 9:30 AM Large Hearing Room

INTRODUCTION

ORAL ARGUMENT ITEMS

DELIBERATION ITEMS

DECISION ITEMS

1. Details 2014-038

P999/SA-14-22 All Local Exchange Carriers

In the Matter of the Department's Petition for Commission Approval of Revisions to the Official Telephone Exchange Map.

Should the Commission approve the Department's recommendations? (PUC: **Brion**)

Attachments: PUC Briefing Papers 2-26-14

2. Details 2013-277

* IP6914/WS-13-843 Odell Wind Farm, LLC

In the Matter of the Site Permit Application of Odell Wind Farm, LLC for a 200-MW Large Wind Energy Conversion System in Cottonwood, Jackson, Martin and Watonwan Counties, Minnesota.

Should the Commission make a preliminary determination whether a site permit should be issued or denied? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

Attachments: PUC Briefing Papers 2-26-14

3. Details 2014-042

E111/M-14-46 Dakota Electric Association

Proposed 2014 Annual Resource and Tax Adjustment (RTA) Petition. (PUC:

Morrissey; DOC: Zajicek)

Attachments: DOC Comments 2-3-14

4. Details 2014-043

G008/M-97-807 CenterPoint Energy

Compliance Filing. (PUC: Dasinger; DOC: St. Pierre)

Attachments: DOC Comments 3-15-13

5. Details 2014-047

G008/M-13-578 CenterPoint Energy (CPE)

In the Matter of CPE's Request for Changes in Demand Entitlements.

Should the Commission approve CPE's proposed level of demand entitlement effective November 1, 2013 and allow CPE to recover the associated demand costs through the monthly Purchased Gas Adjustment?

(PUC: Bender)

Attachments: PUC Briefing Papers 2-25-14

6. Details 2014-046

E-002/GR-10-971 Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power, a Minnesota Corporation, for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota

Should the Commission accept the Annual Report as demonstrating compliance with the Net Operating Loss Agreement? Should the Commission approve the proposed customer refund of the revenue requirement value associated with the actual 2012 utilization of the Deferred Tax Asset balance? (PUC: **Dasinger**)

<u>Attachments:</u> <u>PUC Briefing Papers 2-27-14</u>

ADJOURNMENT

- * One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
- ** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)

Please note: For the complete record, please visit https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showeDock etsSearch&searchType=new