











Winnebago Solar and Storage Delineation Site Photograph

Westwood TolFree (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com Westwood Professional Services, Inc.













NW-B-06













Westwood Tol Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com Westwood Professional Services, Inc.



















Winnebago Solar and Storage Delineation Site Photograph







Winnebago Solar and Storage Delineation Site Photograph



Westwood





Winnebago Solar and Storage Delineation Site Photograph







Winnebago Solar and Storage Delineation Site Photograph







Winnebago Solar and Storage Delineation Site Photograph

Westwood TolFree (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com Westwood Professional Services, Inc.

















NW-B-18









Westwood Tol Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com Westwood Professional Services, Inc.











Appendix C

Watercourse Delineation Photographs and Data Forms

Winnebago Solar and Storage Project

Faribault County, Minnesota

Winnebago Solar and Storage Project Delineation Site Photograph







Attributes						
Feature ID	WC-A-01a					
Defined Bed & Bank	Yes					
Waters of the US	No					
Mapped on NHD	Yes					
Mapped on NWI	No					
Investigator	ALM					
Flow Characteristics	Intermittent					
Direction of the Flow	SW					
Water Width at Observation Point (ft)	4					
Water Depth at Observation Point (ft)	0.5					
Left Bank Height (ft) - Looking Downstream	4.5					
Right Bank Height (ft) - Looking Downstream	4.5					
OHWM Width (ft)	8					
OHWM Height (ft from Substrate)	2.5					
Evidence of Scour or Erosion	Yes					
OHWM Criteria	natural_line,bed_bank,plant_community_change,scour					
Pools, Riffles, Runs Present?	pools,runs					
Substrate	Silt					





Winnebago Solar and Storage Project Delineation Site Photograph







Attributes					
Feature ID	WC-A-01b				
Defined Bed & Bank	Yes				
Waters of the US	No				
Mapped on NHD	Yes				
Mapped on NWI	No				
Investigator	ALM				
Flow Characteristics	Intermittent				
Direction of the Flow	SW				
Water Width at Observation Point (ft)	4				
Water Depth at Observation Point (ft)	3.5				
Left Bank Height (ft) - Looking Downstream	4				
Right Bank Height (ft) - Looking Downstream	4				
OHWM Width (ft)	4				
OHWM Height (ft from Substrate)	4				
Evidence of Scour or Erosion	No				
OHWM Criteria bed_bank,plant_community_change					
Pools, Riffles, Runs Present?	pools,runs				
Substrate	Silt				









	Attributes	
Feature ID	NWC-A-01	
Defined Bed & Bank	No	
Waters of the US	No	
Mapped on NHD	Yes	
Mapped on NWI	No	
Investigator	ALM	
Flow Characteristics	N/A - no feature at sample point	
Direction of the Flow		
Water Width at Observation Point (ft)		
Water Depth at Observation Point (ft)		
Left Bank Height (ft) - Looking Downstream		
Right Bank Height (ft) - Looking Downstream		
OHWM Width (ft)		
OHWM Height (ft from Substrate)		
Evidence of Scour or Erosion		
OHWM Criteria		
Pools, Riffles, Runs Present?		
Substrate		







Attributes					
Feature ID	NWC-A-02				
Defined Bed & Bank	No				
Waters of the US	No				
Mapped on NHD	Yes				
Mapped on NWI	No				
Investigator	ALM				
Flow Characteristics	N/A - no feature at sample point				
Direction of the Flow					
Water Width at Observation Point (ft)					
Water Depth at Observation Point (ft)					
Left Bank Height (ft) - Looking Downstream					
Right Bank Height (ft) - Looking Downstream					
OHWM Width (ft)					
OHWM Height (ft from Substrate)					
Evidence of Scour or Erosion					
OHWM Criteria					
Pools, Riffles, Runs Present?					
Substrate					





Appendix D

Offsite Hydrology Review

Winnebago Solar and Storage Project Faribault County, Minnesota

Hydrology Assessment with Aerial Imagery-Recording Form¹

Project Name: Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery StorageDate: 6/17/2020County: FaribaultInvestigator: R.CressLegal Description (S, T, R): T103N R28W Sec 11, 12, 13, 14/ T103N R27W Sec 7

Summary Table

Photo Year ²	Image Source ²	Actual/ Estimat ed Photo	Climate condition (wet, dry, normal) ^{4,5}		oretation tress, dr				rs observed	l, e.g.
		Date ³		SA1	SA2	SA3	SA4	SA5	SA6	SA7
2017	WMS (FSA)	9/20	Dry	CS	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	DO
2015	WMS (FSA)	8/1	Normal	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	CS
2013 *	WMS (FSA)	7/12	Wet	SWS	SWS	DO	CS	SWS	SWS	CS
2011	WMS (DNR)	4/15	Wet	SWS	NV	SWS	NV	NV	SWS (sm)	SWS
2010	WMS (FSA)	7/1	Normal	NV	NV	SWS	NV	NV	NV	SWS
2009	WMS (FSA)	7/25	Dry	NV	NV	NV	DP	NV	NV	CS
2008	WMS (FSA)	7/5	Normal	CS	NV	CS	NV	NV	NV	NV
2006	Google Earth	5/31	Wet	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	CS
2004	Google Earth	8/2	Normal	NV	NV	SWS	NV	NV	NV	CS
2003	WMS (FSA)	9/25	Dry	NV	NV	NV	CS	NV	NV	NV
1991	WMS (USGS)	4/15	Normal	SWS	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	SWS

Summary Table

			_	SA5	SA6	SA7
11	11	11	11	11	11	11
5	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	0	3	0	0	0	4
2	1	2	1	1	2	3
1	0	0	2	0	0	2
5	1	5	3	1	2	9
2/5= 40%	0/5=0%	3/5=60%	0/5=0%	0/5=0%	0/5=0%	4/5=80%
	5 2 2 1 5 5	5 5 2 0 2 1 1 0 5 1	5 5 5 2 0 3 2 1 2 1 0 0 5 1 5	5 5 5 5 5 2 0 3 0 2 1 2 1 1 0 0 2 5 1 5 3	5 5 5 5 5 5 2 0 3 0 0 2 1 2 1 1 1 0 0 2 0 5 11 5 3 1	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 2 0 3 0 0 0 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 0 0 2 0 0 5 1 5 3 1 2

(sm)= smaller area than whole area showed signature

¹ Form adapted from BWSR/USACE Technical Guidance, July 1, 2016.

²Photo selection for historical aerial photography review are from the MnGEO WMS GIS server, Google Earth, and GIS sources such as County, watersheds, or cities. ³July 1 was used as the date for aerial photographs when determining antecedent precipitation when an actual date could not be determined. Other aerial photography from County GIS, Google imagery, NAIP, etc. was dated based on available information.

⁴MN State Climatology website used to produce three-prior-month (NRCS) method for parcel being investigated.

⁵Photo dates at the end of the month were advanced to the next month to determine climate conditions using the NRCS/3-prior-month method if the daily precipitation data from that month warranted it.

⁶Key below is used label photo interpretations. It is imperative the reviewer read and understand the guidance associated with the use of the labels. ⁷Equal number of most recent wet and dry years used if 5 normal years were not available. Otherwise only Normal years.

*Base photo for suspect areas

Definitions

WS-wetland signature CS-crop stress SGO-something going on	NC-not cropped	<u> </u>	NV-normal vegetative cover DNC-dry not cropped NSS- no soil wetness (sm)- smaller area
--	----------------	----------	---

WS is typically used for interpretation in non-cropped areas or contrasting green areas in dry conditions

Summary Notes:

Wetland Determination from Aerial Imagery – Recording Form

Project Name:	Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery Storage	Date: 6/17/2020	County:	Faribault	
5			· _		S11/12/13/
Investigator:	R.Cress	Legal Description (T, R, S):	T103	R28/27	14/7

Use the Decision Matrix below to complete Table 1.

Hydric Soils present ¹	Identified on NWI or other wetland map ²	Percent with wet signatures from Exhibit 1	Field verification required ³	Wetland?
Yes	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	<30%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
Yes	No	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
Yes	No	<30%	No	No
No	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	<30%	No	No
No	No	>50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
No	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
No	No	<30%	No	No

¹ The presence of hydric soils can be determined from the "Hydric Rating by Map Unit Feature" under "Land Classifications" from the Web Soil Survey. "Not Hydric" is the only category considered to not have hydric soils. Field sampling for the presence/absence of hydric soil indicators can be used in lieu of the hydric rating if appropriately documented by providing completed field data sheets.

 2 At minimum, the most updated NWI data available for the area must be reviewed for this step. Any and all other local or regional wetland maps that are publically available should be reviewed.

 $\frac{3}{3}$ Area should be reviewed in the field for the presence/absence of wetland hydrology indicators per the applicable 87 Manual Regional Supplement, including the D2 indicator (geomorphic position).

Table 1.

Area	Hydric Soils Present	Identified on NWI or other wetland map	Percent with wet signatures from Exhibit 1	Other hydrology indicators present ¹	Wetland?
1	Yes	No	40		Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
2	Yes	No	0		No
3	Yes	No	60		Yes
4	Yes	No	0		No
5	Yes	No	0		No
6	Yes	No	0		No
7	Yes	No	80		Yes

¹ Answer "N/A" if field verification is not required and was not conducted.

*See Summary Notes on Page 1.

Hydrology Assessment with Aerial Imagery-Recording Form¹

Project Name: Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery StorageDate: 6/17/2020County: FaribaultInvestigator: R.CressLegal Description (S, T, R): T103N R28W Sec 11, 12, 13, 14/ T103N R27W Sec 7

Summary Table

Photo Year ²	Image Source ²	Actual/ Estimat ed Photo	Climate condition (wet, dry, normal) ^{4,5}		pretation stress, dr				rs observ	ed, e.g.
		Date ³		SA8	SA9	SA10	SA11	SA12	SA13	SA14
2017	WMS (FSA)	9/20	Dry	CS	CS	NV	NV	NV	CS	NV
2015	WMS (FSA)	8/1	Normal	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV
2013 *	WMS (FSA)	7/12	Wet	CS	SWS	SWS	SWS	SWS	SWS	SWS
2011	WMS (DNR)	4/15	Wet	DP	NV	NV	NV	DP	SWS	NV
2010	WMS (FSA)	7/1	Normal	DP	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV
2009	WMS (FSA)	7/25	Dry	NV	NV	NV	CS	NV	NV	NV
2008	WMS (FSA)	7/5	Normal	CS	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV
2006	Google Earth	5/31	Wet	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV
2004	Google Earth	8/2	Normal	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV
2003	WMS (FSA)	9/25	Dry	DP	NV	NV	NV	CS	CS	NV
1991	WMS (USGS)	4/15	Normal	DP	SWS	SWS	SWS	SWS	SWS	SWS

Summary Table

	SA8	SA9	SA10	SA11	SA12	SA13	SA14
# Years of aerial photography	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
# Normal Years (1991-2018)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
# signatures in Normal years	3	1	1	1	1	1	1
# signatures in Wet years	2	1	1	1	2	2	1
# Signatures in Dry years	2	1	0	1	1	2	0
# signatures in all years	7	3	2	3	4	5	2
% Usable Yrs with wet signatures ⁷	3/5=60%	1/5=20%	1/5=20%	1/5=20%	1/5=20%	1/5=20%	1/5=20%
(am)- amallar area than whale area showed signature							

(sm)= smaller area than whole area showed signature

¹ Form adapted from BWSR/USACE Technical Guidance, July 1, 2016.

²Photo selection for historical aerial photography review are from the MnGEO WMS GIS server, Google Earth, and GIS sources such as County, watersheds, or cities. ³July 1 was used as the date for aerial photographs when determining antecedent precipitation when an actual date could not be determined. Other aerial photography from County GIS, Google imagery, NAIP, etc. was dated based on available information.

⁴MN State Climatology website used to produce three-prior-month (NRCS) method for parcel being investigated.

⁵Photo dates at the end of the month were advanced to the next month to determine climate conditions using the NRCS/3-prior-month method if the daily precipitation data from that month warranted it.

⁶Key below is used label photo interpretations. It is imperative the reviewer read and understand the guidance associated with the use of the labels. ⁷Equal number of most recent wet and dry years used if 5 normal years were not available. Otherwise only Normal years.

*Base photo for suspect areas

Definitions

WS-wetland signature CS-crop stress SGO-something going on	NC-not cropped	<u> </u>	NV-normal vegetative cover DNC-dry not cropped NSS- no soil wetness (sm)- smaller area
--	----------------	----------	---

WS is typically used for interpretation in non-cropped areas or contrasting green areas in dry conditions

Summary Notes:

Wetland Determination from Aerial Imagery – Recording Form

Project Name:	Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery Storage	Date: 6/17/2020	County:	Faribault	
5			· _		S11/12/13/
Investigator:	R.Cress	Legal Description (T, R, S):	T103	R28/27	14/7

Use the Decision Matrix below to complete Table 1.

Hydric Soils present ¹	Identified on NWI or other wetland map ²	Percent with wet signatures from Exhibit 1	Field verification required ³	Wetland?
Yes	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	<30%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
Yes	No	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
Yes	No	<30%	No	No
No	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	<30%	No	No
No	No	>50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
No	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
No	No	<30%	No	No

¹ The presence of hydric soils can be determined from the "Hydric Rating by Map Unit Feature" under "Land Classifications" from the Web Soil Survey. "Not Hydric" is the only category considered to not have hydric soils. Field sampling for the presence/absence of hydric soil indicators can be used in lieu of the hydric rating if appropriately documented by providing completed field data sheets.

 2 At minimum, the most updated NWI data available for the area must be reviewed for this step. Any and all other local or regional wetland maps that are publically available should be reviewed.

 $\frac{3}{3}$ Area should be reviewed in the field for the presence/absence of wetland hydrology indicators per the applicable 87 Manual Regional Supplement, including the D2 indicator (geomorphic position).

Table 1.

Area	Hydric Soils Present	Identified on NWI or other wetland map	Percent with wet signatures from Exhibit 1	Other hydrology indicators present ¹	Wetland?
8	Yes	Yes	60		Yes
9	Yes	No	20		No
10	Yes	No	20		No
11	Yes	No	20		No
12	Yes	No	20		No
13	Yes	No	20		No
14	Yes	No	20		No

¹ Answer "N/A" if field verification is not required and was not conducted.

*See Summary Notes on Page 1.

Hydrology Assessment with Aerial Imagery-Recording Form¹

Project Name: Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery StorageDate: 6/17/2020County: FaribaultInvestigator: R.CressLegal Description (S, T, R): T103N R28W Sec 11, 12, 13, 14/ T103N R27W Sec 7

Summary Table

Photo Year ²		Climate condition (wet, dry, normal) ^{4,5}			(list hyd owned o			rs observ	ed, e.g.	
		Date ³	IIUriiiai)+**	SA15	SA16	SA17	SA18	SA19	SA20	SA21
2017	WMS (FSA)	9/20	Dry	CS	NV	NV	NV	CS	NV	NV
2015	WMS (FSA)	8/1	Normal	NV	NV	CS	CS	NV	NV	NV
2013 *	WMS (FSA)	7/12	Wet	DO/DP	CS	CS	CS	CS	CS	CS
2011	WMS (DNR)	4/15	Wet	DP	NV	AP	NV	NV	SWS	SWS
2010	WMS (FSA)	7/1	Normal	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	CS	NV
2009	WMS (FSA)	7/25	Dry	CS (sm)	NV	CS	CS	NV	CS	NV
2008	WMS (FSA)	7/5	Normal	NV	NV	AP	AP	NV	NV	NV
2006	Google Earth	5/31	Wet	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV
2004	Google Earth	8/2	Normal	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV
2003	WMS (FSA)	9/25	Dry	DP	NV	NV	NV	CS	NV	NV
1991	WMS (USGS)	4/15	Normal	SWS	SWS	NV	NV	SWS	SWS	NV

Summary Table

SA15	SA16	SA17	SA18	SA19	SA20	SA21
11	11	11	11	11	11	11
5	5	5	5	5	5	5
1	1	2	2	1	2	0
2	1	2	1	1	2	2
3	0	1	1	2	1	0
6	2	5	4	4	5	2
1/5= 20%	1/5=20%	2/5= 40%	2/5= 40%	1/5=20%	2/5= 40%	0/5=0%
	11 5 1 2 3 6	11 11 5 5 1 1 2 1 3 0 6 2	11 11 11 5 5 5 1 1 2 2 1 2 3 0 1 6 2 5	11 11 11 11 5 5 5 5 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 1 3 0 1 1 6 2 5 4	11 11 11 11 11 5 5 5 5 5 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 3 0 1 1 2 6 2 5 4 4	111111111155555112212212112301121625445

(sm)= smaller area than whole area showed signature

¹ Form adapted from BWSR/USACE Technical Guidance, July 1, 2016.

²Photo selection for historical aerial photography review are from the MnGEO WMS GIS server, Google Earth, and GIS sources such as County, watersheds, or cities. ³July 1 was used as the date for aerial photographs when determining antecedent precipitation when an actual date could not be determined. Other aerial photography from County GIS, Google imagery, NAIP, etc. was dated based on available information.

⁴MN State Climatology website used to produce three-prior-month (NRCS) method for parcel being investigated.

⁵Photo dates at the end of the month were advanced to the next month to determine climate conditions using the NRCS/3-prior-month method if the daily precipitation data from that month warranted it.

⁶Key below is used label photo interpretations. It is imperative the reviewer read and understand the guidance associated with the use of the labels. ⁷Equal number of most recent wet and dry years used if 5 normal years were not available. Otherwise only Normal years.

*Base photo for suspect areas

Definitions

WS-wetland signature CS-crop stress SGO-something going on	DO-drowned out NC-not cropped SS- soil wetness signature	SW-standing water AP-altered pattern DP-drainage pattern	NV-normal vegetative cover DNC-dry not cropped NSS- no soil wetness (sm)- smaller area
--	--	--	---

WS is typically used for interpretation in non-cropped areas or contrasting green areas in dry conditions

Summary Notes:

Wetland Determination from Aerial Imagery – Recording Form

Project Name:	Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery Storage	Date: 6/17/2020	County:	Faribault	
5			· _		S11/12/13/
Investigator:	R.Cress	Legal Description (T, R, S):	T103	R28/27	14/7

Use the Decision Matrix below to complete Table 1.

Hydric Soils present ¹	Identified on NWI or other wetland map ²	Percent with wet signatures from Exhibit 1	Field verification required ³	Wetland?
Yes	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	<30%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
Yes	No	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
Yes	No	<30%	No	No
No	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	<30%	No	No
No	No	>50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
No	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
No	No	<30%	No	No

¹ The presence of hydric soils can be determined from the "Hydric Rating by Map Unit Feature" under "Land Classifications" from the Web Soil Survey. "Not Hydric" is the only category considered to not have hydric soils. Field sampling for the presence/absence of hydric soil indicators can be used in lieu of the hydric rating if appropriately documented by providing completed field data sheets.

 2 At minimum, the most updated NWI data available for the area must be reviewed for this step. Any and all other local or regional wetland maps that are publically available should be reviewed.

 $\frac{3}{3}$ Area should be reviewed in the field for the presence/absence of wetland hydrology indicators per the applicable 87 Manual Regional Supplement, including the D2 indicator (geomorphic position).

Table 1.

Area	Hydric Soils Present	Identified on NWI or other wetland map	Percent with wet signatures from Exhibit 1	Other hydrology indicators present ¹	Wetland?
15	Yes	Yes	20		Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
16	Yes	No	20		No
17	Yes	No	40		Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
18	Yes	No	40		Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
19	Yes	No	20		No
20	Yes	No	40		Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
21	Yes	No	0		No

Hydrology Assessment with Aerial Imagery-Recording Form¹

Project Name: Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery StorageDate: 6/17/2020County: FaribaultInvestigator: R.CressLegal Description (S, T, R): T103N R28W Sec 11, 12, 13, 14/ T103N R27W Sec 7

Summary Table

Photo Year²	Image Source ²	Actual/ Estimat ed Photo	timat oto cordition (wet, dry, normal)45		retation tress, dr			s observed	l, e.g.
		Date ³		SA22	SA23	SA24			
2017	WMS (FSA)	9/20	Dry	NV	NV	CS			
2015	WMS (FSA)	8/1	Normal	NV	NV	NV			
2013 *	WMS (FSA)	7/12	Wet	CS	CS	CS			
2011	WMS (DNR)	4/15	Wet	NV	CS	CS			
2010	WMS (FSA)	7/1	Normal	CS	NV	CS			
2009	WMS (FSA)	7/25	Dry	NV	NV	NV			
2008	WMS (FSA)	7/5	Normal	NV	NV	NV			
2006	Google Earth	5/31	Wet	CS	NV	CS			
2004	Google Earth	8/2	Normal	NV	NV	NV			
2003	WMS (FSA)	9/25	Dry	NV	NV	NV			
1991	WMS (USGS)	4/15	Normal	NV	SWS	SWS			

Summary Table

	SA22	SA23	SA24	SA25		
# Years of aerial photography	11	11	11	11		
# Normal Years (1991-2018)	5	5	5	5		
# signatures in Normal years	1	1	2			
# signatures in Wet years	2	2	3			
# Signatures in Dry years	0	0	1			
# signatures in all years	3	3	6			
% Usable Yrs with wet signatures ⁷	1/5=20%	1/5=20%	2/5=40%			

(sm)= smaller area than whole area showed signature

¹ Form adapted from BWSR/USACE Technical Guidance, July 1, 2016.

²Photo selection for historical aerial photography review are from the MnGEO WMS GIS server, Google Earth, and GIS sources such as County, watersheds, or cities. ³July 1 was used as the date for aerial photographs when determining antecedent precipitation when an actual date could not be determined. Other aerial photography from County GIS, Google imagery, NAIP, etc. was dated based on available information.

⁴MN State Climatology website used to produce three-prior-month (NRCS) method for parcel being investigated.

⁵Photo dates at the end of the month were advanced to the next month to determine climate conditions using the NRCS/3-prior-month method if the daily precipitation data from that month warranted it.

⁶Key below is used label photo interpretations. It is imperative the reviewer read and understand the guidance associated with the use of the labels. ⁷Equal number of most recent wet and dry years used if 5 normal years were not available. Otherwise only Normal years.

*Base photo for suspect areas

Definitions

WS-wetland signature CS-crop stress SGO-something going on	DO-drowned out NC-not cropped SS- soil wetness signature	AP-altered pattern	NV-normal vegetative cover DNC-dry not cropped NSS- no soil wetness (sm)- smaller area
--	--	--------------------	---

WS is typically used for interpretation in non-cropped areas or contrasting green areas in dry conditions

Summary Notes:

Wetland Determination from Aerial Imagery – Recording Form

Project Name:	Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery Storage	Date: 6/17/2020	County:	Faribault	
5			· _		S11/12/13/
Investigator:	R.Cress	Legal Description (T, R, S):	T103	R28/27	14/7

Use the Decision Matrix below to complete Table 1.

Hydric Soils present ¹	Identified on NWI or other wetland map ²	Percent with wet signatures from Exhibit 1	Field verification required ³	Wetland?
Yes	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
Yes	Yes	<30%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
Yes	No	>50%	No	Yes
Yes	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
Yes	No	<30%	No	No
No	Yes	>50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	30-50%	No	Yes
No	Yes	<30%	No	No
No	No	>50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
No	No	30-50%	Yes	Yes, if other hydrology indicators present
No	No	<30%	No	No

¹ The presence of hydric soils can be determined from the "Hydric Rating by Map Unit Feature" under "Land Classifications" from the Web Soil Survey. "Not Hydric" is the only category considered to not have hydric soils. Field sampling for the presence/absence of hydric soil indicators can be used in lieu of the hydric rating if appropriately documented by providing completed field data sheets.

 2 At minimum, the most updated NWI data available for the area must be reviewed for this step. Any and all other local or regional wetland maps that are publically available should be reviewed.

 $\frac{3}{3}$ Area should be reviewed in the field for the presence/absence of wetland hydrology indicators per the applicable 87 Manual Regional Supplement, including the D2 indicator (geomorphic position).

Table 1.

Area	Hydric Soils Present	Identified on NWI or other wetland map	Percent with wet signatures from Exhibit 1	Other hydrology indicators present ¹	Wetland?
22	Yes	No	20		No
23	Yes	No	20		No
24	Yes	No	40		Yes, if other hydrology indicators present

¹ Answer "N/A" if field verification is not required and was not conducted.

*See Summary Notes on Page 1.



Delineation Area

Westwood Toll Free (888) 937-5150 westwoodps.com stwood Professional Services, Inc.



Desktop Wetland

Suspect Area

Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery Storage Faribault County, MN



Aerial Review



Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery Storage Faribault County, MN



Data Source(s): Westwood (2021);



Delineation Area

Desktop Wetland

Suspect Area



Aerial Review



Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery Storage Faribault County, MN





Delineation Area

Desktop Wetland

Suspect Area



Aerial Review







Delineation Area

Desktop Wetland

Suspect Area

Winnebago Solar Facility and Battery Storage Faribault County, MN

Feet 750

Aerial Review