



Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Decisions

Thursday, September 23, 2021

9:15 AM

Online via Webex

INTRODUCTION

DECISION ITEMS

1. **PULLED**

* ~~E, G999/CI-20-375~~ **All Electric and Natural Gas Utilities**

In the Matter of an Inquiry into Actions by Electric and Natural Gas Utilities in Light of the COVID-19 Pandemic Emergency.

Should the Commission approve the request of the Minnesota Housing Finance Agency to include a pending application for RentHelp utility assistance to the criteria that protect against disconnection by utilities? (PUC: Martone)

Item pulled.

2. ** **E015/M-21-257** **Minnesota Power**

In the Matter Minnesota Power's Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Investment.

Should the Commission approve, modify, or reject Minnesota Power's EV Charging Infrastructure program? (PUC: **Terwilliger**)

Approved proposal with modifications and required filings.

3. * **IP7046/WS-20-860** **Northern Wind Energy Redevelopment, LLC**

In the Matter of the Application for a Site Permit Amendment to Decommission the Existing Chanarambie and Viking Wind Facilities and Construct the 120-Megawatt Northern Wind Facility in Murray County, Minnesota.

Whether a draft site permit should be issued. (PUC: **Ek**)

Issued draft site permit.

4. * **E002/CN-08-510 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**
In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for a Certificate of Need for Additional Dry Cask Storage at Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant in Goodhue County.

Is additional information needed before the Commission can make a decision on Xcel's request to change the type of dry cask used for spent fuel storage at its Prairie Island nuclear generating plant, and if so, what information is needed? (PUC: **Kaluzniak**)

Took no action.

5. ** **E015/M-21-28 Minnesota Power**
In the Matter of the Petition by Minnesota Power for Approval of its Industrial Demand Response Product C Contracts.
1. Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's Petition for approval of its industrial demand product C contracts?
 2. Should the Commission approve modification of the terms of Product C to allow for 6-year contracts? (PUC: **Manning**)

Approved Product C agreements and established pilot project.

ADJOURNMENT

* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.
** Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved. (Ex Parte Rules apply)
Please note: For the complete record, please see eDockets