

February 18, 2015

Daniel P. Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: Supplemental Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E002/AI-14-759

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Attached are the Supplemental Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) in the following matter:

Approval of New Administrative Services Agreements (ASAs) Between Northern States Power Company (Xcel) and Xcel Energy Transmission Development Company, LLC and Xcel Energy Southwest Transmission Company, LLC.

The filing was submitted on September 3, 2014. The petitioner is:

Paul J Lehman Manager, Regulatory Compliance and Filings Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall, 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401 (612) 330-7529

The Department recommends that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission approve Xcel's proposal regarding the ASAs but continues to have concerns regarding the Transco initiative. The Department is available to answer any questions the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ JOHN KUNDERT Financial Analyst 651-539-1740 /s/ NANCY CAMPBELL Financial Analyst 651-539-1821 /s/ CHRIS SHAW Rates Analyst 651-539-1823

JK/NC/CS/It Attachment



BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS OF THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE DIVISION OF ENERGY RESOURCES

DOCKET NO. E002/AI-14-759

I. SUMMARY OF REQUEST

On September 3, 2014, Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy (Xcel, NSP-MN, or the Company) requested approval from the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) regarding two proposed Administrative Services Agreements (ASAs), one with Xcel Energy Transmission Development Company, LLC (XETD) and the other with Xcel Energy Southwest Transmission Company, LLC (XEST) (Transcos).

On October 3 and December 2, 2014, the Minnesota Department of Commerce (Department) requested extensions to file its comments in this matter. The Commission approved both of the Department's requests.

On January 16, 2015, the Department filed its comments in this docket. The Department's review of the regulatory requirements for affiliated interest agreements concluded that:

- Xcel's proposal would have no impact on NSP-MN's operating costs and rate levels in 2015. It may have a positive impact when Xcel files future rate cases or TCRs:
- the fully allocated cost-recovery protocol the Company provides in its comments is reasonable:
- the competitive situation criterion does not apply to approval of the ASAs;¹
- approval of the two ASAs would not apparently impair effective regulation in the near term; and
- the Commission will have ongoing authority over the ASAs.

The Department also included a description of the analysis it normally uses to determine if a proposal is in the public interest. The Department recommended that Xcel provide certain information in its reply comments.

¹ However, as noted below, the Department recommends that the Minnesota Commission require Commission approval of any specific proposal of an Xcel Transco to build new transmission facilities in Minnesota.

Docket No. E002/AI-14-759

Analyst assigned: John Kundert/Nancy Campbell/Chris Shaw

Page 2

- 1. A cost/benefit analysis that identifies and quantifies any costs and benefits associated with the ASAs to ratepayers;
- 2. A cost/benefit analysis including any and all costs and benefits associated with the Company's Transco initiative from the ratepayers' perspective; and
- 3. A discussion of the possibility of using the TCR as the cost recovery mechanism for revenues deferred as a result of the Transco initiative.

The Department concluded its comments by stating that it would provide its final recommendations to the Commission in this docket after it reviewed Xcel's reply comments.

On January 26, 2015, the Company filed its reply comments.

II. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS

The Company provided a general discussion of the potential costs and benefits associated with the ASAs from a ratepayer perspective. As Xcel explained in the filing; "A specific cost benefit analysis is difficult to prepare due to the lack of actual scenarios for these proposed ASAs and the uncertainty of the future level of work by Company personnel or resources for the Transcos." The Company's statement highlights the exploratory nature of Xcel's foray into the Transco realm. It appears as though ratepayers are unlikely to be harmed due to Xcel's agreement to use fully distributed cost as the mechanism for the provision of transmission planning and development activities under the ASAs. Further, Minnesota Statute section 216B.48, subd. 6 gives the Commission ongoing authority over the affiliated-interest agreement:

The commission shall have continuing supervisory control over the terms and conditions of the contracts and arrangements as are herein described so far as necessary to protect and promote the public interest. The commission shall have the same jurisdiction over the modifications or amendment of contracts or arrangements as are herein described as it has over such original contracts or arrangements. The fact that the commission shall have approved entry into such contracts or arrangements as described herein shall not preclude disallowance or disapproval of payments made pursuant thereto, if upon actual experience under such contract or arrangement it appears that the payments provided for or made were or are unreasonable.

Regarding the costs and benefits associated with the Transco initiative from a ratepayer perspective, the Company stated that utility resources would be provided to a Transco affiliate only when those resources are available, and would provide revenues to the utility that would not otherwise be available to offset costs of utility resources.

_

² Reply comments at page 2.

Docket No. E002/AI-14-759

Analyst assigned: John Kundert/Nancy Campbell/Chris Shaw

Page 3

The Company also provided what it defined as a relevant example of the potential benefits associated with Xcel pursuing the construction of transmission facilities under the Transco model. The Company's example suggests that the Transco initiative could reduce transmission costs in the MISO footprint, to the extent that one of the Transcos is lower cost than another alternative. Xcel provided a hypothetical example as to how its costs could be lower than a project built by Ameren. However, since Xcel is using only hypothetical costs, the Department considers the assumption of lower construction costs to be speculative at best.

The Department also notes that rather than a comparison of Ameren to XETD, the correct comparison should be of NSPM costs to XETD costs. Minnesota ratepayers receive benefits of NSPM-owned transmission by receiving: 1) offsetting transmission revenues for shared transmission via the transmission cost recovery rider (TCR) and in rate cases, 2) financial transmission rights (FTRs) and 3) auction revenue rights (ARRs), which they would not receive under a Transco model. Additionally, since MISO does not have a process that reviews or challenges cost overruns, financial accountability in MISO's bidding process is unlikely to occur. Finally, the Department (along with other consumer advocates, end use customers, and Organization of MISO States) are raising concerns before Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regarding excessive returns on equity (ROEs) and overall cost of capital, particularly for stand-alone transmission companies, that would be exacerbated if an Xcel Transco is allowed to build transmission in Minnesota without prior Commission review.

For these and other reasons, as noted in the Department's initial comments, the Commission should require Xcel to file a petition with the Commission if an Xcel Transco proposes to build new transmission connected to transmission facilities located in Minnesota. Given the concerns noted above, it is unlikely to be in the public interest for one of Xcel's Transcos to build new transmission in Minnesota rather than the incumbent utility.

Xcel also provided a discussion of the possibility of using the TCR as the cost recovery mechanism per the Department's comments. The Company concluded that it preferred the cost recovery mechanism it proposed in the petition of a rate case deferral mechanism for revenues and costs. After reviewing Xcel's discussion on this matter, the Department agrees that a rate case deferral mechanism is preferable.

Finally, the Company agreed with the Department's statements in its comments that the Commission has ongoing authority over the ASAs and over any effort by XETD to build transmission facilities in NSP-MN's retail service territory.

Docket No. E002/AI-14-759

Analyst assigned: John Kundert/Nancy Campbell/Chris Shaw

Page 4

III. RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission approve the ASAs effective with the date of the Commission's Order in this proceeding, with ongoing reporting requirements and fully allocated cost and revenue credits for ASAs in future Xcel rate cases.

In addition, Commission authorization should be required prior to allowing Xcel's Transco XETD to build new transmission in Minnesota rather than NSPM or another rate-regulated utility in Minnesota. Such approval will help ensure that Minnesota ratepayers do not lose benefits of the existing transmission model (shared revenues, FTRs, and ARRs) under NSPM and to avoid causing Minnesota ratepayers to pay unnecessary higher rates due to a lack of financial accountability for cost overruns in MISO and the excessive ROEs allowed by FERC, including the bonus ROE afforded to Transcos.

/lt

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Ferguson, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, certified mail, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Minnesota Department of Commerce Supplemental Comments

Docket No. E02/AI-14-759

Dated this **18**th day of **February 2015**

/s/Sharon Ferguson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Julia	Anderson	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@leonard.c om	Leonard Street & Deinard	150 South Fifth Street, Suite 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Michael	Bradley	mike.bradley@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Jeffrey A.	Daugherty	jeffrey.daugherty@centerp ointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	800 LaSalle Ave Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
lan	Dobson	ian.dobson@ag.state.mn.u s	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Antitrust and Utilities Division 445 Minnesota Street, BRM Tower St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service 1400	Yes	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 500 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Tiffany	Hughes	Regulatory.Records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
James P	Johnson	james.p.johnson@xcelener gy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 5 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.o rg	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_Al-14-759
Thomas G.	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_Al-14-759
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
John	Lindell	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-759_Al-14-759
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_Al-14-759
Andrew	Moratzka	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_Al-14-759
David W.	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	Suite 300 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_Al-14-759

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Ron	Spangler, Jr.	rlspangler@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 So. Cascade St. PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@leonard.com	Leonard Street and Deinard	150 South 5th Street Suite 2300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_Al-14-759
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_14-759_AI-14-759
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_14-759_Al-14-759