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MR. MIKE KALUZNIAK: Good morning.
Once again, my name is Michael Kaluzniak, I'm a staff person with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.

We're here to talk about the Brookings to Hampton Transmission Line and the Second Circuit that will be placed on it.

This is a public information and environmental report assessment scoping meeting and I'll explain that in a bit.

You'll notice there are two PUC dockets. The Commission has two separate proceedings that are being done jointly here, so there are two approvals required for the Commission and the reason we have two dockets.

One is a certificate of need, which demonstrates the need for the project, obviously. Whether it's in the consumer and ratepayers' best interests. And some of the econometric evaluations of the project itself. That's 23-200.

The second one is a minor alteration that's required to modify the existing Brookings to Hampton line, and that's a separate docket, TL-08-1474. We did that in '09 through ' 12 , I believe. We were out here having meetings back then
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as well.
So, with that, we're meeting tonight, by the way, online, there's information on those meetings in the notice. If you didn't get one of these, please pick one up at the table. There is also other information and sign-ups for you as well.

We're here to talk about the proposed project, what it is, where it's going, what it entails. And in the process for the review of the certificate of need application and the route approval through the Commission's minor approval process.

More importantly, we're here to provide an opportunity for you to participate in developing the scope of the environmental report for the project. The certificate of need mandates that an environmental report be done to assess the human and environmental impacts of the project, or the potential environmental impacts, I should say. And then allow you to comment on the need for it, to comment on potential impacts of the proposed project. And then if you have additional methods that might be used to minimize, mitigate, or avoid potential impacts, we would like to hear that as well.
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Again, just to keep things straight, it's awful confusing when you see a lot of parties talking. So, again, I'm with the Public Utilities Commission. The Commission itself is a five-member body and I'm a staff person. They meet in public, we have open meeting laws, all of our meetings and materials that they use to base their decision are filed on our website and there is information in the notice about that as well.

After I'm finished here shortly, I will turn it over to Tim Rogers from Xcel Energy, who will explain the project in more detail and provide some more information about what that entails.

After that time, Suzanne Steinhauer from the Department of Commerce here, the Energy Environmental Review and Analysis unit, who is a sibling agency to the Commission, I guess you'd call it, that provides assistance to the Commission in terms of environmental reviews and other procedural things with the applications.

After that presentation, Suzanne will be moderating the $Q$ and $A$ here, so we're happy to have you come out and we appreciate any questions you may have.

Once again, there are copies of the
Shaddix \& Associates - Stenographic Court Reporters
notice and the slides, please take one of those if you care to. If you aren't on our mailing list, if you didn't receive a notice in the mail and you'd like to get future notices, please fill out an orange card and we'll see that that happens.

With that, I'll turn it over to Tim Rogers.

I'm sorry, I have one more slide here. I apologize.

I explained this briefly before so I won't go through it in too much detail. Again, we need a certificate of need and a minor alteration from the Commission, they need to get it from the Commission in order to build the project. These are the laws that are governing the review of the project itself. The certificate of need is done under statutes 216B. 243 and Minnesota rules 7849. And the minor alteration, it is a minor alteration to the transmission line under Minnesota rule Chapter 7850.

As part of that, again, the Commission has authorized the preparation of an environmental report in accordance with accepting the application.

Just a quick rundown of the process that the Commission will use to evaluate the record and
make a decision on these two requests.
The Commission received an application separately from Xcel on behalf of some of the CapX partners requesting the certificate of need and minor alteration. We did have a brief comment period to see if the application had sufficient information to proceed with the review. And the Commission did approve that, as well as providing direction as to the review process going forward. They allowed a formal comment and reply comment for the certificate of need, and the minor alteration has comments available for you as well.

After the environmental report is scoped, Suzanne and the Department of Commerce will issue a scoping statement saying that this is what we'll be looking at within the environmental report. And these are things we won't be looking at. And then the report will be developed to have that ready. After that time, we'll have another notice that will be going out, we'll probably be back in the same spot here or somewhere close by, to have a public hearing in front of an administrative law judge with the court reporter again, a little bit more formal, we enter evidence and things like that and develop the record.

And after that point, the comment period will be open until 10 days after the public hearing. And the administrative law judge will probably provide a report sometime within the 30 - to 60-day time period. After the ALJ report comes out, I will do the Commission briefing paper, where we review the entire record, including the comments you make here today, in reaching a decision.

So we do appreciate you coming out and taking the time. And we're happy to answer any question you may have.

Thank you.
MR. TIM ROGERS: Thank you, Mike.
Hi. I'm Tim Rogers, Manager of Siting and Land Rights at Xcel Energy.

Yeah, Mike, you could toggle to my next slide, if you like. Maybe a couple in. And I have my project manager, Tony Wetland here, helping to answer any questions that you have.

So Xcel is the lead applicant on this, but there are other CapX owners that Mike alluded to so I needed to acknowledge them.

We're asking approval from the Commission to put a second circuit on the existing structures that were originally built. So here's a picture you
can see, on the left-hand side there's the poles that are ready for this second circuit that was originally installed, and on the right is kind of what the structures will look like with that second circuit installed.

So a circuit is, we'll have three new lines or three new conductors on it, so that's why we have three arms. There's two segments that we're asking for approval. There's a western segment that goes from White, South Dakota to Milroy, Minnesota, and then an eastern segment that goes in between the Helena substation to the Hampton substation. The total project is about 98 miles long.

Okay. You're toggling the wrong way. There is a segment in the middle that is in purple there that is already built double-circuit. So back when they installed this eight or nine years ago, the Commission said we were okay to put the two double-circuits on in that middle section, but they thought we should come back and show the need for when the time is right to put on the second circuit on each end. And so what we're finding right now is we've got congestion and so we're having an inability to deliver this renewable power to our customers and so now is the time to put on this second circuit.

So the western segment here is -- you can see that we've got some red spots. We're primarily going to use a bucket truck and a crew to access some segments, but for the most part we're going to use a helicopter to string the second circuit so we won't be building new structures on this western segment so the impacts will be minimal.

Let's see. Our construction, we're hoping, is Quarter 2 of 2024.

And the eastern segment here is we do have a few areas where we will need to put in some new structures. And those areas are where we have to cut in near Trout Lake substation and by the Airlake Airport. We have to kind of rebuild about 11 different structures to keep it low profile.

For the eastern and the western segment all the construction will stay within the existing easement so we won't be needing new easements from landowners.

If there are any crop damages during the construction, we will reimburse the landowners for the loss of those crops.

I think that's it. Tony, any key items I forgot to mention? It's a pretty basic project
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here.
So if there's any questions, I guess we go to Suzanne for the next part.

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: Good afternoon.
Can people hear me?
UNIDENTIFIED: Yep.
MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: I am Suzanne Steinhauer with the Minnesota Department of Commence Energy Environmental Review and Analysis unit.

So the Department of Commerce has a wide range of responsibilities. Most of these are in the area of consumer protection. We have an insurance fraud division. We have weights and measures, so that little sticker when you fill up with gas showing it's been through an analysis. And also it is to act as technical advisors to the Commission and to provide a neutral third-party analysis of energy facility projects seeking permits from the Commission.

So I think both Mike and Tim went over the review process. It needs a certificate of need and a minor alteration to the existing transmission route permit.

And for the purposes of the work that I do, the difference is that the certificate of need
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requires an environmental report, which is a high level review of the potential impacts for the project and what mitigation measures can be taken to avoid or minimize those impacts.

So any infrastructure project, I'm sure you guys will know, will have impacts. So system alternatives are reviewed to compare human and environmental impacts to see how they would differ. And one of the system alternatives that we'll look at is not building this project, which will also have impacts, they're just different.

So the environmental report describes the proposed project and system alternatives. If you've had an opportunity, it's not necessary, there's a sample scoping report that sort of identifies generally. I think of it as a table of contents for the environmental report. It'll describe the project, the construction, the type of structures that will be used, right-of-way requirements. And then it will describe alternatives to the proposed project and then impacts and mitigation measures for the project as proposed and for the alternatives. It will also identify strategies to minimize or mitigate those impacts. And those can be formalized in permit conditions for the minor alteration.
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So there are some things that we know we're going to look at. We have -- by law, we have to look at impacts to natural resources, which are air quality, greenhouse gases, vegetation, wildlife, water resources. We need to look at impacts to human settlements, noise, aesthetics, socioeconomics, public services, public health and safety, and then also land-based economics, which in this project is going to be mostly, I would imagine almost exclusively agricultural, there is not forestry. So those are the type of impacts that we look at generally. And the purpose of scoping is to hone those down to identify projects that are more relevant to the project as proposed to identify those impacts.

There are a couple things that it won't cover. It won't look at negotiation and contents of easement agreements and it won't look at alternatives that weren't specifically identified in the scoping decision. So the unique characteristics help inform that analysis. And to identify, as I mentioned earlier, potential permit conditions. So the comments help us move from the general to the specific.

So with that in mind, the general
Shaddix \& Associates - Stenographic Court Reporters (952)888-7687-reporters@janetshaddix.com
framework in mind, I'm going to turn the mic over pretty soon for comments and questions. I'd ask that you try to focus your comments on these areas, potential impacts that you're concerned about and that you feel the Commission should know more about or you want to know more about. Strategies to avoid, minimize or mitigate or other ways the needs for the project could be met. Unique characteristics of the proposed route of the project. Items that are missing or mischaracterized in the application for the certificate of need and the minor alteration for the route permit application and other project-related issues or concerns.

And I'm going to turn this over for comments in just a minute.

There is several ways you can get your comments into the record. For people who want to speak, we're accepting verbal comments today at this meeting tonight and at the online meeting and then tomorrow evening in Marshall.

So information is in the notice and it's in the comment form. You can contact me at any time, you can give me a call, you can send me an email. But if we talk about it, that's not going to

Shaddix \& Associates - Stenographic Court Reporters (952)888-7687-reporters@janetshaddix.com
be part of the record that the Commission sees when it's evaluating it. So getting your comments verbally into the record tonight, and we'll take written comments through January 3rd.

If you don't want to speak in front of a crowd and you would prefer to write your comments, that's fine. If you speak today and have another question, you can send in comments by the 3rd of January. You can comment directly online through the fillable form on our website, on the Commerce website. You can mail, fax, or email comments by January 3rd. There's a comment sheet that you don't have to use, we provide them because sometimes it's useful. It has all the contact information and so if you want to grab one for later, you can do that.

So just a quick reminder about sort of the comment etiquette tonight -- this afternoon. If you have questions about the project or the review process, I'd encourage you to ask them today. We have folks here who should be able to answer those questions. If you are looking at issues, we want to make the report that it has any mitigation measures.

We have a court reporter here, Janet is here, and it's really important that we have a record. We are keeping a record of our
conversation. They can only record one speaker at a time. We really want to make sure we have an accurate record so one person at a time, please. Before you start, please take a minute to state and spell your name for the record. And so if you forget, I'll remind you, and that's fine.

Please limit your initial comments to five minutes so we make sure everybody that wants to say something has an opportunity, and then we'll circle back. I think there were one or two people who signed up and I'll start with those people and then open it up.

And then the last thing is, please maintain respect for others here. People can have differences of opinion about the proposed project, the Commission wants to know that before their decision, and that's why we want to hear from anyone.

So I'm going to put the mic over here and then just ask if you could speak into the mic so we have an accurate record.

MR. MARK HENRY: Okay. I'm pretty loud, I don't need a mic, but --

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: It's easier for the reporter if you have a mic.
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MR. MARK HENRY: I am Mark Henry, M-A-R-K, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Y}$, Castle Rock Township.

First off, I've got a document for you gentlemen. This is an ordinance that we enacted in 2009 in Castle Rock to deal with these kinds of projects and the effects on our roads. At that time, I believe Capx took us to court and lost, so I wouldn't go down that path again.

All it is is about dealing with road issues, regulating traffic. And I think you're going to find that a number of townships in this area adopted this. We paid for it, they got it for free.

So it comes down to routing, usage. You know, and $I$ don't see it as being as big an impact this time because we're not dealing with poles and everything, but still you're going to be asked to deal with that, okay.

I'm one of the other-side-of-the-roaders.
I'm still trying to figure out how this thing got through by stealing the townships' right-of-ways. My township, 1858, for a period of roughly 50 years after that the roads were put in place through road orders. And basically what that was was people came in and petitioned the board, asked for a road. The
road -- the township would send a surveyor out, lay the road out. There would be a legal description of the road. At the end of the day, the people that gave up easement for the road, that's what they are, they're 66-foot right-of-ways, would be recognized in the road order.

So I'm trying to figure out -- and the thing is that, you know, we have say over the local public utilities, that service, like Xcel. But I'm trying to figure out how this thing was able to attach itself to the road.

I was one of the
other-side-of-the-roaders, meaning I wasn't even part of the process of condemnation. They would buy 80 feet of right-of-way from my neighbor, they would mention them in the condemnation, but Mark Henry on the other side of the road, and there's an easement there, but I'm not even mentioned. And the legal description of the right-of-way is 150 feet. So they would set their power pole just outside of the easement, the town's easement.

They paid for 80 feet of right-of-way, they would incorporate 80 feet of right-of-way they paid for, but 66 feet that I'll use the term they acquired from the township. And then I'm one of the
other-side-of-the-roaders. They took four feet out of my front yard that I never even got paid for. So I have kind of an issue with all of that. It's not just me, it's everybody on this road, all up and down this road, that's what they did. I still wonder how that was accomplished. We have say over public utilities in our right-of-way, but we had no say over this.

I would have no issue with this project if they would have paid for the right-of-way, but half of you didn't. That's my -- excuse me, I'm a little passionate. That's my interpretation of it.

By the way, I went and testified to the PUC about this ten years ago. I could tell the Judge had a lot of consternation, but nothing came from it. I guess those are my primary comments.

If you need any help with this next year, I do the road maintenance in the township, and I'm going to work with you gentlemen, don't get me wrong. But $I$ do have kind of an issue with the way this was done originally.

By the way, I sleep 100 feet from this damn thing. And we're being inundated now with people that want to put up solar panels, recently we were approached about 300-some acres going into the
city of Farmington so there could be a data shed. I don't know, that's outside of my route.

I'm done. Oh, any questions?
MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: Thank you.
So I have one question for you that's a technical question, and I think the Commission staff would like to respond to some of your comments. You gave Mr . Rogers a copy of the road --

MR. MARK HENRY: If you go to our website, yep, 2009-2.

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: Do you want that to be part of -- do you want me to enter that into the record?

MR. MARK HENRY: I wanted to bring that today. It's online if anybody needs to see it. And all it does is it -- we went through the MN Can project, and what we ended with is walking down the road, people at picnic tables having lunch, people coming from every direction in the town.

So if they need to bring supplies in, we want to say, okay, use this road only. That sort of thing. That's all it's about. It's not trying to impede them or stop them, it's about us trying to have control. And if we have a road that is generally maybe a problem, the subgrade is not
really well, we don't want you using that. We just want you to work with us and be a partner. The other comments $I$ made are kind of personal.

MR. MIKE KALUZNIAK: Thank you.
I just wanted to point out that the Commission under Minnesota law does not have any involvement with the actual easements themselves and any condemnation proceedings. The Commission, in issuing a transmission line route permit, provides a corridor in which an applicant is entitled to build the structures themselves. The final location of which is sited after the Commission's permit through consultation with the Department of Commerce. So we don't deal directly with anything related to the actual administration of the easements themselves. Thank you.

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: Now I'm just going to -- I think we're small enough to go by a show of hands. If there is anybody else who has comments or questions, this is a good time to ask them and we'll try our best.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Hello. I'm Ed O'Brien, O-B-R-I-E-N. 24525 Dodd Boulevard.

The transmission line will go right by
our property. We did get involved with the easement issue.

My question, I have two questions. One is is this an additional 345 thousand watt circuit on the poles that are 345 thousand watt circuits? So it's 700, roughly? Correct?

MR. TIM ROGERS: It's an additional 345 kV circuit. You're correct.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Okay. Because I know the permit was for 345 , but now they're adding in another 345.

MR. TIM ROGERS: Correct.
MR. ED O'BRIEN: That was the first question.

Next question was occasionally we've had huge explosion noise. Is that created by something with the power lines unloading or something? Because it's actually rattled the dishes in my wife's counters. And nobody knows where it's coming from. And it seems like it's when there is humidity, because that's the only time we hear the vibration from the lines, is when it's like humid out and you get some humming through the lines. Is that going to be doubled also?

MR. TIM ROGERS: Yeah, we're going to
look into that. In our minor application, we've looked at noise. And there will be -- there are calculations that show noise increase. But you're correct, that the more humid it is, the more crackling that you'll hear.

But I would like you to talk to some of our engineers just to understand a little bit more about what's going on. Rattling dishes in the kitchen doesn't sound right. So I think you should talk with our technical --

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Yeah. 'Cause we had neighbors calling, too, saying, hey, what happened, what was that? And it isn't frequent, don't get me wrong, but occasionally it's happened. And it's like, what was that? You know, dogs barking in the garage, like what the hell, what went on. I was just curious if that could be coming from the lines.

MR. TIM ROGERS: Yeah. The magnitude, I'm not sure. But, yep, if you've correlated it with really humid or a rain weather, yeah, it could be the line that exhibits that type of situation. I'm not sure about explosions, though.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Well, we've never seen anything, it's just been the noise.

MS. SHELBIE O'BRIEN: And the vibration.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: And, again, I thought if the neighbor was blowing a rock out of a farm field or something, but nobody's done anything like that in the neighborhood.

MR. TIM ROGERS: If it's appropriate, I'd like you to talk with some of our engineers. Or we could address that now, but $I$ don't think we're going to have an answer right now.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Okay. Thank you.
MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: If Xcel has engineers who you'd like to talk to, I think that's good, they can lead you through that. We have the comment, we'll try to look into that and ask Xcel for more information for that.

MR. TIM ROGERS: And my engineer, Ben Gallay -- come on up, Ben. Thank you.

MR. BEN GALLEY: Ben Gallay, G-A-L-L-A-Y.
So I guess -- so certainly
explosion-wise, there's nothing on the line operating that can cause a concussive force, so there's nothing that could cause little vibrations, little rattling noises, the humming noise you're hearing. The corona discharge effect, as Tim was mentioning, is typical. It does fluctuate with humidity in the operation of power lines. But
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that's a 50 Hertz crackling, humming noise that usually dissipates within a few hundred feet of the power lines. But it certainly can't cause any movement of objects around.

The net effect of adding the second circuit, with noise it's not completely additive. When you have a loud noise in the area, they don't double if you go up say just a few kicks. For example, 40 decibels with what you're hearing today, it might go up to 42 or 43 decibels with the second circuit, they are essentially the same level of noise, you just hear it twice, and they can hear double that. I think that I caught what I heard.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Yeah. And it was more of a question if that explosion could have came from the power lines, you know.

MR. BEN GALLAY: When you first mentioned it, I thought back when it was originally installed, they did use some explosive splices and dead end sleeves that were used across the line. Those did cause a force and people were notified when they were going to happen, et cetera. But that's not an ongoing activity, that was just during the install of those lines so they could string longer and longer sections so we didn't disturb as many
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property owners. So there's nothing a line can do in its operation over the last decade that can cause an explosion.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: So there could be some more just for installation.

MR. BEN GALLAY: I won't completely exclude it because of materials, supplies a decade ago, they didn't have the same amount of the string without the explosive use. And it's better for everybody and less disturbing so that would be the reason.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Thank you.
MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: Any other comments or questions?

MS. SHELBIE O'BRIEN: I guess I do have one. I'm sorry.

I'm Shelbie O'Brien, that's
S-H-E-I-B-I-E, then O'Brien, O-B-R-I-E-N.
My question, $I$ don't know if it relates to here, but $I$ just want to get it in the records.

All the letters say this is being done to reduce costs. And we've kind of heard the same thing when the poles went in and the lines went in the first time, but after they were in we experienced rate increases on our electric bills.
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And so $I$ just want to make sure that when you say reduce costs, we're not going to see the same kind of thing happening again. That's my only comment. Thank you.

MR. MARK HENRY: I had one more question.
Has there been any study on health? I mean, $I$ sleep next to 345 thousand volts, now we're going to double that. What's the study? I mean, is that normal? They won't allow cattle to be underneath this thing, but $I$ can sleep by it. It's not just me, it's everybody on this route. So now we're going to double this, so what's that effect going to be?

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: So thanks. That is a very valid question that we get all the time.

What $I$ can -- and $I$ can't definitively say absolutely not. What I can say is that health issues that have been raised for at least 40 years, maybe closer to 50, are related to electromagnetic fields. The conductors do generate both electric fields and magnetic fields. There have been studies about health impacts, both for people who work in the industry, the linemen, as well as residents nearby.

We do look at those studies. They
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haven't been updated for a while because there hasn't really been any conclusive determination of what the biological mechanism is. So there are health standards that are particularly related to the people that work with the lines in substations that are exposed to electricity. There are also some sort of general public health standards.

The Commission, in their permits, has an electric field standard. Those are going to be -all of those will be electric and magnetic fields, they are going to be much higher when you're right under the line. We are lucky in Minnesota, I guess, that we have -- we don't place transmission lines over people's homes.

So it's a valid question and I'm sorry I can't answer that better.

Xcel, do you want to say anything?
MR. TIM ROGERS: Jacques?
MR. JACQUES HARVIEUX: So the state of Minnesota -- Jacques Harvieux, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, public advisor on this project.

There was a work group that was put together in the early 2000s. And Suzanne mentioned that not a lot of research has been done since that,
but in the state itself, a work crew was put together with the Department of Health, the Public Utilities, and the Department of Commerce to study EMF. And like you say, the most dangerous it's going to be would be standing right underneath it. But at the same time, the research that they conducted found that the -- that any of their correlation was nonconclusive, they couldn't find an exact match between anything that was coming off EMF and going to the body. The type of electric and magnetic field that comes out of a transmission line is considered what is called nonionizing, but when x-rayed there is something that would be a high ionizing field, for example. So that means that under that technicality it is not part of the units. MS. BARBARA ROUSSEAU: I want to know how big the circle of impact.

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: Could you speak into the mic and please identify yourself for the reporter so we have a record?

Thank you.
MS. BARBARA ROUSSEAU: Anyway, Barbara Rousseau, $R-O-U-S-S-E-A-U$. Do you need the address, too?

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: NO.
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MS. BARBARA ROUSSEAU: I was wondering, you talk about the most effect of standing underneath. How big is the aura and does it get double or more when we're increasing the power?

MR. JACQUES HARVIEUX: No. And I should have worded that differently 'cause what I should have said is the most amount of EMF that would reach you upon contact would be if you're standing underneath the transmission line, but it doesn't mean it's dangerous.

MS. BARBARA ROUSSEAU: But if I live 100 feet -- he's 200 feet, I'm 100 feet, is there still an impact?

MR. JACQUES HARVIEUX: What I'm saying is there isn't really any effect.

MS. BARBARA ROUSSEAU: Okay.
MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: It dissipates very rapidly with distance. So the applicants have modeled those electric and magnetic fields, and by the time you get to the edge of the right-of-way that's going to be less than your household appliances.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Ed O'Brien.
I thought of a second question, or a third question. I'm sorry to bother you again.

Shaddix \& Associates - Stenographic Court Reporters (952)888-7687-reporters@janetshaddix.com

But I know that the line, when they got the easement, was 150 feet. And I believe it's 75 feet from the pole on each side. And if I'm not correct -- and this may not be a question you can answer.

But we have some trees that are outside of that 75 feet and we were informed that they may need to do some removals. And I said, but it's outside the 75 feet from the center of the pole. Because I was going to plant some additional trees on my property, but the answer I got was those trees that we may have to remove are in what they call the impact line with, you know, they're an impact distance, whatever that is. But nobody could tell me what is the impact distance.

So if I'm going to plant a tree 80 feet or 85 feet from the center of the pole, does that mean someday they're going to maybe want to come and cut that tree down? I'm just trying to find out what that impact zone is that they've never said.

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: So I can try to answer from the aspect of the permit. So the permit, if the permit is amended, or the permit is altered as requested by Xcel, it will identify sort of the extent of the impact. We normally think of
that as being the easement, that is a legal restriction on the land. If the applicants want to extend the impact beyond the easement, they would need to identify those areas so that they could be permitted also.

And I don't know. Does Xcel want to say anything?

MR. TIM ROGERS: Yeah.
Thanks, Ed. I'm not sure about your specific situation at your home, but we do have to consider these danger trees. So whoever you talked to, it might be they might have been alluding to the fact that there's a large tree outside the easement that dies and it's at risk of falling into the wires and then they would have to take that tree. So that's the situation where someone might have said we may have to take a tree that's outside the easement, it's a tree that risks falling into the lines.

And so $I$ don't know if, Chris Berglund, if you have much more to add to that.

MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: Come on up.
MR. CHRIS BERGLUND: I'll come up.
Chris Berglund, $B-E-R-G-L-U-N-D$, Xcel
Energy.
Shaddix \& Associates - Stenographic Court Reporters

So essentially what --
So if you're going to plant a new tree, and let's say the power line is 50 foot away, and you plant a 100-foot tree, and that can maybe fall on the line and it's looking in bad shape, that would be considered a danger tree.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: I wouldn't plant in the area, but if I'm outside the easement area, should I be restricted on what type of tree I plant if I'm, say, 85 feet from the pole?

MR. CHRIS BERGLUND: There is probably no concern if you're 85 feet from the line, unless you're going to get a Redwood that grows to 250 feet tall.

MR. ED O'BRIEN: Okay. I just was curious why they consider possibly taking some trees and they were outside, but they didn't, they just said we may have to. They called it the danger zone that that tree might be in. And so what's the danger zone? They didn't give me an answer.

MR. CHRIS BERGLUND: Okay.
MR. ED O'BRIEN: And it was a mature tree and they felt it was a hazard.

MR. CHRIS BERGLUND: Okay. Thanks.
MS. SUZANNE STEINHAUER: Other questions,
comments? Comments, going once? Twice? And three times?

So I'll conclude the formal meeting portion of the formal comment period, but we'll be around. And I think Xcel will be around if you have questions pertaining to your particular issues, they are just happy to take those questions, but they won't be part of the record. And you're welcome to log on tonight if you think of anything else, and written comments are due by January 3rd.

Thank you.
MR. JACQUES HARVIEUX: If you need a comment sheet, they're over here.
(Matter concluded at 12:46 p.m.)

| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ago (3) } \\ & 9: 18 ; 19: 14 ; 26: 8 \\ & \text { agreements (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { approve (1) } \\ & 7: 8 \\ & \text { area }(5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 : 1 8 ; 2 1 : 2 2} \\ \text { better (2) } \\ 26: 9 ; 28: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:12;26:1,2;27:10, } \\ & \text { 16,17;31:4,21;33:4 } \\ & \text { CapX (3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { able (2) } \\ & 15: 20 ; 18: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13:18 } \\ \text { agricultural (1) } \end{gathered}$$13: 10$ | $11: 12 ; 17: 12 ; 25: 7$ | beyond (1) | 7:3;8:21;17:7 |
|  |  | $33: 8,8$ | $32: 3$ | card (1) |
| absolutely (1) | air (1) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { areas }(4) \\ 10: 12,13 ; 14: 3 ; 32: 4 \end{array}$ | big (3) $17: 15 ; 29: 17 ; 30: 3$ | $6: 5$ care (1) |
| accepting (2) | Airlake (1) | arms (1) | bills (1) | 6:2 |
| 6:23;14:19 |  | 9:8 | 26:25 | Castle (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { access (1) } \\ & 10: 4 \\ & \text { accomplished (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10: 15 \\ \text { Airport (1) } \end{gathered}$ | around (3) | biological (1) | 17:2,5 |
|  |  | 25:4;34:5,5 | 28:3 | cattle (1) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 10: 15 \\ \text { ALJ (1) } \end{array}$ | aspect (1) | bit (3) | 27:9 |
| 19:6 |  | 31:22 | 3:10;7:23;23:7 | caught (1) |
| accordance (1) | $\begin{gathered} 8: 5 \\ \text { allow (2) } \end{gathered}$ | assess (1) $4: 17$ | blowing (1) | 25:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { accurate (2) } \\ & \text { 16:3,21 } \\ & \text { acknowledge (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4: 20 ; 27: 9 \\ \text { allowed (1) } \end{array}$ | assessment (1) | board (1) | 23:11;24:20,21; |
|  |  | 3:9 | 17:25 | 25:3,21;26:2;30:6 |
|  | 7:10 | assistance (1) | body (2) | center (2) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { alluded (1) } \\ 8: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 5:18 | 5:5;29:10 | 31:9,17 |
| acquired (1) |  | attach (1) | both (3) | certainly (2) |
|  | alluding (1) | 18:11 | 11:20;27:20,2 | 24:18;25:3 |
| acres (1) | $\begin{gathered} 32: 12 \\ \text { almost (1) } \end{gathered}$ | aura (1) $30: 3$ | bother $30: 25$ | $3: 16 ; 4: 10,16 ; 6: 12$ |
| across (1) | 13:10 | authorized (1) | Boulevard (1) | 16;7:4,11;11:21,25; |
| 25:20 | alteration (9) | 6:22 | 21:24 | 14:11 |
| act (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 21 ; 6: 12,18,18 ; \\ & 7: 5,11 ; 11: 22 ; 12: 25 ; \\ & 14: 12 \end{aligned}$ | available (1) | brief (1) | cetera (1) |
|  |  | 7:12 | 7:5 | 25:22 |
| activity (1) |  | avoid (3) | briefing (1) | Chapter (1) |
| 25:23 | altered (1) | 4:23;12:4;14:7 | 8:6 | 6:20 |
| actual (2) | alternatives (6) | away (1) | briefly (1) | characteristics (2) |
| 21:8,16 |  | 33:3 |  | 13:20;14:9 |
| actually (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 7,9,13,20,22 \\ & 13: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { awful (1) } \\ 5: 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { bring (2) } \\ 20: 14,20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chris (6) } \\ & 32: 20,23,24 ; 33: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 22:18 |  | 5:2 | 20:14,20 <br> Brookings (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 20,23,24 ; 33: 11, \\ & 21,24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { add (1) } \\ 32: 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { amended (1) } \\ 31: 23 \end{gathered}$ | B | $\begin{gathered} 3: 5,22 \\ \text { bucket (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { circle (2) } \\ 16: 10 ; 29: 17 \end{array}$ |
| adding (2) | amount (2) | back (6) |  |  |
| 22:10;25:5 | 26:8;30:7 |  | 10:4 | Circuit (12) |
| additional (4) | Analysis (5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 25 ; 7: 20 ; 9: 17,20 \\ & 16: 10 ; 25: 18 \end{aligned}$ | build (2) | 3:6;8:24;9:2,5,6,22; |
| 4:22;22:4,7;31:10 | 5:16;11:9,15,17; 13.21 |  | 6:14;21:11 building (2) | 10:1,6;22:4,8;25:6,11 |
| additive (1)25:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13:21 } \\ \text { apologize (1) } \end{gathered}$ | bad (1) | building (2) | circuits (1) |
|  |  | 33:5 |  |  |
| address (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 9 \\ & \text { appliances (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BARBARA (6) } \\ & 29: 16,22,22 ; 30: 1 \\ & 11,16 \end{aligned}$ | built (2) 8:25;9:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { city (1) } \\ 20: 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 24:7;29:23 administration (1) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:25;9:16 } \\ & \text { buy (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20: 1 \\ \text { close (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| administration (1) | $\underset{\text { applicant (2) }}{8 \cdot 20 \cdot 21: 11}$ | barking (1) | 18:14 | $\begin{gathered} 7: 21 \\ \text { closer (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { administrative (2) } \\ 7 \cdot 22 \cdot 8 \cdot 3}}{ }$ | applicants (2) | 23:15 |  |  |
|  |  | base (1) | C | $27: 19$ |
| adopted (1) | 30:18;32:2 | 5:7 | calculations (1) | coming (5) |
| advisor (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 10 ; 6: 23 ; 7: 2,6 \\ & 14: 11,13 ; 23: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 10:25 | $23: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 9 ; 20: 19 ; 22: 19 ; \\ & 23: 17 ; 29: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 28:21 |  | basically (1) | call (3) | Commence (1) |
| advisors (1) | $\underset{\text { applications (1) }}{\text { a }}$ | 17:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:17;14:24;31:12 } \\ & \text { called (2) } \end{aligned}$ | 11:8 |
| 11:16 |  | behalf (1) |  | comment (14) |
| aesthetics (1) | appreciate (2) | Ben (6) | 29:12;33:18 calling (1) | $4: 20,21 ; 7: 5,10,10$ $8 \cdot 1 \cdot 14 \cdot 23 \cdot 15 \cdot 9,12,17$. |
| 13:6 | 5:23;8:9 approached (1) |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { calling (1) } \\ 23: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 1 ; 14: 23 ; 15: 9,12,17 ; \\ & 24: 13 ; 27: 3 ; 34: 4,13 \end{aligned}$ |
| afternoon (2) | approached (1) $19: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 15,16,17,17 ; \\ & 25: 17 ; 26: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 23:12 | comments (22) |
| again (11) |  | Berglund (6) | 17:24;19:15;25:15 | 7:12;8:7;13:23; |
| 3:2;5:1,3,25;6:11, |  | $\begin{gathered} 32: 20,23,24 ; 33: 11, \\ 21,24 \\ \text { B-E-R-G-L-U-N-D (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 14:2,3,16,18,19;15:2, |
| 21;7:23;17:8;24:1; | 24:5 approval (4) 4:11,11;8:23:9:9 |  | $24 ; 14: 17,23,24,24$ | 4,6,8,11;16:7;19:16; |
| 27:3;30:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:11,11;8:23;9:9 } \\ & \text { approvals (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 20:7;21:3,21;26:14; |
| agency (1) |  | best (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 8,9,11,15 ; 16: 1,14 \\ & 20: 16 ; 24: 12,20 \end{aligned}$ | $34: 1,1,10$ |
| 5:17 | $3: 13$ |  |  | Commerce (6) |


| 5:15;7:14;11:10; | 20:8 | 26:2,7 | dockets (2) | electric (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:10;21:14;29:3 | corona (1) | decibels (2) | 3:11,15 | 26:25;27:20;28:9, |
| Commission (26) | 24:23 | 25:9,10 | document (1) | 10;29:10;30:19 |
| 3:4,12,14;5:4,4,17, | correlated (1) | decision (5) | 17:3 | electricity (1) |
| 18;6:13,14,21,25;7:2, | 23:19 | 5:7;7:1;8:8;13:20; | Dodd (1) | 28:6 |
| 8;8:6,23;9:18;11:16, | correlation (1) | 16:17 | 21:24 | electromagnetic (1) |
| 19;14:5;15:1;16:16; | 29:8 | definitively (1) | dogs (1) | 27:19 |
| 20:6;21:7,9;28:8,21 | corridor (1) | 27:16 | 23:15 | else (2) |
| Commission's (2) | 21:11 | deliver (1) | done (8) | 21:20;34:9 |
| 4:11;21:13 | costs (2) | 9:24 | 3:13;4:17;6:16; | email (2) |
| compare (1) | 26:22;27:2 | demonstrates (1) | 19:21;20:3;24:3; | 14:25;15:11 |
| 12:7 | counters (1) | 3:17 | 26:21;28:25 | EMF (3) |
| completely (2) | 22:19 | Department (7) | double (5) | 29:4,9;30:7 |
| 25:6;26:6 | couple (2) | 5:15;7:14;11:8,10; | 25:8,13;27:8,12; | enacted (1) |
| concern (1) | 8:17;13:16 | 21:14;29:2,3 | 30:4 | 17:4 |
| 33:12 | court (3) | describe (2) | double-circuit (1) | encourage (1) |
| concerned (1) | 7:23;15:23;17:7 | 12:17,20 | 9:17 | 15:19 |
| 14:4 | cover (1) | describes (1) | double-circuits (1) | end (3) |
| concerns (1) | 13:17 | 12:12 | 9:19 | 9:22;18:3;25:19 |
| 14:14 | crackling (2) | description (2) | doubled (1) | ended (1) |
| conclude (1) | 23:5;25:1 | 18:2,19 | 22:24 | 20:17 |
| 34:3 | created (1) | detail (2) | down (6) | Energy (6) |
| concluded (1) | 22:16 | 5:12;6:11 | 13:13;17:8,14;19:5; | 5:11,15;8:15;11:9, |
| 34:14 | crew (2) | determination (1) | 20:17;31:19 | 18;32:25 |
| conclusive (1) | 10:4;29:1 | 28:2 | due (1) | engineer (1) |
| 28:2 | crop (1) | develop (1) | 34:10 | 24:15 |
| concussive (1) | 10:21 | 7:24 | during (2) | engineers (3) |
| 24:20 | crops (1) | developed (1) | 10:21;25:23 | 23:7;24:6,11 |
| condemnation (3) | 10:23 | $7: 18$ | E | $\underset{21 \cdot 19}{\text { enough (1) }}$ |
| conditions (2) | crowd (1) 15:6 | $4: 14$ | E | entails (2) |
| 12:25;13:22 | curious (2) | dies (1) | earlier (1) | 4:9;5:13 |
| conducted (1) | 23:17;33:16 | 32:14 | 13:22 | enter (2) |
| 29:7 | customers (1) | differ (1) | early (1) | 7:24;20:12 |
| conductors (2) | 9:25 | 12:8 | 28:24 | entire (1) |
| 9:7;27:20 | cut (2) | difference (1) | easement (13) | 8:7 |
| confusing (1) | 10:14;31:19 | 11:25 | 10:19;13:18;18:4, | entitled (1) |
| 5:2 |  | differences (1) | 17,21,21;22:1;31:2; | 21:11 |
| congestion (1) | D | 16:15 | 32:1,3,13,18;33:8 | environmental (15) |
| 9:23 |  | different (2) | easements (3) | 3:9;4:15,17,18,19; |
| consider (2) | Dakota (1) | 10:16;12:11 | 10:19;21:8,16 | 5:16,19;6:22;7:13,16; |
| 32:11;33:16 | 9:10 | differently (1) | easier (1) | 11:9;12:1,8,12,17 |
| considered (2) | damages (1) | 30:6 | 16:24 | essentially (2) |
| 29:12;33:6 | 10:21 | direction (2) | eastern (3) | 25:11;33:1 |
| consternation (1) | damn (1) | 7:9;20:19 | 9:11;10:11,17 | et (1) |
| 19:15 | 19:23 | directly (2) | econometric (1) | 25:22 |
| construction (4) | danger (4) | 15:9;21:15 | 3:19 | etiquette (1) |
| 10:9,18,22;12:18 | 32:11;33:6,18,20 | discharge (1) | economics (1) | 15:17 |
| consultation (1) | dangerous (2) | 24:23 | 13:8 | evaluate (1) |
| 21:14 | 29:4;30:10 | dishes (2) | ED (17) | 6:25 |
| consumer (2) | data (1) | 22:18;23:8 | 21:23,23;22:9,13; | evaluating (1) |
| 3:18;11:12 | 20:1 | dissipates (2) | 23:11,23;24:1,9; | 15:2 |
| contact (3) | day (1) | 25:2;30:17 | 25:14;26:4,12;30:23, | evaluations (1) |
| 14:23;15:14;30:8 | 18:3 | distance (3) | 23;32:9;33:7,15,22 | 3:19 |
| contents (2) | days (1) | 30:18;31:14,15 | edge (1) | even (3) |
| 12:16;13:17 | 8:2 | disturb (1) | 30:20 | 18:13,18;19:2 |
| control (1) | dead (1) | 25:25 | effect (5) | evening (1) |
| 20:24 | 25:19 | disturbing (1) | 24:23;25:5;27:12; | 14:21 |
| conversation (1) | deal (3) | 26:10 | 30:2,15 | everybody (4) |
| 16:1 | 17:5,18;21:15 | division (1) | effects (1) | 16:8;19:4;26:10; |
| copies (1) | dealing (2) | 11:13 | 17:6 | 27:11 |
| 5:25 | 17:9,16 | docket (1) | eight (1) | evidence (1) |
| copy (1) | decade (2) | 3:23 | 9:18 | 7:24 |


| exact (1) | field (4) | 17:13 | 25:22 | 30:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29:9 | 24:2;28:9;29:11,14 | frequent (1) | happened (2) | huge (1) |
| example (2) | fields (5) | 23:13 | 23:12,14 | 22:16 |
| 25:9;29:14 | 27:20,21,21;28:10; | front (3) | happening (1) | human (3) |
| exclude (1) | 30:19 | 7:22;15:5;19:2 | 27:3 | 4:17;12:7;13:6 |
| 26:7 | figure (3) | future (1) | happens (1) | humid (3) |
| exclusively (1) | 17:20;18:7,10 | 6:4 | 6:5 | 22:22;23:4,20 |
| 13:10 | filed (1) |  | happy (3) | humidity (2) |
| excuse (1) | 5:8 | G | 5:22;8:10;34:7 | 22:21;24:25 |
| 19:11 | fill (2) |  | HARVIEUX (5) | humming (3) |
| exhibits (1) | 6:4;11:14 | Gallay (4) | 28:19,20;30:5,14; | 22:23;24:22;25:1 |
| 23:21 | fillable (1) | 24:16,17;25:17; | 34:12 | hundred (1) |
| existing (4) | 15:10 | 26:6 | hazard (1) | 25:2 |
| $3: 22 ; 8: 24 ; 10: 18$ $11: 22$ | final (1) | G-A-L-L-A-Y (1) | $33: 23$ health (7) | I |
| experienced (1) | find (3) | GALLEY (1) | health (7) $13: 7 ; 27: 6,17,22$; | I |
| 26:25 | 17:11;29:8;31:19 | 24:17 | 28:4,7;29:2 | identified (1) |
| explain (2) | finding (1) | garage (1) | hear (7) | 13:19 |
| 3:10;5:12 | 9:23 | 23:16 | 4:24;11:5;16:17; | identifies (1) |
| explained (1) | fine (2) | gas (1) | 22:21;23:5;25:12,12 | 12:15 |
| 6:10 | 15:7;16:6 | 11:14 | heard (2) | identify (7) |
| explosion (3) | finished (1) | gases (1) | 25:13;26:22 | 12:23;13:13,14,21; |
| 22:16;25:15;26:3 | 5:10 | 13:4 | hearing (4) | 29:19;31:24;32:4 |
| explosions (1) | First (4) | gave (2) | 7:22;8:2;24:23; | imagine (1) |
| 23:22 | 17:3;22:13;25:17; | 18:4;20:8 | 25:9 | 13:9 |
| explosion-wise (1) | 26:24 | general (3) | Helena (1) | impact (9) |
| 24:19 | five (1) | 13:23,25;28:7 | 9:12 | 17:15;29:17;30:13; |
| explosive (2) | 16:8 | generally (3) | helicopter (1) | 31:13,13,15,20,25; |
| 25:19;26:9 | five-member (1) | 12:16;13:12;20:25 | 10:6 | 32:3 |
| exposed (1) | 5:4 | generate (1) | hell (1) | impacts (18) |
| 28:6 | fluctuate (1) | 27:20 | 23:16 | 4:18,19,21,24;10:8; |
| extend (1) | 24:24 | gentlemen (2) | Hello (1) | 12:2,4,6,8,11,21,24; |
| 32:3 | focus (1) | 17:4;19:19 | 21:23 | 13:3,5,11,15;14:4; |
| extent (1) | 14:3 | goes (2) | help (3) | 27:22 |
| 31:25 | folks (1) | 9:10,11 | 13:21,23;19:17 | impede (1) |
|  | 15:20 | Good (4) | helping (1) | 20:23 |
| F | foot (1) | 3:1;11:4;21:21; | 8:18 | important (1) |
|  | 33:3 | 24:12 | HENRY (7) | 15:24 |
| facility (1) | force (2) | governing (1) | 16:22;17:1,1;18:16; | importantly (1) |
| 11:18 | 24:20;25:21 | 6:15 | 20:9,14;27:5 | 4:13 |
| fact (1) | forestry (1) | grab (1) | H-E-N-R-Y (1) | inability (1) |
| 32:13 | 13:11 | 15:15 | 17:2 | 9:24 |
| fall (1) | forget (1) | greenhouse (1) | here's (1) | including (1) |
| 33:4 | 16:6 | 13:4 | 8:25 | 8:7 |
| falling (2) | forgot (1) | group (1) | Hertz (1) | incorporate (1) |
| 32:14,18 | 10:25 | 28:23 | 25:1 | 18:23 |
| farm (1) | form (2) | grows (1) | hey (1) | increase (1) |
| 24:2 | 14:23;15:10 | 33:13 | 23:12 | 23:3 |
| Farmington (1) | formal (4) | guess (6) | Hi (1) | increases (1) |
| 20:1 | 7:10,23;34:3,4 | $5: 17 ; 11: 2 ; 19: 16$ | 8:14 | 26:25 |
| fax (1) | formalized (1) | 24:18;26:15;28:12 | high (2) | increasing (1) |
| 15:11 | 12:24 | guys (1) | 12:1;29:13 | 30:4 |
| feel (1) | forward (1) | $12: 6$ | higher (1) | industry (1) |
| 14:5 | 7:9 |  | $28: 11$ | 27:23 |
| feet (20) | found (1) | H | home (1) | inform (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:15,19,22,23,24; } \\ & \text { 19:1,22;25:2;30:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $29: 7$ four (1) |  | $32: 10$ homes (1) | 13:21 information (9) |
| 12,12;31:2,3,7,9,16, | 19:1 | 19:11 | 28:14 | 3:8;4:3,6;5:8,13; |
| 17;33:10,12,13 | framework (1) | Hampton (3) | hone (1) | 7:7;14:22;15:14; |
| felt (1) | 14:1 | 3:6,23;9:12 | 13:13 | 24:14 |
| 33:23 | fraud (1) | hands (1) | hoping (1) | informed (1) |
| few (3) | 11:13 | $21: 20$ | $10: 10$ | $31: 7$ |
| 10:12;25:2,8 | free (1) | happen (1) | household (1) | infrastructure (1) |


| 12:5 | kind (7) | list (1) | 20:9,14;27:5 | Milroy (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| initial (1) | 9:3;10:15;19:3,20, | 6:2 | M-A-R-K (1) | 9:10 |
| 16:7 | 21:3;26:22;27:2 | little (6) | 17:2 | mind (2) |
| install (1) | kinds (1) | 7:23;11:14;19:12; | Marshall (1) | 13:25;14:1 |
| 25:23 | 17:5 | 23:7;24:21,22 | 14:21 | minimal (1) |
| installation (1) | kitchen (1) | live (1) | match (1) | 10:8 |
| 26:5 | 23:9 | 30:11 | 29:9 | minimize (4) |
| installed (4) | knows (1) | local (1) | materials (2) | 4:23;12:4,23;14:7 |
| 9:3,5,17;25:18 | 22:19 | 18:8 | 5:7;26:7 | Minnesota (9) |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { insurance (1) } \\ 11: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{k V}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 22: 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { location (1) } \\ 21: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Matter (1) } \\ 34: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 3 ; 6: 17,19 ; 9: 10 \\ & 11: 8 ; 21: 7 ; 28: 12,20, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { interests (1) } \\ 3: 19 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\log (1)$ | mature (1) | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \text { minor (11) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | L | 34:9 | 33:22 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { interpretation (1) } \\ & 19: 12 \end{aligned}$ | Lake (1) | long (1) | may (7) | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 21 ; 4: 11 ; 6: 12,18 \\ & 18 ; 7: 5,11 ; 11: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 9:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 23 ; 8: 11 ; 31: 4,7, \\ & 12 ; 32: 17 ; 33: 18 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| into (10) | 10:14 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { longer (2) } \\ 25: 24,25 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18 ; 7: 5,11 ; 11: 22 \\ & 12: 25 ; 14: 12 ; 23: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14:18;15:3;16:20; | Land (2) |  | Maybe (5) | minute (2) |
| 19:25;20:12;23:1; | 8:15;32:2 | look (11) | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 17 ; 20: 25 ; 27: 19 \\ & 31: 18 ; 33: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 16 ; 16: 4 \\ & \text { minutes (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 24:13;29:19;32:14,18 | land-based (1) | 9:4;12:9;13:2,3,5, |  |  |
| inundated (1) | 13:8 | 12,17,18;23:1;24:13; | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mean}(4) \\ & 27: 7,8 ; 30: 10 ; 31: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:8 } \\ & \text { mischaracterized (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 19:23 |  | 27:25 |  |  |
| involved (1) | $10: 20,22$ | looked (1) | $\underset{18 \cdot 13}{\text { meaning (1) }}$ | 14:10 |
| 22:1 | large (1) | 23:2 | $\begin{array}{r} 18: 13 \\ \text { means } \end{array}$ | missing (1) |
| involvement (1) |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { looking (4) } \\ 7: 16,17 ; 15: 21 ; 33: 5 \end{array}$ |  | $14: 10$mitigate (3) |
| 21:8 | last (2) |  | means 29:14 |  |
| ionizing (1) | 16:13;26:2 | loss (1)10:23 | measures (4) | 4:23;12:24;14:7 |
| 29:14 | later (1) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:13;12:3,21; } \\ & 15: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mitigation (3) } \\ & 12: 3,21 ; 15: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| issue (5) | 15:15 | lost (1) |  |  |
| 7:14;19:3,9,20;22:2 | law (4) | 17:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mechanism (1) } \\ & 28: 3 \end{aligned}$ | MN (1) |
| issues (5) | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 22 ; 8: 3 ; 13: 2 ; 21: 7 \\ & \text { laws (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 20:16modeled (1) |
| 14:13;15:21;17:10; |  | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }}(\mathbf{3})$ $5: 2 ; 19: 15 ; 28: 25$ | $\begin{gathered} 28: 3 \\ \text { meet (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 27:18;34:6 | 5:6;6:15 | loud (2) | 5:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { modeled (1) } \\ 30: 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| issuing (1) | lay (1) | 16:22;25:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { meeting (6) } \\ & 3: 9 ; 4: 2 ; 5: 6 ; 14: 20, \\ & 20 ; 34: 3 \end{aligned}$ | moderating (1) |
| 21:10 | 18:1 | low (1) |  | 5:22 |
| items (2) | lead (2) | 10:16 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { modify }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 3: 22 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10:24;14:10 | 8:20;24:12 | $\underset{28 \cdot 12}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 ; 34: 3 \\ \text { meetings (3) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | least (1) |  | 3:25;4:4;5:6 | More (17) |
| J | 27:18 | lunch (1) | mention (2) | 4:13;5:12,13;6:8; |
|  | left-hand (1) | 20:18 | 10:25;18:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ::23;13:13;14:J,6; } \\ & 23: 4,4,7 ; 24: 14 ; 25: 14 ; \\ & \text { 26:5;27:5;30:4;32:21 } \\ & \text { morning }(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Jacques (6) | $\begin{gathered} 9: 1 \\ \text { legal (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mentioned (4) } \\ & \text { 13:22;18:18;25:17; } \\ & 28: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 28:18,19,20;30:5, | legal (3) |  |  |  |
| Janet (1) |  | magnetic (4) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { mentioning (1) } \\ 24: 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3: 1 \\ \text { most (5) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 15:23 | 26:10;30:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 21 ; 28: 10 ; 29: 11 ; \\ & 30: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| January (4) | letters (1) |  | $\begin{array}{r} 24: 24 \\ \text { met (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 5 ; 11: 11 ; 29: 4 ; \\ & 30: 2,7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15:4,9,12;34:10 | 26:21 | magnitude (1) | $\begin{gathered} 14: 8 \\ \text { methods (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| jointly (1) | level (2) | 23:18 |  | 30:2,7 <br> mostly (1) |
| 3:13 | 12:2;25:11 | mail (2)$6 \cdot 3 \cdot 15 \cdot 11$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4: 22 \\ \operatorname{mic}(6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13: 9 \\ \text { move (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| judge (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { limit (1) } \\ & 16: 7 \\ & \text { Line (17) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 7:22;8:3;19:15 |  | $\underset{6: 2}{\operatorname{mailing}(1)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 1 ; 16: 19,20,23, \\ & 25 ; 29: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 23 \\ & \text { movement (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| K | Line (17)3:6,23;6:19;21:1025;23:21;24:19; | $\begin{gathered} 6: 2 \\ \text { maintain (1) } \\ 16: 14 \end{gathered}$ | Michael (1) | 25:4 |
|  |  |  | 3:2 | much (3) |
| KALUZNIAK (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 20 ; 26: 1 ; 28: 12 \\ & 29: 11 ; 30: 9 ; 31: 1,13 \end{aligned}$ | maintenance (1) | middle (2) | 6:11;28:11;32:21 |
| $3: 1,2 ; 21: 5$ keep (2) | 29:11;30:9;31:1,13; $33: 3,5,12$ | Manager (2) | might (6) | N |
| 5:1;10:16 | $\begin{array}{r} 33: 3,5,12 \\ \text { linemen (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8: 14,18 \\ \text { mandates (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 23 ; 25: 10 ; 32: 12, \\ & 12,16 ; 33: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| keeping (1) | 27:23 |  |  | name (2) |
| 15:25 | lines (13) | 4:16 | MIKE (6) | 3:2;16:5 |
| key (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 7 ; 22: 17,22,23 ; \\ & 23: 17 ; 24: 25 ; 25: 3,16, \\ & 24 ; 26: 23 ; 28: 5,13 \\ & 32: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{many}(1) \\ & 25: 25 \\ & \text { MARK }(7) \\ & 16: 22 ; 17: 1,1 ; 18: 16 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 1 ; 8: 13,16,21 ; \\ & 11: 20 ; 21: 5 \\ & \text { miles (1) } \\ & 9: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { natural (1) } \\ 13: 3 \\ \text { near (1) } \\ 10: 14 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10:24 |  |  |  |  |
| kicks (1) |  |  |  |  |
| 25:8 |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { nearby (1) } \\ 27: 24 \\ \text { necessary (1) } \\ 12: 14 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:2;29:11;31:19 } \\ & \text { outside (8) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pertaining (1) } \\ & 34: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:9,12;6:24;7:9; } \\ & 11: 21 ; 15: 19 ; 18: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18:20;20:2;31:6,9; | petitioned (1) | pros |
|  | objects (1) | 32:13,17;33:8,1 | 1:2 | 10:16 |
| need (24) | $\begin{gathered} 25: 4 \\ \text { O'Brien (20) } \end{gathered}$ | over (12) | pick ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ | project (28) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 16,17 ; 4: 10,16,20 \\ & 6: 12,12,13,16 ; 7: 4,11 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 11 ; 6: 6 ; 11: 20 \\ & 14: 1,15 ; 16: 19 ; 18: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4: 5 \\ \text { picnic (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 3:17,20;4:8,16,18, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 23,23 ; 22: 9,13 ; \\ & 23: 11,23,25 ; 24: 1,9 \\ & 25: 14 ; 26: 4,12,15,17, \\ & 18 ; 30: 23,23 ; 33: 7,15, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $22 ; 5: 12 ; 6: 14,16 ; 8: 18 ;$$9 \cdot 13 \cdot 10 \cdot 25 \cdot 12 \cdot 3,10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 21 ; 10: 12 ; 11: 21,25 ; \\ & \text { 13:5;14:11;16:23; } \end{aligned}$ |  | 19:6,8;26:2;28:14; | $20: 18$ <br> picture (1) |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 34:13 } \\ \text { owners (2) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 13,18,21,22;13:9,14; |
| 19:17; $20: 20 ; 29: 23 ;$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { picture (1) } \\ 8: 25 \end{array}$ | 14:8,10;15:18;16:15; |
| 31:8;32:4;34:12 |  | $8: 21 ; 26: 1$ | place (2) | 19:9;20:17;28:22 |
| needed (1) | O-B-R-I-E-N (2) |  | placed (1) | $14: 13$ |
| needing (1)$10: 19$ | obviously (1) | paid (5) | plant (6) | projects (3) |
|  | 3:17 |  |  | 11:18;13:13;17:6 |
| needs (3) $11: 21 ; 14: 7 ; 20: 15$ | occasionally (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 12 ; 18: 22,24 ; \\ & 19: 2.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 10,16 ; 33: 2,4,7,9 \\ & \text { please (8) } \end{aligned}$ | property (3) |
|  |  |  |  | $22: 1 ; 26: 1 ; 31: 11$ |
| negotiation (1) | off (2) | panels (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:5;6:1,4;16:3,4,7, } \\ & 13 ; 29: 19 \end{aligned}$ | proposed (8) |
|  | 17:3;29: | $19: 24$ paper (1) |  | 4:7,21;12:13,20,22; |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { neighbor (2) } \\ 18: 15 ; 24: 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Once (3) } \\ & 3: 2 ; 5: 25 ; 34: \end{aligned}$ | paper (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13;29:19 } \\ & \mathbf{p m}(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ | 13:14;14:9;16:15 |
|  |  | 8:6 | $34: 14$ point | protection (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { neighborhood (1) } \\ & 24: 4 \end{aligned}$ | One (18) | part (8) | point (2) | $11: 12$ provide (5) |
| neighbors (1)23:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 16,21 ; 4: 4,5 ; 6: 1,8 \\ & 12: 9 ; 15: 15 ; 16: 1,3,10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:21;10:5;11:3; } \\ & \text { 15:1;18:14;20:12; } \\ & \text { 29:15;34:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:1;21:6 } \\ & \text { pole (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $4: 13 ; 5: 12 ; 8: 4 ;$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 19 ; 18: 12,25 ; 20: 5 ; \\ & 22: 3 ; 26: 16 ; 27: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 18:20;31:3,9,17; | $11: 17 ; 15: 13$ |
| net (1) |  | participate | 33:10 | provides (2) |
| 25:5 | $\underset{25: 23}{\text { ongoing (1) }}$ | 4:14particular (1) | poles (4) | 5:18;21:10 |
| neutral (1) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 1 ; 17: 16 ; 22: 5 ; \\ & 26: 23 \end{aligned}$ | providing (1) |
| 11:17 | online (4) | $34: 6$ |  | 7:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 6,7 ; 10: 7,13,19 \\ & 33: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 3 ; 14: 20 ; 15: 9 \\ & 20: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { particularly (1) } \\ & 28: 4 \end{aligned}$ | portion | Public (14) |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 3,8 ; 5: 3,5 ; 7: 21 ; \\ & 8: 2 ; 13: 7,7 ; 18: 9 ; 19: 7 \\ & 28: 7,20,21: 29: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | parties (1) | possibly (1) |  |
| next (5) | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 1 ; 20: 21 ; 22: 21 ; \\ & 27: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 5:2 | $\begin{gathered} 33: 16 \\ \text { potential (6) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 8:16;11:3;19:17 22:15;27:7 |  | partner (1) |  | PUC (2) |
| nine (1) | open (3) | 21:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 19,21,24 ; 12: 2 \\ & 13: 22: 14: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 3:11;19:14 |
| 9:18 | operating (1) | 7:4 | power (8) | 9:16 |
| $22: 19 ; 31: 14$ | $24: 20$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { passionate (1) } \\ 19: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 25 ; 18: 20 ; 22: 17 \\ & 24: 25 ; 25: 3,16 ; 30: 4 \\ & 33: 3 \end{aligned}$ | purpose (1) |
|  |  |  |  | 13:12 |
| nobody's (1) | operation (2) $24: 25 ; 26: 2$ | path (1) |  | purposes |
| $24: 3$ noise (10) | opinion (1) | 17:8 | prefer (1) | 11:24 |
| noise (10) | 16:15 opportunity (3) <br> 4:14;12:14;16:9 | people (13) <br> 11:5:14:18:16:10 | 15:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { put }(\mathbf{1 0 )} \\ & 8: 24 ; 9: 19,21 ; 10: 1, \\ & 12 ; 16: 19 ; 17: 23 ; \\ & 19: 24 ; 28: 23 ; 29: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:6;22:16;23:2,3, |  | 11:5;14:18;16:10 | preparation (1) |  |
| noises (1) |  | $19: 24 ; 20: 18,18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { presentation (1) } \\ & 5: 21 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 24:22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { orange (1 } \\ 6: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 24 ; 20: 18,18 ; \\ & 25: 21 ; 27: 22 ; 28: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nonconclusive (1) } \\ & \text { 29:8 } \end{aligned}$ | order (2)6:14;18:6 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { people's (1) } \\ 28: 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pretty (3) } \\ & 10: 25 ; 14: 2 ; 16: 22 \end{aligned}$ | Q |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| nonionizing (1) | orders (1)17:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { period (5) } \\ & 7: 6 ; 8: 1,5 ; 17: 22 ; \end{aligned}$ | primarily (1) |  |
| 29:12 |  |  |  | 13:4 |
| normal (1)$27: 9$ | ordinance (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} 34: 4 \\ \text { permit (11) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { primary (1) } \\ 19: 16 \end{array}$ | Quarter (1)10:10 |
|  | 17:4 |  |  |  |
| normally (1) | originally (4) | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 23 ; 12: 25 ; 13: 22 \\ & 14: 12 ; 21: 10,13 ; \\ & 22: 10 ; 31: 22,23,23,23 \end{aligned}$ | probably (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quick (2) } \\ & 6: 24 ; 15: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 25: 18 \\ \text { others (1) } \\ 16: 14 \end{array}$ |  | 7:20;8:3;33:11 |  |
| notice (7) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { permits (2) } \\ 11: 18 ; 28: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { problem } \\ 20: 25 \end{array}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ |
| ```7:19;14:22 notices (1) 6:4 notified (1) 25:21 number (1) 17:11``` |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { procedural (1) } \\ & 5: 19 \end{aligned}$ | rain (1) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { other-side-of-the-roaders (3) } \\ & \text { 17:19;18:13;19:1 } \\ & \text { out }(\mathbf{1 7 )} \\ & 3: 25 ; 5: 23 ; 6: 4 ; 7: 20 ; \\ & 8: 5,9 ; 17: 20 ; 18: 1,2,7 \\ & 10 ; 19: 1 ; 21: 6 ; 22: 23 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { permitted (1) } \\ & 32: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | proceed (1) | $23: 20$ |
|  |  | person (3) | 7:7 | raised (1) |
|  |  | 3:3;5:5;16:3 | proceedings (2) | 27:18 |
|  |  | personal (1) | $3: 12 ; 21: 9$ | range (1) |
|  |  |  | process (7) |  |


| rapidly (1) | 9:25 | 20:8,18,21,24 | $17$ | someday (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30:18 | reply (1) | roads (2) | segments (2) | 31:18 |
| rate (1) | 7:10 | 17:6,23 | 9:8;10:5 | someone (1) |
| 26:25 | report (14) | Rock (3) | send (3) | 32:16 |
| ratepayers' (1) | 3:9;4:15,17;6:23; | 17:2,5;24:2 | 14:24;15:8;18:1 | sometime (1) |
| 3:18 | 7:13,16,18;8:4,5;12:1, | Rogers (13) | separate (2) | 8:4 |
| rattled (1) | 12,15,17;15:22 | 5:11;6:7;8:13,14; | 3:12,23 | sometimes (1) |
| 22:18 | reporter (4) | 20:8;22:7,12,25; | separately (1) | 15:13 |
| Rattling (2) | 7:23;15:23;16:25; | 23:18;24:5,15;28:18; | 7:3 | somewhere (1) |
| 23:8;24:22 | 29:20 | 32:8 | service (1) | 7:21 |
| reach (1) | requested (1) | roughly (2) | 18:9 | soon (1) |
| 30:7 | 31:24 | 17:22;22:6 | services (1) | 14:2 |
| reaching (1) | requesting (1) | ROUSSEAU (6) | 13:7 | sorry (4) |
| 8:8 | 7:4 | 29:16,22,23;30:1, | set (1) | 6:8;26:16;28:15; |
| ready (2) | requests (1) | 11,16 | 18:20 | 30:25 |
| 7:18;9:2 | 7:1 | R-O-U-S-S-E-A-U (1) | settlements (1) | sort (5) |
| really (6) | required (2) | 29:23 | 13:6 | 12:15;15:16;20:21; |
| 15:24;16:2;21:1; | 3:14,22 | route (7) | several (1) | 28:7;31:24 |
| 23:20;28:2;30:15 | requirements (1) | 4:10;11:23;14:9,12; | 14:17 | sound (1) |
| reason (2) | 12:19 | 20:2;21:10;27:11 | shape (1) | 23:9 |
| 3:14;26:11 | requires (1) | routing (1) | 33:5 | South (1) |
| rebuild (1) | 12:1 | 17:14 | shed (1) | 9:10 |
| 10:15 | research (2) | rule (1) | 20:1 | speak (5) |
| receive (1) | 28:25;29:6 | 6:19 | sheet (2) | 14:19;15:5,7;16:20; |
| 6:3 | residents (1) | rules (1) | 15:12;34:13 | 29:18 |
| received (1) | 27:23 | $6: 17$ | SHELBIE (3) | speaker (1) |
| 7:2 | resources (2) | rundown (1) | 23:25;26:15,17 | $16: 1$ |
| recently (1) | 13:3,5 | 6:24 | S-H-E-L-B-I-E (1) | specific (2) |
| 19:24 | respect (1) |  | 26:18 | 13:24;32:10 |
| recognized (1) | 16:14 | S | shortly (1) | specifically (1) |
| 18:5 | respond (1) |  | 5:10 | $13: 19$ dell (1) |
| record (15) <br> 6.25:7.25:8.7 | 20:7 responsi | safety (1) | show (3) $0 \cdot 21 \cdot 21 \cdot 20 \cdot 23.3$ | spell (1) |
| 14:18;15:1,3,25,25; | 11:11 | same (6) | showing (1) | splices (1) |
| 16:1,3,5,21;20:13; | restricted (1) | 7:20;25:11;26:8,22; | 11:15 | 25:19 |
| 29:20;34:8 | 33:9 | 27:2;29:6 | sibling (1) | spot (1) |
| records (1) | restriction (1) | sample (1) | 5:17 | 7:21 |
| 26:20 | 32:2 | 12:15 | side (3) | spots (1) |
| red (1) | review (10) | saying (3) | 9:1;18:17;31:3 | 10:3 |
| 10:3 | 4:9;5:16;6:15;7:7, | 7:15;23:12;30:14 | signed (1) | staff (3) |
| reduce (2) | 9;8:6;11:9,21;12:2; | scope (1) | 16:11 | 3:3;5:5;20:6 |
| 26:22;27:2 | 15:18 | 4:15 | sign-ups (1) | standard (1) |
| Redwood (1) | reviewed (1) | scoped (1) | 4:6 | 28:9 |
| 33:13 | 12:7 | 7:13 | sited (1) | standards (2) |
| regulating (1) | reviews (1) | scoping (5) | 21:13 | 28:4,7 |
| 17:10 | 5:19 | 3:9;7:15;12:15; | Siting (1) | standing (3) |
| reimburse (1) | right (8) | 13:12,20 | 8:14 | 29:5;30:2,8 |
| 10:22 | 9:3,21,23;21:25; | Second (11) | situation (3) | start (2) |
| related (3) | 23:9;24:8;28:11;29:5 | 3:6,21;8:24;9:2,4, | 23:21;32:10,16 | 16:4,11 |
| 21:15;27:19;28:4 | right-of-way (8) | 22;10:1,6;25:5,10; | sleep (3) | state (3) |
| relates (1) | 12:19;18:15,19,22, | 30:24 | 19:22;27:7,10 | 16:4;28:19;29:1 |
| 26:19 | 23;19:7,10;30:20 | section (1) | sleeves (1) | statement (1) |
| relevant (1) | right-of-ways (2) | 9:20 | 25:20 | 7:15 |
| 13:14 | 17:21;18:5 | sections (1) | slide (2) | statutes (1) |
| remind (1) | Rights (1) | 25:25 | 6:8;8:17 | 6:17 |
| 16:6 | 8:15 | seeking (1) | slides (1) | stay (1) |
| reminder (1) | risk (1) | 11:18 | 6:1 | 10:18 |
| 15:16 | 32:14 | seems (1) | small (1) | stealing (1) |
| removals (1) | risks (1) | 22:20 | 21:19 | 17:21 |
| 31:8 | 32:18 | sees (1) | socioeconomics (1) | Steinhauer (17) |
| remove (1) | road (17) | 15:1 | 13:7 | 5:14;11:4,7,8; |
| 31:12 | 17:9,23,25;18:1,2,3, | segment (7) | solar (1) | 16:24;20:4,11;21:18; |
| renewable (1) | 4,6,11,17;19:4,5,18; | 9:9,11,15;10:2,8,11, | 19:24 | 24:10;26:13;27:14; |


| 29:18,25;30:17; | 11:16;20:6;23:10 | 17:11 | 28:1 | western (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31:21;32:22;33:25 | technicality (1) | townships' (1) | upon (1) | 9:9;10:2,7,17 |
| sticker (1) | 29:15 | 17:21 | 30:8 | Wetland (1) |
| 11:14 | ten (1) | traffic (1) | usage (1) | 8:18 |
| still (4) | 19:14 | 17:10 | 17:14 | what's (4) |
| 17:17,20;19:5; | term (1) | Transmission (8) | use (9) | 23:8;27:8,12;33:19 |
| 30:12 | 18:24 | 3:6;6:19;11:22; | 5:7;6:25;10:4,6; | White (1) |
| stop (1) | terms (1) | 21:10,25;28:13; | 15:13;18:24;20:21; | 9:10 |
| 20:23 | 5:19 | 29:11;30:9 | 25:19;26:9 | wide (1) |
| straight (1) | testified (1) | tree (12) | used (3) | 11:10 |
| 5:1 | 19:13 | 31:16,19;32:13,15, | 4:23;12:19;25:20 | wife's (1) |
| strategies (2) | thanks (3) | 17,18;33:2,4,6,9,19, | useful (1) | 22:19 |
| 12:23;14:6 | 27:14;32:9;33:24 | 22 | 15:14 | wildlife (1) |
| string (3) | third (1) | trees (5) | using (1) | 13:4 |
| 10:6;25:24;26:8 | 30:25 | 31:6,10,11;32:11; | 21:1 | wires (1) |
| structures (7) | third-party (1) | 33:16 | usually (1) | 32:14 |
| 8:24;9:4;10:7,13, | 11:17 | Trout (1) | 25:2 | within (4) |
| 16;12:18;21:12 | though (1) | 10:14 | Utilities (6) | 7:16;8:4;10:18; |
| studies (2) | 23:22 | truck (1) | 3:4;5:3;18:9;19:7; | 25:2 |
| 27:21,25 | thought (4) | 10:4 | $28: 21 ; 29: 3$ | without (1) |
| study (3) | 9:20;24:1;25:18; | try (4) |  | 26:9 |
| 27:6,8;29:3 | 30:24 | 14:3;21:22;24:13; | V | wonder (1) |
| subgrade (1) $20: 25$ | thousand (3) 22:4,5;27:7 | $31: 21$ trying (6) | valid (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:6 } \\ & \text { wondering (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| substation (3) | three (4) | 17:20;18:7,10; | 27:15;28:15 | 30:1 |
| 9:12,12;10:14 | 9:6,7,8;34:1 | 20:22,23;31:19 | vegetation (1) | worded (1) |
| substations (1) | Tim (14) | turn (4) | 13:4 | 30:6 |
| 28:5 | 5:11;6:6;8:13,14; | 5:11;6:6;14:1,15 | verbal (1) | work (7) |
| sufficient (1) | 11:20;22:7,12,25; | twice (2) | 14:19 | 11:24;19:19;21:2; |
| 7:6 | 23:18;24:5,15,23; | 25:12;34:1 | verbally (1) | $27: 22 ; 28: 5,23 ; 29: 1$ |
| supplies (2) | 28:18;32:8 | two (9) | 15:3 | write (1) |
| 20:20;26:7 | times (1) | 3:11,12,13,15;7:1; | vibration (2) | 15:6 |
| sure (7) | 34:2 | 9:8,19;16:10;22:3 | 22:22;23:25 | written (2) |
| 12:5;16:2,8;23:19, | TL-08-1474 (1) | type (5) | vibrations (1) | $15: 4 ; 34: 10$ |
| 22;27:1;32:9 | 3:24 | 12:18;13:11;23:21; | $24: 21$ | wrong (3) |
| surveyor (1) | today (6) | 29:10;33:9 | volts (1) | 9:14;19:20;23:14 |
| 18:1 Suzanne | 8:8;14:19;15:7,19; | typical (1) | 27:7 |  |
| Suzanne (21) | 20:15;25:9 | 24:24 |  | X |
| 4,7,7;16:24;20:4,11; | 28:24;29:2 | U |  | Xcel (12) |
| 21:18;24:10;26:13; | toggle (1) |  | walking (1) | 5:11;7:3;8:15,20; |
| 27:14;28:24;29:18, | 8:16 | under (5) | 20:17 | 18:9;24:10,13;28:17; |
| 25;30:17;31:21; | toggling (1) | 6:17,19;21:7;28:12; | wants (2) | 31:24;32:6,24;34:5 |
| 32:22;33:25 | 9:14 | 29:15 | 16:8,16 | x-rayed (1) |
| system (3) | tomorrow (1) | underneath (4) | water (1) | 29:13 |
| 12:6,9,13 | 14:21 | 27:10;29:5;30:3,9 | 13:5 |  |
| T | tonight (5) $4: 2 ; 14: 20 ; 15: 3,17$ | UNIDENTIFIED (1) | watt (2) | Y |
| T | $34: 9$ | unique (2) | way (5) |  |
| table (2) | Tony (2) | 13:20;14:8 | 4:3;9:14;19:13,20, | $19: 2$ |
| 4:5;12:16 | 8:18;10:24 | unit (2) | 22 | year (1) |
| tables (1) | took (2) | 5:16;11:9 | ways (2) | 19:17 |
| 20:18 | 17:7;19:1 | units (1) | 14:7,17 | years (4) |
| talk (8) | total (1) | $29: 15$ | weather (1) | 9:18;17:22;19:14; |
| 3:5;4:7;14:25;23:6, | 9:13 | unless (1) | 23:20 | 27:18 |
| 10;24:6,11;30:2 | town (1) | 33:12 | website (4) | Yep (3) |
| talked (1) | 20:19 | unloading (1) | 5:8;15:10,11;20:10 | 11:6;20:10;23:19 |
| $32: 11$ | town's (1) | $22: 17$ | weights (1) | Z |
| 5:3 | Township (5) | 4:5;11:14; $16: 11,12$; | welcome (1) |  |
| tall (1) | 17:2,22;18:1,25; | 18:4;19:4,24;24:16; | 34:8 | zone (3) |
| 33:14 | 19:18 | 25:8,10;32:22,23 | weren't (1) | 31:20;33:18,20 |
| technical (3) | townships (1) | updated (1) | $13: 19$ |  |



