

Commission Investigation Regarding the Appropriate Regulatory Response to Propane Storage Projects | CI-14-423

Closed Jun 09, 2015 · Discussion · 1 Participants · 2 Topics · 1 Answers · 0 Replies · 0 Votes

1

PARTICIPANTS

2

TOPICS

1

ANSWERS

0

REPLIES


0

VOTES

SUMMARY OF TOPICS

SHOULD THE COMMISSION TAKE SOME OTHER ACTION?  0

Answers · 0 Replies

SHOULD THE COMMISSION EXTEND THE TIME FOR PROPANE-STORAGE DEVELOPERS TO REQUEST A VARIANCE TO MINNESOTA RULES, PART 7853.0010, SUBP. 23 WHICH EXCLUDES PROPANE FROM THE DEFINITION OF SYNTHETIC GAS?  1 Answers · 0 Replies

Roger Leider · Citizen · (Postal Code: unknown) · Jun 09, 2015 6:51 am

 0 Votes

The Minnesota Propane Association strongly encourages the Commission to extend the time for propane storage developers to request a variance to Minnesota Rules, part 7853.0010, subp 23. This variance will give time for the industry and state to correct the definition of synthetic fuels, thus putting large propane storage facilities back into their regular oversight protocol thru the Dept of Public Safety and the State Fire Marshal's office. We believe that extending this time for variance does not post any risk to the public in general. Thank you for considering this course of action.