

From: [Wufoo](#)
To: [Staff, CAO \(PUC\)](#)
Subject: Submitted Public Comment Form
Date: Wednesday, June 24, 2026 10:29:57 PM

This message may be from an external email source.

Do not select links or open attachments unless verified. Report all suspicious emails to Minnesota IT Services Security Operations Center.

Name * Aubree Derksen

Address ☐ 340 Prairie View Dr NE
Pine Island, MN 55963
United States

Email aubreekh@gmail.com

Provide the docket's number. 26-135

Leave a comment on the docket. *

On p.70 in Ch 6 of the Route Permit Application it states "The portion of the Project east of Highway 52 is located within an Orderly Annexation Area between the City of Pine Island and Pine Island Township. Ryan Companies, US, submitted an application for final plat and zoning to the City of Pine Island, and as part of the application, requested the eastern portion of the Project Area be annexed into the city of Pine Island.43 Project Skyway received conditional approvals on January 20, 2026, from Pine Island for annexation and rezoning, which includes a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a Planned Unit Development (PUD) for industrial uses and a final plat. However, the State's 30-day annexation review will only begin after the developer pays the required reimbursement in lieu of taxes upon closing on acquisition of the property. The zoning approvals, including the CUP and PUD, will become effective once the annexation is complete. This is anticipated to be in the summer of 2026."

Given that the conditional project approvals given on January 20th, 2026 are currently under a court injunction (25-CV-25-2298) and a judicial verdict still needs to be made regarding the adequacy of the environmental review completed for project this entire docket is being built for and the CUP and PUD are also in a separate but related court case (25-CV-26-372) and would be vacated if the environmental review approved on January 20th, 2026 is found to be inadequate, thus in turn also rendering the orderly annexation agreement and zoning approvals incomplete if not void, this entire project and this accompanying docket could be entirely moot depending on the outcomes of these court cases. Therefore the PUC should stay this docket and not allow any approvals or construction to begin until there is a judicial decision on the above mentioned cases. Furthermore, given that any additional environmental review of Project Skyway could change the design and needs of the project specified in this docket there should also be an additional requirement stated in this docket that not only should construction not be allowed to begin until a judicial decision is made but a new environmental review on the PUC's end must also be completed along with a new public commenting period regarding the new environmental review. The conditions of no construction and new environmental review on this docket must also stay in place in the event of there being any appeals to any judicial decision and would remain in place until there is a decision on said appeal.