

## Sullivan, Jim (COMM)

---

**From:** Marie C. Boucher <mboucher.pers@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 19, 2024 2:57 PM  
**To:** Sullivan, Jim (COMM)  
**Subject:** Great River Energy Reliability Project

[You don't often get email from mboucher.pers@icloud.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

This message may be from an external email source.

Do not select links or open attachments unless verified. Report all suspicious emails to Minnesota IT Services Security Operations Center.

---

Hello Jim,

As we are trying to read through some of the more recent e-docs, we noticed an error stating the AA6 proposed route through our property and down Cole Lake Way does not cross over any other transmission lines. There is an existing line (believe it a 115kv line) that crosses Cole Lake Way just South of River Road.

The docket we are referring to is: Doc TL 22-415 #20249-210005-04. Date 9/5/24. The area where it is listed is on page 43 of the 52 page report. Table 6.5 Human & Environmental Impacts. On the Reliability section for crossing of existing lines, its states zero. Which in fact it would cross over an existing line, thus creating what they refer to as a 'reliability concern'. That number in the table should be one, not zero.

This document also states with any new routes, they are requesting a 3,000ft ROW! This would obliterate our entire property and that of our neighbors of any ability to sell at current market value.

Our entire community along Cole Lake Way has been against their proposed route of AA6 which takes a short jog off the main ROW through our area since the beginning. There is absolutely no reason to veer off the main transmission line ROW for this short distance and disrupt our whole environment, when there is an existing transmission line ROW that is available, usable, and already cleared and maintained. Also able to be used without crossing an existing line and creating reliability issues, since it would parallel it.

We are referring to the AA3 and/AA4 alternative routes.

I hope there is a way to correct this error and one more reason not to approve the proposed AA6 route in the Cole Lake region of the Project.

We tried to submit this via e-docs but had no success. I hope you are able to address this, allow Honorable Kimberly Middendorf to review it, and do not approve the AA6 proposed portion of the route.

Respectfully,

Don and Marie Boucher

26615 Cole Lake Way  
Crosby, MN 56441